



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Optimal Sequencing of Water Supply Options Incorporating Sustainability and
Uncertainty

by

Eva Hooi Ying Beh
BEng (Civil & Structural) Hons

Thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
School of Civil, Environmental & Mining Engineering in
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Copyright © March 2015

Contents

Contents	i
Abstract	iii
Statement of Originality	v
Acknowledgments	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Research Objectives	3
1.2 Thesis Overview	4
2 Optimal sequencing of water supply options at the regional scale incorporating alternative water supply sources and multiple objectives – Paper 1	7
2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Proposed sequencing approaches	12
2.2.1 Problem formulation.....	13
2.2.2 Calculation of yield for potential water supply options.....	15
2.2.3 Sequencing process	17
2.3 Case study	22
2.3.1 Problem formulation.....	24
2.3.2 Calculation of yield for potential water supply options.....	27
2.3.3 Sequencing process	29
2.4 Analyses conducted	32
2.5 Results and discussion	34
2.5.1 Impact of different objective function weightings and sequencing approaches on optimal sequences of alternative water supply sources	38
2.5.2 Impact of different objective function weightings and sequencing approaches on objective function values.....	40
2.5.3 Implications for decision making	42
2.6 Conclusions and recommendations	43
2.7 Acknowledgements	46
2.8 Supplementary Material	47
2.8.1 Calculation of yield for potential water supply options.....	47
2.8.2 Costs.....	49
2.8.3 GHG emissions.....	51
3 Scenario Driven Optimal Sequencing under Deep Uncertainty – Paper 2	55
3.1 INTRODUCTION	59
3.2 PROPOSED APPROACH	61
3.2.1 Determination of Portfolio of Diverse Optimal Sequences	62
3.2.2 Global Sensitivity Analysis	65
3.2.3 Selection of Optimal Sequence.....	66
3.3 Case Study	67
3.3.1 Introduction	67

3.3.2	Problem formulation	69
3.3.3	Determination of Portfolio of Diverse Optimal Sequences	73
3.3.4	Global Sensitivity Analysis.....	78
3.3.5	Selection of Optimal Sequence Plan	80
3.4	Results and Discussion	81
3.4.1	Determination of Portfolio of Diverse Optimal Sequences	81
3.4.2	Global Sensitivity Analysis.....	84
3.4.3	Selection of Optimal Sequence Plan	87
3.5	Summary and Conclusions	88
3.6	Acknowledgements	89
4	Adaptive, Multi-Objective Optimal Sequencing Approach for Urban Water Supply Augmentation under Deep Uncertainty – Paper 3	91
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Proposed Adaptive, Multi-objective Optimal Sequencing Approach	98
4.2.1	Identification of Diverse Portfolio of Optimal Water Supply Augmentation Sequence Plans	100
4.2.2	Assessment of Performance of Portfolio of Optimal Sequence Plans	103
4.2.3	Selection of Water Supply Augmentation Options to be Implemented	107
4.2.4	Adaptive Process.....	108
4.2.5	Advantages and Limitations of Proposed Approach	108
4.3	Case Study	110
4.3.1	Background	110
4.3.2	Overall Experimental Approach.....	112
4.3.3	Identification of Optimal Sequence Plans	115
4.3.4	Evaluation of Adaptive Optimal Sequence Plans (Figure 4.4, Part B)	125
4.4	Results and discussion	125
4.4.1	Development of Adaptive Optimal Sequence Plans	125
4.4.2	Utility Adaptive Features of Proposed Approach	130
4.5	Summary and conclusions	131
4.6	Acknowledgements	132
4.7	Supplementary material	133
5	Thesis Summary	147
5.1	Research contributions	147
5.2	Research limitations	149
5.3	Recommendations for future work	150
	References	151
	Appendix A	159
	Appendix B	179
	Appendix C	197

Abstract

Sequencing of water supply projects involves choosing the options to implement at specific stages over a planning horizon. In the past, the sequencing of water supply projects was relatively straightforward and generally focused on traditional water supply sources (e.g. reservoirs and groundwater sources) and only considered the criteria of water supply security and cost. In recent years, the reliability of traditional water supply sources has been compromised as a result of increasing demand and the impact of climatic factors. This has placed further strain on water supplies and necessitated the use of a longer planning horizon and more criteria for assessment. Furthermore, with the increase in urbanisation and diminishing natural water sources, there is an increase in the need to consider recycled water and desalination as additional or alternative water supply options. Extended planning horizons result in increased uncertainties associated with the future, which requires the development of robust and adaptive solutions to best cope with a variety of potential future conditions. However, there has been little work that has utilised alternative water supply sources in the process of sequencing while incorporating multiple sustainability objectives and uncertainties.

This thesis presents different sequencing approaches that are based on multi-objective optimisation, so that a number of competing objectives (e.g. cost, greenhouse gas emissions) can be taken into account. Furthermore, the optimal mix of water supply options, and when they should be implemented, can be identified from among a large number of alternatives (e.g. rainwater tanks, stormwater harvesting schemes, desalination etc.). In addition, some of the proposed optimisation approaches take the sensitivity, robustness and adaptation of solutions into account, so that the selected water supply options will be as insensitive and flexible to future changes (e.g. climate change, new technologies) as possible. The proposed sequencing approaches are applied to a case study based on the southern Adelaide water supply system in South Australia to demonstrate its utility.

This thesis is structured as a series of three publications. Two approximate optimal sequencing approaches that are able to account for alternative sources of water and multiple sustainability objectives are introduced in the first publication. These approaches are developed to assess the impact of different objective function weightings and sequencing approaches on the optimal sequences of alternative water supply sources for the case study under a range of demand and discount rate scenarios. They are also used to assess the impact of different objective function weightings on objective function values for the case study.

The second publication includes an improved sequencing approach, which utilises a multi-objective evolutionary algorithm, coupled with a water supply system simulation model, to identify the sequences

of alternative water supply sources that represent the optimal trade-offs between the selected objectives for various possible future conditions. Subsequently, the impacts of uncertain values of input variables (e.g. population, per capita demand, climate change) on the objectives and water supply security of the system are evaluated using global sensitivity analysis. This provides information on the expected variation in objective function values and water supply security under uncertain future conditions, as well as the sensitivity of these values to the selected uncertain conditions, enabling the most appropriate optimal sequence plan to be selected.

In the third publication, this sequencing approach is further extended to a more enhanced framework which promotes robustness and adaptation. This approach requires continual reassessment and updating of the sequence plans at fixed time intervals in order to identify and reduce the risk of failure.

Statement of Originality

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Acknowledgments

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisors, Holger Maier and Graeme Dandy, for their sincerity, support and insistent faith in me. I am especially grateful to Holger Maier for the opportunity to undertake this PhD and for his uplifting enthusiasm and determination for my research study to succeed. I am also grateful to Graeme Dandy for the constant motivation, reassurance and jokes that keep me optimistic over the journey of my PhD candidature.

I would like to thank The Goyder Institute for Water Research in supporting this research study. In addition, would like to acknowledge David Cresswell, who developed the *WaterCress* simulation model, for his technical assistance. Furthermore, I am very grateful to Wenyan Wu, for the opportunity to work with the WSMGA source code, for her helpful assistance with running the program. I also like to thank Stephen Carr for his kind assistance in providing extra machines for running the models.

I acknowledge my PhD peers: Jeffrey Newman for his support on computer programming, Fiona Paton for her kind assistance in developing data for the case study, and Joanna Szemis for her continual encouragement and companionship, which made the PhD experience more motivating. I also thank all the staff and other PhD students in the School of Civil, Environmental and Mining Engineering who have helped inspire me over the years.

I would like to thank my parents and my sister Phoebe for their reliance and understanding over the years. I would also like to thank my husband, Jian Lim; I would not have come this far without his unwavering support on this journey.

Lastly, I dedicate this thesis to my beloved late grandfather, B.G. Beh.

List of Figures

FIGURE 1.1 CRITERIA INVOLVED IN THE SEQUENCING OF SUSTAINABLE WATER SUPPLY SOURCES.....	1
FIGURE 2.1 PROPOSED PROBLEM REPRESENTATION	13
FIGURE 2.2 STEPS IN PROBLEM FORMULATION PROCESS	14
FIGURE 2.3 PROCESS OF CALCULATING THE YIELD OF RAINFALL DEPENDENT SOURCE	16
FIGURE 2.4 SEQUENCING PROCESS BY THE BU METHOD	20
FIGURE 2.5 SEQUENCING PROCESS BY THE BTT METHOD	22
FIGURE 2.6 THE SOUTHERN ADELAIDE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM.....	24
FIGURE 2.7 CARBON COST MAPPING OF THE OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS OF BASE CASE SCENARIO GENERATED USING BU AND BTT METHOD.....	41
FIGURE 3.1 SCHEMATIC OF PROPOSED SCENARIO DRIVEN OPTIMAL SEQUENCING OF ENVIRONMENTAL AND WATER RESOURCE ACTIVITIES UNDER DEEP UNCERTAINTY	63
FIGURE 3.2 MAP OF THE SOUTHERN ADELAIDE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (WSS) AND POTENTIAL AUGMENTATION OPTIONS IN 2010.....	69
FIGURE 3.3 UNCERTAIN TIME SERIES OF POPULATION GROWTH CONSIDERED FOR THE SOUTHERN ADELAIDE WSS TO 2050 (AUSTRALIA BUREAU OF STATISTICS, 2013).....	75
FIGURE 3.4 TRADEOFF BETWEEN PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN SELECTED SCENARIOS	81
FIGURE 3.5 VARIATION IN AVERAGE PERFORMANCE VALUES (OVER THE 20 STOCHASTIC RAINFALL SEQUENCES) OF THE SELECTED OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS OVER THE COMBINATIONS OF UNCERTAIN CONDITIONS CONSIDERED AS PART OF THE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT VULNERABILITY IS NOT ZERO FOR OPTIMAL SEQUENCES DEVELOPED FOR THE MOST EXTREME SCENARIO DUE TO EFFECTS OF CLIMATE VARIABILITY.....	85
FIGURE 3.6 RANGES OF SOBOL'S FIRST ORDER SENSITIVITY INDICES FOR COST, GHG EMISSIONS, RELIABILITY AND VULNERABILITY OVER THE COMBINATIONS OF UNCERTAIN CONDITIONS FOR THE SELECTED OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS.....	86
FIGURE 4.1 DIAGRAMMATIC REPRESENTATION OF PROPOSED ADAPTIVE, MULTI-OBJECTIVE OPTIMAL SEQUENCING APPROACH UNDER DEEP UNCERTAINTY.....	101
FIGURE 4.2 MAP OF THE SOUTHERN ADELAIDE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (WSS).	112
FIGURE 4.3 SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH FOR THE ADELAIDE CASE STUDY.....	113
FIGURE 4.4 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2010-2050).....	126
FIGURE 4.5 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION AT 2010.....	128
FIGURE 4.6 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 1 (REALITIES 1 AND 2). THE VALUE PATH OF THE SELECTED OPTION IS HIGHLIGHTED IN RED.	129
FIGURE 4.7 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2020-2060)(REALITY 1)	133
FIGURE 4.8 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITY 1).....	134
FIGURE 4.9 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITIES 1)	134
FIGURE 4.10 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2020-2060) (REALITY 2)	135
FIGURE 4.11 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITY 2).....	135
FIGURE 4.12 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITIES 2)	136

FIGURE 4.13 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2030-2070)(REALITY 1).....	137
FIGURE 4.14 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITY 1)	138
FIGURE 4.15 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITIES 1).....	138
FIGURE 4.16 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2030-2070)(REALITY 2).....	139
FIGURE 4.17 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITY 2)	140
FIGURE 4.18 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITIES 2).....	140
FIGURE 4.19 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2040-2080)(REALITY 1).....	141
FIGURE 4.20 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 4 (REALITY 1)	142
FIGURE 4.21 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 4 (REALITIES 1).....	142
FIGURE 4.22 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2040-2080)(REALITY 2).....	143
FIGURE 4.23 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2050-2090)(REALITY 1).....	144
FIGURE 4.24 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR GROUPS WITH THE SAME SOLUTION FOR DECISION STAGE 5 (REALITY 1)	145
FIGURE 4.25 RESULTS OF PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT FOR DECISION STAGE 5 (REALITIES 1).....	145
FIGURE 4.26 TRADEOFF BETWEEN THE PV OF GHG EMISSIONS AND THE PV OF COST FOR THE SEVEN PROJECTED POSSIBLE FUTURE SCENARIOS (2050-2090)(REALITY 2).....	146

List of Tables

TABLE 1.1 LINKAGE OF RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND PUBLICATIONS	5
TABLE 2.1 ANNUAL DEMAND AND REDUCTION FOR THE SOUTHERN ADELAIDE WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM	25
TABLE 2.2 YIELDS FOR THE SELECTED WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS	29
TABLE 2.3 DESIGN LIVES FOR THE COMPONENTS OF VARIOUS WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS.....	30
TABLE 2.4 CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST AND GHG EMISSIONS FOR THE VARIOUS WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS ...	31
TABLE 2.5 DETAILS OF SCENARIO ANALYSIS CONDUCTED.....	33
TABLE 2.6 PROJECTED ANNUAL DEMANDS AT EACH DECISION STAGE FOR THREE SCENARIOS CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSES.....	34
TABLE 2.7 STAGING OF WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS FOR THE OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS OBTAINED FROM THE ANALYSES CONDUCTED.....	36
TABLE 2.8 OPTIMAL VALUE OF COSTS AND GHG EMISSIONS FOR THE SEQUENCE PLANS	37
TABLE 2.9 EFFECTIVE IMPERVIOUS AREA PROPERTIES FOR THE STORMWATER CATCHMENTS	47
TABLE 2.10 CATCHMENT AREA, PERIODS OF CALIBRATION AND VALIDATION AND NASH SUTCLIFFE COEFFICIENT FOR MODELS OF PVIOUS CATCHMENTS FOR THE STORMWATER HARVESTING SCHEMES	48
TABLE 2.11 CAPITAL AND OPERATING COST FOR THE VARIOUS WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS.....	51
TABLE 2.12 CAPITAL AND OPERATING GHG EMISSIONS FOR THE VARIOUS WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS.....	52
TABLE 3.1 ESTIMATED YIELDS, CAPITAL AND UNIT OPERATING COSTS AND GHG EMISSIONS FOR THE POTENTIAL WATER SUPPLY OPTIONS (SEE BEH ET AL., 2014).....	71
TABLE 3.2 UNCERTAIN VARIABLES AND CORRESPONDING OPTIONS	74
TABLE 3.3 VALUES OF UNCERTAIN VARIABLES FOR THE SEVEN SCENARIOS CONSIDERED	77
TABLE 3.4 DECISION VARIABLES	78
TABLE 3.5 DETAILS OF SELECTED PORTFOLIO OF DIVERSE OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS	83
TABLE 4.1 DETAILS OF DECISION VARIABLE FORMULATION	118
TABLE 4.2 DETAILS OF THE TWO REALITIES (ASSUMED KNOWN FUTURE CONDITIONS) CONSIDERED (CUMULATIVE CHANGES RELATIVE TO 2010).....	119
TABLE 4.3 UNCERTAIN VARIABLE OPTIONS FOR EACH SCENARIO AND REALITY (CUMULATIVE CHANGES RELATIVE TO THE STARTING YEAR).....	121
TABLE 4.4 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2010-2020) FOR DECISION STAGE 1.	127
TABLE 4.5 OPTIMAL SEQUENCES FOR THE TWO SIMULATED REALITIES CONSIDERED	130
TABLE 4.6 AVERAGE PERFORMANCE OF SYSTEMS CORRESPONDING TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF DIFFERENT OPTIMAL SEQUENCE PLANS FOR REALITIES 1 AND 2	131
TABLE 4.7 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2020-2030) FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITY 1).....	133
TABLE 4.8 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2020-2030) FOR DECISION STAGE 2 (REALITY 2).....	135
TABLE 4.9 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2030-2040) FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITY 1).....	137
TABLE 4.10 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2030-2040) FOR DECISION STAGE 3 (REALITY 2).....	139
TABLE 4.11 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2040-2050) FOR DECISION STAGE 4 (REALITY 1).....	141
TABLE 4.12 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2040-2050) FOR DECISION STAGE 4 (REALITY 2).....	143

TABLE 4.13 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2050-2060) FOR DECISION STAGE 5 (REALITY 1)	144
TABLE 4.14 UNIQUE SOLUTIONS AT THE CURRENT STAGING INTERVAL (2050-2060) FOR DECISION STAGE 5 (REALITY 2)	146