

**L'Exclusion des femmes de la vie politique :  
la représentation des femmes politiques en tant que  
candidates à la magistrature suprême dans la  
Cinquième République**

**The Exclusion of Women from the World of Politics:  
The Representation of Female Politicians Running  
for the Highest Office in the Fifth French Republic**

**Robert John Armstrong**

**Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in**

**French Studies**

**School of Humanities**

**Discipline of French Studies**

**The University of Adelaide**

**South Australia**

**October 2015**

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Robert John Armstrong

My sincere and profound gratitude is due to Dr Peter Poiana (University of Adelaide) for his guidance and mentoring during the development of this thesis.

I would also like to express my thanks to Dr Natalie Edwards and Associate Professor Ben McCann from the University of Adelaide for their kind offer to review the final draft and provide feedback on it.

## **ABSTRACT**

The concept of Double Binds as applicable to women, particularly those in politics, was first raised in 1995 by Kathleen Hall Jamieson in *Beyond the Double Bind*. Work has continued in this area and, in 2010, *Cracking the Highest Glass Ceiling*, edited by Rainbow Murray, was published. This work expanded on the issues raised by Jamieson and focussed on a range of female leaders around the world, including Angela Merkel and Hillary Rodham Clinton. It nominated six double binds that were considered to impact on women who sought high political office.

Due to restraints on the length of the thesis, only three of the double binds proposed have been examined. These have been selected by virtue of their perceived importance in relation to the female political figures examined. The double binds examined in the thesis are: Too masculine or too feminine; Experienced or a symbol of change; and Associated with or independent of a prominent male.

The six females who are examined in this thesis are: Edith Cresson, Simone Veil, Michèle Alliot-Marie, Ségolène Royal, Martine Aubry and Marine Le Pen. Each of these women has featured prominently in the French political system, with Royal, Aubry and Le Pen all having sought to contest the 2012 French Presidential election.

The approach undertaken has been to examine a wide range of books, journal articles and newspaper articles to determine how each of the three double binds has impacted on the six females. While each female has been individually assessed, conclusions relating to the six women as a whole have also been made.

The objective of this thesis has been to examine the private and political lives of the six women to determine if existing theory on double binds is applicable to each of them. Of particular interest is the role that a prominent male, be they husband, father or mentor, has in the advancement of their careers as this is an area where little research has been done to date.

# Table de matières

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	1
Parité	2
Cadre théorique	7
Méthodologie	18
Structure de la thèse	24
<b>1.0 Chapitre 1 : Trop masculin ou trop féminin</b>	
1.1 Introduction	27
1.2 Édith Cresson	33
1.3 Simone Veil	48
1.4 Michèle Alliot-Marie	59
1.5 Ségolène Royal	76
1.6 Martine Aubry	92
1.7 Marine Le Pen	111
1.8 Conclusion	127

<b>2.0 Chapitre 2 : Expérimentée ou symbole de changement</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Introduction	135
2.2 Édith Cresson	136
2.3 Simone Veil	142
2.4 Michèle Alliot-Marie	151
2.5 Ségolène Royal	160
2.6 Martine Aubry	172
2.7 Marine Le Pen	178
2.8 Conclusion	188
<b>3.0 Chapitre 3 : Associée à un homme proéminent ou démonstration d'indépendance</b>	
3.1 Introduction	196
3.2 Édith Cresson	197
3.3 Simone Veil	206
3.4 Michèle Alliot-Marie	213
3.5 Ségolène Royal	223
3.6 Martine Aubry	241
3.7 Marine Le Pen	252
3.8 Conclusion	267

	<b>Page</b>
<b>4.0 Conclusion</b>	275
<b>5.0 Bibliographie</b>	
Ouvrages	288
Articles dans des revues à comité de lecture	292
Articles dans des médias	296
Interventions	312
Sondages	313
<b>6.0 Appendices</b>	
<b>Appendice 1</b>	314
<u>Le Baromètre de l'action politique Ipsos/Le Point</u>	
6.1.1 Michèle Alliot-Marie	315
6.1.2 Ségolène Royal	316
6.1.3 Martine Aubry	317
6.1.4 Marine Le Pen	318

<b>6.0 Appendices</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Appendice 2</b>	319
<u>Cote d'avenir TNS Sofres/<i>Figaro Magazine</i></u>	
6.2.1 Simone Veil	320
6.2.2 Michèle Alliot-Marie	321
6.2.3 Martine Aubry	322
6.2.4 Nicolas Sarkozy	323
<b>Appendice 3</b>	
<u>Sondage Ifop pour <i>Paris Match</i></u>	
Marine Le Pen	
6.3.1 Décembre 2010/ Janvier 2011	325
6.3.2 Juillet/Septembre 2011	326
6.3.3 Mars/Avril 2012	327
<b>Appendice 4</b>	328
Les évènements à la suite de 30 juin 2012, la date de terminaison de cette thèse	