

Potential Futures:
An Ethnography of a Familial Cancer Counselling
and Genetic Testing Unit

Amaya Gilson

School of Psychology and School of Population Health
Faculty of Health Sciences
and
Discipline of Anthropology
Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences
The University of Adelaide

Submitted in the Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

May 2015

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

Dated:

Related Thesis Publications

Book Chapter:

Gilson, A, Hemer, S, Chur-Hansen, A & Crabb, S (Forthcoming) 2015, 'The right to know or not to know: risk notification and genetic counselling', in B.L Perry (eds), *Genetics health and society: advances in medical sociology*, vol. 16, Emerald Group Publishing Ltd, London.

Acknowledgements

I sincerely thank the staff of the familial cancer counselling and genetic testing unit. I am grateful to have experienced long-term exposure to many aspects surrounding the work of familial cancer genetics. I also thank the clients of the Unit who provided their integral perspectives and experiences surrounding familial cancer.

Throughout my candidature my supervisors, Professor Anna Chur-Hansen, Dr Shona Crabb and Dr Susan Hemer, provided me with great support and constructive feedback. I am particularly indebted to Professor Anna Chur-Hansen who also encouraged and supported me in undertaking other academic experiences throughout my candidature (as guest lecturer, research assistant and co-author). I feel fortunate to consider my supervisors as my mentors, colleagues and friends.

Funding was kindly provided by The University of Adelaide's Faculty of Health Sciences divisional scholarship and the South Australian Department of Health supplementary scholarship. My fellow post-graduate roommates, in particular Sonia Masciantonio and Sofia Zambrano Ramos, provided great insight, company and friendship.

My family deserve the greatest gratitude. My partner was a consistent source of support, believing in me and listening to many thesis ramblings, light bulb moments and frustrations. During my candidature the exhilarating birth of my son and the harrowing farewell of my mother provided many setbacks but also resulted in major breakthroughs, as they became my source of inspiration. This thesis is dedicated to Gabriel Gilson.

Contents

Declaration.....	3
Related Thesis Publications	4
Acknowledgements	5
Abstract.....	10
Important Notes	12
Chapter 1. Situating the Field: Introduction and Background	13
“‘It’s all in the Genes’.... or not?	13
Biomedicine and the Hospital	17
The New Genetics and Preventative Medicine	22
Implications of the New Genetics.....	26
Being at Risk and Embodying Risk.....	28
Overview of the Thesis: Knowledge, Uncertainty and Potentiality	29
Locating the Ethnography.....	38
Chapter 2. Situating the Field: Fieldwork Conditions and Relations.....	40
Revelations at Home	41
Coming to Be: Historical Background and Present Practices of the Unit	43
Significant Events Fuelling the Unit’s Establishment	44
The Location of the Unit.....	45
Important Predictions.....	47
Funding and “Cost-Savings” of the Unit	48
Fieldwork Methods	50
A Desk to Sit and Observe From	51
Fieldwork at Home	54
Field Relations – Access Not Membership.....	56
The Ambiguity of Rapport and Acceptance	58
“Procedural Ethics” Versus “Ethics in Practice”	66
The Negotiation of Field Access.....	67
“Procedural Ethics” – Pre Fieldwork.....	68
Anticipatory Research and the Negotiation of Research	71
Practising Ethics in the Field	74
Informed Consent in Practice.....	76
Conclusions.....	80

Chapter 3. Staff Roles and the Working Environment	82
The Working Environment of the Unit	84
Staff Roles	87
Staff Satisfaction and Socialisation	100
Coffee, Bare Feet and Whistling	100
The Use of Humour	102
The Role of Food and Drink	103
Gossip and Socialisation	106
The Meeting Room	110
Conclusions	113
Chapter 4. The Construction of Clients, Families and Familial Cancer Risk....	114
Terminology as a Clue to Practice	114
“Probands” and “Presymp”	115
The Process of Becoming a Client	118
Referral of Possible Clients to the Unit	118
“Weeding or Keeping” Referral Process	121
Defining Kinship and Family	122
Defining Family through Forms	124
Acknowledging the Practice of Risk Notification	127
The Processing of Clients	128
The Creation of Pedigrees and Risk Status	129
Family History Books	130
The Creation of Pedigrees	133
Case and Client Management and Construction	138
Risk Calculation (Prior to Monday Clinical Meeting)	138
Risk Calculation (During the Monday Clinical Meeting)	140
A Lack of Familial Information	145
The Wrong Type of Information	146
Classifying and Disclosing a Client’s Risk Status	148
Clients Considered at Risk of Breast and Ovarian Cancer	149
Actual and False Risk Categories	151
Conclusions	153

Chapter 5. Genetic Counselling, Knowledge and Power in the Clinic.....	154
The Practices of Genetic Counselling.....	155
A History of Genetic Counselling.....	155
Global Practices	156
Australian and Local Practices.....	157
Clinic Spaces and Clinical Emphasis.....	160
The Power of Clinic Spaces	160
Genetic Counselling Clinic Appointments	165
The Pedigree as a Tool.....	167
Educating the Client.....	169
Content and Extent of Information Provision During Clinic.....	171
Being Informed of the Decision to Test for a Genetic Mutation	175
Translating the Uncertainties and Implications of the Genetic Test.....	177
Clinician’s Discretion and Power	178
“Get the Doctor”	180
Clinic Appointments for “Presymp”: Almost Having the Tiger by the Tail.....	182
Being at risk	189
Risk, Responsibility and Surveillance	191
Uncertainty Surrounding the Diagnosis of Familial Cancer Risk in Clinic.....	194
Conclusions: Extents of Knowing and Not Knowing.....	198
Chapter 6. Post Clinic and Beyond: Mutation Identification	202
Genetic Testing and Result Management	202
The Provision of Genetic Test Results.....	204
Meanings and Connotations of Mutations	207
The Potential Value of Mutations: Usefulness of Information.....	211
Familial Cancer Mutations: Confirmation, Access and the Possibility of Prevention	211
Familial Cancer Mutation: A Paradox of Future Hope and Future Concern.....	214
Current and Future Uncertainties.....	217
Genetic Testing for Potentiality	217
Genetic Testing and Genetic Relatedness.....	217
The Management of Genetic Material and Knowledge.....	219
Conclusions: Post Result Appointment and Summary	224

Chapter 7. The Practice of Risk Notification: Considerations and Implications..	225
The Practice of Risk Notification	227
Risk Notification and Genetic Kinship	230
Notions of Family	230
The Right for People to be Informed and for Clients to Inform	233
Legal Considerations	233
Perceived Benefits of Risk Notification	235
“Non-Responders” and the Right Not to be Informed.....	240
The Maintenance of Genetic Information as Confidential and Private	243
Figuring it Out Anyway	245
Conclusions.....	247
Chapter 8. Scientific Competition, Discovery and Progress	249
Competition and Excitement Around the Discovery of Mutations and Advancing Knowledge	252
Competition through Betting In the Unit	253
Competition in the Human Genome Project.....	258
Excitement and Disappointment of Mutation Identification	260
Excitement, Objectification and Discovery in Genetics	270
Objectification and Commodification of Genetic Material	272
Genetic Material Markets	274
Health and Wealth.....	275
A Relationship Between Science, Biomedicine and Genetic Medicine	275
Peer Gathering: A Conference of Competitiveness, Enthusiasm and Advancement ..	277
Peer Recognition through Rewards	279
The Difference between Driving a Car and an Aeroplane.....	279
The Biggest Fish and the One that Got Away	282
The Latest, Fastest and Best Equipment	283
A Public Display of Clinical Practice and Medical Emphasis.....	285
Conclusions.....	286
Chapter 9. Conclusion: Potentialities of Familial Cancer Risk.....	288
Future Research and Thesis Contributions	293
References.....	296

Abstract

In current Western biomedicine, an accepted and shared belief is that human beings are made up of ‘genes’ and ‘genetic mutations’ which people can inherit from one’s biological parents at the point of conception. Various environmental conditions and factors can also alter genes – thus, genes also mutate over time. With particular genetic mutations identified and considered responsible for illness and disease, inherited mutations are increasingly being used to provide explanations for disease causation and as motivation to reduce or prevent potential associated illness.

In an Australian familial cancer and genetic testing unit, ethnographic fieldwork was conducted over twelve months between 2010 and 2011. In this unit, twelve members of staff worked with approximately nine hundred clients a year referred because of a suspected inherited familial cancer risk. There were four main clues that suggested cancers could be familial, and so warranted referral to the Unit: cancers involving several family members, early age-of-onset of cancer (less than fifty years), multiple cancers in one family or “unusual cancers” (e.g. male breast cancer). Such experiences indicated that cancer was not due to “chance” or “similar environmental upbringing” (like the majority of cancers), but rather due to the genetic inheritance of a “mutation” that was sometimes identifiable by a blood test. The clinical geneticists and genetic counsellors in the Unit were powerful gatekeepers of information. Knowledge and power were exercised in various ways: deciding who became a client, and involving the clients in providing various information including medical histories, genetic material and sometimes the contact details of particular biogenetic relations. Regardless of whether genetic testing was offered to clients, clients received information about their familial cancer risk that

sometimes included information about the precautionary risk-reduction measures they could undertake. These included undertaking regular surveillance screening, the surgical removal of body parts (e.g. prophylactic breast surgery) and family planning measures. In the majority of cases, clinicians offered genetic testing to clients who met their criteria and in whom the clinicians predicted a mutation could be found. Even when results identified a familial cancer mutation, there was no way of telling if, when, and which individuals would develop familial cancer. Familial cancer risk was predictive and not prescriptive, an ambivalent diagnosis that caused and supported the ongoing engagement by the clinicians and the clients around what the diagnosis could potentially mean.

The thesis demonstrates the uncertainties and potentialities surrounding the work of familial cancer risk. It critically examines how the clinicians (in particular) performed and propagated particular ideas and information. There were various complexities present in the work of the Unit including tensions, contradictions and paradoxes, which were a direct result of the uncertainties surrounding familial cancer risk. I demonstrate and analyse the particular ways in which the complexities and uncertainties were experienced and expressed by the clinicians, clients and visitors of the Unit. This thesis adds to medical anthropological knowledge by examining the complexities and uncertainties that surround genetic information and material as both shared and separable; the clinical emphasis of “genetic counselling”; genetic and social families; a client’s health status when considered at risk of familial cancer; the Unit’s formal practice of risk notification; the right to be informed and not informed; and staff competition surrounding mutation identification which reflected engagement with uncertainty and was linked with personal and broader potentialities.

Important Notes

The abbreviation “Unit” is used to refer to the familial cancer counselling and genetic testing unit throughout the thesis for easier readability.

The names of places, organisations, participants and other identifying factors are replaced by pseudonyms in order to protect the anonymity of all participants. The removal and modification of identifying information in order to conceal the identities of all the participants was an ongoing process. Confidentiality issues were discussed with the staff of the Unit both prior to undertaking the research and subsequently discussed as necessary. To further help protect the identity of the participants, a one-year embargo has been placed on this thesis.

At the time of writing (and its subsequent readings), the ethnographic findings, occurrences and descriptions have occurred in the past. Thus, this thesis is written in the past tense rather than an ethnographic present. Writing in the present tense carries more authority than the past tense can evoke, but it implies a view of the community, and the events, ideas and behaviours as frozen in time, predictable, rule-determined and unchanging (Murchison 2010, p. 207; O’Reilly 2005, p. 137; Sanjek 1991, p. 612). By writing in the past tense, I instead emphasize the historical nature of the findings and therefore the potential for change (Murchison 2010, p. 207).