



A Lidar for Cirrus and Mixed Phase Cloud Studies

Simon André Curtis B.Sc. (Honours)

Supervised by: Murray Hamilton and David Ottaway

Faculty of Science, School of Chemistry and Physics

The University of Adelaide

January 2015

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
as the requirement for the degree of Master of Philosophy

Declaration of Originality:

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Simon André Curtis

31/10/2014

Table of Contents

Declaration of Originality	ii
Table of Figures	v
List of Acronyms and Symbols	vii
Acknowledgements.....	viii
Abstract.....	ix
1: Introduction.....	1
1.1: Aim.....	1
1.2: Background and Motivation.....	2
1.2.1: Clouds.....	2
1.2.2: Effect on Climate.....	3
1.2.3: Interaction with Aerosols	4
1.2.4: Lidar Techniques	5
1.2.5: Recent Studies of Interest.....	7
1.3: Data Validation Sources.....	8
1.3.1: CALIOP.....	8
1.3.2: Airport Instruments	10
1.4: Thesis Outline	10
2: Main Upgrade	11
2.1: Methods and Instrumentation.....	11
2.1.1: Overview	11
2.1.2: Physical Components	12
2.1.3: Software Components	16
2.2: Results.....	19
2.2.1 Comparison:.....	19
2.2.2 Statistics:.....	21
2.2.3: CALIOP Comparison Summary.....	22
2.3: Case Studies	23
2.3.1: Aerosol Layer	23
2.3.2: Ceilometer Comparison.....	24
2.3.3: Satellite Comparison	26
2.3.4: Streaky Clouds.....	29
2.4: Optical Thickness and Inversions	32

2.4.1: Methods:	32
2.4.2: Results	35
2.5: Chapter Summary.....	35
3: Polarisation Upgrade.....	36
3.1: Methods and Instrumentation.....	36
3.1.1: Physical Components	36
3.1.2: Software Alterations	38
3.2: Polarisation Results	41
3.2.1: Comparison.....	41
3.2.2: Cloud Height Frequencies	43
3.2.3: Depolarisation Ratio Statistics	44
3.3: Polarisation Case Studies	46
3.3.1: Ice Detection.....	46
3.3.2: Horizontally Oriented Plates	52
3.4: Chapter Summary.....	58
4: Lidar Simulation	59
4.1: Background	59
4.2: Simulation Description.....	60
4.3: Simulation Results	63
4.4: Chapter Summary.....	65
5: Discussion	66
5.1: Review.....	66
5.2: Proposed Further Changes	67
5.3: Proposed Future Measurements	69
Appendices.....	70
A1: Stokes Parameters	70
Bibliography	71

Table of Figures

Figure 1: The ground track of the eastern of the two CALIPSO satellite overpasses.	9
Figure 2: The ground track of the western of the two CALIPSO satellite overpasses.	9
Figure 3: Photo of equipment and roof hatch.	12
Figure 4: Schematic of operation of the lidar.	13
Figure 5: Schematic of the new laser driver circuit, without the preamplifier.	15
Figure 6: A screenshot of the lidar control program showing the new logarithmic scale function in use.	17
Figure 7: Flowchart of the MATLAB processing script.	18
Figure 8: Lidar data from the night of the 20 th of March 2013, from the original lidar.	19
Figure 9: Data from the 25 of May 2014, a night with similar weather conditions to the data collected before the pulse energy increase.	20
Figure 10: Normalised cloud occurrence fractions at different heights for the months of April, May and June.	21
Figure 11: Lidar data for the night of the 24 th of August, 2014.	23
Figure 12: 24 hours of cloud base heights from the Adelaide Airport ceilometer.	24
Figure 13: Radiosonde data plotted onto a skew-t chart by the University of Wyoming's radiosonde data archive.	25
Figure 14: Lidar data for the night of the 15 th of April, 2014.	26
Figure 15: The satellite data corresponding to the night of the 15 th of April, 2014.	27
Figure 16: Lidar data from the night of the 26 th of May, 2014.	28
Figure 17: The satellite data for the night of the 26 th of May, 2014.	28
Figure 18: Lidar data for the night of the 10 th of June, 2014.	29
Figure 19: Lidar data from the night of the 17 th of May, 2014.	30
Figure 20: CALIOP thermodynamic phase estimates for the pass on the night of the 17th of May, 2014.	31
Figure 21: Flowchart of the steps used to obtain an optical thickness.	32
Figure 22: A plot illustrating the fitting of two curves of $P \times r^{-2}$ to the clear air signal above and below the cloud.	33
Figure 23: Flowchart of the steps used to obtain a lidar ratio.	34
Figure 24: The polarisation switch, attached to the bottom of the telescope.	37
Figure 25: Diagram of the receiver system after the installation of the polarisation equipment.	37
Figure 26: A screenshot of the polarised lidar control program showing the new 'View' menu.	39
Figure 27: Lidar data from the night of the 26 th of June, 2014.	41
Figure 28: Lidar data for the night of the 13 th of July, 2014.	42
Figure 29: Normalised cloud occurrence fractions at different heights for the months of July, August and September.	43
Figure 30: A histogram showing the frequency of different depolarisation ratio ranges for July, August and September.	44
Figure 31: Lidar depolarisation ratio data for the night of the 11 th of July, 2014.	45
Figure 32: Lidar return strength data for the night of the 14 th of July, 2014.	46

Figure 33: Lidar depolarisation ratio data for the night of the 14 th of July, 2014.....	47
Figure 34: Lidar return strength data for the night of the 31 st of July, 2014.	48
Figure 35: Lidar depolarisation ratio data for the night of the 31 st of July, 2014.....	48
Figure 36: A radiosonde profile from the morning of the 1 st of August, 2014, showing the freezing level at around 800 m.....	49
Figure 37: Lidar return strength data for the night of the 8 th of September, 2014.....	50
Figure 38: Lidar depolarisation data from the night of the 8 th of September, 2014.	50
Figure 39: Radiosonde data from the evening of the 8 th of September, 2014, puts the freezing level as just below 3 km.....	51
Figure 40: Lidar return strength data from the night of the 8 th of August, 2014.	53
Figure 41: Lidar depolarisation ratio data from the night of the 8 th of August, 2014.....	53
Figure 42: Radiosonde data from the following morning (9 th of September) gives the temperature of the 5 to 6 km layer as between -10 and -20 °C.....	54
Figure 43: Lidar return strength data for the night of the 15 th of August, 2014.	55
Figure 44: Lidar depolarisation ratio data for the night of the 15 th of August, 2014.....	55
Figure 45: The radiosonde data for the next morning gives the freezing point at 1.5 km.	56
Figure 46: Lidar return strength data for the night of the 26 th of September, 2014.....	57
Figure 47: Lidar depolarisation data for the night of the 26 th of September, 2014.	57
Figure 48: The radiosonde data says that clouds are likely between 4 and 5 km, and the temperatures are between -10 and -20°C, again.	58
Figure 49: Flowchart describing the main steps of the lidar simulation.....	61
Figure 50: Simulated return from a 1 km thick cloud of bullet rosettes (scattering properties from the Yang (2013) dataset) with a base height of 10 km.....	63
Figure 51: Simulated return from a 1 km thick cloud of bullet rosettes (scattering properties from the Yang (2013) dataset) with a base height of 10 km.....	64
Figure 52: Simulated values of the total depolarisation ratio and the single and multiply scattered components of the depolarisation throughout the thickness of a bullet rosette cloud.	65

List of Acronyms and Symbols

%	Percentage
°C	Degrees Celsius
CALIOP	Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarisation
CALIPSO	Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations
CW	Continuous Wave
<i>I</i>	Stokes Parameter - Total intensity
KHz	Kilo hertz
Km	Kilo metre
LIDAR	LIGHT Detection And Ranging
m	Metre
mA	Milli amp
nJ	Nano joule
MHz	Mega hertz
ms	Milli seconds
ns	Nano seconds
m^{-1}	per Metre
mrad	Milli radian
<i>Q</i>	Stokes Parameter - Degree of horizontal/vertical polarisation
Qt	A C++ development environment
s	Second
<i>U</i>	Stokes Parameter - Degree of oblique polarisation
μ s	Micro second
v	Volts
<i>V</i>	Stokes Parameter - Degree of circular polarisation
W	Watt

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank a variety of people and organisations for their direct and indirect contributions to this work. Without them, the quality and quantity of the work done in this project would have suffered.

Firstly my supervisors, Murray Hamilton and David Ottaway, for their expertise and support.

The Australian Antarctic Division for lending us the polarisation equipment. Without it, the depolarisation ratio measurements wouldn't be possible.

NASA for supplying the CALIPSO data, the primary source of validation data.

The Bureau of Meteorology, in particular Samantha Conner, for making their various data products available.

Wyoming University for their radiosonde data archive website.

Ping Yang for supplying the modelled scattering properties of ice crystals dataset (Yang, 2013). Unfortunately, I didn't get to do much with it.

Richard White for constructing the original lidar hardware and software, and then showing me how to use it. I would have had no idea how to write the lidar control program from scratch.

And finally, the workshop support people.

Software used:

MATLAB.

Qt; in particular the QWT library.

Licel Software.

Abstract

Cirrus and mixed phase clouds represent a major uncertainty in climate and weather models. This uncertainty can be reduced with a better understanding of the lifecycle and radiative properties of cirrus and mixed phase clouds, and by inputting local measurements into models. A cloud's radiative properties are dependent on the thermodynamic phase of the cloud particles. Measurements made with a polarimetric lidar can be used to determine thermodynamic phase and improve our understanding of cirrus and mixed phase clouds. Few polarimetric lidar instruments are used in the southern hemisphere, representing a gap in understanding and measurements. An existing lidar instrument was upgraded and run for 6 months; 3 months with polarisation measurements. Important properties such as height, frequency of occurrence and thermodynamic phase have been measured up to heights of around 6 km. These measurements are consistent with ground and satellite based lidar, and with radiosonde measurements. Methods for determining additional properties of the clouds, such as the optical thickness and lidar ratio were researched. Sufficient measurements of cloud macrophysical properties allow for the determination of cloud microphysical properties, such as particle density and shape. To assist with determining these properties a polarimetric lidar simulation was written. Microphysical properties were not determined due to the lidar lacking sufficient range and resolution. Due to the low peak power of the laser used, increasing the range and resolution by increasing the peak power of the laser would be relatively easy.