



Growth and yield of durum and bread wheat

by

Akhmad Zubaidi

(Sarjana Pertanian, Agronomi)

(The University of Mataram, Lombok, Indonesia)

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Master of Agricultural Science

**Department of Plant Science
Waite Agricultural Research Institute
The University of Adelaide**

January, 1996

Dedicated
to my mother and late father,
my wife and children,
whom I love.

Table of content

Summary	vii
Declaration.....	x
Acknowledgements.....	xi
List of Tables.....	xiii
List of Figure.....	xviii
List of Appendices.....	xx
Chapter 1	1
General introduction.....	1
Chapter 2	5
Review of Literature.....	5
2.1. Introduction	5
2.2. Environment of South Australia.....	6
2.2.1. Climate.....	6
2.2.2. Soils.....	8
2.3. Growth and development of cereals in general.....	10
2.3.1. Germination	11
2.3.2. Tillering	12
2.3.3. Apical development.....	13
2.3.4. Grain development and growth.....	15
2.4. Effect of water stress on yield of winter cereals	15
2.5. Water Use Efficiency of cereals	19
2.5.1. Agronomic means of improving WUE.....	20
Plant population.....	20
Fallowing.....	21
Rotation.....	22
Nutrients.....	22
Sowing date.....	22
2.5.2. Genetic means of improving WUE.....	23
2.6. Root Growth	25
2.6.1. Root distribution.....	26
2.6.2. Root growth and water use.....	27
2.6.3. Nutrients and root growth	28
2.6.4. Mechanical impedance.....	29

2.6.5. Root growth of durum wheat.....	30
2.7. Nutrients, deficiency and toxicity.....	31
2.7.1. Zinc deficiency.....	31
2.7.2. Copper deficiency.....	32
2.7.3. Boron toxicity.....	32
2.7.4. Sodium toxicity.....	33
2.8. Concluding remarks.....	33
 Chapter 3.....	 36
Effects of water stress on apical development and yield of several winter cereals.....	 36
3.1. Introduction.....	36
3.2. Material and methods.....	37
3.2.1. Experimental design.....	37
3.2.2. Measurements.....	38
3.2.2.1. Apical development.....	38
3.2.2.2. Maturity harvest.....	39
3.2.3. Data analysis.....	39
3.3. Result.....	40
3.3.1. Yield and yield components.....	40
3.1.2. Apical development.....	44
3.4. Discussion.....	50
 Chapter 4.....	 52
Yield of several winter cereal cultivars in the field.....	52
4.1. Introduction.....	52
4.2. Material and method.....	53
4.2.1. Experimental design.....	53
4.2.2. Measurement.....	54
3.2.3. Data analysis.....	55
4.3. Results.....	55
4.4. Discussion.....	61
 Chapter 5.....	 64
Yield, water use, and root growth of durum and bread wheat.....	64
5.1. Introduction.....	64
5.2. Materials and method.....	65
5.2.1. Experimental design and experimental details.....	65

5.2.2. Plant sampling and measurement.....	66
5.2.3. Root sampling and measurement.....	67
5.2.4. Maturity harvest.....	69
5.2.5. Soil water content and water use.....	69
5.2.6. Data analysis.....	70
5.3. Results.....	70
5.3.1. Plant development.....	70
5.3.2. Plant growth.....	70
5.3.2.1. Roseworthy.....	70
5.3.1.2. Palmer.....	76
5.3.2. Total dry matter at maturity, yield and yield components at Roseworthy.....	79
5.3.3. Root growth.....	84
5.3.3.1. Roseworthy.....	84
5.3.3.2. Palmer.....	87
5.3.4. Plant water use.....	87
5.4. Discussion.....	90
 Chapter 6.....	 96
Yield, root growth, water use and nutrient uptake of durum and bread wheat.....	96
6.1. Introduction.....	96
6.2. Materials and method.....	97
6.2.1. Experimental design and experimental details.....	97
6.2.2. Plant sampling and measurements.....	98
6.2.3. Grain yield and yield components.....	99
6.2.4. Root sampling and measurement.....	99
6.2.5. Soil water content.....	100
6.2.6. Nutrient uptake.....	101
Preparation for ICP analysis.....	101
6.3. Results.....	102
6.3.1. Dry matter production and tillering.....	102
6.3.1.1. Bread wheat vs. durum wheat.....	102
6.3.1.2. Durum wheat.....	105
6.3.2. Grain yield and yield components.....	105
6.3.2.1. Bread wheat vs. durum wheat.....	105
6.3.2.2. Durum wheat.....	106
6.3.3. Level of disease and moisture stress.....	106

6.3.4. Root growth.....	109
6.3.5. Plant nutrient concentration and uptake.....	111
6.3.5.1. Nitrogen.....	112
6.3.5.2. Phosphorus	115
6.3.5.3. Potassium.....	115
6.3.5.4. Boron.....	120
6.3.5.5. Zinc	123
6.3.5.6. Manganese.....	123
6.3.5.7. Sodium.....	128
6.3.5.8. Iron.....	128
6.3.6. Soil water content.....	133
6.3.7. Root growth and nutrients uptake	134
6.4. Discussion.....	135
 Chapter 7	 140
General discussion and conclusion.....	140
7.1. Yield and water use.....	141
7.2. Root growth.....	144
7.3. Nutrient uptake.....	145
7.4. Conclusion.....	146
 References.....	 148
 Appendix.....	 161

Summary

Durum wheat is a relatively new crop in South Australia and many of the current varieties yield poorly compared with adapted bread wheats. A series of experiments was conducted to examine the growth and nutrient uptake of durum and bread wheat at a number of sites in South Australia. The experiments examined the response to water stress, the pattern of root and shoot growth, soil water extraction and nutrient uptake among a range of adapted bread wheat and durum wheat cultivars. Seasonal conditions varied considerably over the 3 years, with severe drought occurring in 2 years. Consequently growth and yield varied greatly between experiments.

Durum wheat cultivars had a high grain yield, similar to or higher than bread wheat, when grown in favourable conditions. However, when water stress was induced, the reduction of grain yield in durum wheat cultivars was higher than that of the bread wheat cultivars. Calculation of a drought susceptibility index (S) showed that durum wheat cultivars were more susceptible to drought than bread wheat. The yield components of durum wheats were all more sensitive than those of bread wheat showing that the greater sensitivity of durum wheat was evident throughout its growth cycle.

Durum wheat cultivars generally had a higher kernel weight than bread wheat cultivars, although they tended to produce fewer kernels/m². This appeared to be related^{to} durum wheat tillering less and producing fewer ears/m². Where durum wheat produced a similar number of ears/m² to bread wheat, grain yield was higher because of its

kernel weight. Therefore, although most yield components of durum wheat were more sensitive than those of bread wheat, the ability to produce larger number of fertile tillers/m² appears to be important in affecting the yield of durum wheat relative to bread wheat.

The early vigour of durum wheat was less than that of bread wheat. Durum wheat cultivars consistently produced fewer tiller/m² and less dry matter than bread wheat. This may result in low water use efficiency (WUE) in durum wheat because the loss of water ~~from~~^{by} evaporation from the soil surface early in the growing season maybe high.

Specific root length for both durum and bread wheat at all sites was generally lower than published values for wheat from Australian sites. Root growth of durum was not less than that of bread wheat when grown in a fertile soil, however durum's root growth was less than that of bread wheat when compared at a site with poorer fertility. Although there were differences in root growth between genotypes, ~~it~~^{they were} not highly correlated with water use or yield. It is suggested that other factors may be more important than total root length in determining water use and grain yield.

The concentration of nutrients were found to be deficient for nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and zinc while boron concentration was found to be high. These nutritional problems are often found to inhibit plant growth and yield in South Australian wheat growing areas, but ⁱⁿ at the present experiment^s, the concentrations^s of nutrients were not significantly different between durum and bread wheat. However, there were large differences in the sodium concentration and uptake between durum and bread wheat, with the concentrations of sodium being much

important nutritional constraint for durum wheat in South Australia. However, some genotypes were able to maintain growth and yield despite high sodium concentrations, suggesting it is feasible to breed for greater salinity tolerance.

Despite the limited range of genotypes used in the study, a number of conclusions can be drawn from this study:

(1) Under glasshouse and field conditions, it was found that durum wheat has a potential to produce a comparable yield to bread wheat when soil moisture deficits do not limit growth, or when foliar disease is severe. However, when growing under water deficits or nutritional stress, the yield of durum wheat was reduced more than bread wheat;

(2) The greater sensitivity of durum wheat to water stress compared to bread wheat occurred in most yield components;

(3) The early vigour of durum wheat was poor which may affected its ability to use water efficiently, especially in low rainfall areas;

(4) Root growth in the subsoil of durum wheat was less than that of bread wheat when grown in a nutritional poor soil, but not a more fertile soil, suggesting that sensitivity to nutrient stress may be an important factor in root growth and use of subsoil moisture reserves.

(5) High sodium concentrations were measured in durum wheat shoots and this may be a potential constraint of durum wheat production in the sodic soils of South Australia.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

SIGNED: __

DATE: 22/01/96

Acknowledgments

This study has been carried out under the supervision of Dr. Glenn K. McDonald and Mr. Gilbert J. Hollamby. I owe the most gratitude to Dr. McDonald, who has given generously his time and excellent supervision. I thank him for his understanding and warm encouragement through my work, especially for his endless patience and constructive criticism. I also wish to express my sincere thanks to Mr. Hollamby for his invaluable help throughout this study.

I am indebted Mr. R. N. Pederson of the South Australian Research and Development Institute Northfield for his assistance with the measurements of root length and his kindness in allowing me to use his computer analysis package for analysing root systems.

I gratefully acknowledge Mr. Geoff Dean and Mr. David Smith for their help and assistance in field experiments, and Mr. Nick Robinson and Ms. Teresa Fowles for their help in ICP analysis. My thanks are also due to the staff and students of the Department of Plant Science, Waite Institute for their friendship. Many thanks to Ms. Lynn Giles and Ms. Rita Middleberg for their assistance with statistical analysis and to Mr. Emiel Storcken for assistance in using the computer software packages.

This study was financed by the Australian Agency for International Development (AusAID). It is a pleasure to acknowledge this support, and I thank the staff for their assistance and friendship. I also wish to thank

Dr. Mansur Ma'shum (Head) and Dr. Ian Staff (Long Term Advisor) of Local Project Implementation Unit (LPIU) Unram, Indonesia - Australia Eastern University Project (IAEUP) for the opportunity to get this scholarship. I also thank to the University of Mataram for granting me study leave.

My thanks are also due to Dr. Kenneth W. Shepherd and family for their moral support during stay in Adelaide.

I also wish to thank to my parents, parents in law, brothers and sister for their moral support and their help in my absence from home. Finally, I express my deepest gratitude to my wife, Ratna and daughters, Annisa and Amalia for their support and patience during my study in Adelaide.

List of tables

Table 2.1. Nutrient and physical constraints of soil in South Australia (adapted from Naidu <i>et al.</i> , 1993).....	9
Table 3.1. Effect of water stress on the dry matter production, grain yield, ears/pot, kernel weight and drought susceptibility index (S) of 4 winter cereal cultivars, 1992	41
Table 3.2. Effect of water stress on the yield components of 4 winter cereal cultivars, 1992.....	42
Table 3.3. Percentage reduction by drought stress in grain yield, dry matter accumulation, spikelets/pot, ears/pot and grains/pot for a range of cereal cultivars.....	45
Table 3.4. Summary of analysis of variance for dry matter accumulation, grain yield and yield components	50
Table 4.1. Dry matter accumulation at maturity (DM), plot and quadrat grain yield, ears/m ² and spikelets/ear of cereal cultivars at Roseworthy in 1992.....	56
Table 4.2. Yield components of cereal cultivars at Roseworthy, in 1992.....	57
Table 4.3. Dry matter accumulation, tiller/m ² , the differences between canopy and air temperature (T _c -T _a), leaf score, and development stage of Roseworthy Exp. 1992, observed just after plant flowering (13 October).....	60
Table 5.1. Development stages (Zadoks scale) of cereals cultivars at Roseworthy and Palmer, 1993. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	72
Table 5.2. Dry matter accumulation of cereal cultivars at Roseworthy, 1993. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	74

Table 5.3. The number of tillers/m ² in 14 genotypes of winter cereals at Roseworthy, 1993. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	75
Table 5.4. The leaf area index (LAI) of 14 cultivars of winter cereals at Roseworthy and Palmer, 1993. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	76
Table 5.5. Dry matter accumulation of cereal cultivars at Palmer 1993. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	78
Table 5.6 The number of tillers/m ² in 14 genotypes of winter cereals at Palmer, 1993	79
Table 5.7. Dry matter accumulation at maturity, plot and quadrat grain yield, and harvest index of 14 genotypes of winter cereals at Roseworthy. Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level, based on the HSD.....	81
Table 5.8. Yield components of 14 cultivars of winter cereals grown at Roseworthy, 1993.....	82
Table 5.9. Averaged values for grain yield and yield components of durum and bread wheat at Roseworthy.....	83
Table 5.10. Root weight of cereals in the surface 10 cm of soil at Palmer at 4 weeks after sowing.....	88
Table 5.11. The increase in dry matter production (δ DM), total water use (TWU) and water use efficiency (WUE) of Excalibur, Kamilaroi, Yallaroi and Belioni for the period of 11 October to harvest (16 December) at Roseworthy.	90
Table 6.1. Dry matter production by a range of bread and durum wheat cultivars at Palmer, 1994.	103
Table 6.2. Tillers/m ² in bread and durum wheat cultivars at Palmer, 1994.	104

Table 6.3. Plot grain yield, quadrat grain yield, dry matter accumulation and harvest index of bread and durum wheat at Palmer, 1994. Means followed by same letter are not significantly different.....	107
Table 6.4. Yield component and the difference between canopy temperature and air temperature (T_C-T_A) for bread and durum wheat at Palmer, 1994.....	108
Table 6.5. The root length per gram of root dry weight (L_V/RDM) of Excalibur, RHare-880011 and Yallaroi, at Palmer 1994.....	111
Table 6.6. Root length per unit ground area (L_a) of Excalibur, RHare 880011 and Yallaroi, 1994.....	112
Table 6.7a. The concentration of nitrogen (%) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	113
Table 6.7b. The amount of nitrogen (g/m^2) in the whole shoot at all harvest times and in the straw, grain, total amount and nitrogen harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	114
Table 6.8a. The concentration of phosphorus (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	116
Table 6.8b. The amount of phosphorus (mg/m^2) in the whole shoot at all harvest times and in the straw, grain, total amount and phosphorus harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	117
Table 6.9a. The concentration of potassium (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	118
Table 6.9b. The amount of potassium (mg/m^2) in the whole shoot at all harvest times and in the straw, grain, total amount and potassium harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	119

Table 6.10a. The concentration of boron (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	121
Table 6.10b. The amount of boron (mg/m ²) in the whole shoot at all harvest times and in the straw, grain, total amount and boron harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	122
Table 6.11a. The concentration of zinc (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.	124
Table 6.11b. The amount of zinc (mg/m ²) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw, grain, total amount and zinc harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	125
Table 6.12a. The concentration of manganese (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.	126
Table 6.12b. The amount of manganese (mg/m ²) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw, grain, total amount and manganese harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.	127
Table 6.13a. The concentration of sodium (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.	129
Table 6.13b. The amount of sodium (mg/m ²) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw, grain, total amount and sodium harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	130
Table 6.14a. The concentration of iron (ppm) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw and grain at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.	131

Table 6.14b. The amount of iron (mg/m^2) in the whole shoot at all harvest times, and in the straw, grain, total amount and iron harvest index (%) at maturity of durum and bread wheat at Palmer 1994.....	132
Table 6.15. Total soil moisture at different depth in plots of Excalibur, RHare-880011 and Yallaroi.....	133
Table 6.16. Average root length per unit ground area (L_a), increase in dry matter weight (δ DM), decrease in total soil moisture content (δ smc), and nutrient uptake per cm of root on the period of 4 Aug.-15 Sep. for Excalibur, RHare-880011 and Yallaroi.....	134
Table 7.1. Drought susceptibility index (S) of grain yield and yield component calculated from 3 field experiments with the results of experiment 1992 as the yield potential for Excalibur, Molineux, Kamilaroi and Yallaroi.....	142

List of figures

- Fig. 1. Adaptation profile of Yallaroi (durum wheat) vs. Spear (a local released and well adapted bread wheat) planted at 12 sites across South Australia (Adapted from South Australian Department of Primary Industries, 1991).....3
- Fig. 3.1. Correlation between grain yield and yield components (a) ears/pot, (b) kernels/pot, (c) kernel weight and (d) kernels/ear of cereal cultivars, 1992.....43
- Fig. 3.2.a. Spikelet number per ear of Excalibur, Molineux, Yallaroi and Wallaroi under stress and adequate water levels in glasshouse experiment, 1992.....46
- Fig. 3.2.b. Spikelet number per ear of Schooner, Skiff, Tahara and Abacus under stress and adequate water levels in glasshouse experiment, 1992.....47
- Fig. 3.3.a. Ear length of Excalibur, Molineux, Yallaroi and Wallaroi under stress and adequate water levels in glasshouse experiment, 1992.....48
- Fig. 3.3.b. Ear length of Schooner, Skiff, Tahara and Abacus under stress and adequate water levels in glasshouse experiment, 1992.....49
- Fig. 4.1. Relation between grain yield with dry matter weight (A), ears/m² (B), spikelets/ear (C), and tillers/m² (D) of bread wheat, durum wheat, triticale and barley at Roseworthy, 1992.....60
- Fig. 5.1. Correlation between grain yield of durum and bread wheat with kernels/m² (a), kernel weight (b), and ears/m² (c).....84

Fig. 5.2. Specific root length (a,b) and specific root weight (c,d) of 4 cultivars of cereals at Roseworthy, 18 weeks after sowing. Data have been presented on an arithmetic scale (a,c) to emphasis differences in 0-10 cm, and on a logarithmic scale (b,d) to emphasis difference in the sub-soil layers. Error bars are standard error of the means.....86

Fig. 5.3. Specific root length (a,b) and specific root weight (c,d) of 4 cultivars of cereals at Palmer, 13 weeks after sowing. Data have been presented on an arithmetic scale (a,c) to emphasis differences in 0-10 cm, and on a logarithmic scale (b,d) to emphasis difference in the sub-soil layers. Error bars are standard error of the means.89

Fig. 5.4. Average soil moisture content at plot of Excalibur, Kamilaroi, Yallaroi and Belliouni at Roseworthy, 1993.....91

Fig. 6.1. Specific root length (a, b, c) and specific root weight (d, e, f) of 3 cultivars of cereals at Palmer, 1994, observed at 9 weeks (a, d), 14 weeks (b, e) and 22 weeks (c, f) after sowing.....110

List of Appendixes

Appendices	161
Appendix A. Characteristics of durum wheat cultivars that were used in the experiments.....	162
Appendix B. Seeding density, 1000 grain weight, and grain weight per plot of cultivar used to achieve a plant population of 167 plants/m ² , 1992	163
Appendix C. Seeding density, 1000 grain weight, and grain weight per plot of cultivar used to achieve a plant population of 167 plants/m ² , 1993	164
Appendix D. Seeding density, 1000 grain weight and grain weight per plot of cultivar used to achieve a plant population of 167 plants/m ² , 1994.	165
Appendix E. Rainfall for Roseworthy in 1992, 1993 and the long term average and for Palmer in 1993 and 1994.....	166
Appendix F. Soil chemical analysis, 1994.....	167

List of abbreviations and symbols

cv.	cultivar
das	days after sowing
DM	dry matter
HI	harvest index
ICP	Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectrometry
L_a	root length per unit ground area (cm/cm^2)
LAI	leaf area index
L_v	root length per unit soil volume (specific root length) (cm/cm^3)
θ_g	gravimetric soil moisture content (g/g)
θ_v	volumetric soil moisture content (g/cm^3)
RDM	root dry matter weight
s.d. (sd)	standard deviation of data
s.e. (se)	standard error of means
v/v	volume/volume
WUE	water use efficiency
cm	centimeter
g	grams
ha	hectares
Kg	kilograms
L	liters
m	meter
mequiv.	milliequivalent
mg	milligrams
MJ	megajoule
mm	millimeter
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degrees Celcius
t	tonnes
μg	micrograms