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ABSTRACT

*Drosera indica* L. is an annual, tropical species of carnivorous plant exhibiting a considerable amount of morphological variability, including plant size, flower colour, stamen form, seed size, and seed coat ornamentation pattern. Thus far there has been no study dealing with these morphological variability. The present study, therefore, is aiming at investigating the pattern of morphological and genetic variability in this species to determine whether there are morphologically distinguishable groups, and whether these groups are genetically distinct.

Materials used in this study consisted of air-dried herbarium specimens, water- and silica sand-preserved plant, and glasshouse- and tissue culture-grown plants germinated from seeds. The assessment of morphological variation was carried out on sixty two accessions of *D. indica* based on 62 accessions based on 14 vegetative and floral characters, as well as 12 micromorphological seed characters examined using scanning electron microscope. Multivariate numerical analysis on morphological data was performed using cluster analysis and two ordination techniques: the multidimensional scaling and principal component analysis. The pattern of genetic variation was evaluated on 15 accessions of *D. indica* using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). The DNA for RAPD analysis was obtained from fresh materials only, either from glasshouse- or tissue culture-grown plants germinated from seeds. The other types of materials failed to produce DNA of sufficient amounts and quality.

Results of morphological data analysis indicated that there are six morphotypes, each representing a distinctive combination of seed type and other morphological characters. Examination on the geographic distribution of accessions, coupled with the geology and the average annual rainfall data suggested that these morphotypes occurred sympatrically, and that they did not exhibit distinct geographical and ecological patterns. Based on this
evidence, therefore, these morphotypes might represent varieties within
D. indica, or possibly even distinct species.

Cluster analysis and multidimensional scaling ordination on RAPD data
revealed a high degree of genetic dissimilarity between accessions and
between different morphotypes. The grouping of accessions based on RAPD
data did not correspond to that resulted from morphological analysis. A
comparison on the same set of samples (15 accessions) indicated that
accessions from different morphotypes grouped together in the same cluster
generated from RAPD data, and that there was no consistent pattern in the
grouping of these morphotypes. This result indicated that there were
differences in the pattern of within-species morphological and genetic
variation. The discrepancy between results from morphological and molecular
data was discussed. The two data sets, however, are in general agreement in
detecting the degree of similarity between accessions.

The high degree of genetic dissimilarity revealed from RAPD analysis
confirms the inbreeding nature of D. indica, and provides evidence on the
reproductive isolation between sympatric morphotypes. This result, therefore,
supports the recognition of the six defined morphotypes as distinct species.
Considering the wide range of distribution of D. indica across different
habitats and continents, however, further examination of specimens covering
as much as possible its range of geographic distribution and morphological
variation is required to justify the suggested taxonomic treatment.
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