Economics and political economy of agricultural trade-related policies in China

By Wenshou Yan

THESIS

Submitted to the University of Adelaide In partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Economics November 2016

Abstract	V
DeclarationV	III
Acknowledgements	X
List of Tables	X
List of Figures	XI
Chapter 1: Introduction	.1
1.1 Background	. 1
1.2 Literature review	. 3
1.2.1 Determinants of long-term trends in distortion policies	. 3
1.2.2 Short-term trade restrictiveness and world price fluctuations	.4
1.2.3 Domestic public storage policy and price stabilization	. 5
1.3 Research questions	.6
1.4 Structure of the thesis	. 6
Chapter 2: Determinants of agricultural protection trends in China: Theory	.9
2.1 Introduction	. 9
2.2 Literature review	11
2.3 Income distribution model	13
2.3.1 Why extend to a three-sector model?	13
2.3.2 Model assumptions	13
2.3.3 Three-sector general equilibrium assuming no trade distortions	15
2.3.4 Income redistribution effects of changing agricultural trade distortions	17
2.4 Political support model	24
2.4.1 Model settings and predictions	24
2.4.2 Illustration of political equilibrium	27
2.4.3 Determinants of partial political equilibrium	29

Table of Contents

Chapter 3: Determinants of agricultural protection trends in China: Empirica	s 32
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Literature review	
3.2.1 Earlier literature	
3.2.2 Recent developments	
3.3 Data and methodology	
3.3.1 Variable descriptions and data sources	
3.3.2 Estimation methodology	
3.3.3 Estimation expectations	
3.4 Main results	
3.4.1 Economic factors and the self-sufficiency ratio	
3.4.2 Poverty and inequality	
3.4.3 Political contributors	
3.5 Robustness checks	51
3.6 Conclusions	52
Appendix	54
Chapter 4: Geographic politics, loss aversion, and trade policy: The case of China	
4.1 Introduction	59
4.2 Literature review	60
4.2.1 Political incentives driving inefficient and suboptimal policies	60
4.2.2 Loss aversion and trade policy interventions	62
4.3 Geography, politically sensitive products, and preference	63
4.3.1 Geography and politically sensitive products	63
4.3.2 Politically sensitive regions: Xinjiang	64
4.3.3 The role of cotton in Xinjiang	65
4.3.4 Cotton trade policy in China	67
4.4 Theoretical framework	71
4.4.1 Model assumptions	71
4.4.2 A small country model: Three scenarios	75
4.4.3 Do terms of trade effects matter?	
4.5 Empirical test	

4.5.1 Data sources	00
4.5.1 Data sources	
4.5.2 The effects of political sensitivity on cotton trade protection	
4.6 Conclusions	
Appendix	101
Chapter 5: Political economy of trade and storage policies coordination, a	and the role of
domestic public storage in the world market	
5.1 Introduction	
5.2 Literature review	
5.3 Government motivations behind domestic storage policies	
5.3.1 Model framework and predictions	
5.3.2 China's role in the world cotton market	
5.4 Data and methodology	
5.4.1 Data and variables	
5.4.2 Setting the VAR model	
5.5 Diagnostic tests, estimation, and forecast evaluations	
5.5.1 Stationary tests	
5.5.2 Fix the lags of the dependent variables	
5.5.3 Cointegration test and equations test	
5.5.4 Model evaluation based on two types of forecasts	
5.5.5 Dynamic interactions between variables	
5.6 Simulations	
5.6.1 Does China's storage cause the world cotton price to change?	
5.6.2 Simulating the effects of a reduction of China's cotton storage	
5.7 Conclusions	
Chapter 6: Conclusion and policy implications	133
6.1 Concluding remarks	
6.2 Policy implications	
Appendix: List of Abbreviations	137
Bibliography	

Abstract

This thesis seeks to understand the simultaneous economic and political contributors to China's changing agricultural protection levels and the central government's choice of policy instruments to tax or assist farmers. It begins in Chapter 2 by theoretically exploring the motivations behind agricultural trade-related support policies through extending the two-sector specific factors production model to three sectors, to make it more relevant for a one-party state such as China. That review suggests the switch from taxing to subsidizing the agricultural sector depends not only on changes in the economy's structure but, more critically, on the underlying political support from heterogeneous interest groups in the course of economic development. The equilibrium agricultural protection level is determined by equating the marginal political returns from supporting farmers to marginal political costs from opposing groups (including manufacturers).

Chapter 3 tests that theory empirically, using panel data on agricultural distortions for the period 1981 to 2010 from Anderson and Nelgen (2013). When using the relative rate of assistance as the agricultural protection indicator, the results are robust. The study concludes that (1) arable land per capita, the proportion of the workforce in the agricultural sector, and the self-sufficiency ratio are more significant in China that elsewhere; and (2) inequality is more significant than poverty in contributing to the changes in China's agricultural trade-related policies.

Around that long-running trend in the level of assistance to the farm sector are considerable fluctuations in support from year to year, not least because of fluctuations in international prices of agricultural products. Chapter 4 seeks to explain the Chinese government's responses to world market price fluctuations. It develops a theoretical model of trade policy incorporating loss aversion and reference dependence. Like Freund and Özden (2008), this chapter (unlike Chapter 5) assumes only trade policy instruments are available to the

government, but it goes beyond their model by adding a spatial dimension to interest-group politics. The model suggests that: (1) politically sensitive products receive more trade protection; (2) the government's changing trade distortions insulate the domestic market from international price fluctuations by setting trade protection lower (higher) when the world price is higher (lower) than a targeted domestic reference price; and (3) variations in market intervention help producers at the expense of consumers in periods when the international price is well below trend, and help consumers at the expense of producers in high-price periods. These predictions from theory are shown to still hold when the model is extended to a large country case involving terms of trade effects. The model is tested empirically and found to offer a plausible explanation of the puzzling changes in cotton protection in China.

In practice, the government does have other instruments besides trade restrictions to alter domestic producer and consumer prices in the face of fluctuating international prices. Chapter 5 explores the role that public storage policy can play in contributing to the government's objective of stabilizing the domestic market price of farm products. The political economy theory developed in Chapter 4 is extended to incorporate domestic storage, so as to explore government motivations in the context of border and domestic policy coordination. Domestic storage policy can add to price stabilization in the presence of trade policies, and can reinforce a price-insulating trade policy through increasing the country's market power. However, the effects of these two price stabilization instruments on the international market price are in opposite directions. The effect of storage on the world market is then tested, again using China cotton as a case study. The VAR econometrics reveal that in the case of cotton during 2011-14, China as a large player in the global market was able to stabilize to a non-trivial extent the international price of cotton through altering its public stockpile.

The final Chapter of the thesis draws out implications for policy makers in China and elsewhere. One is that the Chinese government should not apply trade distortions since they reduce resource allocation efficiency, social welfare, and consumer utility. Another is that domestic public storage policy, rather than trade distortions, could be an effective way to achieve domestic political targets. If managed well enough, storage could be less distortive of world agricultural markets than trade policy; but if poorly managed, it could add to the disruptions that trade policies bring to those markets.

Key words: Political economy, agricultural trade-related policies, public storage policy, international market price volatility, geographic politics, loss aversion, specific factor model, political support model, cotton, China

JEL classification: C32, E64, F13, F14, F59, Q11, Q17, Q18, D72, O38

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and, where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed:

25.11.2016 Date: _____

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to sincerely thank my principal supervisor Professor Kym Anderson for his time, generous supervision and insightful advice during the past four years. The joy, enthusiasm and diligence he has for his research was contagious and motivational for me. Without his direction and assistance, this thesis could not have been completed.

My appreciation also goes to my co-supervisors, Dr. Florian Ploeckl, Dr. Nicholas Sim and Dr. Tatyana Chesnokova. They provided valuable comments and advice to enable me to complete this thesis.

I also benefited from the courses and workshops taught by Professor Kym Anderson, Dr. Tatyana Chesnokova, Dr. Terence Cheng, Dr. Firmin Doko Tchatoka, Dr. Dmitriy Kvasov, Professor Paul Pezanis-Christou, Professor Richard Pomfret, Dr. Nicholas Sim and Dr. Jacob Wong. I am thankful also to the professional staff in the School of Economics who helped in a number of ways. Financial support from the China Scholarship Council is gratefully acknowledged as well.

I also express my thanks to my friend, esspecially Di, Kai, Xiaobo, Faqin, Hang, Jiang, Dou, Lin, Qin, Xue, Kaixing, Ye, Wenxi, Wenxiao and Lingyu for caring and supporting me.

Finally, I wish to thank my wife Xi Yang for her support and encouragement, and my baby Ruichen Yan, who gives me the greatest incentive to enjoy life. I also thank my family members and Xi's family members for their endless love, understanding and unconditional support which could not be enumerated here one by one.

With all my deepest appreciation to all.

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Agricultural protection levels in China, 1981 to 2014	10
Table 3-1: List of variables and data sources	38
Table 3-2: Summary statistics	40
Table 3-3: Nominal Rates of Assistance and economic determinants	43
Table 3-4: Nominal Rates of Assistance and poverty ratio	46
Table 3-5: Nominal Rates of Assistance and income inequality	48
Table 3-6: Nominal Rates of Assistance and political institution quality	49
Table 3-7: Nominal Rates of Assistance and political institution quality	50
Table 3-8: Relative Rates of Assistance and economic determinants	54
Table 3-9: Relative Rates of Assistance and poverty ratio	55
Table 3-10: Relative Rates of Assistance and income inequality	56
Table 3-11: Relative Rates of Assistance and political quality	57
Table 3-12: Relative Rates of Assistance and institutional quality	58
Table 4-1: Proportion of minority in total population in each province in 2014	65
Table 4-2: Cotton net import value during 2005 to 2015	68
Table 4-3: Effect of politically sensitive product on the changes of protection level	93
Table 4-4: Correlation between cotton trade protection and international market price	96
Table 4-5: World price changes on changes of cotton protection level	97
Table 4-6: Changes of the world price and changes of protection level	98
Table 4-7: Statistics of Han-Uyghur conflict cases during 2007 to 2015	101
Table 5-1: China's role in the international cotton market	115
Table 5-2: Overview of data and sources	117
Table 5-3: Basic statistics of variables	118
Table 5-4: Unit root tests on data series during 1975 to 2014	120
Table 5-5: Selection-order criteria output	120
Table 5-6: Results of equation by equation diagnostic tests	121
Table 5-7: Results of block exogeneity (Granger causality) test	129

List of Figures

Figure 2-1: China's changing agricultural protection levels during 1981 to 2010	9
Figure 2-2: Model assumptions about the structure of the economy	5
Figure 2-3: Illustration of the model's market equilibrium	6
Figure 2-4: Political market for agricultural protection	8
Figure 3-1: NRAs and GDPPC, China and other developing countries	3
Figure 3-2: Heterogeneous effects of determinants on agricultural protection between China	
and the rest of the world	2
Figure 4-1: Cotton trade protection fluctuations between Jan 2005 and Jan 2015	0
Figure 4-2: Geographic distribution of China's cotton production in 2012	6
Figure 4-3: China's actual Tariff Rate Quota system	8
Figure 4-4: China's cotton import composition during 2008 to 2013	9
Figure 4-5: Cotton compared with other agricultural products' trade protection	2
Figure 4-6: Relationships between world price, reference price and trade protection9	5
Figure 5-1: Stock-to-use ratio and world cotton price	3
Figure 5-2: Nash world price as functions of preferences for price stability and storage	
revenue	4
Figure 5-3: VAR model's stability test 12	2
Figure 5-4: Within-sample prediction of VAR model 12	2
Figure 5-5: Out-of-sample forecast between 2011 and 2014 12	3
Figure 5-6: Responses of world cotton price to changes in all other variables	5
Figure 5-7: Forecast error variance decomposition12	7
Figure 5-8: Simulations of decreasing China's cotton storage by 20% (Scenario 1) and 50%	
(Scenario 2)	0