

# **FINE STRUCTURE IN RADIO METEOR SHOWERS**

By

**Daniel Badger BSc.(Hons)**

Thesis

submitted for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

at the

UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

(Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics)

February 2002



# Contents

<b>Originality declaration</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 On meteors and meteoroids . . . . .	1
1.2 Observation techniques . . . . .	4
1.2.1 Optical techniques . . . . .	5
1.2.2 Radio techniques . . . . .	7
1.2.3 Direct detection and satellite techniques . . . . .	9
1.3 Thesis overview . . . . .	10
<b>2 The Buckland Park VHF Radar.</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2 Layout and Operation . . . . .	14
2.3 Antenna pattern. . . . .	17
2.4 Beam Steering and Pulse Repetition Frequency . . . . .	19
2.4.1 Accuracy of the Beam Steering . . . . .	21

2.5	Data recording . . . . .	24
2.6	Summary . . . . .	32
<b>3</b>	<b>Radio meteor theory</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Ablation . . . . .	35
3.1.1	Preheating, ablation and the equations of motion . . . . .	36
3.1.2	The effects of meteoroid radius on ablation . . . . .	41
3.1.3	The effects of fragmentation on ablation . . . . .	44
3.2	Formation of the ionised trail and initial radius . . . . .	46
3.3	Radio wave scattering from meteor trails . . . . .	48
3.3.1	Underdense and overdense trails . . . . .	48
3.3.2	Transverse and down beam echoes . . . . .	48
3.3.3	The basic Fresnel theory of radar back-scatter from meteor trails	50
3.4	Diffusion of the ionisation . . . . .	53
3.5	Radio back-scatter revisited . . . . .	61
3.5.1	Attenuation factors for underdense echoes . . . . .	62
3.5.2	Faraday rotation . . . . .	64
3.5.3	Overdense meteor trails . . . . .	65
3.6	The Radar response function . . . . .	66
3.6.1	The radar response function for the Buckland Park VHF radar .	67
3.6.2	Calculation of the Response Function . . . . .	72
3.7	Summary . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Data processing</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Phase unwrapping . . . . .	78
4.2	Periodic noise . . . . .	80
4.3	Coherent smoothing . . . . .	82
4.4	The effects of receiver saturation . . . . .	85
4.5	Summary . . . . .	91

<b>5</b>	<b>Meteor observations</b>	<b>93</b>
5.1	Echo types . . . . .	94
5.1.1	Echoes from meteors . . . . .	94
5.1.2	Echoes with other causes . . . . .	109
5.1.3	Rate of occurrence of different types of echoes . . . . .	111
5.2	Measurement of the ambipolar diffusion coefficient . . . . .	112
5.3	Meteor heights . . . . .	116
5.4	Radial wind drift . . . . .	120
5.5	Fragmentation . . . . .	121
5.6	The physical properties of meteoroids . . . . .	125
5.7	Summary . . . . .	129
<b>6</b>	<b>Meteoroid speeds</b>	<b>131</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	131
6.2	Early and current radar speed measuring techniques . . . . .	132
6.2.1	The Range-Time method . . . . .	132
6.2.2	The diffraction method . . . . .	134
6.2.3	UHF Doppler techniques . . . . .	136
6.2.4	The spaced receiver method . . . . .	137
6.2.5	The amplitude rise-time technique . . . . .	138
6.2.6	The Arecibo Multi-pulse Doppler method . . . . .	139
6.2.7	The Fourier method used with the SKiYMET radars . . . . .	140
6.2.8	The method used with the ALTAIR radar . . . . .	141
6.2.9	The Fresnel Transform Technique . . . . .	142
6.3	The pre- $t_0$ phase method . . . . .	142
6.3.1	Theory of the pre- $t_0$ method for meteor speed determination . . . . .	144
6.3.1.1	Preliminary speed measurements . . . . .	144
6.3.1.2	The phase model method . . . . .	145
6.3.2	Preparing the phase data for speed determination . . . . .	146

6.3.3	Deceleration of meteoroids? . . . . .	156
6.4	Aliased transverse head echoes . . . . .	158
6.5	Comparison of pre- $t_0$ and Fresnel transform speeds . . . . .	161
6.6	Down-the-beam speed measuring . . . . .	164
6.6.1	Speeds from down-the-beam meteors . . . . .	167
6.7	Summary . . . . .	175
<b>7</b>	<b>Meteoroid streams and Meteor Showers.</b>	<b>177</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	177
7.2	Meteor Showers . . . . .	177
7.2.1	Discovery of meteor showers and association with cometary activity . . . . .	178
7.2.2	A survey of radar techniques for observation of meteor showers .	181
7.2.2.1	The Range-Time envelope technique . . . . .	182
7.2.2.2	The shower imaging technique . . . . .	182
7.2.2.3	Multistation Radar Systems . . . . .	183
7.2.2.4	Radar response function method . . . . .	183
7.2.3	Statistics of meteor rates . . . . .	185
7.3	Fine structure in meteor showers . . . . .	192
7.4	Observations of meteor showers . . . . .	195
7.4.1	$\eta$ Aquarid meteor shower . . . . .	195
7.4.1.1	Review of $\eta$ -Aquirids observations and analysis . . . .	195
7.4.1.2	Radar observations of the $\eta$ -Aquirids . . . . .	198
7.4.1.3	Meteor rates . . . . .	198
7.4.1.4	Radiants of the $\eta$ -Aquirids . . . . .	204
7.4.1.5	Height and speed distribution of the $\eta$ -Aquirids . . . .	207
7.4.2	Other showers . . . . .	210
7.4.2.1	Orionids . . . . .	210
7.4.2.2	Leonids . . . . .	212

7.4.3	Sporadics . . . . .	215
7.4.4	Observations of sporadic meteors . . . . .	218
7.5	Summary . . . . .	222
<b>8</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>225</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	225
8.2	Summary and Conclusions . . . . .	226
8.3	Further work . . . . .	235
<b>A</b>	<b>Radio Scattering from a Meteor Trail</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>New radar studies of the velocity distribution within meteor showers and implications for stream structure</b>	<b>245</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>251</b>





# Originality declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed: ..... dated: .....

Daniel Badger BSc.(Hons)



# Abstract

This thesis is concerned with the observation and study of meteors with a narrow beam VHF radar operated by the University of Adelaide at the Buckland Park research station, in particular the study of the structure and characteristics of meteor showers and the geocentric speeds of meteors. There have been several observations of meteors with the radar previously (Steel & Elford 1991, Cervera 1996, Taylor et al. 1996), but this is the first with an automated data analysis directed to a systematic study of the properties of meteor showers.

The Buckland Park VHF radar offers significant advantages over the wide beam radars traditionally used for meteor observation. The narrow beam, while reducing the collecting area of the radar, allows observations of much lower electron line densities than a wide beam radar of similar power. It also allows the determination of meteor shower radiants by the use of the radar response function. Pulse repetition frequencies of up to 2000 Hz allow excellent time resolution, and the ability to record in-phase and quadrature data allows the phase information to be used. This phase information is important as it allows the use of the phase information to accurately determine radial wind drifts, and the atmospheric speeds of meteoroids.

During 1998, 1999 and 2000, observations were made of a number of meteor showers and the sporadic background. These showed that the  $\eta$ -Aquadrid meteor shower was active in these years, and the Orionid and the Leonid showers were detected in 1999. Analysis of the  $\eta$ -Aquadrid activity revealed multiple peaks which show that the shower is produced by at least four distinct “filaments”, subsets of the meteoroid stream which produces the shower. Not only does the stream have spatial structure, containing

groups of particles in different orbits, but also the presence or absence of the peaks in a random fashion from day to day shows that the filaments are themselves made up of clumps of particles. The radar response function is developed and used to determine radiants for the four  $\eta$ -Aquadrid filaments.

Evidence is given of a significant number of meteors detected at heights which are above the “radar ceiling”, a height at which the theoretical initial radius attenuation factor is near zero for radars operating at the frequency of the Buckland Park VHF Radar, and underdense echoes should be impossible to detect. Investigation showed that over 60 % of meteor trails at heights above the ceiling (105 km) were underdense. Not only does the expected meteor height distribution extend up to 130 km, but also another distribution, peaking at 145 km is uncovered.

Diffusion coefficients estimated from the decay of echoes are compared to theoretical calculations. There is a general agreement, but a number of meteor trails show slower diffusion than expected. This is attributed to the effect of the Earth’s magnetic field.

Three methods are used to determine meteoroid speeds using the phase data, each applying to a different type of meteor echo, and in combination, speeds could be determined for over 90 % of all meteor echoes. The first, the pre- $t_0$  method can be applied to transverse meteor echoes with great success, although it may underestimate the speed of weak echoes with speeds under  $15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . Using the Cauchy approximations to the Fresnel integrals allows speed determination from head echoes which were aliased near the  $t_0$ -point. Meteor trails which form at a small angle to the boresight of the radar beam are called “down-the-beam” echoes, and a new method is developed to determine the meteoroid speed and deceleration from these.

The speed measurements of meteors detected during the  $\eta$ -Aquadrids show a strong peak in the distribution at  $66 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , as well as a smaller peak at  $50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which may due to a minor shower. The sporadic background shows a broad peak at  $25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , with a smaller peak at  $58 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ .

Distributions of the speed of meteors in the sporadic background show good agreement with previous observations (McCrosky & Posen 1961, Nilsson 1962, Elford et al. 1995, Cervera 1996), with the exception of meteoroid speeds smaller than  $15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , which can be underestimated by the pre- $t_0$  technique.



# Acknowledgments

It is hard to believe that it is finally finished. The production of this work has been a great struggle, worthy of an epic poem. Not only did I produce a thesis, but at the same time, a wife, two beautiful children, and a rally-car. Of course had I been working on my own, this would have been impossible, but fortunately I had the support of a great number of people and managed to submit, even if it was months after I had expected to (but then isn't that always the way?)

I would like to thank firstly my wife, Sheryl, for coming into my life at just the right time and supporting me throughout the whole ordeal, for urging me to keep going when I felt like throwing in the towel, for all the work you did while I sat transfixed in front of the computer, and for reminding me to eat, which I often forgot while struggling with a nasty piece of code.

Next I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Graham Elford and Professor Robert Vincent for believing in me and for all the support, knowledge and encouragement you imparted to me. My two bastions of knowledge and hard work were Dr Jonathon Woithe, who always had the answer for any of my questions regarding computers, linux,  $\text{\LaTeX}$  or anything slightly technical, and Dr Lawrence Campbell, who is the most helpful and hard working Research Associate on Earth, and never hesitated to travel out BP, or produce another few hundred lines of code, burn a CD or any of a myriad of tasks which needed to be done. Thank you both, as without your help I would have taken a lot longer to complete this project.

I would also like to thank Dr Nick Stacy and the Imaging Radars Group of the Surveillance Systems Division of the Defence Science and Technology Organisation for

employing me before I had submitted. It certainly made life easier not to have to worry about what I was going to do next, or how I was going to feed my family while I was applying the finishing touches.

There were a great number of other people who helped out in various ways, from encouragement, technical support, emailing jokes and various rubbish, helpful comments, or just bringing a delicious cake on cake day and being there to talk to. A non exhaustive list includes Andrew, Andrew, Chris, Steve, Sujata, Ali, Bridget, Rupa, David, Stewart, Rob, Hayley, Alex, Lesley, Iain, Dallas, Malcolm, Bob, and Mike. If you helped, are reading this, and find I have missed you, then please forgive me. If you don't read it then you will never know I missed you, so it doesn't matter!

I would also like to thank my family, who have always supported me, let me know they were proud of me, and gave me the genetic inheritance to allow me to undertake this kind of achievement.

I would also like to thank the Sony Corporation for the Playstation and Grand Turismo 2, CodeMasters for Colin McRae Rally, and Channel Ten for their motorsport coverage. Although these distractions took up time in which I could have been working, they saved my sanity, and are much less expensive and time consuming then taking the real car out to a rally. Real life doesn't have a pause button.



# List of Figures

2.1	The layout of the Adelaide VHF Radar . . . . .	15
2.2	Array configurations for interferometric observations . . . . .	16
2.3	The one way antenna pattern for the East-West array . . . . .	17
2.4	The one way antenna pattern for the East-West array shown as a surface	18
2.5	Skynoise observed with the VHF radar . . . . .	22
2.6	Position of the sun and the antenna pattern . . . . .	23
2.7	The detection algorithm applied to a weak echo . . . . .	28
3.1	Theoretical beginning height of ablation for stony meteoroids . . . . .	42
3.2	Geometry of transverse and down the beam echoes . . . . .	49
3.3	The Cornu spiral of Fresnel Diffraction theory . . . . .	52
3.4	Returned echo power and phase . . . . .	54
3.5	Geometry of the meteor trail in the Earth's magnetic field . . . . .	57
3.6	$D_{\parallel}$ and $D_{\perp}$ for a range of different heights . . . . .	58
3.7	Enhancement in the duration of meteor echoes . . . . .	60
3.8	Values of attenuation factor due to trail initial radius . . . . .	62
3.9	Geometry for the condition for specular back-scatter . . . . .	68
3.10	Geometry for a reflection point near the Earth's curved surface . . . . .	70
3.11	The Response Function shown as a surface . . . . .	73
3.12	The Response Function . . . . .	74
4.1	A transverse meteor echo showing the effect of phase unwrapping . . . .	79
4.2	A transverse meteor echo affected by periodic noise . . . . .	80

4.3	A transverse meteor echo with periodic noise reduction applied . . . . .	82
4.4	Power spectrum of a transverse meteor echo . . . . .	83
4.5	A meteor echo showing the effect of a 10 point sliding boxcar coherent average applied to the data . . . . .	84
4.6	The effect of receiver saturation on a signal of constant amplitude and varying phase . . . . .	86
4.7	The effect of receiver saturation over one cycle of phase . . . . .	87
4.8	The effect of medium receiver saturation over one cycle of phase . . . . .	88
4.9	The effect of minor receiver saturation over one cycle of phase . . . . .	89
4.10	The effect of extreme receiver saturation over one cycle of phase . . . . .	90
5.1	A classical underdense meteor echo . . . . .	94
5.2	An underdense meteor echo without Fresnel diffraction oscillations . . . . .	95
5.3	A weak transverse meteor echo . . . . .	96
5.4	An overdense meteor echo . . . . .	97
5.5	An overdense meteor echo displaying Fresnel oscillations . . . . .	99
5.6	A saturated overdense meteor echo . . . . .	99
5.7	A long enduring overdense meteor echo . . . . .	101
5.8	A “double” meteor echo . . . . .	102
5.9	Diagram of the geometry of meteor head echo observation . . . . .	103
5.10	An aliased meteor echo . . . . .	104
5.11	A fast diffusing meteor echo . . . . .	105
5.12	Amplitude of a down-the-beam meteor echo . . . . .	107
5.13	Phase of a down-the-beam meteor echo . . . . .	108
5.14	An echo from an aircraft. . . . .	110
5.15	A false event caused by periodic noise . . . . .	111
5.16	Distributions of Range/angle height <i>versus</i> diffusion height . . . . .	114
5.17	Range/angle height <i>versus</i> diffusion height . . . . .	115
5.18	A histogram of meteor trail range/angle heights at a PRF of 2000Hz . . . . .	117

5.19	A histogram of heights at a PRF of 2000Hz showing the effects of sidelobes	118
5.20	A histogram of meteor trail range/angle heights at a PRF of 1650Hz	119
5.21	Example of beating in the amplitude of meteor echoes	122
5.22	Example of sudden increase of meteor echo amplitude	124
5.23	Speed-height distribution	125
5.24	Histograms of the speed-height distribution	126
5.25	Speed-height distribution of Class A meteors	127
6.1	Geometry of a meteoroid's path	133
6.2	The amplitude Fresnel diffraction pattern for diffraction at a straight edge	135
6.3	Phase of the Fresnel diffraction pattern	143
6.4	A transverse meteor echo ready for processing	147
6.5	A transverse meteor echo with periodic noise reduced	149
6.6	A transverse meteor echo after smoothing has been applied	150
6.7	Estimating the diffusion coefficient from the amplitude decay	151
6.8	Detrending the radial wind drift	152
6.9	Detrended phase showing the start and phase minimum	153
6.10	Dimensionless variable $x$ versus time	154
6.11	Residuals of the linear least squares fit	155
6.12	Aliasing due to rapid phase change	159
6.13	Comparison of the speed of 141 meteor echoes	161
6.14	Comparison of selected meteor speeds	162
6.15	Comparison of the aliased head echo and Fresnel transform methods	163
6.16	Modelled phase from a meteoroid travelling at $30\text{km s}^{-1}$	165
6.17	Modelled change in phase from a meteoroid travelling at $30\text{km s}^{-1}$	166
6.18	Geometry for a down the beam meteor	169
6.19	Amplitude of a down-the-beam meteor echo	171
6.20	Phase of a down-the-beam meteor echo	172

6.21	Rate of change of phase of a down-the-beam echo . . . . .	173
6.22	line-of-sight speed of a down-the-beam meteor . . . . .	174
7.1	The response function and meteor radiants . . . . .	184
7.2	Expected count rates for fictitious radiants . . . . .	186
7.3	Histogram of meteor rates in one hour bins . . . . .	187
7.4	Histogram of meteor rates in half hour bins . . . . .	188
7.5	Instantaneous meteor rates smoothed over 9 echoes . . . . .	189
7.6	Spacing distribution of meteor echoes . . . . .	190
7.7	Meteor count rates for filtered data . . . . .	193
7.8	Meteor rates during the $\eta$ -Aquadrid meteor shower in 1999 . . . . .	199
7.9	Meteor rates during the $\eta$ -Aquadrid meteor shower in 1999 . . . . .	201
7.10	Height distribution of $\eta$ -Aquadrids meteors . . . . .	205
7.11	Height distribution of $\eta$ -Aquadrids meteors . . . . .	206
7.12	Speed distribution of $\eta$ -Aquadrids meteors . . . . .	208
7.13	Speed distribution of $\eta$ -Aquadrids meteors with the sporadic background removed . . . . .	208
7.14	Speed-height scatterplot of $\eta$ -Aquadrids meteors . . . . .	209
7.15	Height distribution of the Orionids . . . . .	211
7.16	Corrected height distribution of the Orionids . . . . .	212
7.17	Meteor rates for the Orionids . . . . .	213
7.18	Height distribution of the Leonids . . . . .	214
7.19	Corrected height distribution of the Leonids . . . . .	216
7.20	Daily activity of the Leonids . . . . .	217
7.21	Speed distribution of sporadic meteors . . . . .	219
7.22	Speed distribution of Class A sporadic meteors . . . . .	220
7.23	Height distribution of sporadic meteors . . . . .	220
7.24	Speed-height distribution of sporadic meteors . . . . .	221
7.25	Speed-height distribution of Class A sporadic meteors . . . . .	222

# List of Tables

2.1	Typical operational parameters used for meteor observations. . . . .	14
3.1	Values of Initial radius . . . . .	47
3.2	Thermalisation times for electrons . . . . .	56
6.1	Maximum angle to the beam for meteors appearing in multiple range bins . . . . .	168
7.1	Mean of the spacing of meteors detected in the East and West beams before and during the 1998 $\eta$ -Aquadrids shower . . . . .	191
7.2	Probability of obtaining meteor rates from random spacing with a mean spacing of 15.85 minutes . . . . .	192
7.3	Observed radiant of the $\eta$ -Aquadrid meteor shower . . . . .	196
7.4	Peaks in the instantaneous meteor rate . . . . .	200
7.5	Showers present during the Observation period . . . . .	202
7.6	Mean peak activity time . . . . .	203
7.7	Mean peak activity and radiant . . . . .	204
7.8	Location and size of the Sporadic sources . . . . .	218

