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Abstract

Background: In 1999 the Institute of Medicine released its seminal report ‘To Err is Human; Building a Safer Health System’, drawing worldwide attention to the issue of patient safety in healthcare. Internationally there is a move towards open disclosure as a standard for professional health care practice. It has been suggested that open disclosure plays a pivotal role in achieving a high level of quality of health care and patient safety, as transparency and discussion about errors builds trust between the patient and the health professional and health system. However, studies suggest that there is a significant gap between the level of endorsement of open disclosure of medical errors to patients by health professionals in theory and the actual level experienced by patients in reality.

Objective: To synthesise the best available research evidence exploring health professionals’ perceptions and experiences of the barriers and facilitators of open disclosure of medical errors to patients.

Design: A systematic review of the qualitative evidence using The Joanna Briggs Institute meta-aggregative approach to qualitative evidence synthesis.

Results: Full text review of 41 papers, with the inclusion of nine (9) papers following critical appraisal by two (2) reviewers. A total of 131 findings were extracted and aggregated into 33 categories. Final meta-synthesis generated two (2) key findings; the barriers to open disclosure included personal fears, professional factors, error factors, patient factors, cultural factors, system related and uncertainties; facilitators to open disclosure include professional factors, error factors, system factors, cultural factors and personal needs.

Conclusion: The factors impacting on open disclosure by health professionals are varied and complex. Evidence shows a structured approach to the disclosure of errors to patients can assist in removing barriers and enhancing facilitators. Further research
is required to investigate the patients’ perspective to ensure the process of disclosing errors is appropriate and patient centered.
Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my primary supervisor, Associate Professor Edoardo Aromataris, and my secondary supervisor Ms Alexa McArthur for their assistance and support in completing this Thesis.

I would also like to thank Dr Suzanne Robertson-Malt as my secondary reviewer and Ms Maureen Bell, research Librarian for helping me with the development of a comprehensive search strategy.

I would also like to thank Annabel, Felicity and Nicholas for their unconditional love and support.
Declaration

I, Jacinta Byrth, certify that this work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or any other tertiary institution, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

-----------------------------
Jacinta Byrth
30th September
# Table of Contents

**CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

1.1. Context of the Review ................................................................. 1  
1.2. Recent Development and Implementation of an Open Disclosure Policy ..................................................... 8  
1.3. Overview of the Science of Evidence Synthesis ......................................................................................... 10  
1.4. Methodological Basis for the Review ........................................................................................................ 14  
1.5. Review Objective ................................................................................................................................. 15  
1.6. Review Question .................................................................................................................................. 15  
1.7. Inclusion Criteria .................................................................................................................................. 16  

  1.7.1. Population of Interest ................................................................. 16  
  1.7.2. Phenomena of Interest ................................................................. 16  
  1.7.3. Types of studies ............................................................................ 16  

**CHAPTER 2: METHODS** ............................................................................... 17  

2.1. Search Strategy ......................................................................................... 17  
2.2. Study Selection .......................................................................................... 19  
2.3. Critical Appraisal ........................................................................................ 20  
2.4. Data Extraction .......................................................................................... 21  
2.5. Data Synthesis ........................................................................................... 22  

**CHAPTER 3: RESULTS** .............................................................................. 23  

3.1. Search Results .......................................................................................... 23  
3.2. Study Selection .......................................................................................... 24  
3.3. Assessment of Methodological Quality ................................................................................................ 25  
3.4. Description of Included Studies ......................................................................................................... 28  
3.5. Meta-aggregative Synthesis ......................................................................... 35  

  3.5.1. Barriers ............................................................................................ 35  
  3.5.2. Facilitators ......................................................................................... 62  

**CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION** .......................................................................... 87  

4.1. Overview of Findings ................................................................................ 87  

  4.1.1. Barriers to open disclosure .............................................................. 88  
  4.1.2. Facilitators of open disclosure .......................................................... 94  
  4.1.3. Global perspective ........................................................................... 97