



# **Monitoring and Modelling Chlorine Levels along the Myponga Trunk Main**

**Submitted by  
Lamia Salhane  
B.E. (Hons)**

**As a requirement in full for the degree of  
Master of Engineering Science  
in the School of Civil and Environmental Engineering,  
Adelaide University**

**December 2002**

# Table of Contents

---

<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>List of Photographs.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract.....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>Statement of Originality .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments .....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Study Objectives	3
1.3 Structure of Thesis	4
<b>Chapter 2 Literature Review .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Chlorine Use as a Disinfectant	5
2.1.1 Background .....	5
2.1.2 Chemistry of Chlorination.....	6
2.1.3 Disinfection Kinetics.....	8
2.1.4 Benefits of Chlorine .....	9
2.1.5 Limitations of Chlorine .....	11
2.1.6 Chlorine Decay Kinetics .....	12
2.1.7 Disinfection By-Products (DBPs).....	19

2.2 The NOM Fractions	23
2.2.1 Natural Organic Matter .....	23
2.2.2 NOM Separation .....	24
2.2.3 Chlorine Reaction with NOM .....	26
2.3 Modelling of Water Distribution Systems	27
2.3.1 Use of the Model .....	28
2.3.2 System Representation and Characterisation .....	28
2.3.3 Operating Characteristics .....	28
2.3.4 Reaction Rate Coefficient .....	29
2.3.5 Model Calibration .....	29
2.4 Summary	33
<b>Chapter 3 NOM Fractionation and Chlorine Decay Tests .....</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Laboratory Methods	35
3.2.1 The Fractionation Technique: .....	35
3.2.2 Chlorine Decay Tests .....	38
3.3 Data Analysis Procedure	43
3.3.1 Tests on the NOM Fractions .....	43
3.3.2 Tests on the Monthly Samples .....	45
3.4 Summary	46
<b>Chapter 4 Monitoring and Modelling Chlorine Levels Along the Myponga Trunk Main .....</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1 Introduction	47
4.2 The Myponga Treatment Plant	48
4.3 Chlorine and Flow Monitoring in the Myponga Pipeline	52
4.3.1 Chlorine Monitoring.....	52
4.3.2 Flow Monitoring .....	57
4.4 Water-Quality Modelling in the Myponga Trunk Main	59
4.4.1 Data Collection.....	59

4.4.2 Aquasim Software .....	60
4.4.3 The Modelling Process.....	61
4.5 Summary .....	65
<b>Chapter 5 Effect of NOM Speciation on Chlorine Decay Kinetics .....</b>	<b>66</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	66
5.2 Chlorine Decay Tests on the NOM Fractions .....	67
5.2.1 Results .....	68
5.2.2 Discussion .....	77
5.3 Results of the Decay Tests on Monthly Samples .....	81
5.3.1 Variation in the NOM Fractions .....	81
5.3.2 UV Absorbance and the Four NOM fractions .....	85
5.3.3 Chlorine Demand .....	86
5.3.4 THM Formation .....	88
5.3.5 Investigation of the Chlorine Decay Kinetic Models.....	89
5.3.6 Summary .....	102
<b>Chapter 6 Results of Monitoring and Modelling Chlorine Levels along the Myponga Trunk Main .....</b>	<b>105</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	105
6.2 Observations .....	106
6.2.1 The Chlorine Dose at the Treatment Plant.....	106
6.2.2 Temperature Variation .....	107
6.2.3 Gaps in the Data .....	108
6.3 Results of Chlorine Modelling along the Trunk Main: .....	112
6.3.1 March .....	114
6.3.2 April .....	120
6.3.3 May .....	120
6.3.4 June .....	122
6.3.5 July.....	125
6.3.6 August .....	126
6.4 Summary of the Results .....	128

6.4.1 Fit to the Monitored Data .....	128
6.4.2 The Best Chlorine Decay Kinetic Model .....	128
6.4.3 Comparison with the Laboratory Tests .....	129
<b>Chapter 7 Conclusion and Recommendations .....</b>	<b>131</b>
7.1 Conclusion .....	131
7.1.1 Effect of NOM Speciation on Chlorine Decay Kinetics .....	131
7.1.2 Modelling Chlorine Levels along the Myponga Trunk Main .....	132
7.2 Recommendations .....	134
7.2.1 Effect of NOM Speciation on Chlorine Decay Kinetics .....	134
7.2.2 Modelling Chlorine Levels along the Myponga Trunk Main .....	135
<b>References .....</b>	<b>137</b>
<b>Appendix A: Glossary of Terms .....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Appendix B: Integration of Equation (2.11) (Clark, 1998) .....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Appendix C: KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-NaOH Buffer Solution .....</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Appendix D: Chlorine Demand (Greenberg, 1992) .....</b>	<b>150</b>
<b>Appendix E: Five-Year Statistics on Tap Water Characteristics in Metropolitan Adelaide (United Water) .....</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Appendix F: DPD Ferrous Titrimetric Method (Greenberg, 1992) .....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Appendix G: Measurements undertaken on Monthly Samples of Myponga Treated Water .....</b>	<b>156</b>

## List of Figures

---

Figure 2-1 Effect of pH on the distribution of hypochlorous acid and hypochlorite ion in water (from Sawyer <i>et al.</i> , 1994) .....	7
Figure 2-2 Interpretation of the chlorine demand “Chlorine consumed (mg/L) versus time (hours)” (From Jadas-Hécart <i>et al.</i> , 1992, p. 1077) .....	16
Figure 2-3 Disinfection/disinfection-by-products risk trade-offs (from Lykins <i>et al.</i> , 1994, p.746) .....	23
Figure 2-4 Extraction of NOM using XAD-8 and XAD-4 resins (from Croué <i>et al.</i> , 1993, p.81) .....	25
Figure 3-1 Schematic of the fractionation process.....	37
Figure 4-1 Schematic of the water treatment process in the Myponga treatment plant (South Australian Water, 1996) .....	50
Figure 4-2 Locking valves used by United Water depending on the season (in winter the valve located north is locked and the other one is open, in summer the one located south is locked).....	51
Figure 4-3 Comparison of chlorine residual readings between the existing and the new chlorine analyser at the treatment plant .....	52
Figure 4-4 Flow and chlorine monitoring along the Myponga trunk main.....	53
Figure 4-5 Advective-diffusive compartment dialog box.....	63
Figure 4-6 Configuration of the Myponga trunk main.....	63
Figure 4-7 Overview of the various editing parts in Aquasim.....	64
Figure 5-1 NOM distribution in the Myponga reservoir raw water (sampled on November 2001) .....	68
Figure 5-2 Fit of five kinetic models to chlorine decay data obtained from the laboratory test undertaken for the water sample containing the VHA fraction and for the conditions: 20°C, pH=7, chlorine dose=7 mg/L. ....	71

Figure 5-3 Chlorine consumed (mg of chlorine /mg of carbon) versus time for VHA and SHA samples. Tests undertaken at 20°C. ....	73
Figure 5-4 Comparison between chlorine consumed in SHA and VHA samples after a decrease in the activity of the SHA fraction. Chlorine doses for all the tests = 9.5 mg/L. ....	74
Figure 5-5 Chlorine consumed (mg of chlorine/mg of carbon) versus time for charged and SHA samples. Tests undertaken at 20 °C. ....	75
Figure 5-6 Chlorine consumed (mg of chlorine/mg of carbon) versus time for charged and neutral samples. Tests undertaken at 20°C. ....	76
Figure 5-7 Specific UV absorbance of the four NOM fractions.....	77
Figure 5-8 TTHM formation ( $\mu\text{mg}/\text{mg C}$ ) at 72 hours in the four NOM fractions .....	79
Figure 5-9 TTHM formation ( $\mu\text{mol}/\text{mmol C}$ ) at 72 hours in the four NOM fractions.....	79
Figure 5-10 NOM variation in Myponga treated water from March to July 2002 .....	81
Figure 5-11 DOC variation in Myponga treated water from March to July 2002 .....	82
Figure 5-12 NOM variation in Myponga treated water from March to July 2002. ....	84
Figure 5-13 UV absorbance at 254nm versus DOC for the four NOM fractions.....	85
Figure 5-14 Chlorine consumed versus diff $\text{UV}_{254}$ ( $\text{diffUV}_{254}=\text{UV}_{(t=0)}-\text{UV}_{(t=72\text{h})}$ ) .....	86
Figure 5-15 Chlorine consumption versus the four NOM fractions for the conditions: 10°C, chlorine dose=6.5 mg/L .....	87
Figure 5-16 Fit of the first order model to the measured data for the conditions : May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8.....	90
Figure 5-17 Fit of the second order model to the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8.....	94
Figure 5-18 Fit of the second order model to the first four hours of the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8. ....	95
Figure 5-19 Fit of the second order model with respect to chlorine and another reactant to the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8 .....	97

Figure 5-20 Fit of the second order model with respect to chlorine and another reactant to the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, initial time =4 hours, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8.....	97
Figure 5-21 Fit of the parallel model to the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8.....	98
Figure 5-22 Fit of the parallel model to June water samples. Tests were undertaken at 10°C .....	99
Figure 5-23 Fits of the parallel first order model and the second order model with respect to chlorine and another reactant to the measured data for the conditions: May water sample, temperature = 20°C, chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L and pH=8.....	100
Figure 6-1 Variation of the chlorine dose at the treatment plant from February to August 2002.....	106
Figure 6-2 Temperature variation in three monitoring sites for the period of 23/06/02 to 28/06/02 .....	108
Figure 6-3 Graph showing the interruptions in chlorine monitoring in the four chlorine-measuring sites.....	111
Figure 6-4 Comparison between simulated and monitored chlorine residuals at the locations of Sellicks Hill and Aldinga (first order kinetic model) for March data. Initial chlorine concentrations = chlorine measured at Sampson's Road. ....	115
Figure 6-5 Flow measurements at the start of the trunk Main and at Sellicks Hill pump station.....	117
Figure 6-6 Tracer concentration at Aldinga.....	120
Figure 6-7 Comparison between simulated and monitored chlorine residuals at Sellicks Hill (second order kinetic model) for May data. Initial chlorine concentrations = chlorine measured at the offtake of the filtered water tanks. ....	122
Figure 6-8 Comparison between simulated and monitored chlorine residuals at Sellicks Hill (first order kinetic model) for June data. Initial chlorine concentrations = chlorine measured at the offtake from the filtered water tanks.....	123
Figure 6-9 Comparison between simulated and monitored chlorine residuals at Sellicks Hill (first order kinetic model) and at Aldinga for July data. Initial chlorine concentrations = chlorine measured at the offtake of the filtered water tanks.	126

Figure 6-10 Comparison between simulated and monitored chlorine residuals at Sellicks Hill (second order model). Initial chlorine concentrations = chlorine measured at the offtake of the filtered water tanks..... 127

## List of Tables

---

Table 2-1 CT values for inactivation of <i>Giardia</i> cysts by free chlorine at 10°C (from Davis <i>et al.</i> , 1991, p. 222) .....	9
Table 3-1 Characteristics of the resins XAD-8 and XAD-4 .....	35
Table 3-2 Characteristics of the resin IRA-958 .....	35
Table 3-3 Chlorine decay tests undertaken on the four NOM fractions .....	39
Table 3-4 Chlorine decay tests undertaken on Myponga treated water samples .....	42
Table 5-1 UV, DOC and SUVA values for the various samples used for the chlorine decay tests.....	67
Table 5-2 Results of fitness of five kinetic models to chlorine residuals in water samples containing a single NOM fraction.....	70
Table 5-3 Bromide content in the four NOM fractions.....	78
Table 5-4 Chlorine decay tests undertaken on treated water samples by United Water International. Enh.= enhanced coagulation of Myponga raw water. Conv.= conventional coagulation of Myponga raw water. Plant = treated water sample from the Myponga treatment plant prior to chlorination .....	83
Table 5-5 t-observed values and ranges of applications of the variables in the equation (5.3).....	88
Table 5-6 Fits of various kinetic models to the laboratory data obtained from the tests undertaken on monthly samples of Myponga treated water .....	91
Table 5-7 t-observed values and ranges of applications of the variables in equation (5.4)	93
Table 5-8 t-observed values and ranges of applications of the variables in equation (5.5)	96
Table 5-9 AIC values for five chlorine decay kinetic models and for the test May water sample, temp=20°C and chlorine dose = 6.75 mg/L.....	101
Table 5-10 AIC values for five chlorine decay kinetic models and for the test April water sample, temp=30°C and chlorine dose = 4.1 mg/L.....	102

Table 6-1 Results of the testing of five chlorine decay kinetic models using monitored data from March to August. .... 116

Table 6-2 Values of the first and the second order decay constants calculated using equations (6.2) and (6.3) respectively.  $k_1$  = first order decay constant and  $k_2$  = second order decay constant..... 118

## List of Photographs

---

Photo 4-1 The Myponga treatment plant, South Australia .....	48
Photo 4-2 Solar panel at Sampson's Road .....	54
Photo 4-3 Details of the equipment installed at Aldinga .....	56
Photo 4-4 Flow meter at Sellicks Beach site.....	58

## Abstract

---

Chlorine is the most widely used disinfectant in potable water supplies. Its action involves complex reactions that are still not completely understood. Of particular interest in this project was the reactions between chlorine and the four fractions of natural organic matter (NOM): the very hydrophobic acids (VHA), the slightly hydrophobic acids (SHA), the charged compounds and the neutral compounds. Therefore, organic matter from the raw water of the Myponga reservoir (South Adelaide) was fully fractionated (quantitative method) in the laboratory, and chlorine decay tests were undertaken on each fraction. In addition, a five month sampling program of Myponga treated water has enabled the undertaking of a rapid fractionation technique (qualitative method) to obtain the concentration of the four NOM fractions. Chlorine decay tests were then undertaken on these monthly samples to develop kinetic models of chlorine decay that include, among other factors, the effect of the four NOM fractions. The purpose of the full fractionation is to gain some understanding of the interactions between chlorine and each individual NOM fraction. Once this is achieved, the tests on the monthly samples will aim at understanding the interactions between these fractions in the water body and their effect on chlorine demand.

Another aspect of this project is chlorine monitoring and modelling along the Myponga trunk main for a better understanding of chlorine decay kinetics in the bulk water of a distribution system. Although a high chlorine dose is applied at the Myponga treatment plant (~6mg/l), chlorine residual at the extremity of the trunk main (in Aldinga) was found in some occasions below detection limits. After Aldinga, the water had still to travel to the Mc Laren Vale region and be stored in a 10 ML tank (EL 166). This project involved the installation and operation of four on-line chlorine monitoring sites and an on-line flow monitoring site. The monitored data were then incorporated into a water quality model developed using the Aquasim software. The water quality model developed is aiming at forecasting chlorine residuals along the Myponga trunk main and at understanding chlorine decay kinetics in the bulk water of a distribution system and the parameters influencing this decay.

## Statement of Originality

---

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma at any university or other tertiary institution and to the best of my knowledge and belief this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the thesis text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying.

**Signed:**

**Date:**

13/12/2002

## Acknowledgments

---

I would like to thank Adelaide University, United Water International and the Australian Water Quality Centre for providing me with the help and support to accomplish this project.

I also wish to thank my supervisor Prof. Graeme Dandy for the support, the guidance and great advice he gave me throughout my Masters project.

I also would like to acknowledge the following people: François Buisine, Nirmala Dinesh, Darren Oemcke, Christopher Chow, Rolando Fabris, Mike Holmes, Greg Atkins (and his team), Ian Cates and Stan Woithe.