



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

**Guidance for time efficient
path following of under-
actuated differential thrust
AUVs**

Xue Jin

The University of Adelaide
South Australia 5005
Australia

*A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Master of Philosophy in Engineering on
the 15th April 2016.*

Summary

Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs) are relatively new underwater devices developed to execute missions in water without human operators. The advancements made in AUV technology have significant implications for a wide range of underwater applications. Guidance and control of AUVs plays an important role in many applications, and it is a challenging research topic, not only because of the significant nonlinearities and couplings in the AUV's dynamics, but the under-actuation found in typical AUVs.

This thesis presents new work contributing to time efficient path following of under-actuated AUVs. Different from the conventional fin-manoeuvered AUVs, the prototype vehicle considered in this thesis is a differential thrust manoeuvred AUV devoid of fins or rudders. Such a manoeuvring feature makes the vehicle very agile, but brings challenges in guidance and control.

A model of the prototype AUV is constructed based on the vehicle dynamics and manoeuvring features. In order to achieve time efficient path following, the AUV should operate at its motion limits. To derive the motion limits, a Monte Carlo analysis is conducted using the AUV model, which provides a numerical solution to derive the maximum admissible

motion of the vehicle with respect to the curvatures along given paths. Thus, a curvature-based guidance system is developed. The strategy alters the AUV path following speed according to the path curvature, hence increasing the overall time efficiency. The effectiveness of the proposed method is demonstrated through simulations of the AUV following a range of different paths.

Declarations

The work presented in this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. To the best of the author's knowledge and belief, this work contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of the thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Xue Jin

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors, Dr Steven Grainger and Professor Ben Cazzolato for their support and guidance. This thesis would never have been completed without their effort. I would also like to thank Associate Professor Colin Kestell for his time and input to this project. Not only the knowledge but also the experience I gained is an invaluable asset in my life.

In addition, I am grateful to all the staff in the School of Mechanical Engineering. In particular, I would like to express my gratitude to the head of school, Associate Professor Anthony Zander for his continuous support. Also I would like to thank Dr Lei Chen, Mr Gareth Bridges, Dr Zebb Prime and Dr Zhaofeng Tian for their advice on my study, and Miss Alison Jan-Hunter for her help in my thesis editing, as well as all the staff from the workshop who have assisted me with the experiments.

For all my friends and school mates, I will always remember and be thankful for their encouragement and help. In particular, I would like to thank Sophie Chen, Lancy Xie, Chenxi Li, Dr Boying Ding, Zahra Bagheri, Shi Zhao, Xiao Chen, Nikan Rostamzdeh and Erwin Hamminga, who have motivated and supported me during my difficult times.

I would also like to thank my partner, Dr Tao Zhu, for his endless encouragement, understanding and support during this long journey.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents, Xiaoying Chu and Wenxi Jin, for their unconditional love and trust. In every stage of my life, they have supported me in every way that a daughter can expect.

Contents

Summary	i
Declarations.....	iii
Acknowledgments	v
Contents.....	vii
List of figures	x
List of tables	xiv
Nomenclature	xvi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background and motivation	1
1.2 Scope of this work	6
1.3 Thesis outline	6
1.4 Publication.....	7
2 Literature review	8
2.1 Under-actuated AUV fundamentals.....	9
2.2 Review of AUV modelling.....	12
2.3 Guidance and control fundamentals	14
2.4 Review of AUV guidance techniques.....	16

2.5	Summary and research objectives.....	24
3	AUV modelling	26
3.1	Modelling of the prototype AUV	26
3.1.1	AUV kinematics model	26
3.1.2	AUV dynamics model	30
3.1.3	Propulsion system dynamics.....	35
3.2	Complete AUV model	40
3.2.1	Three degree-of-freedom model for planar motion.....	41
3.2.2	Simulink model	42
3.3	Model verification	45
3.3.1	Estimation and verification of hydrodynamic coefficients .	45
3.3.2	Simulations.....	48
3.4	Summary.....	55
4	AUV motion analysis.....	57
4.1	Problem description	57
4.2	Analytical analysis.....	57
4.3	Numerical analysis	62
4.3.1	Monte Carlo method.....	62
4.3.2	Monte Carlo analysis of the AUV model.....	63
4.3.3	Results and analysis.....	68
4.4	Summary.....	72
5	Guidance and control system.....	73
5.1	An overview of the motion control system	73
5.2	A curvature-based guidance method	74
5.2.1	Guidance method – traversing law	75

5.2.2	Guidance method – convergence law	79
5.3	Motion controllers design	82
5.3.1	PID controller fundamentals	82
5.3.2	Motion controllers	83
6	Guidance based path following	86
6.1	Path following of an arbitrary path	86
6.2	Path following of a lawn mower path.....	101
6.2.1	Error analysis	102
6.2.2	Time efficiency analysis	104
6.3	Summary.....	107
7	Conclusions and future work.....	108
7.1	Conclusions	108
7.2	Future work.....	110
	References.....	112
	Appendix A Wind tunnel test	125
	Appendix B Simulink model	128
	Appendix C Thruster specifications.....	133
	Appendix D Path continuity.....	134
	Appendix E Publications.....	137

List of figures

Figure 1.1: Underwater vehicles categorization (Based on Christ and Wernli, 2007).....	2
Figure 1.2: CURV II ROV (Christ and Wernli, 2007).....	3
Figure 1.3: ROV undertaking tasks (Oceaneering, 2013).....	3
Figure 1.4: REMUS 100 AUV (WHOI, 2009).	4
Figure 1.5: AUVs conducting underwater scanning (Sanz <i>et al.</i> , 2010).....	4
Figure 1.6: Rendered CAD model of the prototype AUV.....	5
Figure 2.1: A detailed view of the main control surfaces and propeller. (Wadoo and Kachroo, 2011).....	9
Figure 2.2: Possible resultant paths when conventional fin-manoeuvred AUVs follow a path with a sharp corner.	10
Figure 2.3: Micro ROV - VideoRay Pro III (Wang, 2007)..	11
Figure 2.4: Frontal view of the prototype AUV.	11
Figure 2.5: Block diagram of a Guidance, Navigation and Control (GNC) system.	15
Figure 2.6: Diagram of waypoints along a path.....	17
Figure 2.7: Schematic of the LOS guidance principle.	19
Figure 2.8: Free body diagram of a tyre during a turn.	21
Figure 2.9: Architecture of the motion control system with speed adaption algorithm.	22
Figure 2.10: Diagram of a guidance system for path following.	23

Figure 3.1: The G-frame $\{G\}$, the B-frame $\{B\}$ and the SF-frame $\{SF\}$	27
Figure 3.2: Hydrostatic moment in roll.....	31
Figure 3.3: Hydrostatic moment in pitch.....	32
Figure 3.4: Illustration of the angle of attack, sideslip angle, lift and drag on the AUV.....	33
Figure 3.5: Rotational resistance effects on the AUV	35
Figure 3.6: Schematic of the front view of the prototype AUV.	36
Figure 3.7: Modified differential thrust system configuration	38
Figure 3.8: Schematic of a typical thruster.....	38
Figure 3.9: Block diagram of the AUV system with thruster control loop.	40
Figure 3.10: Block diagram of the 3DOF Simulink model.....	44
Figure 3.11: The drag coefficients derived by the theoretical formulae are compared with data from the wind tunnel test.....	47
Figure 3.12: Curve fitting of lift coefficients based on the wind tunnel test.	48
Figure 3.13: The velocity evolution during acceleration with full thrust.	49
Figure 3.14: The angular velocity evolution during rotational acceleration.	50
Figure 3.15: Resultant paths in the three simulations.	52
Figure 3.16: Resultant path and the AUV orientation in Simulation 3....	52
Figure 3.17: The evolution of the AUV orientation along the resultant path in Simulation 3.....	53
Figure 3.18: AUV response in simulation 3 within 15 seconds.	54
Figure 4.1: Schematic of an AUV traversing along a planar path.....	58
Figure 4.2: Schematic of the relationship between the sideslip angle, the course angle and the AUV heading angle	60
Figure 4.3: The Monte Carlo method.....	63
Figure 4.4: Histogram of the transition time in the Monte Carlo analysis.	64

Figure 4.5: Schematic of an AUV traversing on a given path on the XY-plane.....	65
Figure 4.6: Histogram of thrust values chosen for the two thrusters and the total thrust for the Monte Carlo analysis.	66
Figure 4.7: Monte Carlo simulations on the AUV model.....	67
Figure 4.8: Scatter plot of the sideslip angle with respect to radius of curvature.	68
Figure 4.9: Scatter plot of the speed with respect to radius of curvature.	69
Figure 4.10: Comparison of the speeds when one of the thrusters is at its limit with the results of Monte Carlo simulations.....	70
Figure 5.1: Block diagram of the AUV guidance system.....	73
Figure 5.2: Block diagram of the guidance in a complete motion control system.	75
Figure 5.3: Schematic of a path.....	76
Figure 5.4: Radius of curvature, speed limit and sideslip angle of each section along the path based on Monte Carlo analysis.....	77
Figure 5.5: Course angle and heading angle along the given path.....	78
Figure 5.6: Typical examples of AUV converging to arc and straight line.	79
Figure 5.7: Diagram of relative positions of the path to the AUV and sign of the deviation.....	81
Figure 5.8: Block diagram of a closed loop PID controller.....	82
Figure 5.9: Block diagram of the speed and heading controllers.	83
Figure 6.1: An arbitrary path to be followed by the AUV.....	87
Figure 6.2: System response to step speed commands.	89
Figure 6.3: Thrusters response to step speed command.....	90
Figure 6.4: System response to step heading angle command.	91
Figure 6.5: Thrusters behavior for the rotational motion.....	91
Figure 6.6: An arbitrary reference path and the actual path following trajectory.....	92

Figure 6.7: Histogram of deviations during path following of the arbitrary path.....	93
Figure 6.8: Deviations during path following on the arbitrary path.	93
Figure 6.9: Reference heading angle of the AUV during path following.	95
Figure 6.10: Heading response of the AUV during path following.....	96
Figure 6.11: Speed response of the AUV during path following.	97
Figure 6.12: Total thrust and differential thrust during path following.	99
Figure 6.13: Thrusts of two thrusters during path following.	100
Figure 6.14: Diagram of a typical lawn mower path.....	102
Figure 6.15: Comparison between the actual path and the reference path in the simulation.....	103
Figure 6.16: Histogram of the deviation during path following of the lawn mower path with $R = 5$ m.....	103
Figure 6.17: Real time deviation of the AUV during path following on the lawn.....	104
Figure 6.18: Simulated speed response of the AUV path following using two guidance methods.	105
Figure A.1: KC wind tunnel (based on Pavloudis <i>et al.</i> , 2012).....	125
Figure A.2: The testing rig set up (based on Pavloudis <i>et al.</i> , 2012).....	126
Figure B.1: Guidance and control system.....	129
Figure B.2: Lift and drag calculation	130
Figure B.3: AUV kinematics and the state space model.....	131
Figure B.4: Steady state criterion in Monte Carlo Analysis.	132
Figure D.1: Geometric continuity (based on Haugen, 2010).....	134
Figure D.2: Three path modifications for lawn mower paths with different curvatures using clothoids (based on Haugen, 2010).	135

List of tables

Table 3.1: AUV motions in G-frame	28
Table 3.2: AUV motions in B-frame and their description.....	28
Table 3.3: Actuation schemes of the differential thrust system in surge, pitch and yaw motion.....	37
Table 3.4: Lookup table of the apparent mass factor (Evans, 2003)	46
Table 3.5: Simulation scenarios and the corresponding thruster setting	48
Table 3.6: Thruster set up and resultant thrust and differential thrust...	51
Table 4.1: Dynamics equations for translation and rotation.....	61
Table 4.2: Thrusters setting	70
Table 4.3: The maximum differential thrust for given total thrust	71
Table 4.4: Examples of the actuation saturation	71
Table 6.1: Segments of the arbitrary path plotted in Figure 6.1	87
Table 6.2: Gains of the speed and heading controllers	88
Table 6.3: Starting and ending time for each section of the path during path following.....	94
Table 6.4: Time taken for traversing distance of 452.4 m using the proposed guidance and constant speed guidance.	100
Table 6.5: Traversing distance of the AUV in 150 seconds using the proposed guidance and constant speed guidance.	105
Table 6.6: Time taken for traversing a path of 247.1 m using the proposed guidance and constant speed guidance.	106

Table A.1: Air and seawater properties (based on Pavloudis *et al.*, 2012)
..... 127

Table A.2: Air and water speed for the same Reynolds number 127

Table C.1: Thruster specifications (SeaBotix Inc., 2016)..... 133

Nomenclature

AUV	Autonomous Underwater Vehicle
DOF	Degree of Freedom
CoG	Centre of Gravity
CoB	Centre of Buoyancy
G-frame $\{G\}$	Global frame
B-frame $\{B\}$	Body frame
SF-frame $\{SF\}$	Serret-Frenet frame
$[x \ y \ z]$	AUV position w.r.t. X, Y and Z - axis in G-frame
α	Angle of attack
β	Sideslip angle
ψ	AUV heading angle
χ	Course angle
$[\phi \ \theta \ \psi]$	AUV orientation angle w.r.t. X, Y and Z-axis in G-frame
$[p \ q \ r]$	Angular velocity w.r.t. X, Y and Z - axis in B-frame (roll, pitch and yaw)
$[\dot{x} \ \dot{y} \ \dot{z}]$	Velocity w.r.t. X, Y and Z - axis in G-frame
$[u \ v \ w]$	Velocity w.r.t. X, Y and Z - axis in B-frame
V	Total velocity
R	Radius of curvature
R_e	Reynolds number
C_D	Drag coefficient

C_L	Lift coefficient
D	Drag force
L	Lift force
C_r	Yaw resistance coefficient
$[M_x \quad M_y \quad M_z]$	Moments using differential thrust
$[M_p \quad M_q \quad M_r]$	Rolling, pitching and yawing drag moment
m	Mass
ρ	Fluid density
$[I_{xx} \quad I_{yy} \quad I_{zz}]$	Moment of inertia vector
T	Total (collective) thrust
ΔT	Differential thrust
\mathbf{e}_p	Deviation vector
Δ	Lookahead distance
K_p	Proportional gain of a PI controller
K_I	Integral gain of a PI controller
k_e	Modulation factor of the steering rate for convergence
MCA	Monte Carlo Analysis
GNC	Guidance, Navigation and Control
LOS	Line of sight
LTA	Line to arc transition
ATL	Arc to line transition