

**MOLECULAR GENETICS OF RESIDUAL FEED INTAKE AND MITOCHONDRIAL
FUNCTION IN CATTLE**

**By
Nadiatur Akmar Zulkifli**

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfilment
of the requirement of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy



**The University of Adelaide
School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences
July 2016**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents	ii
Index of Figures	vii
Index of Tables	ix
Index of Appendices	xii
Abstract	xiii
Declaration	xvi
Acknowledgements	xvii
Dedication	xix
Chapter 1 : Literature Review	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Cattle	3
1.2.1 Jersey cattle	3
1.2.2 Limousin cattle	4
1.2.3 Jersey x Limousin cattle	6
1.2.4 Genetics of cattle	6
1.3 Residual feed intake	7
1.3.1 Residual feed intake as a genetic trait	10
1.3.2 Measurement of feed intake	11
1.3.2.1 Centralised testing facility	12
1.3.2.2 On-farm testing	12
1.3.3.3 Measurement on pasture	12
1.3.3 Genetic correlations for measures of body composition with residual feed intake	13
1.3.4 Quantitative trait loci in residual feed intake	14
1.4 Mechanisms affecting residual feed intake	17
1.4.1 Energy metabolism	17
1.4.2 Mitochondrial function	19
1.4.3 Cellular respiration process	21

1.4.3.1 Glycolysis	21
1.4.3.2 Krebs cycle	24
1.4.3.3 Electron transport chain	26
1.4.3.3.1 Complex I	27
1.4.3.3.2 Complex II	28
1.4.3.3.3 Complex III	28
1.4.3.3.4 Complex IV	29
1.4.3.3.5 Complex V	29
1.4.3.4 Oxidative phosphorylation	31
1.4.3.5 Reactive oxygen species	32
1.4.4 Other known energy pathways affecting residual feed intake	34
1.4.4.1 Uncoupling proteins	34
1.4.4.2 Adenine monophosphate activated protein kinase (AMPK)	34
1.5 Relationship between mitochondrial function and residual feed intake in animals	35
1.5.1 Poultry	35
1.5.2 Pigs	36
1.5.3 Cattle	37
1.6 Summary	38
Chapter 2 : Materials and Methods	40
2.1 QTL mapping of residual feed intake in cattle	41
2.1.1 Cattle QTL mapping experimental design	41
2.1.2 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNP) experiments	43
2.1.2.1 Selection of candidate genes for SNP detection	43
2.1.2.2 Genomic DNA purification	43
2.1.2.3 DNA concentration	44
2.1.2.4 Primer design	44
2.1.2.5 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	

3.3.1.2 <i>Aldolase B</i>	66
3.3.1.3 <i>Adenylate Kinase 1</i>	67
3.3.1.4 <i>Superoxide Dismutase 1</i>	68
3.3.1.5 <i>Succinyl Co A Synthetase</i>	69
3.3.1.6 <i>Superoxide Dismutase 2</i>	71
3.3.1.7 <i>Ghrelin</i>	71
3.3.1.8 <i>NADH Dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) I Beta Subcomplex, 5, 16kDa</i>	72
3.3.1.9 <i>NADH Dehydrogenase (Ubiquinone) I Alpha Subcomplex, 8, 19kDa</i>	73
3.3.1.10 <i>Hydroxyacyl-CoA Dehydrogenase-β Subunit</i>	73
3.3.2 Sequencing variants	74
3.4 Discussion	80
3.5 Summary	83
Chapter 4 : Candidate Gene Associations	85
4.1 Introduction	86
4.2 Methods	88
4.2.1 Genotyping	88
4.2.1.1 High Resolution Melt (HRM)	89
4.2.1.2 Data analysis	90
4.3 Results	90
4.3.1 High Resolution Melt (HRM)	90
4.3.2 SNP association studies	96
4.3.3 Haplotype effects	105
4.4 Discussion	108
4.5 Summary	114
Chapter 5 : Candidate Gene Pathways and Epistatic Effects	116
5.1 Introduction	117
5.2 Methods	117
5.3 Results	118

5.3.1 Pathway analysis	118
5.3.2 Epistatic effects	122
5.3.2.1 Candidate gene epistatic interactions	122
5.3.2.2 Additional epistatic interactions on RFI and fat traits	130
5.4 Discussion	131
5.5 Summary	134
Chapter 6 : Mitochondrial enzyme assays	136
6.1 Introduction	137
6.2 Materials and Methods	139
6.2.1 Liver samples	140
6.2.2 Analysis for biochemical assays	141
6.3 Results	141
6.3.1 Bradford assay	141
6.3.2 Complex I enzyme assay	142
6.3.3 Complex III enzyme assay	144
6.3.4 Complex IV enzyme assay	146
6.3.5 Protein carbonyl assay	148
6.4 Discussion	150
6.5 Summary	155
Chapter 7 : General discussion	156
7.1 Introduction	157
7.2 Residual feed intake and mitochondrial function	160
7.3 Residual feed intake candidate genes	163
7.4 Residual feed intake and body composition	166
7.5 Conclusion	168
Appendices	169
References	195

INDEX OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Relationship between feed intake and the expected weight gain	9
Figure 1.2 Contribution of biological mechanisms to variation in residual feed intake as determined from experiments on divergently selected cattle	19
Figure 1.3 Mitochondria structure	20
Figure 1.4 The reactions of glycolysis convert glucose to pyruvate	23
Figure 1.5 Reactions of the Krebs cycle	26
Figure 1.6 Electron transport chain	30
Figure 2.1 Davies cattle QTL mapping backcross design	42
Figure 3.1 Mechanism of oxidative cellular damage	65
Figure 3.2 Fructose utilisation in the liver showing its interrelationship with glucose and fatty acid metabolism	67
Figure 3.3 Regulation of energy homeostasis by the AMPK system	68
Figure 3.4 The disproportionation of superoxide is a two-step oxidation-reduction reaction that involves the cycling of the copper atom in SOD1 from Cu ²⁺ to Cu ⁺ and back to Cu ²⁺	69
Figure 3.5 Krebs cycle and methylmalonate metabolism	70
Figure 4.1 Melt curve of SOD2SNP3, a transversion SNP with two genotypes, GC and GG	92
Figure 4.2 Melt profile analysis of SOD2SNP3, a transversion SNP with two genotypes, GC and GG	92
Figure 4.3 Melt curve of HADSNP7, a transversion SNP with three genotypes, AT, TT and AA	93
Figure 4.4 Melt profile analysis of HADSNP7, a transversion SNP with three genotypes, AT, TT and AA	93
Figure 4.5 Melt curve of HADSNP2, a transition SNP with three genotypes, AA, GG and AG	94
Figure 4.6 Melt profile analysis of HADSNP2, a transition SNP with three genotypes, AA, GG and AG	94
Figure 6.1 Standard curve at 595 nm for low RFI samples	142

Figure 6.2 Correlation between mid-parent RFI EBV and Complex I activity	144
Figure 6.3 Correlation between mid-parent RFI EBV and Complex III activity	146
Figure 6.4 Correlation between mid-parent RFI EBV and Complex IV activity	148
Figure 6.5 Correlation between mid-parent RFI EBV and ROS activity	149

INDEX OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Heritability estimates for net feed efficiency in different species	11
Table 1.2 Genetic correlation for measures of body composition with residual feed intake	14
Table 1.3 NFE QTL identified in the Davies Jersey x Limousin herd	16
Table 2.1 PCR reagents concentration	45
Table 2.2 Sequencing reagents	47
Table 2.3 High resolution melt reagents concentration	50
Table 3.1 F1 mapping sires and their parents identification number	61
Table 3.2 Primer sets for neighbouring exons	62
Table 3.3 Primer sets for exon > 500bp	62
Table 3.4 Selected candidate genes and their function	64
Table 3.5 DNA variants identified in the candidate genes	75
Table 3.6 Summary of DNA variants found in candidate genes	78
Table 3.7 Synonymous and non-synonymous SNPs	78
Table 4.1 List of genotyped SNPs	89
Table 4.2 Summary data of the 14 genotyped SNPs	91
Table 4.3 Number of progeny with each genotype and allele frequencies	95
Table 4.4 Residual feed intake related traits	96
Table 4.5 Specific fat depot traits for association studies	97
Table 4.6 Traits affected by SNPs without and with <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	98
Table 4.7 Number of SNPs affecting each trait with and without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	100
Table 4.8 Fat traits affected by SNPs without and with <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	101
Table 4.9 Number of SNPs affecting fat depot trait with and without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	102

Table 4.10 SNP effects on daily feed intake (DFI) and residual feed intake (RFI) with and without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	103
Table 4.11 Additional SNPs analysed for effects on RFI with and without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	104
Table 4.12 Additional SNPs with significant effects on residual feed intake with and without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	105
Table 4.13 SNP interactions within genes without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	107
Table 4.14 SNP interactions within genes with <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	107
Table 5.1 Protein state interactions	120
Table 5.2 Gene expression interactions	121
Table 5.3 Tests of significance for SNP interactions between candidate genes affecting RFI related traits	125
Table 5.4 Tests of significant for SNP interactions between candidate genes affecting specific fat depot traits	128
Table 5.5 SNP interactions between genes for RFI and DFI with and without the <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	129
Table 5.6 Additional SNPs interactions with selected fat traits without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	130
Table 5.7 Additional SNPs interactions with selected fat traits with <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	130
Table 6.1 Complex I enzyme activity of high and low residual feed intake animals	142
Table 6.2 Regression analysis for RFI related traits and Complex I enzyme activity with correlation and test of significant slope	143
Table 6.3 Complex III enzyme activity of high and low residual feed intake animals	144
Table 6.4 Regression analysis for RFI related traits and Complex III enzyme activity with correlation and test of significant slope	145
Table 6.5 Complex IV enzyme activity of high and low residual feed intake animals	146
Table 6.6 Regression analysis for RFI related traits and Complex IV enzyme activity with correlation and test of significant slope	147
Table 6.7 Protein carbonyl content activity of high and low residual feed intake animals	148

Table 6.8 Regression analysis for RFI related traits and ROS concentration with correlation and test of significant slope	150
Table 7.1 Residual feed intake QTL in the Jersey x Limousin herd	164

INDEX OF APPENDICES

Appendix A.1.1	Jersey x Limousin RFI Phenotyping	170
Appendix A.1.2	Angus Trangie RFI Selection Lines	173
Appendix A.2	Purification Kit Protocol (UltraClean [®] PCR Clean-Up Kit, Mo Bio Laboratories Inc)	174
Appendix A.3	Purification of sequencing products	175
Appendix A.4	Ice-cold lysis buffer	175
Appendix A.5	Isolation buffer (pH 7)	176
Appendix A.6	Coomassie Blue solution	176
Appendix A.7	Complex I activity reagent mix	176
Appendix A.8	Complex III activity reagent mix	177
Appendix A.9	Complex IV activity reagent mix	177
Appendix A.10	Protein Carbonyl assay	178
Appendix B	List of primers designed for PCR	179
Appendix C.1	Haplotype SNP genotype frequencies	183
Appendix C.2	List of additional SNPs	184
Appendix D.1	Epistatic SNP genotype frequencies	186
Appendix D.2	Result of pathway analysis: no interactions	187
Appendix D.3	Result of pathway analysis: catalyze two conversions connected via a common molecule	189
Appendix D.4	SNP interactions between candidate genes without <i>MSTN</i> F94L genotype in the model	191
Appendix E	List of high and low residual feed intake animals according to mid-parents RFI EBV	194

ABSTRACT

Residual feed intake (RFI) is a measure of net feed efficiency, an economically important trait in livestock. RFI is affected by many factors including genetics and diet although residual feed intake is usually independent of diet as it is calculated for a group of animals on a standard feed test. The RFI of an animal depends on the ability of the animal to consume less feed than expected based on their weight gain and weight maintained during the feed testing period. Those that eat less than expected have a negative RFI and are deemed more efficient. Recent work has implicated mitochondrial function as being involved in the feed efficiency of livestock including cattle, sheep, pigs and poultry.

The objectives of this study were to identify genes involved in mitochondrial function that may affect net feed efficiency in cattle and to examine the enzyme activities in the mitochondria of high and low residual feed intake animals. Several quantitative trait loci (QTL) affecting feed efficiency were previously mapped in Jersey x Limousin double backcross progeny in three sire families. Based on the QTL mapping results, ten candidate genes related to mitochondrial function and energy metabolism were identified: *aldolase B (ALDOB)*, *adenylate kinase 1 (AK1)*, *catalase (CAT)*, *ghrelin (GHRL)*, *hydroxyacyl CoA dehydrogenase beta subunit (HADHB)*, *NADH dehydrogenase alpha subcomplex 8, 19kDa (NDUFA8)*, *NADH dehydrogenase beta subcomplex 5, 16kDa (NDUFB5)*, *superoxide dismutase 1, soluble (SOD1)*, *superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2)*, and *succinyl Co-A synthetase (SUCLG1)*.

All ten genes were sequenced in the three Jersey x Limousin sire families in order to locate DNA variants in the genes for association studies. A total of 58 DNA variants were discovered, which included six insertion/deletions (in/dels) and 52 single

nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Of the 52 SNPs, 34 SNPs were located in introns, 9 in exons and 9 in the untranslated regions (UTR).

Fourteen SNPs were selected for genotyping in the 366 progeny from the three sire families. Genotyping results were analysed to observe the effect of the SNPs with 27 RFI related traits and specific fat depot traits, including residual feed intake and daily feed intake. The F94L *myostatin* (*MSTN*) genotype was included in some of the models as this variant was known to be segregating in the progeny and has a major effect on body composition.

Only 4 SNPs in the candidate genes were associated with residual feed intake, 3 of which were in the *HADHB* gene. The haplotype of *HADHB* from these 3 SNPs explained 8.5% of the variation in RFI. The other SNP was in the *SOD1* gene, which had a p-value <0.001 for residual feed intake and explained another 3% of the variation in this trait. The gene with a significant haplotype effect was *NDUFB5*, which had a significant effect on residual feed intake ($p = 0.005$) and explained 5% of the variation.

To examine potential epistatic effects, interactions between the genes were also analysed. The analysis of the SNP interactions between genes revealed that residual feed intake was affected by 10 SNP interactions. A SNP in the *NADH dehydrogenase alpha subcomplex 8, 19kDa* gene (ND5SNP5') had the most interactions. Four SNP interactions (between *NDUFB5* and *SOD1*, between *NDUFA8* and *SUCLG1*, between *ALDOB* and *NDUFB5*, and between *HADHB* and *SOD1*) explained 21% of the RFI variation. Thus, the results indicate that DNA variants in genes involved in mitochondrial function and energy metabolism can influence RFI.

Mitochondria from animals differing in residual feed intake were measured for function by assaying major mitochondrial electron transport complexes and the reactive oxygen species. The liver mitochondrial assays included Complex I, III and IV enzyme activities as well as the protein carbonyl assay to measure the reactive oxygen species. The results indicated that Complex I and Complex III enzyme activities and the ROS concentration were significantly different between the high and low residual feed intake groups. The mitochondria from low RFI animals had higher Complex I and Complex III enzyme activity and more ROS.

The outcomes of this study contribute to the knowledge of net feed efficiency at the molecular level. The results indicate the mitochondria may indeed play a role in residual feed intake in animals and that genes involved in energy metabolism may have variants that affect efficiency. The role of mitochondria was shown both at the genetic and biochemical level. The results imply that residual feed intake is not entirely a function of body composition and/or appetite.

The DNA variants discovered in the candidate genes could potentially be used as genetic markers for selection although their size of effect was not large. Future studies would need to be conducted using different populations of cattle to verify the effects of the DNA variants identified herein on feed efficiency. It is also important to repeat the mitochondrial assays in different cattle populations that has not been selected for net feed efficiency or body composition in order to validate the relationship between residual feed intake, mitochondrial function and cellular efficiency.

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is a record of original work and contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Nadiatur Akmar Zulkifli. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Nadiatur Akmar Zulkifli

July, 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

When I first accepted the job as a tutor at the National University of Malaysia (UKM), I had no idea what was I getting myself into. Next thing I know, I was awarded a scholarship to further my studies in Animal Breeding and Genetics. Without the scholarship from the National University of Malaysia and the Ministry of Higher Education, I would not be where I am. Thank you.

My sincere gratitude goes to Professor Cynthia Bottema, the best supervisor one can ever wished for. Thank you for having faith in me, for being really patient with all my antics, for answering the same question over and over again, for guiding me through all the tedious lab work, and for being a great mentor and role model. To Professor Wayne Pitchford, I extend my gratitude for helping out with the analysis and providing ideas for me to ponder, as well as sharing lessons of life. To Dr Brian Siebert, for assisting me with the biochemical assays experiments, I am truly indebted.

My bumpy PhD journey has been made easier with the help of my brilliant labmates. Irida Novianti, who is more like a sister to me, and who was there through thick and thin. Rugang Tian, the person to turned to when things do not work out in the lab. Lei-Yao Chang, someone to discuss with about basically everything. Andrew Egarr, who patiently help us improve our English. David Lines, the problem solver when it comes to technical issues. I am sincerely thankful for all your help and I hope our paths will cross again someday.

Adapting to the new life and environment in Australia within a short time was possible with the help of these lovely individuals. Audrey Stratton, Jane Copeland, Mary-Rose and Sally Polkinghorne from the International Student Services, for making us feel welcomed. Rebecca Athorn, Dessy Kusbandi, Liem Mahalaya, Tzu-Liu, Tai Yuan

Chan, Ruidong, Babu and Renu, the best neighbours ever. My special thanks go to Lesley Menzel, for the priceless friendship and all the pleasant memories. To the Mitochondria Group; Cons (ETC1), Liza (ETC3), Janet (ETC4) and Birte (ETC5), thank you for making me complete by transferring positive and happy vibes during the most critical time in my life.

To my parents, the one who brought me to the world, who raised me to be who I am, and loved me unconditionally no matter what. No words could describe my appreciation and love for them. To my brother, the person I could turn to in literally any situation and for solving all the mess I got myself into. Thank you.

And to my support system; Farah, Nida, Soffa, Izfa, Marlia, Salmah, and Liza. I would still be crumpled if it was not for your care and support. Thank you for picking me up and help me stand tall.

Above all, I thank God for His mercy and blessings.

DEDICATION

For the past, present and future.