

PUBLISHED VERSION

Georgia R. Koerber, Wayne S. Meyer, Qiaoqi SUN, Peter Cale, and Cacilia M. Ewenz
Under a new light: validation of eddy covariance flux with light response functions of assimilation and estimates of heterotrophic soil respiration
Biogeosciences Discussions, 2016; OnlinePubl:1-28

© Author(s) 2016. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Originally published at:

<http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2016-182>

PERMISSIONS

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>



This is a human-readable summary of (and not a substitute for) the [license](#).

[Disclaimer](#)



You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material

for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:



Attribution — You must give **appropriate credit**, provide a link to the license, and **indicate if changes were made**. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

No additional restrictions — You may not apply legal terms or **technological measures** that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/101667>



1 **Under a new light: validation of eddy covariance flux with light response functions of**
2 **assimilation and estimates of heterotrophic soil respiration.**

3 **Georgia R. Koerber¹, Wayne, S. Meyer¹, Qiaoqi SUN¹, Peter Cale², Cacilia M. Ewenz^{3,4}**

4 ¹Department of Ecology and Environmental Science, School of Biological Sciences, The
5 University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

6 ²Australian Landscape Trust, Riverland, Calperum Station, PO Box 955, SA 5341, Australia

7 ³CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere Flagship, Yarralumla, ACT 2600, Australia

8 ⁴Airborne Research Australia / Flinders University, PO Box 335, SA 5106, Australia

9

10 *Correspondence to:* G.R. Koerber (georgia.koerber@adelaide.edu.au)

11

12 **Keywords:** ecosystem respiration, light response, autotrophic respiration, assimilation,
13 heterotrophic soil respiration, leaf area index, semi-arid woodland, basal soil respiration,
14 bushfire

15 **Type of Paper:** Primary Research Article

16 **Abstract.** Estimation of the basal or heterotrophic soil respiration is crucial for determination
17 of whether an ecosystem is emitting or sequestering carbon. A severe bushfire in January
18 2014 at the Calperum flux tower, operational since August 2010, provided variation in
19 ecosystem respiration and leaf area index as the ecosystem recovered. We propose ecosystem
20 respiration is a function of leaf area index and the y-intercept is an estimate of heterotrophic
21 soil respiration. We calculated an assimilation rate from eddy covariance data for light
22 response functions to calculate ecosystem respiration incorporating suppression of the
23 daytime autotrophic respiration. Ecosystem respiration from light response functions
24 correlated with data processing calculations of ecosystem respiration by OzFluxQC ($y_0 =$
25 $0.161x + 0.0085$; Adj. $r^2 = 0.698$). The relationship between ecosystem respiration and leaf
26 area index ($y_0 = 1.43x + 0.398$; Adj. $r^2 = 0.395$) was also apparent. When this approach was
27 compared to field measurements of soil respiration and mass balance calculations from
28 destructive leaf area, leaf area index calculations and litter fall, the year of data corresponding



to the year of soil respiration measurements, the y-intercept was $0.432 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ or $163.44 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ ($y_0 = 1.37x + 0.432$, Adj. $r^2 = 0.325$). The mass balance approach for the net primary productivity when subtracted from the tower NEE estimated heterotrophic soil respiration of $134.59 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$. This is only 28.9 gC different, therefore the y-intercept approach indeed provides an estimate of heterotrophic soil respiration.

1 Introduction

The flux of CO_2 determined from eddy covariance (EC) measures and calculations is a net value because sequestration by photosynthesising vegetation and emission from respiration within a soil plant ecosystem occurs concurrently. The total of this flux over a day is called the net ecosystem exchange (NEE). Partitioning EC determined NEE to quantify the contributions of sequestration and emission of CO_2 is challenging. Ideally, independent measures of both daytime and night-time ecosystem respiration are needed to make reliable estimates of net ecosystem productivity (NEP), the amount of C retained in the ecosystem. However, very few independent measures of respiration are made and so other methods of estimation are used. This paper describes one approach to improve the estimate of NEP.

At night when photosynthesis is not occurring, the flux of CO_2 from the ecosystem into the atmosphere is the measure of ecosystem respiration. However the often calm night-time atmospheric conditions are not ideal for measures of CO_2 flux to and from an ecosystem. Quality EC measures depend on adequate mixing of the atmosphere moving over the soil plant system. This is generally not an issue during daylight hours when near surface atmospheric mixing is usually large (Burba 2013). During the night however, air within the vegetation layer cools and decouples from the layer of air above the plant canopy. With little turbulent mixing, sensors at the top of the EC tower do not fully indicate near surface fluxes. When this happens the estimate of night time CO_2 flux will be an unreliable measure of ecosystem respiration (ER). To minimise the bias that this measurement limitation may induce in full day exchange values, various data filters are applied. Most commonly, minimum thresholds for average half hourly values of friction velocity, u^* (Goulden et al., 1996) are used for removing measurements when it is deemed that there is insufficient mixing. Van Gorsel et al. (2007) identified a maximum value of CO_2 flux in the early evening as the appropriate value for night-time respiration at their undulating site. This may not be an



61 appropriate or reliable method for flat to moderate topography sites.

62 In the absence of independent measures or estimates of ER, many researchers
 63 extrapolate from night-time CO₂ flux into the daytime (Gilmanov et al., 2007; Lasslop et al.
 64 2010; Wohlfhardt et al., 2005; Reichstein et al., 2005). However it is known that daylight
 65 suppresses autotrophic respiration (Heskel et al., 2013) and hence application of night-time
 66 derived respiration estimates during the daytime, will lead to an underestimate of plant C
 67 sequestration, often referred to as net primary production (NPP). The reason why foliar
 68 autotrophic respiration in the light is suppressed compared to respiration in the night is not
 69 completely understood (Ayub et al., 2014). Not with standing this, developing improved
 70 methods of estimating ER is important. To assist with this it is important to recognise that ER
 71 is deemed to be the sum of two components – heterotrophic (HR) and autotrophic respiration
 72 (AR). Autotrophic respiration is the efflux of CO₂ emanating from otherwise
 73 photosynthesising organisms that fix C while heterotrophic respiration is the efflux of CO₂
 74 from all organisms that derive the C from other sources. Soil respiration has an efflux of CO₂
 75 from living plant roots (autotrophic) and from a plethora of soil organisms (heterotrophic)
 76 occurring concurrently. Kuzyakov and Larionova (2005) concluded that the main reasons
 77 why NEE and NEP are often not equal is that the C input into the rhizosphere (part of the
 78 below ground carbon (BGC) when estimating NPP) is ignored and often there is no
 79 accounting or limited accounting of HR.

80 Estimates of HR have been made from extrapolation of linear regressions between
 81 total soil respiration and root biomass back to the y-intercept value i.e. at zero root mass
 82 (Koerber et al., 2010; Kuzyakov, 2006; Kucera and Kirkham, 1971). The causal link between
 83 LAI and ER is self-evident (Xu et al., 2004; Lindroth et al., 2008; Cleverly et al., 2013) and
 84 we propose that another method of deriving an estimate of HR is to extrapolate this function
 85 to the y-intercept with LAI = 0. However to quantify this relationship there needs to be
 86 variation in both ecosystem respiration and leaf area index, preferably with a wide range of
 87 both values to establish a robust relationship. In this study a wide range of LAI resulted from
 88 measurements made before and after the woodland ecosystem was burned in a bushfire.

89 The procedure used in this study to develop an improved estimate of ER and in turn
 90 HR was as follows. Daylight CO₂ flux values from half hourly EC measures were adjusted by
 91 subtracting an estimate of ER derived from the immediately preceding night-time flux values.
 92 The ratios of associated daytime to night-time soil temperature and daytime to night-time soil
 93 water content were used to scale the expected increased respiration as daytime temperatures
 94 were generally greater and soil water contents slightly lower than those at night. The adjusted



95 daytime CO₂ flux was an estimate of ecosystem assimilation (A , $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). Then the
96 relationship between A and photosynthetically active radiation (PAR, $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), more
97 generally known as light response functions (Cleverly et al., 2013; Wohlfahrt et al., 2005;
98 Lasslop et al., 2010), for each month was plotted. The use of A instead of NEE (Gilmanov et
99 al., 2007; Lasslop et al. 2010; Wohlfahrt et al., 2005) in the light response function is an
100 attempt to account for suppression of the daytime AR by light (Heskel et al., 2013; Kok,
101 1949; Kok, 1956). The relationship between A and PAR fits a rectangular hyperbola function
102 that then enables extrapolation to PAR = 0 and hence an estimate of night-time ER.

103 The research null hypotheses of this paper are: (i) Ecosystem respiration will not be a
104 function of leaf area index. (ii) Direct night-time respiration and respiration in the night
105 derived from light response functions (using daytime data) will not correlate with each other
106 and (iii) HR from NEE + NPP will not agree with HR estimated as a y-intercept from ER
107 versus LAI.

108

109 2 Materials and methods

110

111 2.1 Site description and tower instrumentation

112 The flux monitoring site was a semi-arid mallee woodland on Calperum Station
113 approximately 20 km from Renmark in South Australia (34°00.163S, 140°35.261E; Fluxnet
114 site abbreviation: AU-Cpr). A 20m high EC tower, as part of the OzFlux Terrestrial
115 Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) was erected in June 2010 (Flight Bros. Adelaide SA)
116 and measurements began August 2010. The surrounding mallee ecosystem (Noble and
117 Bradstock, 1989) is typical of semi-arid ecosystems, adapted to long term annual median
118 rainfall (242 mm) encompassing drought years (Meyer, et al., 2015) and survives by
119 accessing occasionally replenished water stores deep in the soil profile (Mitchell et al., 2009).
120 The characteristic sand hills of the region run west to east with rolling undulations from
121 swale to crest of 5 to 8 m. The area has the largest (>1 million hectares), continuous remnant
122 of mallee habitat in Australia (Nulsen et al., 1986). Mallee surrounds the tower at least 10 km
123 in every direction. The sand hills are stabilized by eucalypt species (*Eucalyptus Dumosa*,
124 *Eucalyptus incrassata*, *Eucalyptus oleosa* and *Eucalyptus socialis*) with sparse plants of
125 *Eremophila*, *Hakea*, *Olearia*, *Senna* and *Melaleuca* genera in the mid-storey and *Triodia spp.*
126 in the understory.



127 The mean air temperature is 25 °C (data accessed from <http://www.bom.gov.au/>) with
128 hot summers including days with maximum temperatures greater than 40 °C. The area often
129 experiences significant summer rainfall events of 20-60 mm in November to March after
130 lengthy dry periods during the year. Soils are alkaline sand (94% sand, 4% silt and 2% clay)
131 with an Australian classification of Tenosol (Isbell, 2002) and US Soil Taxonomy
132 classification of Aridisol (Soil Survey Staff, 1996). Total organic carbon, nitrogen and
133 carbonate (0-300 mm) are 0.5%, 0.04% and 0.25% respectively. Additional site detail and
134 soil properties are given in Sun et al., (submitted) and Sun et al., (2015).

135 The site experienced a bushfire during 15 to 19 January 2014 burning 52 713 ha with
136 a perimeter of 140 km according to the Country Fire Service, South Australia. The majority
137 of instruments on the OzFlux tower were destroyed by the fire. These were restored within
138 three months to monitor ecosystem recovery. A detailed description of the EC and ancillary
139 instrumentation is in Meyer et al. (2015). Briefly, measurements of three-dimensional wind
140 speed (CSAT3 sonic anemometer, Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA), virtual
141 temperature (CSAT3), water vapour density in air and CO₂ density in air using an open-path
142 IRGA (Licor LI7500, LiCor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA), were recorded at a frequency
143 of 10 Hz.

144 Auxiliary observations of solar irradiance (Es), air temperature, vapour pressure
145 deficit (D) and rainfall, soil temperature and soil water content were also collected
146 concurrently. Incident Es was observed from a four component radiometer that was
147 positioned at a height of 20 m (CNR4, Kipp and Zonen, Delft, the Netherlands). D was
148 determined as the difference between atmospheric vapour pressure (kPa) and saturation
149 vapour pressure at air temperature (HMP45C, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland) at a height of 2 m.
150 An additional pyranometer (Licor LI2003S, LiCor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA) was
151 mounted at 20 m and cup anemometers and wind direction sensors (RM Young, Traverse
152 City MI, USA) at 2 and 8.6 m. Onsite rainfall (CS7000, Hydrologic services, Warwick,
153 NSW, Australia) was measured with the tipping bucket gauge (0.2 mm resolution) mounted
154 on a stand of height 0.65 m in a clear area 8 m from the tower. Soil temperature and water
155 content sensors (CS650, Campbell Scientific, Townsville, Australia) were buried 10 metres
156 away from the tower base with multiple depths, ranging from 0.1 m to 1.8 m. Sensors were
157 placed in bare soil (inter-canopy) or beneath eucalypt canopies (under canopy). The collars
158 for measuring soil respiration in burnt Mallee were within 200 m from the tower base.

159 Covariances were computed every 30 min to generate fluxes following standard data
160 processing and quality assurance and correction procedures (Isaac et al., (In preparation for



161 this Special Issue); Cleverly et al., 2013; Eamus et al., 2013), hereafter referred to as
 162 OzFluxQC. A friction coefficient (u^*) threshold was then calculated and set to 0.26 m s^{-1} ,
 163 0.21 m s^{-1} , 0.23 m s^{-1} , 0.25 m s^{-1} , 0.26 m s^{-1} and 0.26 m s^{-1} for the years 2010, 2011, 2012,
 164 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively.

165 To calculate the effective sampling footprint of the tower we used the Kormann-
 166 Meixner method (Kormann and Meixner, 2001), employing a modified version of the ART
 167 Footprint Tool of Neftel et al. (2008). The Kormann-Meixner footprint determines the two-
 168 dimensional density function for an ellipse upwind from the tower. The predominant wind
 169 direction here is from the south-westerly quarter. For every 30 minute measurement of wind
 170 speed and direction, mixing and buoyancy parameters the data is filtered according to the
 171 Kormann-Meixner constraints. Analysis of the seasonal effects exhibited a smaller footprint
 172 in summer which reflected the increased mixing in summer as well as the influence of more
 173 frequent winds from the northerly quarter. The annual average of the footprint area for 2014
 174 displayed a distance from the tower of 500 m for at least 10% of the maximum contribution
 175 (1300 m for at least 1%).

176 The regression of latent energy plus sensible heat ($LE + H$) against net radiation plus
 177 soil heat flux ($R_n + G$) was used to check energy balance closure. From 1 August 2010 to 31
 178 August 2013 the relationship was $(LE + H) = 0.8769 (R_n + G) + 2.5095$, $r^2 = 0.9159$. This
 179 indicated that energy balance was not completely achieved, as is commonly observed with
 180 the eddy covariance method (Twine et al., 2000).

181

182 **2.2 Light response functions**

183 The light response function needed was the relationship between the assimilation rate
 184 (A) and the incoming radiant energy. Assimilation was partitioned from NEE as shown in the
 185 schematic flow chart (Fig. 1). To calculate A from NEE the daytime values of NEE were
 186 increased in absolute magnitude by the expected rate of CO_2 emission from the soil and plant
 187 system. The daily night-time 30 minute respiration ($AR + HR$) values were adjusted using the
 188 ratio of average daytime soil temperature to the night-time soil temperature. A further,
 189 generally minor adjustment was made using the ratio of average daytime to night-time soil
 190 water content measured at 100 mm depth. The adjusted night-time average value was then
 191 subtracted from each daytime 30 minute flux to give an assimilation (A) rate with an absolute
 192 value greater than NEE. The calculation of A for every 30 minutes of the daytime in each
 193 month was then regressed against short wave radiant energy converted to photosynthetically



active radiation (PAR) in $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ according to Meek et al., (1984) and McCree (1972) as detailed in Biggs (1984).

A rectangular hyperbola was fitted to the 30 minute data each month (Eqn. 1, Wohlfahrt et al., 2005; Lasslop et al., 2010; Cleverly et al., 2013) with starting values of -10, 300 and 0.5 for the net saturated A (V_{max}), saturating PAR (K_m) and constant (c) respectively, all in $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The value of A when PAR = 0 was assigned as the night-time respiration (R_{night}) value for that month. Further, rearranging the same equation and solving for the value of PAR when A = 0 (Eqn. 2) gave the compensation point when low PAR and hence photosynthesis no longer compensated respiration (Heskel et al., 2013). When PAR was greater than this compensation point, ER was deemed to be suppressed by the incoming radiant energy.

$$A = V_{\text{max}} \times (\text{PAR} / (K_m + \text{PAR})) + c \quad \text{Eqn. 1}$$

Where V_{max} is the light saturated net photosynthetic rate
 K_m is the saturation light intensity
 c is a constant

$$\text{PAR} = (K_m (A - c)) / (V_{\text{max}} - A + c) \quad A = 0 \quad \text{Eqn. 2}$$

Fitting the rectangular hyperbola model used the SPSS procedure (IBM SPSS Statistics V. 21 New York, US) of nonlinear weighted least squares fitting using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm.

2.3 Leaf area index

During May 2013 to September 2015, plant area index (PAI) of the canopy above 0.5 m from the ground was measured optically using the digital cover photography method (DCP) (Pekin and Macfarlane, 2009, Macfarlane et al., 2007) as described in Eamus et al., (2013). A 1 ha (100 m x 100 m) area immediately to the north west of the tower was marked and 10 x 100 m transects were identified along which photographs were taken at 10 m intervals. Photographs were taken using a Sony Nex-7 DSLR camera fitted with a lens of 25 mm focal length. The camera settings were automatic exposure, aperture-priority mode, F-stop of 9.0 and ISO 400. The camera was oriented to 0° nadir (viewing upward). Calculation of PAI used an extinction



coefficient of 0.5. For eight months after the fire the photographs taken were of the trunks and branches without leaves. This area could be subtracted from the previously determined plant area to obtain LAI.

For cross calibration purposes leaf area was determined directly by destructively collecting epicormic stem and leaf regrowth of five trees in April 2015, approximately one year after the bushfire. Leaves from a stem were removed, and a subsample of leaves was measured with a leaf area meter. The subsample and main leaf sample were weighed after oven drying at 60°C for 48 hours, and the specific leaf area of the subsample was used to calculate the whole tree leaf area.

2.4 Soil respiration, litter collection, tree spacing and biomass

Soil CO₂ efflux was measured monthly from July 2014 to June 2015 (total 12 sampling campaigns) with a manual chamber connected to an infra-red gas analyser (LI-8100, LI-COR Inc., Lincoln, Nebraska, USA). Details are in Sun et al., (accepted May 2016).

In May 2013, 3 litter trays (450 × 340 × 55 mm aluminium BBQ trays) were placed in the 1 ha area adjacent to the tower. These were dug in and secured so that the upper edge was flush with the ground surface. Litter was collected monthly, dried at 60 °C for 48 hours and weighed. The carbon content was assumed to be 35% of plant material dry mass (Hadley and Causton, 1984).

On 17 June 2014 remnant (burnt) tree trunks within the 1 ha area adjacent to the tower were viewed aerially, without the obstruction of any leaf canopy using a 3D Robotics RTF Y6 conservation drone. Images were captured at 70 metres above ground at a resolution of 21.6 mm per pixel in RGB colour. Images were mosaicked with Pix4Dmapper and improved by referencing to an existing ortho-rectified aerial photographic image. The central point of each mallee tree was marked with a digital dot while viewing the imagery at scale of 1:100 in ArcGIS. The mean distance between trees could then be calculated and this spacing used to scale up biomass and LAI from the sub sample measurements.

The total carbon associated with the 1 ha area was estimated from the measurements of tree numbers and dry mass of eight destructively sampled trees. This enabled an estimate of aboveground carbon (AGC). An estimate of belowground carbon (BGC) was made using soil respiration measurements and litter amounts (Koerber et al., 2009; Clark et al., 2001; Raich and Nadellhoffer, 1989; Nadellhoffer et al., 1998).



260 **3 Results**

261

262 The results were determined primarily from the light response functions and the extrapolated
 263 values of respiration in the night from daytime A. These values reflect the environmental
 264 conditions the mallee ecosystem was experiencing each month of a year.

265

266 **3.1 Net ecosystem exchange**

267

268 During the four years prior to 2010, the annual average rainfall was 215 mm, with
 269 each year being consistently below the long term median annual rainfall of 242 mm. These
 270 dry years were part of a prolonged dry period generally referred to as the “Millennium
 271 drought”. Significant rain (259 mm) fell in the last five months of 2010, the Millennium
 272 drought ended and the mallee ecosystem became a C sink with monthly NEE of $-15.49 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$
 273 for December 2010. During 2011, with further rain (511 mm for the year) the
 274 mallee responded and recovered as indicated by an increase in NEE to $-25.70 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$
 275 for July 2011 and a maximum of $-44.46 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in April 2011. This increased uptake
 276 of C corresponded to an observed increase in green leaf canopy of both trees and grass cover
 277 that was reflected in increased remotely sensed NDVI values and inferred LAI back
 278 calculated from latent energy exchange determined by the EC measurements (Meyer et al.
 279 2015). This response is consistent with the wide area response during March to May 2011 of
 280 Australian arid and semi-arid vegetation to the summer rainfall of 2010 – 2011 (Poulter et al.,
 281 2014; Cleverly et al., 2016). During 2012, the recovered ecosystem was sustained during the
 282 first half of the year with maximum NEE of $-42.83 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in April 2012. The
 283 second half of 2012 was dry (62 mm of rain) and this lower than average rainfall continued
 284 into most of 2013. In 2013 the maximum NEE was only $-17.82 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in August.
 285 This rate is similar to that recorded at the end of the Millennium drought in late 2010. In
 286 January 2014 the destruction of the vegetation in the bushfire resulted in the ecosystem
 287 becoming a carbon source, with a maximum emission of $13.53 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ recorded in
 288 May 2014. Signs of vegetation recovery were evident in July 2014 as the mallee trees
 289 sprouted epicormic stems and juvenile leaves from the lignotubers. In the months of August
 290 and September 2014, NEE was -7.73 and $-7.59 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ respectively. In 2015, the
 291 ecosystem was a sink with a maximum NEE of $-20.75 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ month}^{-1}$ in June. Annual NEE
 292 from OzFluxQC for each year along with the partitioning into gross primary productivity
 293 (GPP) and ER are given in Table 1.



294

295 **3.2 Assimilation light response functions**

296

297 The half hourly assimilation (A) values and associated radiation (PAR) values for each month
 298 of the entire measurement period were plotted and the assimilation light response function
 299 fitted (Table 2). In the summer of 2012, throughout 2013, and the spring and summer of
 300 2015, when the mallee ecosystem was dry, regression r^2 were higher with PAR threshold <
 301 $1500 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Even so the regressions had higher coefficients during the winter months
 302 and were lower in summer months. This likely indicates that assimilation was more
 303 constrained by available radiation in the cooler, less evaporative winter months, while in
 304 summer, assimilation was constrained by greater stomatal control as water availability to
 305 meet high evaporative demand was limiting (Ayub et al., 2011; Meyer et al., 2015).

306 The relationship between night-time respiration, derived from the flux tower
 307 measurements using OzFluxQC processing against night-time respiration determined
 308 indirectly from the y-intercept of daytime A and PAR response functions (Fig. 2) are
 309 significantly correlated and approximately similar in the years preceding the bushfire
 310 although 2013 was experiencing drought (Pearson correlations, 2010: $r = 0.873$, $P \leq 0.05$;
 311 2011: $r = 0.58$, $P \leq 0.05$; 2012: $r = 0.615$, $P \leq 0.05$; 2013: $r = 0.27$, $P = 0.396$, Fig. 2). In 2014
 312 after the bushfire, all values were small ($< 0.7 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) with the flux tower values
 313 generally being larger than those derived from the light response functions. In 2015, night-
 314 time respiration from the tower and from light response curves continued to be small. The
 315 spread of respiration values determined from the assimilation light response function is
 316 similar in 2014 and 2015 but was smaller than those estimated in the years before the
 317 bushfire.

318

319 **3.3 Comparison of ER from (NEE – A) and ER from OzFluxQC**

320 Calculation of ER as (NEE – A) was significantly correlated to ER from the
 321 processing by OzFluxQC, Pearson $r = 0.838$ $P \leq 0.0001$ (Fig. 3). From the equation of the
 322 line ($y_0 = 0.1612x + 0.0085$, $r^2 = 0.6977$), the OzFluxQC is underestimating ER with smaller
 323 positive rates compared to ER from a calculated A. The larger positive ER corresponds to a
 324 more negative ER if using the convention of negative rates for respiration (Atkin et al., 2013)
 325 and is in line with their statements that not incorporating suppressed daytime respiration
 326 underestimates ER.



327

328 **3.4 Relationship between ER and LAI and estimates of HR**

329 The relationship between ER derived from ($NEE - A$) and LAI for 25 months around the
 330 bushfire was highly significant (Fig. 4; $y_0 = 1.43x + 0.398$; Adj. $r^2 = 0.395$, Pearson
 331 correlation, $r = 0.648$ $P \leq 0.0001$). From this relation the inferred ER for this period is 0.398
 332 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The ecosystem respiration was standardized to 20°C and 0.03 g g^{-1} soil water
 333 content to remove seasonal variation. There are three outlier points with apparently
 334 suppressed ER for the months of April, May and June 2014, immediately after the bushfire.
 335 For the period from July 2014 to June 2015 that corresponds to the year that in-situ soil
 336 respiration measurements were made post fire, the y-intercept is $0.4316 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ($y_0 =$
 337 $1.365x + 0.4316$, Adj. $r^2 = 0.3249$, Pearson correlation $r = 0.570$ $P = 0.053$). The value at LAI
 338 $= 0$ gave an estimate of ER and more particularly HR of $163.44 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$.

339 An alternative approach to estimate HR is to calculate the sum of AGC and BGC, that
 340 is effectively net primary production (NPP), and subtract OzFluxQC derived NEE. Using the
 341 mean ground area per tree of 16 m^2 derived from drone imagery, the annual increase in AGC
 342 was estimated to be $105.68 \pm 27.37 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$. For July 2014 to June 2015, soil
 343 respiration was estimated to be 490.72 gC m^{-2} (details in Sun et al., 2016), litter fall was
 344 $566.17 \pm 62.57 \text{ gC m}^{-2}$ and hence BCG was $75.45 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$. The sum of AGC and BGC
 345 and therefore NPP is $181.13 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$. With NEE for the year of $-46.54 \pm \text{gC m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$
 346 the estimate of HR is 134.59 gC m^{-2} . This compares very favourably with the estimate
 347 (163.44 gC m^{-2}) from light response functions and is 44% of NEE. This coincidence indicates
 348 that the method of extrapolation of the assimilation (A) and incoming energy (PAR)
 349 relationship to $PAR = 0$ (i.e. the y-intercept) provides an estimate of ER each month
 350 incorporating AR.

351

352 **4 Discussion**

353

354 In this paper we have demonstrated another way to partition NEE recorded by EC
 355 towers into the C sequestered by photosynthesis and the efflux of C from respiration.
 356 Calculation of daily NEP using an estimate of ER from extrapolation of ecosystem light
 357 response functions using A instead of NEE, indicates that derived NEP is inevitably larger
 358 i.e. the NEE light response function usually overestimates daily respiration (Ayub et al.,



2011; Heskell et al., 2013). The method of estimating HR from the extrapolation of the ER (NEE-A) versus LAI, is similar to that of estimating HR from the y-intercept of soil respiration and root mass (Koerber et al., 2010; Kuzyakov, 2006; Kucera and Kirkham, 1971). The concept of the y-intercept providing an estimate of heterotrophic soil respiration from the assimilation light response function is novel and hasn't been used to assist partitioning EC derived NEE.

The estimates for HR of $163.44 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ from light response function derived ER versus LAI or $134.59 \text{ gC m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ from (NEE + NPP) are equivalent to $1.63 \text{ tC ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and $1.34 \text{ tC ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ respectively. As expected, these are lower but of the same order of magnitude as that estimated ($8.13 \text{ tC ha}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$) in much wetter and more plant productive vegetable farming regions in the UK (Koerber et al., 2009).

Partitioning of NEE derived from EC measurements indicates that in semi-arid environments, the timing of rainfall relative to preceding drying greatly influences the outcome of the dynamic balance between sequestration and respiration. For example, Xu et al. (2004) found that in a Mediterranean grassland the early onset of rain in the winter growing season resulted in C assimilation i.e. gross primary productivity (GPP) to be greater than ER and NEE was negative i.e. the ecosystem was a carbon sink. However if significant rainfall did not occur until late in spring or early summer and the water stressed grass was dead, ER was greater than GPP and NEE was positive i.e. the ecosystem was a carbon source. Monthly values of NEP and ER derived in this study suggest that the timing of rainfall in relation to the preceding dry or wet period was more important in determining the net C balance of the ecosystem than the total amount over the course of a year. Paul Jarvis's research (Jarvis et al., 2007) on soil respiration pulses after rain, carrying on the discovery by H.F. Birch 50 years ago (the "Birch" effect) showed the same effect. His research and that of Xin Wang et al (2014) suggests that increased rainfall in summer, along with increasing ambient temperature from global warming will increase the contribution of HR in soil respiration. Soil respiration pulses following rainfall may be enhanced by the availability of organic breakdown materials coming from photo-degradation during drought periods (Ma et al., 2012). Rainfall that irregularly occurs in persistently arid areas such as the *Corymbia* savanna and Mulga ecosystems of inland Australia seems to cause net carbon loss at least in the short term (Cleverly et al., 2016).

The relationship between direct and indirect derived night-time respiration shown in Fig. 2 was close to 1:1 during 2010 and 2011. Drying in 2012 persisted into 2013 and this seems to have affected this relationship. With the bushfire in 2014 there was no active



photosynthetic canopy and only a small but increasing amount in 2015, the amount of respiration declined presumably because both AR and HR declined – AR because the majority of the above ground growth was dead and HR because there is no supply or little supply of photosynthetically derived C from the above ground system to below ground. The reasons why EC estimates of night-time respiration in 2014 appear to be large relative to the light response function is uncertain. With loss of the tree, mid story and ground canopy the atmospheric exchange and mixing would be different. It is not clear why this may cause what appears to be an over-estimate of the CO₂ flux. However it is equally possible that the light response functions are underestimating the flux since active leaf area is very low and hence assimilation is very limited.

After careful consideration, two more problems had to be reconciled. The first is calculations of assimilation were an underestimate in the outset. With ER equilibrated in the night and the day from a ratio of the soil temperature and soil water content in the night and the day, subsequent subtraction covers over some of the A seen as respiration in the day is in fact suppressed (Heskel et al., 2013). For example if ER was constant in the night and the day at 3 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ and the photosynthetic rate is -8 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹, when the night is subtracted away from the day we are left with an assimilation of -2 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ however if ER is 1 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ in the day then assimilation will be -4 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹. Therefore we had an assimilation rate that was an underestimate. In the future we aim to develop methods for conducting linear regressions to estimate autotrophic respiration in the daylight (Heskel et al., 2013; Kok, 1949; Kok, 1956) for correcting the underestimate of A (Koerber et al., unpublished).

The second problem is whether our calculations of A require correction like *in vivo* construction of light response functions requiring A versus CO₂ partial pressure (p_i) curves at three low light intensities (Villar et al., 1994; Kirschbaum and Farquhar, 1987). As p_i is increased at low light intensity, measurements of A increase. Therefore p_i should be standardized for all light intensities and A adjusted to ensure foliar AR provides a correct estimate of the Kok effect and hence A is not an overestimate. Our tower measurements provided multiple A estimates at each light intensity with an external CO₂ partial pressure that was reasonably constant. With this setting the EC derived light response functions do not require standardization.

5 Conclusion

The advantage of using the light response function approach to determine respiration



427 when $PAR = 0$ is that it is non-destructive. The ecosystem remains intact, soils are not
 428 disturbed and there is no need to measure respiration of the plants directly with all of the
 429 attendant problems of sufficient sampling to assure representativeness. In this study we did
 430 field measurements that were destructive but only to the extent of AGC necessary for the
 431 NPP. The BGC was estimated from litter collections and soil respiration. This study
 432 highlights the importance of measuring soil respiration as an adjunct measurement.

433 The similarity in heterotrophic soil respiration estimated by field measurements and
 434 from the determination of assimilation from partitioning the NEE as described here is
 435 encouraging, only 28.85 gC m^{-2} difference. This result indicates that the NEE and NEP are
 436 balanced at our site and we did not underestimate NEP from our field measurements. From
 437 our initial calculations, our measurements provide rarely available evidence of the large
 438 contribution of basal soil respiration (44%) to the total C balance. Management of the land by
 439 land use managers needs to minimize the formation of ecosystems susceptible to larger
 440 emissions of basal soil respiration arising from our changing climate. There is much to gain
 441 from understanding dry and arid ecosystem functioning of the plants within the sandy
 442 alkaline soils of southern Australia. Mallee's are an important biomass crop, potentially
 443 providing an increasing income from payments for carbon sequestration, for landholders.

444 This study has been able to reject all three null hypotheses. When the hypotheses are
 445 addressed in reverse order, firstly, we were able to estimate the heterotrophic soil respiration
 446 from field measurements and the y-intercept of ecosystem respiration versus leaf area index.
 447 Secondly, light use efficiency functions for the respiration in the dark from rectangular
 448 hyperbola agree with direct night time data. Lastly, ecosystem respiration is a function of
 449 LAI.

450
 451
 452
 453
 454
 455
 456
 457
 458
 459



Table 1. Annual GPP, ER, NEE in $\text{gC m}^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and rainfall for 2011 to 2015. Values are from OzFluxQC. Measurements started at the tower in August 2010 and GPP, ER, NEE and rainfall are sums for August to December 2010 (5 months).

Year	GPP	ER	NEE	Rainfall mm
2010	100.74	29.84	-70.9	259.0
2011	432.05	114.37	-317.68	510.8
2012	377.84	93.68	-284.16	211.2
2013	237.15	68.73	-168.41	242.4
2014	52.03	32.57	-19.46	211.6
2015	155.02	56.55	-98.47	241.4



Table 2. Coefficients from assimilation light response functions. Units are $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.
 Rainfall in brackets is from Renmark when the EC measurement system was not in operation
 after bushfire.

	Rainfall mm	Compensation point when $F_c = 0$	V_{max}	Km	ER in night, r^2 and n from rectangular hyperbola
Units are all $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$					
2010					
July	6.0	76.3	-3.2	456.8	0.47 $r^2=0.74$ $n=25$
August	24.0	96.5	-3.9	392.8	0.78 $r^2=0.41$ $n=520$
September	48.0	110.2	-4.5	558.3	0.74 $r^2=0.40$ $n=565$
October	67.0	140.8	-4.7	825.5	0.68 $r^2=0.26$ $n=633$
November	35.0	160.4	-4.4	331.4	1.44 $r^2=0.28$ $n=615$
December	86.0	115.3	-4.8	316.5	1.28 $r^2=0.25$ $n=749$
2011					
January	87.4	135.5	-7.3	577.9	1.39 $r^2=0.36$ $n=743$
February	109.0	153.4	-9.9	522.9	2.24 $r^2=0.46$ $n=604$
March	63.4	114.7	-12.1	887.6	1.39 $r^2=0.61$ $n=613$
April	4.4	73.3	-12.1	1024.0	0.81 $r^2=0.52$ $n=541$
May	14.2	86.4	-11.2	576.3	1.46 $r^2=0.52$ $n=445$
June	4.8	72.7	-9.7	647.3	0.98 $r^2=0.61$ $n=459$
July	13.4	85.7	-7.8	493.2	1.15 $r^2=0.56$ $n=497$
August	25.0	102.0	-7.6	492.7	1.30 $r^2=0.38$ $n=510$
September	8.2	108.9	-5.7	460.5	1.09 $r^2=0.28$ $n=577$
October	29.8	99.4	-5.0	316.8	1.20 $r^2=0.26$ $n=656$
November	59.4	166.2	-5.6	515.8	1.37 $r^2=0.29$ $n=667$
December	91.8	98.4	-6.8	793.0	0.75 $r^2=0.27$ $n=769$
2012					
January	27.4	122.7	-7.0	423.9	1.56 $r^2=0.40$ $n=777$
February	86.8	85.7	-5.8	689.1	1.65 $r^2=0.24$ $n=657$
March	9.4	150.7	-10.4	215.5	1.86 $r^2=0.49$ $n=643$
April	5.4	86.7	-9.0	456.9	1.44 $r^2=0.36$ $n=550$
May	9.0	86.5	-8.8	380.6	1.64 $r^2=0.52$ $n=503$
June	11.0	89.3	-10.3	743.7	1.10 $r^2=0.61$ $n=452$
July	23.6	109.5	-9.3	753.2	1.18 $r^2=0.56$ $n=485$
August	8.2	89.2	-8.6	743.5	0.92 $r^2=0.64$ $n=571$
September	5.8	96.2	-6.1	353.2	1.31 $r^2=0.14$ $n=488$
October	3.8	88.6	-4.7	626.5	0.59 $r^2=0.15$ $n=445$
November	14.0	65.1	-3.5	222.7	0.79 $r^2=0.29$ $n=432$
December	6.8	61.8	-4.8	726.1	0.38 $r^2=0.22$ $n=318$



2013					
January	1.0	44.1	-3.7	613.6	0.25 $r^2=0.14$ $n=305$
February	36.8	105.0	-3.9	772.8	0.47 $r^2=0.08$ $n=275$
March	2.2	184.9	-7.6	1065.8	1.12 $r^2=0.31$ $n=465$
April	13.6	48.3	-10.5	1578.7	0.81 $r^2=0.31$ $n=266$
May	35.4	81.8	-6.5	1792.4	0.61 $r^2=0.39$ $n=208$
June	32.0	138.3	-9.7	617.5	1.77 $r^2=0.63$ $n=275$
July	24.4	88.1	-10.8	1249.5	0.71 $r^2=0.60$ $n=392$
August	10.6	90.8	-9.5	1045.7	0.78 $r^2=0.58$ $n=353$
September	26.2	160.4	-6.0	578.3	1.30 $r^2=0.30$ $n=396$
October	9.2	60.2	-4.0	350.7	0.59 $r^2=0.24$ $n=221$
November	3.6	23.6	-3.9	508.1	0.17 $r^2=0.14$ $n=435$
December	27.2	46.3	-3.8	339.0	0.46 $r^2=0.16$ $n=303$
2014					
January	(6.8)	45.8	-5.2	1087.1	0.41 $r^2=0.14$ $n=165$
February	(84.4)				
March	(15.0)				
April	(35.6)	-1695.8	-0.4	532.1	0.55 $r^2=0.00$ $n=66$
May	28.4	-0.8	0.7	39.8	0.02 $r^2=0.01$ $n=334$
June	13.8	2364.7	-1.0	1371.1	0.65 $r^2=0.02$ $n=368$
July	4.6	333.3	-1.0	1204.0	0.22 $r^2=0.02$ $n=382$
August	18.8	131.6	-4.2	1973.6	0.27 $r^2=0.23$ $n=477$
September	6.6	89.4	-2.8	1331.1	0.18 $r^2=0.12$ $n=317$
October	0.6	92.3	-1.4	171.4	0.50 $r^2=0.06$ $n=618$
November	9.2	95.5	-1.2	378.4	0.24 $r^2=0.03$ $n=686$
December	13.8	135.6	-2.0	520.8	0.40 $r^2=0.08$ $n=696$
2015					
January	68.8	104.4	-2.9	584.1	0.44 $r^2=0.30$ $n=722$
February	0.6	93.0	-2.7	459.3	0.45 $r^2=0.21$ $n=378$
March	0.0	106.2	-2.1	271.2	0.60 $r^2=0.16$ $n=420$
April	65.4	129.3	-2.7	411.3	0.65 $r^2=0.20$ $n=448$
May	9.8	97.2	-6.8	638.2	0.90 $r^2=0.61$ $n=376$
June	17.8	80.7	-7.5	671.4	0.80 $r^2=0.38$ $n=280$
July	6.0	84.7	-6.0	562.0	0.78 $r^2=0.57$ $n=362$
August	20.4	110.7	-5.8	524.6	1.01 $r^2=0.41$ $n=469$
September	17.0	102.8	-4.2	368.5	0.92 $r^2=0.28$ $n=561$
October	1.5	16.3	-3.4	2480.4	0.02 $r^2=0.08$ $n=232$
November	23.0	21.0	-2.4	774.2	0.06 $r^2=0.10$ $n=419$
December	0.0	91.4	-1.6	661.5	0.19 $r^2=0.07$ $n=419$

487

488





Figure 1. Schematic flow chart showing the method for partitioning carbon fluxes.



515

516 **Figure 2.** Night respiration from the EC tower measurement system and the y-intercept
 517 approach with daytime data.

518 2010: $y_0 = 1.20x - 0.22$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.7617$

519 2011: $y_0 = 0.94x + 0.19$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.34$

520 2012: $y_0 = 0.58x + 0.37$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.3783$

521 2013: $y_0 = 0.16x + 0.75$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.07$

522 2014: $y_0 = 0.27x + 0.50$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.1477$

523 2015: $y_0 = 0.09x + 0.73$ Adj. $r^2 = 0.0312$

524




Figure 3. Comparison of ecosystem respiration from the OzFluxQC processing and the light response function of calculated assimilation.




Figure 4. Comparison of ecosystem respiration from the light response function with calculated assimilation extrapolated to LAI = 0 and LAI from digital cover photography. The ecosystem respiration was standardized to 20 °C and 0.03 g g⁻¹ soil water content.



561 *Author contributions.* G.R. Koerber and W.S. Meyer designed the experiment and carried it
562 out. G.R. Koerber, P. Cale, Q. Sun, W.S. Meyer and C. M. Ewenz performed field work.
563 G.R. Koerber, W.S. Meyer and C. M. Ewenz performed data collection and processing. G.R.
564 Koerber and W.S. Meyer prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

565

566 *Acknowledgements.* This work was partly supported by grants from the Australian
567 government's Terrestrial Ecosystems Research Network (TERN) (www.tern.org.au). TERN
568 is a research infrastructure facility established under the National Collaborative Research
569 Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS) and Education Infrastructure Fund, Super Science Initiative,
570 through the Department of Industry, Innovation, Science, Research, and Tertiary Education.
571 Thank you to 12 National Australia Bank employees under the support and expert guidance
572 of Cassandra Collins from the Earthwatch Institute and Peter Cale from the Riverland
573 Australian Landscape Trust.

574

575 **References**

576

577 Atkin, O. K.; Turnbull, M. H.; Zaragoza-castells, J.; Fyllas, N. M.; Lloyd, J.; Meir, P.;
578 Griffin, K. L.: Light inhibition of leaf respiration as soil fertility declines along a post-glacial
579 chronosequence in New Zealand: an analysis using the Kok method, *Plant and Soil*, 367, 163-
580 182, doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1686-0, 2013.

581

582 Ayub, G., Smith, R., Tissue, D.T., and Atkin, O.K.: Impacts of drought on leaf respiration in
583 darkness and in the light in *Eucalyptus saligna* exposed to industrial-age atmospheric CO₂
584 and growth temperature, *New Phytologist*, 190, 1003–1018, doi: 10.1111/j.1469-
585 8137.2011.03673.x, 2011.

586

587 Ayub, G., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Griffin, K.L., Atkin, O.K.: Leaf respiration in darkness and
588 in the light under pre-industrial, current and elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, *Plant*
589 *Science*, 226, 120-130, doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2014.05.001, 2014.

590

591 Biggs, W.W.: Principles of Radiation Measurement. Li-cor report. Excerpted from:
592 Advanced Agricultural Instrumentation. Proceedings from the NATO Advanced Study
593 Institute on “Advanced Agricultural Instrumentation”, edited by: W.G. Gensler, Martinus
594 Nijhoff Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1984.



- 595
- 596 Bréda, N.J.J.: Ground-based measurements of leaf area index: a review of methods,
 597 instruments and current controversies, *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54, 2403–2417, doi:
 598 10.1093/jxb/erg263, 2003.
- 599
- 600 Bruhn, D., Mikkelsen, T.N., Herbst, M., Kutsch, W.L., Ball, M.C., and Pilegaard, K.:
 601 Estimating daytime ecosystem respiration from eddy-flux data, *Biosystems*, 103, 309–313,
 602 doi: 10.1016/j.biosystems.2010.10.007, 2011.
- 603
- 604 Clark, D.A., Brown, S., Kicklighter, D.W., Chambers, J.Q., Thomlinson, J.R., and Ni, J.:
 605 Measuring net primary production in forests: concepts and field methods, *Ecological*
 606 *Applications*, 11, 356–370, doi: 10.1890/1051-0761, 2001.
- 607
- 608 Cleverly, J., Eamus, D., Van Gorsel, E., Chen, C., Rumman, R., Luo, Q., Restrepo Coupe, N.,
 609 Li, L., Kljun, N., Faux, R., Yu, Q., Huete, R.: Productivity and evapotranspiration of two
 610 contrasting semiarid ecosystems following the 2011 global carbon land sink anomaly,
 611 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 220, 151–159, doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.01.086,
 612 2016.
- 613
- 614 Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Villalobos-Vega, R., Grant, N., Faux, R., Wood, C., Cook, P.G.,
 615 Yu, Q., Leigh, A., and Eamus, D.: Dynamics of component carbon fluxes in a semi-arid
 616 *Acacia* woodland, central Australia, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 118, 1168–1185, doi:
 617 10.1002/jgrg.20101, 2013.
- 618
- 619 Eamus, D., Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., Grant, N., Faux, R., and Villalobos, V.R.: Carbon and
 620 water fluxes in an arid-zone *Acacia* savanna woodland: analyses of seasonal patterns and
 621 responses to rainfall events, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 182–183, 225–238, doi:
 622 10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.04.020, 2013.
- 623
- 624 Gilmanov, T.G., Soussana, J.F., Aires, L., Allard, V., Ammann, C., Balzarolo, M., Barcza, Z.,
 625 Bernhofer, C., Campbell, C.L., Cernusca, A., Cescatti, A., Clifton-Brown, J., Dirks, B.O.M.,
 626 Dore, S., Eugster, W., Fuhrer, J., Gimeno, C., Gruenwald, T., Haszpra, L., Hensen, A., Ibrom,
 627 A., Jacobs, A.F.G., Jones, M.B., Lanigan, G., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Manca, G., Marcolla,
 628 B., Nagy, Z., Pilegaard, K., Pinter, K., Pio, C., Raschi, A., Rogiers, N., Sanz, M.J., Stefani,



- 629 P., Sutton, M., Tuba, Z., Valentini, R., Williams, M.L., and Wohlfahrt, G.: Partitioning
 630 European grassland net ecosystem CO₂ exchange into gross primary productivity and
 631 ecosystem respiration using light response function analysis, *Agriculture, Ecosystems &*
 632 *Environment*, 121, 93–120, doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2006.12.008, 2007.
- 633
- 634 Goulden, M. L., Munger, J.W., Fan, S. M., Daube, B. C. and Wofsy, S. C.: Measurements of
 635 carbon sequestration by longterm eddy covariance: methods and a critical evaluation of
 636 accuracy, *Global Change Biology*, 2, 169–182, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.1996.tb00070.x,
 637 1996.
- 638
- 639 Hadley, P. and Causton, D.R.: Changes in percentage organic-carbon content during
 640 ontogeny, *Planta*, 160, 97-101, doi: 10.1007/BF00392856, 1984.
- 641
- 642 Heskell, M.A., Atkin, O.K., Turnbull, M.H., and Griffin, K.L.: Bringing the Kok effect to
 643 light: A review on the integration of daytime respiration and net ecosystem exchange, 4, 1-
 644 14, doi: 10.1890/ES13-00120.1, 2013.
- 645
- 646 Isaac, P.R., Cleverly, J., Beringer, J., McHugh, I.: The OzFlux network data path: from
 647 collection to curation, In preparation for this Special Issue, *Biogeosciences*, 2015.
- 648
- 649 Isbell, R.: The Australian soil classification, Melbourne, Australia, CSIRO publishing, 1986.
- 650
- 651 Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., Banz, J., David, J.,
 652 Miglietta, F., Borghetti, M., Manca, G., Valentini, R.: Drying and wetting of Mediterranean
 653 soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission: the “Birch effect” *Tree*
 654 *Physiology* 27, 929–940, doi: 10.1093/treephys/27.7.929, 2007.
- 655
- 656 Koerber, G.R., Edwards-Jones, G., Hill, P.W., Milà i Canals, L., Nyeko, P., York, E.H., and
 657 Jones, D.L.: Geographical variation in carbon dioxide fluxes from soils in agro-ecosystems
 658 and its implications for life-cycle assessment, *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46, 306–314, doi:
 659 10.1111/j.1365-2664.2009.01622.x, 2009.
- 660
- 661 Koerber, G.R., Hill, P.W., Edwards-Jones, G., and Jones, D.L.: Estimating the component of
 662 soil respiration not dependent on living plant roots: Comparison of the indirect y-intercept



- 663 regression approach and direct bare plot approach, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 1835-
 664 1841, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.06.024, 2010.
- 665
- 666 Kok, B.: On the interrelation of respiration and photosynthesis in green plants, *Biochimica et*
 667 *Biophysica Acta*, 3, 625–631, doi:10.1016/0006-3002(49)90136-5, 1949.
- 668
- 669 Kok, B.: On the inhibition of photosynthesis by intense light, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*,
 670 21, 234–244, doi:10.1016/0006-3002(56)90003-8, 1956.
- 671
- 672 Kormann, R. and Meixner, F.X.: An analytical footprint model for non-neutral stratification,
 673 *Boundary-Layer Meteorology*, 99, 207–224, doi: 10.1023/A:1018991015119, 2001.
- 674
- 675 Kucera, C.L. and Kirkham, D.R.: Soil respiration studies in Tallgrass Prairie in Missouri,
 676 *Ecology*, 52, 912–915, doi: 10.2307/1936043, 1971.
- 677
- 678 Kuzyakov, Y.: Sources of CO₂ efflux from soil and review of partitioning methods, *Soil*
 679 *Biology and Biochemistry*, 38, 425–448, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.08.020, 2006.
- 680
- 681 Kuzyakov, Y. and Larionova, A.A.: Root and rhizomicrobial respiration: A review of
 682 approaches to estimate respiration by autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms in soil, *Journal*
 683 *of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 168, 503–520, doi: 10.1002/jpln.200421703, 2005.
- 684
- 685 Lasslop, G., Reichstein, M., Papale, D., Richardson, A.D., Arneth, A., Barr, A., Stoy, P., and
 686 Wohlfahrt, G.: Separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and respiration using a
 687 light response curve approach: critical issues and global evaluation, *Global Change Biology*,
 688 16, 187–208, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02041.x, 2010.
- 689
- 690 Lindroth, A., Lagergren, F., Aurela, M., Bjarnadottir, B., Christensen, T., Dellwik, E., Grelle,
 691 A., Ibrom, A., Johansson, T., Lankreijer, H., Launiainen, S., Laurila, T., Molder, M.,
 692 Nikinmaa, E., Pilegaard, K., and Sigurdsson, B.D.: Leaf area index is the principal scaling
 693 parameter for both gross photosynthesis and ecosystem respiration of Northern deciduous and
 694 coniferous forests, *Tellus*, 60B, 129–142, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00330.x, 2008.
- 695
- 696 Ma, S., Baldocchi, Hatala, J.A., Detto, M., Curiel Yuste, J.: Are rain-induced ecosystem



697 respiration pulsed enhanced by legacies of antecedent photodegradation in semi-arid
 698 environments? *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 154-155, 203-213, doi:
 699 10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.11.007, 2012.
 700
 701 Macfarlane, C., Hoffman, M., Eamus, D., Kerp, N., Higginson, S., McMurtrie, R., and
 702 Adams, M.: Estimation of leaf area index in eucalypt forest using digital photography.
 703 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 143, 176-188, doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.10.013,
 704 2007.
 705
 706 McCree, K.J.: Test of current definitions of photosynthetically active radiation against leaf
 707 photosynthesis data, *Agricultural Meteorology*, 10, 443-453, doi: 10.1016/0002-
 708 1571(72)90045-3, 1972.
 709
 710 Meek, D.W., Hatfield, J.L., Howell, T.A., Idso, S.B., and Reginato, R.J.: A Generalised
 711 Relationship Between Photosynthetically Active Radiation and Solar Radiation, *Agronomy*
 712 *Journal*, 76, 939-945, doi: 10.2134/agronj1984.00021962007600060018x, 1984.
 713
 714 Meyer, W.S., Kondrlovà, E., and Koerber, G.R.: Evaporaton of perennial semi-arid woodland
 715 in southeastern Australia is adapted for irregular but common dry periods, *Hydrological*
 716 *Processes*, 29, 3714-3726, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10467, 2015.
 717
 718 Mitchell, P.J., Veneklaas, Lambers, H., and Burgess, S.S.O.: Partitioning of
 719 evapotranspiration in a semi-arid eucalypt woodland in south-western Australia, *Agricultural*
 720 *and Forest Meteorology*, 149, 25-37, doi: 10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.07.008, 2009.
 721
 722 Nadelhoffer, K.J., Raich, J.W., and Aber, J.D.: A global trend in belowground carbon
 723 allocation: comment, *Ecology*, 79, 1822-1825, doi: 10.2307/176802, 1998.
 724
 725 Neftel, A., Spirig, C., and Ammann, C.: Application and test of a simple tool for operational
 726 footprint evaluations, *Environmental Pollution*, 152, 644-652,
 727 doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2007.06.062, 2008.
 728
 729 Noble, J.C. and Bradstock, R.A.: An historical overview of ecological studies, Ch. 1. In
 730 *Mediterranean Landscapes in Australia: Mallee Ecosystems and their Management*, Noble,



- 731 J.C., Bradstock, R.A. (eds). CSIRO: East Melbourne.
732
- 733 Nulsen, R.A., Bligh, K.J., Baxter, I.N., Solin, E.J., and Imrie, D.H.: The fate of rainfall in a
734 mallee and heath vegetated catchment in South Western Australia, Australian Journal of
735 Ecology, 11, 361-371, doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.1986.tb01406.x, 1986.
736
- 737 Pekin, B. and Macfarlane, C.: Measurement of Crown Cover and Leaf Area Index Using
738 Digital Cover Photography and Its Application to Remote Sensing, Remote Sensing, 1, 1298-
739 1320, doi:10.3390/rs1041298, 2009.
740
- 741 Poulter, B., Frank, D., Ciais, P., Myneni, R.B., Andela, N., Bi, J., Broquet, G., Canadell, J.G.,
742 Chevallier, F., Liu, Y.Y., Running, S.W., Sitch, S., and van der Werf, G.R.: Contribution of
743 semi-arid ecosystems to interannual variability of the global carbon cycle, Nature, 509, 600-
744 604, doi:10.1038/nature13376, 2014.
745
- 746 Raich, J.W. and Nadelhoffer, K.J.: Belowground carbon allocation in forest ecosystems:
747 global trend, Ecology, 70, 1346–1354, doi: 10.2307/1938194, 1989.
748
- 749 Reichstein, M., Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Papale, D., Aubinet, M., Berbigier, P., Bernhofer,
750 C., Buchmann, N., Gilmanov, T., Granier, A., Grünwald, Havránková, K., Ilvesniemi, H.,
751 Janous, D., Knohl, A., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Meyers, T.,
752 Miglietta, F., Ourcival, J-M., Pumpanen, J., Rambal, S., Rotenberg, E., Sanz, M., Tenhunen,
753 J., Seufert, G., Vaccari, F., Vesala, T., Yakir, D., and Valentint, R.: On the separation of net
754 ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved
755 algorithm, Global Change Biology, 11, 1424-1439, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.001002.x,
756 2005.
757
- 758 Soil Survey Staff.: Keys to Soil Taxonomy 7th Editon, US Department of Agriculture, Natural
759 Resources Conservation Service, 1996.
760
- 761 Sun, Q., Meyer, W.S., Koerber, G.R., and Marschner, P.: Response of respiration and nutrient
762 availability to drying and rewetting in soil from a semi-arid woodland depends on vegetation
763 patch and a recent wildfire, Biogeosciences, 12, 5093-5101, doi: 10.5194/bg-12-5093-2015,
764 2015.



- 765
 766 Sun, Q., Meyer, W.S., Koerber, G.R. and Marschner, P.: A wildfire event influences
 767 ecosystem carbon fluxes but not soil respiration in a semi-arid woodland, *Agricultural,*
 768 *Forestry and Meteorology*, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2016.05.019, 2016.
 769
 770 Twine, T.E., Kustas, W.P., Norman, J.M., Cook, D.R., Houser, P.R., Meyers, T.P., Prueger,
 771 J.H., Starks, P.J., and Wesely, M.L.: Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a
 772 grassland, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 103, 279–300, doi: PII: S0168-
 773 1923(00)00123-4, 2000.
 774
 775 van Gorsel, E., Leuning, R., Cleugh, H.A., Keith, H., Suni, T.: Nocturnal carbon efflux:
 776 reconciliation of eddy covariance and chamber measurements using an alternative to the u*-
 777 threshold filtering technique, *Tellus*, 59B, 397–403, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00252.x,
 778 2007.
 779
 780 Wang, X., Liu, L., Piao, S., Janssens, I.A., Tang, J., Liui, W., Chi, Y., Wang, J., Xu, S.: Soil
 781 respiration under climate warming: differential response of heterotrophic and autotrophic
 782 respiration, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 3229–3237, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12620, 2014.
 783
 784 Wohlfahrt, G., Anfang, C., Bahn, M., Haslwanter, A., Newesely, C., Schmitt, M., Dresler,
 785 M., Pfadenhauer, J., and Cernusca, A.: Quantifying nighttime ecosystem respiration of a
 786 meadow using eddy covariance, chambers and modelling, *Agricultural and Forest*
 787 *Meteorology*, 128, 141–162, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2004.11.003, 2005.
 788
 789 Xu, L. and Baldocchi, D.: Seasonal variation in carbon dioxide exchange over a
 790 Mediterranean annual grassland in California, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 1232,
 791 79–96, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2003.10.004, 2004.
 792