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ABSTRACT

Atmospheric methane concentrations have risen from 823 ppb in 1841 to 1824 ppb in 2013. In that time methane concentrations have risen more rapidly than carbon dioxide levels. Methane also has twenty-one times more global warming potential than carbon dioxide which makes methane an increasingly important greenhouse gas. Demand for potentially cleaner energy sources such as coal seam gas (CSG) has also brought more attention to methane and the need to understand the global methane budget. While the majority of sources and sinks have been identified their individual contributions to the atmosphere are poorly understood. New technology using Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy (CRDS) allows for parts per billion atmospheric variations in greenhouse gas concentrations to be measured every 2-4 seconds. Methane concentrations were measured at a number of field sites including natural and anthropogenic sources, both as background levels in the atmosphere and inside a closed flux chamber. In the swamps examined in this study methane flux as measured using the flux chamber varied by up to two orders of magnitude for the same wetland. These results suggest that it is difficult to accurately determine the global output of methane from wetlands. The CRDS was also used to measure atmospheric concentrations of methane around cities, farms, coal mines and CSG production areas. CRDS is a useful tool to help understand individual sources and how much methane they could emit.
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Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the closed chamber in the dry ground testing set up. A – 124.3L closed chamber fitted with internal fan for even gas mixing, thermometer and barometer. a.) External battery attached to top for power. b.) weather logger. B - Picarro Analyser. a.) Chamber air flows past and a sample is taken roughly every 2-4 seconds into the Picarro analyser for CRDS. b) Sample air is returned to the out let hose back and into chamber to maintain pressure and volume. C - External air pump capable of pumping 6 litres per minute to speed up process. Chamber gas flow path

Figure 2: Graph of test 3, 4, 5 and 6 final laboratory tests using CO₂ gas on the 10/07/2014

Figure 3: 3a. Flux chamber CH₄ concentration change in ppm against time for all 3 tests on Lake Frome in the South East of South Australia. Test 1 was over shallower water but all 3 tests used the floating flux chamber method. 3b. Flux chamber CO₂ concentration change with time for same 3 tests. 3c. Flux chamber temperature change against time. Test 1 temperature reading failed after first reading represented by red square.

Figure 4: 4a. Flux chamber CH₄ concentration change against time for all 4 tests at Bool Lagoon in South Australia. Floating flux chamber method was used for all 4 tests. 4b. Flux chamber tests 1, 2 and 3 are shown to see change in concentration easier as test 4 limits the detail seen in figure 4a

Figure 5. 5a. Flux chamber CO₂ concentration change against time for all 4 tests at Bool Lagoon. 5b. Flux chamber change in temperature for all 4 tests. Test 4 temperature reading failed mid was through test. 5c. Flux chamber δ¹³CH₄ isotopic signature change with time for all 4 tests.

Figure 6: Flux chamber CH₄ concentration change against time in Killarney State Forest as a small wetland. Test 1 is taken on the 14/08/2014 in the afternoon and test 2 is taken on the 15/08/2014 in the morning. Both tests use the chamber over water up to 5cm deep with small water plants cover 50-60% of the ground. 6b. Flux chamber CO₂ concentration change against time for Test 1 and 2. 6c. Change in temperature for both tests.

Figure 7: 7a. Flux chamber CH₄ concentration change with time at Urrbrae Wetlands, South Australia. Test 1 on the 15/07/14 and Test 2 on the 22/07/14 and uses floating flux chamber method. 7b. Flux chamber test 1 as test 2 limits the detail of test 1

Figure 8: 8a. Flux chamber CO₂ concentration changes for Urrbrae wetlands. Test 1 on the 15/07/14 and Test 2 on the 22/07/14. 8b. Flux chamber temperature change with time for test 1. 8c. δ¹³CH₄ isotope change against time for both tests.

Figure 9: Lightning Ridge Bore Bath flux chamber results for test 1 and 2. Test 3 is not shown due to different sized chamber used. 9a. Flux chamber CH₄ concentration change with time. 9b. Flux chamber CO₂ concentration change with time. 9c. Flux chamber temperature change against time. 9d. δ¹³CH₄ isotope change with time.

Figure 10: Background atmospheric CH₄ concentration around Bool Lagoon, South Australia on the 20/08/2014. Location of flux chamber tests 1, 2, 3 and 4 are situated on the edges of the lagoon.

Figure 11: Atmospheric methane concentration in ppm in rice and agricultural fields between Griffith and Leeton, NSW. Right hand image from December 21st 2013 between the hours of 5-8am during the growing season where fields are flooded with water. Left hand images from August 18th 2014 between the hours of 6-9am during the preparation season and fields were dry.

Figure 12. Atmospheric methane concentration in ppm around Adelaide on July 22nd 2014 between the hours of 3pm and 8:30pm. 3a. map of whole area driven. 3b. zoomed in section of map 3a showing increased concentrations in a neighbourhood.

Figure 13: Atmospheric methane concentration in ppm from Muswellbrook to Singleton through open cut coal mines between 5pm and 8pm on the 15th August 2014.

Figure 14: Methane concentrations around Urrbrae Agricultural school using a CDRS background detection method with a longer hose attached to reach animal enclosures and over various sources on the 23rd July 2014.

Table 1: Laboratory results for flux chamber method using CO₂ gas. Test 1 and 2 conducted on the 9/7/14 and tests 2,4,5, and 6 on the 10/7/14.

Table 2: Flux Calculations for Chamber tests. NA indicates unavailable weather data due to weather logger failing, an approximate temperature is used with is written in brackets and 100 kPa is assumed for pressure. *different sized chamber used.