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Abstract

Cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnets with diametrical magnetization can be found in many applications, ranging from electrical motors to position sensory systems. In order to calculate the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet of this kind correctly and with low computational cost, several studies have been reported in the literature providing analytical expressions. However, these analytical expressions are either limited for an infinite cylinder or for computing the magnetic field only on the central axis of a finite cylinder. The others are derived to calculate the magnetic field at any point in three-dimensional (3D) space but only with low accuracy. This thesis presents an exact analytical model of the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetized cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet with a limited length, which can be used to calculate the magnetic field of any point in 3D space fast and with very high accuracy. The expressions were analytically derived, based on geometrical analysis without calculating the magnetic scalar potential. Also, there is no approximation in the derivation steps that yields the exact analytical model. Three components of the magnetic field are analytically represented using complete and incomplete elliptical integrals, which are robust and have low computational cost. The accuracy and efficiency of the developed analytical model was validated using Finite Element Analysis and compared against existing models.
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Nomenclature

Throughout this thesis, bold font letters denote vectors (e.g. vector $\mathbf{H}$), and standard font letters (H) denote scalar values (e.g. H is the scalar value of vector $\mathbf{H}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Magnetic flux density</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Electric flux density</td>
<td>C/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>Electric field intensity</td>
<td>V/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
<td>A/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J$</td>
<td>Magnetic Polarization</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Magnetization</td>
<td>A/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Torque</td>
<td>N.m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Magnetic moment</td>
<td>A.m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_c$</td>
<td>Coercivity</td>
<td>A/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>Flux</td>
<td>Wb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta V$</td>
<td>Elemental volume</td>
<td>m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla f$</td>
<td>Gradient of scalar function</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla \cdot A$</td>
<td>Divergence of vector $A$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nabla \times A$</td>
<td>Curl of vector $A$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>S/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>Electric charge density</td>
<td>C/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>H/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_0$</td>
<td>Permeability of free space</td>
<td>H/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>Relative permeability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi_m$</td>
<td>Susceptibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>F/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_0$</td>
<td>Permittivity of free space</td>
<td>F/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>Velocity of light</td>
<td>m$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

Introduction

Permanent magnets have been widely utilised in various applications [1 – 10]. Amongst them, diametrically magnetised cylindrical/annular permanent magnets are widely utilised in electrical motors [11-14]. For instance, diametrically magnetised rotors have been used in high speed permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSMs) [13] (Fig. 1.1) and [14] (Fig. 1.2).

![Diametrically Magnetised Permanent Magnets in Electrical Machines](image)

*Fig. 1.1 Applications of diametrically magnetised permanent magnets in electrical machines: (a) iron or air cored types; (b) full-ring magnet types [13]*
These magnets have also been used in non-contact position sensory systems [15-20], mainly due to their ability to produce a sinusoidal air gap magnetic field distribution. For example, a permanent magnet of this kind is used with an array of two Hall effect sensors (Fig. 1.3) [16], whose outputs are sinusoidal, as formulated in Eq. (1.1). These outputs are further processed to obtain a rotation angle of $\theta$ [16]. In addition, a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet is used with an array of four Hall effect sensors (Fig. 1.4) [18], as well as with the eccentrically arranged Hall effect sensors (Figs. 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7) [19] for contactless measurement of the rotation angle.
The output voltage of Hall A and B in Fig. 1.3 are given respectively, as follows [16]:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_a &= R_H \frac{|B| \sin \theta}{\delta_H} \times 10^{-8} = V \sin \theta \\
V_b &= R_H \frac{|B| \cos \theta}{\delta_H} \times 10^{-8} = V \cos \theta
\end{align*}
\] (1.1)

In this formula (Eq. (1.1)), \( V \) is the constant of the sensor. \( R_H \) is the Hall material coefficient; \( I \) is the exciting current; \( \delta_H \) is the thickness of the Hall element; \( B \) is the magnetic flux density.
Diametrically magnetised permanent magnet

Fig. 1.4 Functional diagram of cyclic displacement converter [18]: HAS – array of Hall effect sensors H1–H4 with differential amplifiers DA1 and DA2; FDOS – former of digital orthogonal components of displacement; ADC1 and ADC2 – analog-to-digital converters; DSP – digital signal processor; AGC – automatic gain control circuit.

Fig. 1.5 Eccentrically arranged Hall effect sensors and diametrically magnetised permanent magnet [19]: With one sensor
Fig. 1.6 Eccentrically arranged Hall effects sensors and diametrically magnetised permanent magnet [19]: With two sensors

Fig. 1.7 Eccentrically arranged Hall effects sensors and diametrically magnetised permanent magnet [19]: With three sensors
Furthermore, a system of Hall effect sensor and annular magnets with diametrical magnetization is also used in the flexion-torsion joint (Fig. 1.8), which is implemented in the Trackhold – A novel passive arm-support device (Fig. 1.9) [20].

Fig. 1.8 CAD model of a flexion-torsion joint and one of its sections [20]

Fig. 1.9 CAD model of the Trackhold [20]
A design for a contactless sensory system, based on Hall effect sensors (Fig. 1.4), which can potentially replace conventionally developed sensory systems based on electromyography (EMG) [21-26], electroencephalography (EEG) [27-33] and force sensors [34-38], to recognise intended motions of the human elbow and forearm, has been proposed by Nguyen et al. [39]. In this system, a ring-shaped magnet, that consists of two semi-ring shaped diametrically magnetised permanent magnet (Fig. 1.10), is implemented and mounted on the user’s forearm.

The requirement for an accurate and fast-computed analytical expression of the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet, which can facilitate the parametric design optimization of magnetic devices and model dynamical systems [40 – 41], leads to various ways of expressing the magnetic field of a permanent magnet of this kind. Since it can be time-consuming to use the Finite Element Method, analytical expressions with minimal computational effort have been attracting attention. This is very useful, especially when modelling dynamic systems, such as the movement of magnetic nanoparticles in a magnetic field gradient [42]. Moreover, a fast-computed analytical expression of the magnetic field can help save computational time to solve an optimization problem with variations over a large number of parameters [43].

The aim of this thesis is to develop an accurate and fast-computed analytical model that is simpler and more efficient than those developed in the current literature, to calculate the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/annular permanent magnet at any point of interest in three dimensional space.
The exact analytical expressions of the magnetic field, created by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical- and ring- shaped permanent magnet at any point of interest in 3D space, are derived in this thesis based on the Coulombian approach [44], which has been used to analytically model the magnetic fields created by arc-shaped permanent magnets with radial magnetization [45 – 46], ring-shaped permanent magnets with axial and radial magnetization [47, 48], tile permanent magnets with radial magnetization [49] and tangential magnetization [50, 51]. The exact final model of the magnetic field was analytically derived, based on geometrical analysis; and there was no approximation in
the derivation steps. All three components of the magnetic field can be expressed using complete and incomplete elliptic integrals that are robust and their computational efforts are minimal [42], [52 – 55]. The accuracy and efficiency of the developed analytical model were validated against currently existing models.

This thesis is organized in six chapters. The current chapter discusses the background of the research topic, the aim of the study, as well as the outline of this thesis. The rest of this thesis is organized as follows:

Chapter 2 presents a review of the current studies for modelling magnetic fields generated by a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet. Chapter 3 provides a theoretical, technical background related to the research topic and the fundamental mathematics used in this thesis. Chapter 4 describes the derivation steps of the analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization. Chapter 5 demonstrates the accuracy and efficiency of the derived analytical expressions, compared with the existing models and Finite Element Analysis. Chapter 6 draws together the conclusions from the project and indicates further studies and applications of the currently developed model.
Chapter 2

Literature review

2.1 Current models to calculate the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization

The mathematical models existing in the current literature to compute the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization are reviewed in this chapter.

Even though Finite Element Analysis (FEA) can be a method used to predict the magnetic field correctly, it remains time consuming. Some research has focused on analytical modelling of the magnetic field created by a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet in two dimensional (2D) planes [11-14] to compute the field distribution and provide insights into the magnetic field. However, these 2D models are only suitable for calculating the magnetic field for electrical motors at a point within a boundary condition on the plane under consideration.
Currently, based on elliptic integral functions, three dimensional (3D) analytical expressions of a magnetic field created by a ring-shaped permanent magnet [47] with axial (Fig. 2.1) and radial (Fig. 2.2) magnetization and by a radially magnetized tile permanent magnet (Fig. 2.3) [49] have been derived. For these magnets, the surface charge density is constant because the magnetization vectors of these magnets are normal to the corresponding surface of the permanent magnets.
Fig. 2.2 Used geometries; A is a ring whose symmetry axis is z, its inner radius is $r_{in}$, its outer radius is $r_{out}$, its height is $h$, its magnetic polarization is radial; B is an infinitely long parallelepiped, its height is $h$, $x_{in}$ equal $r_{in}$ [47]

However, in the case of a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet, this parameter is dependent on the angle $\theta$ (Fig. 2.4) between the magnetization vector $\mathbf{J}$ and the normal unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ to the cylindrical surface which is equal to $J\cos\theta$ [42]. Therefore, the non-constant surface charge density needs to be taken into account when deriving the analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with
diametrical magnetization. There are some analytical expressions of the magnetic field produced by a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet. However, they are only developed for an infinite cylinder [56 – 57], or for computing the magnetic field on the central axis of a finite cylinder Eq. (2.1) and at the cylinder’s centre (z = 0) Eq. (2.2) [58].

\[ H_x(z) = \frac{-M_x}{4} \left[ \frac{\delta - z}{\sqrt{R^2 + (\delta - z)^2}} + \frac{\delta + z}{\sqrt{R^2 + (\delta + z)^2}} \right] \]  (2.1)
In Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2), $2\delta$ is the thickness of the cylindrical magnet, the vector magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ is along $X$ axis; $R$ is the radius of the cylinder [58].

\[ H_x(0) = \frac{-M_x}{2} \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{R^2 + \delta^2}} \approx \begin{cases} \frac{-M_x}{2} & \text{for } \delta \gg R \\ \frac{-M_x}{2} \frac{\delta}{R} & \text{for } \delta \ll R \end{cases} \]  

(2.2)

In order to address these limitations, most recently, Caciagli et al. [42] presented an analytical model, based on complete elliptic integrals, to calculate the magnetic field created by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical permanent magnet with a finite length, at any point in (3D) space. Nonetheless, in the derivation steps, the scalar potential is expressed approximately with the complete elliptic integrals; this caused an error associated with the final expressions of the magnetic field, because these final expressions were derived by taking the derivatives of the approximated scalar potential directly.
Other models to calculate the magnetic field were developed and described in the study by Fontana et al. [19]. This study presented the double integration expression (Eq. (2.7), $h$ – thickness of the cylinder), which can be used to calculate the magnetic field created by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization at any point of interest in 3D space (Fig. 2.5). However, the double integration expression can only be solved numerically. This can be time-consuming when high accuracy needs to be achieved.
Fig. 2.5 Diametrically magnetised permanent magnets [19]: (a) Scheme of the distribution of the equivalent magnetic charge on the cylindrical surfaces of the cylindrical and annular magnets, (b) Scheme of the geometry and its parameters, $\sigma^*$ - magnetic charge density.
The computation of the double integral expression can also be time consuming if the model is used for the optimization process [45]. Recently, single integral expressions (Eq. (2.8)) have been used to calculate the magnetic field at a point on the symmetrical plane of the diametrically magnetised cylindrical permanent magnet and were presented in the study by Fontana et al. [19].
Nevertheless, in this application, the axial component $H_z$ of the field is equal to zero (Eq. (2.8)) and the model can thus only be considered as 2D because its application is limited to the symmetry plane. In other words, the current single integral model cannot be used to compute the magnetic field generated by a cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet with diametrical polarization at any point of interest in the 3D space.

### 2.2 Gap Statement

Based on the literature review, the knowledge gap can be formulated as follows:

There are no three dimensional (3D) analytical expressions, which are simpler and more efficient than those with double integrals and the current analytical models in the literature, to calculate the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet at any point of interest in the 3D space.

### 2.3 Aims and Objectives

The general aim of the proposed research is as follows:

The aim of the proposed research is to develop 3D analytical expressions, which are simpler and more efficient than those with double integrals and the current analytical
models in the literature, of the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised
cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet at any point of interest in the 3D space.

In order to achieve the aim, the following objectives will be addressed:

**Objective 1:** To derive the single integral 3D expressions of the magnetic field
generated by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet at
any point of interest in 3D space.

**Objective 2:** To validate the single integral models both analytically and numerically.

**Objective 3:** To derive the 3D analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by
a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet at any point of
interest in 3D space.

**Objective 4:** To validate the derived analytical model and analyse its efficiency.
Chapter 3

Technical background

This chapter presents fundamental mathematics which is used throughout this thesis. The basic concepts of magnetism and magnetic materials, as well as the Finite Element Analysis and different software used in this thesis are also presented.

The organization of this chapter is summarized in Fig. 3.1.

Fig. 3.1 Chapter organization
3.1 Fundamental mathematics

In this section, the Gradient, Divergence and Curl of a vector, which are essential elements for analysis of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet, are introduced in both Cartesian and Cylindrical coordinate systems.

![Cartesian coordinate system](image)

*Fig. 3.2 Cartesian coordinate system*

3.1.1 The Gradient, Divergence and Curl of a vector in a Cartesian coordinate system

A vector \( \mathbf{A} \) with components \( A_x, A_y, A_z \) in a Cartesian coordinate system, OXYZ (Fig. 3.2) can be described as follows:
\[ \mathbf{A} = A_x \mathbf{x} + A_y \mathbf{y} + A_z \mathbf{z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

and magnitudes

\[ |\mathbf{A}| = \sqrt{A_x^2 + A_y^2 + A_z^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Assuming that \( f(x, y, z) \) and \( \mathbf{A}(x, y, z) \) are scalar and vector-valued functions respectively, the vector differential \( \nabla \) (del) operator in a Cartesian coordinate system is presented as follows:

\[ \nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbf{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathbf{y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

and the definitions of the gradient, divergence and curl are follows.

The gradient of scalar function \( f \) in a Cartesian coordinate system is:

\[ \text{grad } f = \nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathbf{x} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathbf{y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

The gradient of a scalar function plays a vital role in physics and engineering in expressing the relationship between a force field and a potential field \( \mathbf{V} \) (Eq. (3.4.1)) [59]

\[ \text{Force } \mathbf{F} = - \nabla (\text{potential } \mathbf{V}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4.1)

The divergence of vector-valued function \( \mathbf{A} \) in a Cartesian coordinate system is the dot product as follows:

\[ \text{div } \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)
The physical meaning of the divergence of vector field $\mathbf{A}$ at point $G$ can be regarded as the measurement of how much the vector field diverges from the given point.

In the case where $\mathbf{A}$ flows out of $G$ $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{A} > 0$ (Fig. 3.3 (a)); $\mathbf{A}$ flows into $G$ $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{A} < 0$ (Fig. 3.3 (b)); $\mathbf{A}$ flows in and out of $G$ equally $\operatorname{div}\mathbf{A} = 0$ (Fig. 3.3 (c))

*Fig. 3.3 Flow of the vector field $\mathbf{A}$ towards point $G$: (a) $\mathbf{A}$ flows out of $G$, (b) $\mathbf{A}$ flows into $G$, (c) $\mathbf{A}$ flows in and out of $G$*
The curl of vector-valued function $\mathbf{A}$ in a Cartesian coordinate system is the cross product as follows:

$$\text{curl}\mathbf{A} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{x} & \mathbf{y} & \mathbf{z} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_x & A_y & A_z \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{x} + \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) \mathbf{y} + \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) \mathbf{z} \quad (3.6)$$

The physical meaning of Curl of the vector field $\mathbf{A}$ at point $G$ can be regarded as the measurement of the tendency of the vector $\mathbf{A}$ to circulate around point $G$ (and the axis of the circulation).

![Flow of vector $A$ around point $G$](image)

*Fig. 3.4 Flow of vector $A$ around point $G$: (a) vector $A$ tends to swirl around $G$; (b) vector $A$ has no tendency to swirl around $G$*
In the case where vector $A$ tends to swirl around $G$, $\text{curl} A \neq 0$ (the sign of $\text{curl} A$ is defined based on the right hand rule; in Fig. 3.4 (a) $\text{curl} A > 0$); on the other hand, if there is no tendency for $A$ to swirl around $G$, $\text{curl} A = 0$ (Fig. 3.4 (b))

**The right hand rule:**

Place the right hand at point $O$ (Fig. 3.5). Point the fingers toward the tail of vector $N$ and curl the fingers around in the direction of the tip of the vector. If the thumb points toward $Z^+$, the curl of vector $N$ possesses positive value and vice versa.

*Fig. 3.5 Principle of the right hand rule*
3.1.2 Gradient, Divergence and Curl of a vector in a Cylindrical coordinate system

In a cylindrical coordinate system, vector $A$ is presented as follows (Fig. 3.6):

\[ A = A_r r + A_\alpha \alpha + A_z z \]  

(3.7)

with magnitude

\[ A = \sqrt{A_r^2 + A_\alpha^2 + A_z^2} \]  

(3.8)

Fig. 3.6 A cylindrical coordinate system
Assuming that \( f(x, y, z) \) and \( \mathbf{A}(x, y, z) \) are scalar and vector-valued functions respectively. The vector differential \( \mathbf{\nabla} \) (del) operator in a cylindrical coordinate system is presented as follows:

\[
\mathbf{\nabla} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \mathbf{\alpha} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mathbf{z}
\]  

(3.9)

and the definitions of the gradient, divergence and curl are as follows:

The gradient of the scalar function \( f \) in a cylindrical coordinate system is:

\[
\nabla f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \mathbf{\alpha} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{z}
\]  

(3.10)

The divergence of the vector-valued function \( \mathbf{A} \) in a cylindrical coordinate system is:

\[
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z}
\]  

(3.11)

and

The curl of the vector-valued function \( \mathbf{A} \) in a cylindrical coordinate system is:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \begin{vmatrix}
\mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r} \alpha & \mathbf{z} \\
\partial & \partial & \partial \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} & \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
\mathbf{A}_r & r \mathbf{A}_\alpha & \mathbf{A}_z
\end{vmatrix}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{r} + \left( \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial r} \right) \mathbf{\alpha} + \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial (r A_\alpha)}{\partial r} \right) \mathbf{z}
\]

(3.12)
3.1.3 Summary

The Gradient, Divergence and Curl of scalar \( f \) and vector \( \mathbf{A} \) in Cartesian and cylindrical coordinate systems is summarized in Table 3.1 below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operators</th>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Cartesian coordinate system</th>
<th>Cylindrical coordinate system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gradient</td>
<td>( \nabla f )</td>
<td>( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{k} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \mathbf{r} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} \mathbf{\alpha} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathbf{z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divergence</td>
<td>( \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} )</td>
<td>( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r A_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_\alpha}{\partial \alpha} + \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial z} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curl</td>
<td>( \nabla \times \mathbf{A} )</td>
<td>( \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} &amp; \mathbf{j} &amp; \mathbf{k} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &amp; \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &amp; \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ A_x &amp; A_y &amp; A_z \end{vmatrix} = \left( \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{i} + \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) \mathbf{j} + \left( \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) \mathbf{k} )</td>
<td>( \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} &amp; \mathbf{j} &amp; \mathbf{k} \ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &amp; \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} &amp; \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ A_r &amp; r A_\alpha &amp; A_z \end{vmatrix} = \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial \alpha} \right) \mathbf{r} + \left( \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) \mathbf{\alpha} + \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{\partial (r A_\alpha)}{\partial r} \right) \mathbf{z} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Unit systems

The three systems of units [44], which are broadly utilised in magnetism, are the CGS or Gaussian system, MKS and SI systems (International System of Units) referred to as
the Kennelly and Sommerfeld conventions, respectively. As presented in Table 3.2, the flux density $B$ is measured in Tesla (T) in SI and in Gauss in CGS; the magnetic field intensity $H$ is measured in A/m in SI and in Oe in CGS; the magnetization $M$ is measured in A/m in SI and in emu/cm$^3$ in CGS; the magnetic flux $\Phi$ is measured in Webers in SI and in Maxwells in CGS. Table 3.3 presents the conversion factors for these systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>SI</th>
<th>CGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H$</td>
<td>Magnetic field strength</td>
<td>A/m</td>
<td>Ampere/meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Flux density</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Tesla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Magnetization</td>
<td>A/m</td>
<td>Ampere/meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>Flux</td>
<td>Wb</td>
<td>Weber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>S/m</td>
<td>Siemens/meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_0, \mu, \mu_r$</td>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td>H/m</td>
<td>Henry/meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>Magnetic moment</td>
<td>A.m$^2$</td>
<td>Ampere.meter$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I$</td>
<td>Current</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Ampere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$</td>
<td>Permittivity</td>
<td>F/m</td>
<td>Farad/meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>Velocity of light</td>
<td>m$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Meter$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.3 Conversion factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conversion Factor</th>
<th>Equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Oe = 1000/4π A/m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 H/m = 10⁷/4 π emu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Gauss = 10⁻⁴ T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 S/m = 10⁻¹¹ abS/cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 emu/cm³ = 1000 A/m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Maxwell = 10⁻⁸ Webers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 F/m = 4π10⁻⁷ c² esu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Magnetic materials

3.3.1 Magnetization, susceptibility and permeability

The fundamental element in magnetism is the magnetic dipole [44]. The magnetic dipole can be considered as a pair of closely spaced magnetic poles (Fig. 3.7) or a small current loop (Fig. 3.8). A magnetic dipole generates a moment \( \mathbf{m} \) (Figs. 3.7 and 3.8) which is measured in A.m² in the SI unit system. Let \( \mathbf{B} \) denote the Flux density and \( \mathbf{H} \) denote the Field strength of the magnetic field.
The net magnetic dipole moment per unit volume is defined as magnetization \( \mathbf{M} \). This parameter can be numerically presented as follows:
\[ \mathbf{M} = \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{\sum \mathbf{m}_i}{\Delta V} \quad (3.13) \]

where \( \sum \mathbf{m}_i \) is a sum of the dipole moment vectors residing in the elemental volume \( \Delta V \).

When an external B-field is applied to a magnetic dipole, it obtains energy as follows:

\[ E = - \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B} \quad (3.14) \]

and yields a torque \( \mathbf{T} \):

\[ \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (3.15) \]

Eqs. (3.14) and (3.15) can be written in the Kennelly and CGS systems with \( \mathbf{H} \) as follows:

\[ E = - \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (3.16) \]

and \( \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{H} \quad (3.17) \)

The relationship between the magnetic flux density \( \mathbf{B} \) and the magnetic field strength \( \mathbf{H} \) is described in the Sommerfeld convention in the following equation (Eq. 3.18):

\[ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}) \quad (3.18) \]

here, \( \mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} \text{ T.m/A} \) is the permeability of free space.

This relationship can be written in the Kennelly convention, as in following equation (Eq. 3.19)

\[ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{J} \quad (3.19) \]
here, J is the magnetic polarization whose unit is Tesla. It can be noted that:

\[ J = \mu_0 M \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

In case of linear, homogeneous and isotropic materials, B and M are proportional to H, as illustrated in Eqs. (3.21) and (3.22):

\[ B = \mu H \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

and

\[ M = \chi_0 H \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

The constant \( \mu \) is the permeability and \( \chi_m \) is the susceptibility of the material. From Eqs. (3.18), (3.21) and (3.22), the relationship between \( \mu \) and \( \chi_m \) can be drawn as represented in Eqs. (3.23) or (3.24)

\[ \mu = \mu_0 (\chi_m + 1) = \mu_0 \mu_r \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

or

\[ \chi_m = \frac{\mu}{\mu_0} - 1 = \mu_r - 1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

where \( \mu_r \) is the relative permeability of the material.

A material, which has \( \mu \) depending on H (Fig. 3.9), is called nonlinear. For this type of material the relationship between B, M and H can be described as in the following equations:

\[ B = \mu(H)H \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.25)
and

\[ M = \chi_m(H)H \quad (3.26) \]

\[ \mu_r \]

\[ H \text{ (A/m)} \]

\[ \mu_r \text{ vs } H \text{ [44]} \]

3.3.2 Classification of magnetic materials

Based on the magnetic susceptibility (i.e. the behaviours of magnetic dipole moments when magnetic materials are subjected to a magnetic field [60]), magnetic materials can be classified into following five categories [44]:

**Diamagnetism:** These materials have no net atomic or molecular magnetic moment (to illustrate this phenomenon, there are no arrows, which represent the magnetic moments, in Fig. 3.10). When these materials are subjected to an applied field, atomic currents are
generated that give rise to a bulk magnetization that opposes the field. Bismuth (Bi), Mercury (Hg), Silver (Ag) are examples of diamagnetic materials.

**Fig. 3.10 Diamagnetic materials**

**Paramagnetism**: These materials have a net magnetic moment at the atomic level, but the coupling between neighbouring moments is weak (this phenomenon is illustrated in Fig. 3.11; the arrows representing the magnetic moments are randomly aligned as a result of the weak coupling between neighbouring moments). These moments tend to align with an applied field, but the degree of alignment decreases at higher temperatures due to the randomizing effects of thermal agitation. Tungsten (W), Caesium (Cs), Aluminium (Al) are examples of paramagnetic materials.
Ferromagnetism: These materials have a net magnetic moment at the atomic level but, unlike paramagnetic materials, there is a strong coupling between neighbouring moments (this phenomenon is illustrated in Fig. 3.12; the arrows representing the magnetic moments are aligned in the same direction as a result of the strong coupling between neighbouring moments). This coupling gives rise to a spontaneous alignment of the moments over macroscopic regions called domains. The domains undergo further alignment when the material is subjected to an applied field. Cobalt (Co), Iron (Fe), Nickel (Ni) are examples of ferromagnetic materials.
Antiferromagnetism and ferrimagnetism: These materials have oriented atomic moments with neighbouring moments antiparallel to one another.

In antiferromagnetic materials, the parallel and antiparallel magnetic moments, which are represented by blue and orange colours in Fig. 3.13, are equal. This results in zero net magnetic moment in these materials.

In contrast, the parallel and antiparallel magnetic moments in ferrimagnetic materials, which are represented by blue and orange colours in Fig. 3.14, are unequal. Therefore, there are some net magnetic moment in these materials.
Fig. 3.13 Antiferromagnetic materials

Fig. 3.14 Ferrimagnetic materials
In brief, the classification of magnetic materials can be illustrated in Fig. 3.15, as follows:

**Fig. 3.15 Classification of materials by their alignment of their magnetic dipole moments [60]**
Currently ferromagnetic materials are most practically utilised in science and industry [60]. For that reason, this thesis mainly focused in these materials and their basic properties including coercivity, remanence and hysteresis of ferromagnetic materials are outlined in the following sub-sections.

3.3.3 Coercivity and remanence

Coercivity (also called coercive force or the coercive field) for ferromagnetic materials is defined as the strength of the applied magnetic field that is necessary to reduce the magnetisation of the materials to zero. In other words, coercivity determines the resistance of a ferromagnetic material to becoming demagnetized. The unit of coercivity is called an oersted (CGS system) or Ampere/meter (SI system), and it is denoted $H_c$.

Coercivity can be expressed phenomenologically as follows [61]:

$$H_c = \alpha_k \frac{2K_1}{\mu_0 M_s} - D_{\text{eff}}M_s - \Delta H(T, \eta)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.27)

where $K_1$ denotes the anisotropy constant, $\alpha_k$ is the Kronmuller parameter, $M_s = |\mathbf{M}|$, $D_{\text{eff}}$ is a magnetostatic interaction parameter, and $\Delta H$ is the fluctuation-field contribution caused by thermal activation. The term $\Delta H$ means that the coercivity depends on the sweep rate $\eta = \frac{dH}{dt}$ [61].

Based on the coercivity, ferromagnetic materials are divided into hard magnetic materials (or permanent magnets) which possess high coercivity, and soft magnetic materials which possess low coercivity. The progress in expanding the range of
The coercivity of magnetic materials during the twentieth century is described in Fig. 3.16 [62]; from the softest soft iron to the hardest magnet steel, and now ranges over eight orders of magnitude.

Contrary to the coercivity, the remanence (or residual magnetization) is the remaining magnetic induction left behind in a ferromagnetic material after an external field applied to the material is removed. This parameter is represented by the residual flux, which is denoted by $B_r$. The properties of some ferromagnetic materials can be found in Table 3.4.
Table 3.4 Properties of some ferromagnetic materials [44]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SmCo₅</th>
<th>Sm₂Co₁₇</th>
<th>Alnico (cast) 2</th>
<th>Alnico (cast) 5</th>
<th>Ceramic 1</th>
<th>Ceramic 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B_r$ (T)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>12400</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>3800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_c$ (kAm⁻¹)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_r$</td>
<td>1.05-1.1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
<td>8200</td>
<td>8100</td>
<td>7086</td>
<td>7308</td>
<td>4982</td>
<td>4706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curie temp. (ºC)</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.4 Hysteresis loop

The plot of the magnetic induction $B$ or the magnetization $M$ as a function of $H$, which can be based on Eq. (3.18) ($B = \mu_0(H + M)$), yields a nonlinear graph called an hysteresis loop (Fig. 3.17). The word *hysteresis* is derived from the Greek word meaning “to lag” [44]. Fig. 3.9 depicts the hysteresis loop which describes the relationship between the magnetization $M$ and the magnetic field $H$. In brief, the hysteresis loop presents the irreversible nonlinear response of magnetization $M$ to an applied field $H$ [62]. In an unmagnetized state, the magnetization $M$ is equal to zero. When applied an external field $H$, the magnetization appears, modifies and eventually eliminates the microstructure of ferromagnetic domains magnetized in different directions [62], to reveal the spontaneous magnetization $M_s$. After the applied field is reduced to zero, the
magnetization is not equal to zero but obtains the value of the remanence $M_r$. In order to decrease the magnetization to zero again, the reverse field, which has the strength of the coercivity $H_c$, is needed.

For a hard magnetic material which has high coercivity, the hysteresis loop is wide; in contrast, the hysteresis loop of a soft magnetic material is narrow due to its low coercivity (Fig. 3.18).
3.4 MAXWELL’S Equations

Maxwell’s equations are well-known to be a set of four equations including Gauss’s law, Gauss’s magnetism law, Faraday’s law and Ampere’s law, which serve as fundamentals for understanding the behaviour of electromagnetic fields. The equations are presented as follows [44]:

![Hysteresis loops for soft and hard magnetic materials](image)
Gauss’s law: \[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \] (3.28)

Gauss’s law for magnetism: \[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \] (3.29)

Faraday’s law: \[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \] (3.30)

Ampere’s law: \[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \] (3.31)

Here, \( \mathbf{J} \) (A/m\(^2\)) and \( \rho \) (C/m\(^3\)) are the electric current density and the electric charge density, respectively; \( \mathbf{E} \) is the electric field intensity (V/m); \( \mathbf{D} \) is the electric flux density (C/m\(^2\)); \( \mathbf{H} \) is the magnetic field intensity (A/m) and \( \mathbf{B} \) is the magnetic flux density (T).

In order to provide a complete set of equations for the electric and magnetic fields [44], the constitutive relationships which describe the behaviours of an electromagnetic material are included as follows:

\[ \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M}) \] (3.32)

\[ \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \] (3.33)

\[ \mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E} \] (3.34)

Here, \( \varepsilon_0 = 8.854 \times 10^{-12} \) F/m is the permittivity of free space; \( \sigma \) (A/V.m) is the conductivity; \( \mathbf{P} \) represents the net electric dipole moment per unit volume.
\[ P = \lim_{\Delta V \to 0} \frac{\sum P_i}{\Delta V} \quad (3.35) \]

where \( \sum P_i \) is a sum of the dipole moment vectors residing in the elemental volume \( \Delta V \).

Under static conditions, the time-dependent terms in Maxwell’s equations are ignorable:

\[ \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (3.36) \]

Hence, Maxwell’s equations reduce to:

\[ \nabla \times H = J \quad (3.37) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot B = 0 \quad (3.38) \]
\[ \nabla \times E = 0 \quad (3.39) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot D = \rho \quad (3.40) \]

Eqs. (3.37) and (3.38) are called magnetostatic equations; Eqs. (3.39) and (3.40) are electrostatic equations.

The constitutive relations Eqs. (3.32) – (3.34) in stationary, linear, homogeneous and isotropic media reduce to:
\[ B = \mu H \quad (3.41) \]
\[ D = \varepsilon E \quad (3.42) \]

where \( \mu \) and \( \varepsilon \) are the permeability and permittivity of the media, respectively.

### 3.5 Computation of the magnetic field

To compute the magnetic field created by a magnetized material, there are three common approaches which can be applied [62]:

1. The first approach is to integrate over the volume distribution of magnetization \( M(r) \);
2. The second approach is the Amperian approach, which replaces the magnetization by an equivalent distribution of current density \( j_m \);
3. The third approach is the Coulombian approach, which replaces the magnetization by an equivalent distribution of magnetic charge \( q_m \) which is represented by “-“ and “+” signs in Fig. 3.19(c).
Fig. 3.19 Calculation of the magnetic field outside a uniformly magnetized cylinder by summing: (a) the fields produced by volume distribution of magnetic moments, (b) the fields produced by the distribution of currents, and (c) the fields produced by the distribution of magnetic charge [62]

To illustrate the three approaches, the magnetic field, generated by a cylinder uniformly magnetized along its axis (Fig. 3.19) [62] at point P, can be computed using the following expressions:

(1) The flux density $B(r)$ is computed by integrating over the volume distribution of magnetization $M(r)$ (Eq. (3.43)).

$$B(r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[ \int \left\{ \frac{3M(r') \cdot (r - r')}{|r - r'|^5} (r - r') - \frac{M(r')}{|r - r'|^5} \right\} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') d^3\mathbf{r} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.43)
(2) In the Amperian approach, the equivalent distributions inside and at the surface of the magnetized material are considered (Eq. (3.44)) and the magnetic flux density at point P can be computed using Eq. (3.45) as follows:

\[ j_m = \nabla \times \mathbf{M} \text{ and } j_{ms} = \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_n \] (3.44)

\[ \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left\{ \int \frac{\nabla \times \mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \, d^3 r' + \int \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_n \times (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \, d^3 r' \right\} \] (3.45)

(3) The Coulombian approach uses the equivalent distributions of the magnetic charge inside (the volume charge) and at the surface (the surface charge) of the magnetized material (Eq. (3.46)). The magnetic field intensity at point P can be computed using Eq. (3.47) as follows:

\[ \sigma_v = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M} \text{ (volume charge) and } \sigma_s = \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n \text{ (surface charge)} \] (3.46)

\[ \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ -\int \frac{\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \, d^3 r' + \int \frac{\mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{e}_n}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} \, d^2 r' \right\} \] (3.47)

Amongst the three approaches, the Coulombian approach is considered as the easiest method, from a computational perspective, to calculate the magnetic fields generated by
a permanent magnet [62]. This method has also been utilised to produce exact analytical expressions of the magnetic field created by permanent magnets with different magnetizations, as mentioned in the introductory section. Recognising the advantages of this approach, this thesis implements the Coulombian approach to derive the exact analytical model to compute the magnetic field produced by a diametrically magnetised permanent magnet. The details of the implementation are described in Chapter 4.

3.6 Finite element analysis and software used

In the literature, comparing analytical models against the Finite Element model is common practice in this field, even though it does not provide the same level of ground-truth as experimental data can, but a fair comparison can still take place without the need to address possible experimental errors. Therefore, in this study, the calculated results of analytical models were compared with the results of Finite Element Analysis but not with the experimental results.

Finite Element Analysis or the Finite Element Method is a numerical method used to obtain approximate solutions of boundary value problems in engineering and mathematical physics.

The method is characterized by the following features [64]:

1. The problem is divided into smaller domains which are represented by a collection of simple subdomains, called finite elements. This collection of finite elements is called the finite element mesh.
2. Over each finite element, the physical process is approximated by functions of the desired type (polynomials or otherwise), and algebraic equations relating physical quantities at selective points or so-called nodes of the element are developed.

3. The element equations are assembled using the continuity and/or “balance” of the physical quantities.

The cycle of solving a problem using Finite Element Analysis is divided into three major phases:

1. Pre-processing: This phase includes modelling the problem geometry, developing an appropriate finite element mesh, assigning the required materials, (assigning the magnetized direction in the case of electromagnetic analysis) and applying boundary conditions.

2. Solution of the problem: At this step, the governing differential equations are assembled into matrix form and numerically solved.

3. Post-processing: The obtained results are visualized and analysed at this step.

In this thesis, to assist the Finite Element Analysis, electromagnetic simulation software (EMS) from EMWORKS® [65] is utilised. EMS is an effective modelling and simulation software, which has been developed by EMWORKS® to help engineers and academics study numerous problems related to electromagnetic theories and applications; such as calculating the magnetic and electric field and flux, electric potential, voltage, and so forth. The CAD modelling geometries can be done using
SOLIDWORKS®, AUTODESK® or SPACECLAIM, which integrate EMS as a whole to perform the simulation and result analyses easily.

Other software such as MATLAB® and MATHEMATICA® can also be applied to assist in the development and verification of the exact analytical model.
Chapter 4

The derivation of the analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization

The derivation steps for the analytical expressions of a magnetic field created by a cylindrical/ring-shaped permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization are divided into two major parts. Firstly, single integral expressions of the magnetic field are derived. Then, the analytical expressions of the magnetic field are derived, based on those single integral expressions.

4.1 Derivation of single integral expressions

A diametrically magnetized cylindrical permanent magnet with parameters is illustrated in Figs. 4.1 and 4.2; its radius is R; its thickness is h; its magnetic polarization \( J \) is assumed to be uniformly diametrical and along axis Y.
Fig. 4.1 Diametrically magnetized cylindrical permanent magnet: Isometric view

Fig. 4.2 Diametrically magnetized cylindrical permanent magnet: front view
The derivations are based on the Coulombian model in a cylindrical coordinate system (r, α, z) with an azimuth coincident with axis X (Fig. 4.1). According to the Coulombian model, the magnetic field intensity at any observation point K (Fig. 4.1) produced by a permanent magnet in the 3D space can be expressed as follows [45]:

\[
H_K = \frac{1}{4\pi\mu_0} \left( \iiint_s \frac{\sigma_s}{|i - i'|^3} (i - i') \, ds + \iiint_v \frac{\sigma_v}{|i - i'|^3} (i - i') \, dv \right)
\]

(4.1)

The volume charge (Fig. 4.3) can be defined as \( \sigma_v = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} \), the divergence of the polarization vector \( \mathbf{J} \) is equal to zero because it is uniformly diametrical; hence, the magnetic field intensity can be calculated using only the surface charge component, which is the first part of equation in the larger parentheses of Eq. (4.1). The surface charge can be calculated as \( \sigma_s = \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{J} \cos \theta = \mathbf{J} \sin(\alpha + \beta) \); here \( \theta \) is the angle between
the polarization vector \( \mathbf{J} \) and the normal unit vector \( \mathbf{n} \) to the cylindrical surface (Fig. 4.1), \( \alpha \) is the azimuthal angle and \( \beta = \pi/2 - \theta - \alpha \).

After taking the projection of \((\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{i}')\) on the radial, azimuthal and axial directions \((\mathbf{i}_r, \mathbf{i}_\alpha \text{ and } \mathbf{i}_z \text{ are the unit vectors respectively})\), with the consideration that the volume charge makes no contribution to the magnetic field, Eq. (4.1) can be rewritten in the double integration form as follows [19]:

\[
\mathbf{H}_K = \frac{\mathbf{J}R}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=\pi}^{\beta=-\pi} \int_{z=-\frac{h}{2}}^{z=\frac{h}{2}} \frac{(r - R\cos\beta)\mathbf{i}_r + (- R\sin\beta)\mathbf{i}_\alpha + (z_K - z)\mathbf{i}_z}{\left( R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \beta + (z_K - z)^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sin(\alpha + \beta) \, dz \, d\beta \quad (4.2)
\]

After analytically integrating (Appendix A) the double integral form of each component of the magnetic field along the axial, azimuthal and radial directions in Eq. (4.2), with the parameterisation shown in Fig. 4.1, the axial, tangential (azimuthal) and radial components of the magnetic field intensity \( \mathbf{H}_K^{(3D)}(z) \), \( \mathbf{H}_K^{(3D)}(\alpha) \), and \( \mathbf{H}_K^{(3D)}(r) \) along the three directions \( \mathbf{i}_z, \mathbf{i}_\alpha, \text{ and } \mathbf{i}_r \), respectively, can be expressed as detailed in the following sections.
4.1.1 The axial component $H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$

The expression of the axial component $H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$ was obtained as follows:

\[
H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} - z_K \right)^2 + \left| P'K \right|^2}} \right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} + z_K \right)^2 + \left| P'K \right|^2}} \right) \cos(\beta) \, d\beta \sin(\alpha) \tag{4.3}
\]

where $\left| P'K \right|^2 = R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos(\beta)$.

4.1.2 The tangential (Azimuthal) component $H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$

The expression of the tangential component $H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$ was obtained as follows:

\[
H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{G\left( \left| P'K \right|^2 \right)}{\left( -R\sin^2(\beta) \right)} \, d\beta \right) \cos(\alpha) \tag{4.4}
\]

with,

\[
G\left( \left| P'K \right|^2 \right) = \frac{\left( \frac{h}{2} - z_K \right)}{\left| P'K \right|^2 \sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} - z_K \right)^2 + \left| P'K \right|^2}} + \frac{\left( \frac{h}{2} + z_K \right)}{\left| P'K \right|^2 \sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} + z_K \right)^2 + \left| P'K \right|^2}} \tag{4.4.1}
\]
4.1.3 The radial component $H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$

The expression of the radial component $H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)$ was obtained as follows:

$$H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} G\left(\left|\overrightarrow{PK}\right|^2\right) (r \cos(\beta) - R \cos^2(\beta)) \, d\beta \sin(\alpha)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (4.5)

Here, $G\left(\left|\overrightarrow{PK}\right|^2\right)$ is calculated with (4.4.1).

For point K lying on the cylindrical surface (when the radial distance is equal to the radius of the cylinder $r = R$), Equation (4.5.1) is simplified to

$$H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r = R, \alpha, z) = \frac{J}{8\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \left(\frac{\frac{h}{2} - z_K}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)^2 + \left|\overrightarrow{PK}\right|^2}} \right) + \left(\frac{\frac{h}{2} + z_K}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)^2 + \left|\overrightarrow{PK}\right|^2}} \right) \cos(\beta) \, d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (4.5.1)

4.2 Verification of the single integral expressions

4.2.1 Analytical verification

In the study by Fontana et al. [19], the single integral expressions of the axial, tangential and radial components of the magnetic field were derived only for point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder; that is, for $z_K = 0$. Hence, one of the criteria to
verify the accuracy of the derived single integral expressions is to compare them with those derived by Fontana et al. [19].

4.2.1.1 Analytical verification of the axial component

For point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder \( z_K = 0 \), expression (4.3) can be simplified to \( H_{K(\alpha)}^{(\text{Fontana})} (r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = 0 \), as presented by Fontana et al. [19].

4.2.1.2 Analytical verification of the tangential component

For point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder \( z_K = 0 \), expression (4.4) can be simplified to

\[
H_{K(\alpha)}^{(\text{Fontana})} (r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = \left( \frac{JhR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{-R\sin^2(\beta)}{\sqrt{|P'K|^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2}} \cos(\alpha) \right) d\beta
\]

as presented by Fontana et al. [19].
4.2.1.3 Analytical verification of the radial component

For point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder $z_K = 0$, expression (4.5) can be simplified to

$$H_{K(r)}^{(\text{Fontana})} (r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = \left( \frac{JhR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{r \cos(\beta) - R \cos^2(\beta)}{|P'K|^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 + |P'K|^2}} d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha)$$

as presented by Fontana et al. [19].

4.2.2 Numerical verification of the single integral expressions

Evaluated in MATLAB R2016b (MATHWORKS), the magnetic field created by diametrically magnetised permanent magnets with different parameters at different points were computed using double integral (Fontana et al. [19]) and the single integral derived in this paper. Table 4.1 shows that the results of the single integral derived in this paper are in very good agreement with those of the double integral presented by Fontana et al. [19].
Table 4.1 Computed magnetic field created by diametrically magnetised permanent magnet with different parameters at different points using the double and single integrals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnet parameters (J Tesla, h mm, R mm)</th>
<th>Computed points (r mm, z mm, αº)</th>
<th>Axial component (Tesla)</th>
<th>Radial component (Tesla)</th>
<th>Tangential component (Tesla)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Double integral</td>
<td>Double integral</td>
<td>Double integral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Fontana et al. [19])</td>
<td>(Fontana et al. [19])</td>
<td>(Fontana et al. [19])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single integral derived</td>
<td>Single integral derived</td>
<td>Single integral derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in this paper</td>
<td>in this paper</td>
<td>in this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 7, 3)</td>
<td>(2, 4, 30º)</td>
<td>4.302514711017279e-02</td>
<td>-0.048312471317562</td>
<td>0.012192343565707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.302514691094643e-02</td>
<td>-0.048312471920847</td>
<td>0.012192344061685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.6, 7, 3)</td>
<td>(1, 4, 45º)</td>
<td>6.313500232306083e-02</td>
<td>0.359334310992969</td>
<td>0.372800862928376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.313500234337720e-02</td>
<td>0.359334310748609</td>
<td>0.372800862943067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3, 10, 8)</td>
<td>(3, 5, 60º)</td>
<td>6.306407742139330e+01</td>
<td>1.150489775197868</td>
<td>1.988313138676867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.306407655730165e+01</td>
<td>1.150489773290190</td>
<td>1.98831318889635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4, 6, 15)</td>
<td>(6, 7, 90º)</td>
<td>3.5235545555045794e+01</td>
<td>2.288816392687009e-16</td>
<td>3.852052523096182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.523554555532005e+01</td>
<td>2.281035013194687e-16</td>
<td>3.852052523165251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(11, 5, 12)</td>
<td>(9, 12, 38º)</td>
<td>1.147492435815113e+01</td>
<td>-0.104000288626235</td>
<td>-0.008341933576704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.147492438278891e+01</td>
<td>-0.104000288708199</td>
<td>-0.008341933587258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5, 6, 8)</td>
<td>(9, -2.5, 30º)</td>
<td>-0.058344205216474</td>
<td>-0.128877649496994</td>
<td>0.134143797427450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.05834420563139</td>
<td>-0.128877649248214</td>
<td>0.134143798415742</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The developed expressions were implemented in MATLAB R2016b to calculate the three components of the magnetic flux density, both in the air space and inside the magnet, generated by a diametrically magnetised rare earth permanent magnet cylinder (Fig. 4.1 and 4.2) with radius $R = 2.5$ mm and thickness $h = 5$ mm; and magnetic remanence $J = 0.87$ T. In order to verify the results of the analytical models, Finite Element Analysis was built into the Electromagnetic simulation software (EMS) from EMWORKS and integrated with 3D CAD INVENTOR (AUTODESK). The boundary
condition was set to the Normal Flux boundary condition, and the magnet and its close surrounding air region were assigned fine mesh to obtain precise results (Fig. 4.4).

Figs. 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 show that the three components of the magnetic flux density calculated using the developed analytical models are in good agreement with those computed using FEA. The red vertical lines in Figs. 4.5 and 4.6 show a discontinuity of the tangential and radial field components when the radial distance \( r \) is equal to the cylinder radius \( R \), due to the discontinuity of the solution that occurs on the surface of the cylinder (Rakotoarison et al. [45]) (the radial component in this case can be
computed using Eq. (4.5.1), and Eq. (4.4) can be used to compute the tangential component. It should be noted that there is an FEA marker point lying on the top end of the line around 2.5 in Fig. 4.7, but there is no marker point lying on the bottom end of the line in the same region. This is due to the inaccuracy of the FEA, as it is time-consuming to set the mesh fine enough to calculate the field component at the exact point $r = R$. Also, as a result, the analysis confirms that the volume charge in the Coulombian model makes no contribution to the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/annular permanent magnet.

*Fig. 4.5 Single integral vs FE model: Axial component*
Fig. 4.6 Single integral vs FE model: Tangential component

Fig. 4.7 Single integral vs FE model: Radial component
4.3 Derivation of the analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization

With the help of MATHEMATICA® to analytically solve the above derived single integral expressions (Eqs. 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.5.1), the analytical expressions of the axial, azimuthal and radial components of the magnetic field were obtained as follows:

4.3.1 The axial component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>((z_K - \frac{h}{2})^2 + R^2 + r^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>((z_K + \frac{h}{2})^2 + R^2 + r^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>(2Rr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>(\frac{2c}{c - a})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>(\frac{2c}{c - b})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The analytical expression of the axial component \(H_{K(z)}^{\text{3D}}(r, \alpha, z_K)\) was obtained with the parameters illustrated in Table 4.2:
\[ H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR \sin \alpha}{\pi \mu_0} \left( \frac{(aK[p] + (c - a)E[p])}{\sqrt{a - c}} - \frac{(bK[u] + (c - b)E[u])}{\sqrt{b - c}} \right) \quad (4.6) \]

Here, \( K[m] = \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - msin^2 \theta}} \) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, \( (4.6a) \)

\[ E[m] = \int_0^\pi \sqrt{1 - msin^2 \theta} \] is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind. \( (4.6b) \)

### 4.3.2 The azimuthal component

**Table 4.3 Parameters used in Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (4.8)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>( R^2 + r^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>2Rr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>( \frac{h}{2} - z_K )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>( \frac{h}{2} + z_K )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>( \cos \beta )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \zeta )</td>
<td>( \sqrt{1 - t^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta )</td>
<td>( \frac{b(t + 1)}{\sqrt{a + b + c^2}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \kappa )</td>
<td>( \frac{b(t - 1)}{\sqrt{a - b + c^2}} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The analytical expression of the tangential component $H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K)$ was obtained with the parameters illustrated in Table 4.3 as follows:

\[
H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR^2 \cos \alpha}{2\pi \mu_0} \left( \delta(t_2, a, b, c) - \delta(t_1, a, b, c) + \delta(t_2, a, b, d) - \delta(t_1, a, b, d) \right) \tag{4.7}
\]

where, the auxiliary function $\delta$ is as follows:

\[
\delta(t, a, b, c) = -\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{b^2 \sqrt{a-b} + c^2} \left( -a \zeta F[v, \zeta] + (a-b) \zeta P[v, \xi, \zeta] + (t+1) b \kappa F[\chi, \psi] + (t+1) \left(-a+b-c^2\right) \kappa E[\chi, \psi] \right);
\]
Here, \( F[\varphi, m] = \int_0^\varphi \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - msin^2\theta}} \) is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind, \( (4.7a) \)

\( E[\varphi, m] = \int_0^\varphi \sqrt{1 - msin^2\theta} \) is the incomplete elliptic integral of the second kind, \( (4.7b) \)

\( Pi[n, \varphi, m] = \int_0^\varphi \frac{d\theta}{(1 - nsin^2\theta)\sqrt{1 - msin^2\theta}} \) is the incomplete elliptic integral of the third kind. \( (4.7c) \)

4.3.3 The radial component

The analytical expression of the radial component \( H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) \) was obtained with parameters, as illustrated in Table 4.3:

\[
H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JRsin\alpha}{2\pi\mu_0} \left( \gamma(t_2,a,b,c,r,R) - \gamma(t_1,a,b,c,r,R) + \gamma(t_2,a,b,d,r,R) - \gamma(t_1,a,b,d,r,R) \right) \quad (4.8)
\]

where, the auxiliary function \( \gamma \) is as follows:

\[
\gamma(t,a,b,c,r,R) = \left( 2c\lambda((a + b)(a - b + c^2)R\kappa(1 + t)E[\chi, \psi] + (a + b)(br - aR)\eta\zeta F[v, \zeta] + (a + b + at + bt)bR\kappa F[\chi, \psi] + (aR - br)\eta\zeta Pi[\varsigma, v, \xi])/(\eta\zeta b^2(a + b)\sqrt{a + c^2 - bt}) \right);
\]

For the point K on the cylindrical surface, or when \( r = R \), the radial component can be calculated as follows:
\[ H_{K(t)}^{(3D)}(r = R, a, z_K) = \frac{J \sin \alpha}{4\pi \mu_0} \left( c f(r,c) + d f(r,d) \right) \]  (4.8a)

where, the auxiliary function \( f \) is expressed as follows:

\[ f(r,c) = \frac{(c^2+2r^2)K[Y] - (c^2+4r^2)E[Y]}{r^2 \sqrt{c^2+4r^2}} \]

The complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds \( K[m], E[m] \) are calculated using Eq. (4.6a) and Eq. (4.6b).

The incomplete elliptic integrals of the first, second and third kinds \( F, E \) and \( Pi \) are calculated using Eqs. (4.7a), (4.7b) and (4.7c).

The values of \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) in Eq. (4.7) and Eq. (4.8) can be set to be 0.999999999 or closer to 1 and -0.999999999 or closer to -1 respectively to avoid indefinite values, whilst evaluating the expressions.

**4.4 Calculation of the magnetic field created by a diametrically magnetized ring shaped permanent magnet**

For a ring shaped permanent magnet with the parameters shown in Fig. 4.8, its inner radius is \( R_{in} \); its outer radius is \( R_{out} \); its thickness is \( h \); its magnetization \( J \) is assumed to be uniformly diametrical and along axis \( Y \), the magnetic field \( H_K(\text{ring}) \) at point \( K \) can be computed using the principle of superposition Eq. (4.9):
where \( H_K(R_{\text{out}}) \) is the magnetic field at point K created by a cylinder with the radius \( R_{\text{out}} \) and \( H_K(R_{\text{in}}) \) is the magnetic field at point K created by another cylinder with the radius \( R_{\text{in}} \). These two cylinders have the same \( J \) and thickness as those of the ring. Using the above expressions from Eq. (4.6) to Eq. (4.8), the axial, azimuthal and radial components of the magnetic field of a diametrically magnetized ring shaped permanent magnet can be calculated.

Knowing the magnetic field intensity, the magnetic flux density can be computed as follows:
\[ B_K = \mu_0 H_K \quad \text{(in the air space)} \quad (4.9) \]

and

\[ B_K = \mu_0 H_K + J \quad \text{(inside the magnet)} \quad (4.10) \]
Chapter 5

Verification results of the derived analytical expressions of the magnetic field generated by a permanent magnet with diametrical magnetization

The developed analytical expressions (Eq. (4.6) to Eq. (4.8)) were implemented in MATLAB R2016b of MATHWORKS to calculate the axial, azimuthal and radial components of the magnetic flux density, both in the air space and inside the magnet, generated by a cylinder diametrically magnetised rare earth permanent magnet (Figs. 4.1 and 4.2) with a radius \( R = 2.5 \) mm and thickness \( h = 5 \) mm; and magnetic remanence \( J = 1 \) T, which is generated by a scalar coercivity of \( 800000 \) A.m\(^{-1}\) [42]. The Finite Element Analysis was carried out using Electromagnetic simulation software (EMS) from EMWORKS and integrated with 3D CAD INVENTOR software from AUTODESK.

The error between the results of the analytical expressions (\( B_{\text{Analytical}} \)) and those of the Finite Element (FE) model (\( B_{\text{FE model}} \)) is calculated using Eq. (5.1)

\[
\text{Error} = \left| \frac{B_{\text{Analytical}} - B_{\text{FE model}}}{B_{\text{FE model}}} \right| \times 100 \% \quad (5.1)
\]
In Figs. 3(a), 4(a) and 5(a), the magnetic field components are presented with a solid line for those computed using the derived analytical expressions of this paper, with circles for those computed using the FE model and with a dotted line for those computed using the model by Caciagli et al [42]. In Fig. 5.2, 5.4 and 5.6, the errors are presented with a solid line for those derived from the analytical expression of this paper, and with a dashed line for those derived from the model by Caciagli et al. [42].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of the magnetic field</th>
<th>Maximum error (%)</th>
<th>Average error (%)</th>
<th>Minimum error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Model derived in this paper</td>
<td>Model by Caciagli et al. [42]</td>
<td>Model derived in this paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>916.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuthal component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>828.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>819.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5.2 Computational times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components of the magnetic field</th>
<th>Time-consumption (seconds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Double integration model [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial component</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuthal component</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial component</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5.3 Comparison of the axial component of the magnetic field computed by the analytical model derived in this paper and those of double integration form [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computed points K(r mm, α°, z mm)</th>
<th>Analytical model in this paper</th>
<th>Double integration model [19]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1, 30°,1)</td>
<td>2.157769964794315e+02</td>
<td>2.157769964794310e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,60°,1)</td>
<td>6.708086824080323e+02</td>
<td>6.708086824080297e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,90°,2)</td>
<td>2.090489643938749e+03</td>
<td>2.090489643938752e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3,60°,2)</td>
<td>1.642034971824546e+03</td>
<td>1.642034971824547e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7,45°,3)</td>
<td>1.285267148068441e+02</td>
<td>1.285267148068443e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8,45°,2)</td>
<td>64.672428644072369</td>
<td>64.672428644071971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9,0°,3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1.663901291691562e-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When the integration increment is increased to the square root of the minimum; indefinite with the minimum integration increment.
Table 5.4  Comparison of the azimuthal component of the magnetic field computed by the analytical model derived in this paper and those of double integration form [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computed points $K(r \text{mm, } \alpha^\circ, z \text{ mm})$</th>
<th>Analytical model in this paper</th>
<th>Double integration model [19]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1, 30°,1)</td>
<td>2.075341353118272e+03</td>
<td>2.075342030279546e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,60°,1)</td>
<td>6.883383752352425e+03</td>
<td>6.883383655587118e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,90°,2)</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000 when the integration increment is increased to the square root of the minimum; indefinite with the minimum integration increment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3,60°,2)</td>
<td>-9.414782387941905e+02</td>
<td>-9.414783284170040e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7,45°,3)</td>
<td>-1.249742783341035e+02</td>
<td>-1.249742322552511e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8,45°,2)</td>
<td>-97.645377896016626</td>
<td>-97.645374376110695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9,0°,3)</td>
<td>-91.127178430913247</td>
<td>-91.127228807875156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.5 Comparison of the radial component of the magnetic field computed by the analytical model derived in this paper and those of double integration form [19]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computed points $K(r \text{mm, } \alpha^\circ, z \text{ mm})$</th>
<th>Analytical model in this paper</th>
<th>Double integration model [19]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1, 30°,1)</td>
<td>3.254215441314990e+03</td>
<td>3.254090873644084e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,60°,1)</td>
<td>5.171522650531067e+03</td>
<td>5.17089368858451e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,90°,2)</td>
<td>6.548622537747931e+03</td>
<td>6.54798452927014e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3,60°,2)</td>
<td>2.665756882192566e+03</td>
<td>2.666260855598413e+03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7,45°,3)</td>
<td>1.951738642613515e+02</td>
<td>1.951864669091654e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8,45°,2)</td>
<td>1.772092192324710e+02</td>
<td>1.772204783074605e+02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9,0°,3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-5.507071531793312e-14 when the integration increment is increased to the square root of the minimum; indefinite with the minimum integration increment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figs. 5.1 and 5.2 show that the developed analytical expressions can compute the magnetic field precisely, with an average error of less than 2.5 % for the axial component inside the magnet, except for the field point near the centre of the cylinder (the radial distance r is less than 1 mm) where the error is up to 30%. This could be due to the mesh-based approach of the finite-element solver [42], for example, the mesh could not be fine enough to yield exact results such as some nodes of the calculated point were located in the negative field when the point is close to the centre of the cylinder. The average error decreases to below 2% in the air space and it continues to decline with the increase in the radial distances. In contrast, the model developed by Caciagli et al [42] yields inaccurate results with a minimum error of 39.1 % and this error increases for the other field points inside the magnet and in the air space. Figs. 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 show that using the derived analytical expressions, the average errors are lower than 0.2 % for the azimuthal and radial components inside the magnet. The errors increase for the magnetic field close to the cylindrical surface of the magnet (r ≈ R), where a discontinuity of the magnetic field is observed (Fig. 5.3 and Fig. 5.5 show the discontinuity value of the radial component can be calculated using Eq. 4.5.1). This is, however, as mentioned before, due to the mesh-based approach of the finite-element solver [42]. The average errors of these components drop below 1.5 % for the field points in the air space and they keep decreasing with the increase in the radial distances. On the other hand, using the model by Caciagli et al. [42] produces a minimum error of 24.04 % for the azimuthal component and 2.6 % for radial component and they go up for the other field points both inside the magnet and in the air space. The inaccuracy of the model by Caciagli et al [42] can be explained, as, in the derivation steps, the
magnetic scalar potential was approximately presented with the complete elliptic integrals. Then, the final expressions were derived by taking derivatives of this scalar potential directly, which causes the error when using them to compute the magnetic field. Table 5.1 presents more details about the maximum, average and minimum errors of the analytical model derived in this paper and those by Caciagli et al [42].

Evaluated in MATLAB R2016b with the minimum integration increment (double precision in MATLAB), using the analytical expression derived in this paper, it took an average of 4.6 milliseconds on a personal computer (with Processor Intel® Core™ i7-6700 CPU @ 3.40 GHz 3.40 GHz) to calculate the axial component at a single location (2000 samples with random input variables). It took less than 0.2 seconds to compute the azimuthal and radial components. On the other hand, using the analytical model by Caciagli et al. [42] in the same configuration, it took 0.08 milliseconds to calculate the axial component and less than 0.02 seconds to compute the azimuthal and radial components. Even though Caciagli’s analytical model computes slightly faster than the work presented in this paper, the results of the work presented are far more accurate. Evaluated in MATLAB with the same configuration as mentioned above, the double integration of the axial component (from Eq. (4.2)) took 0.33 seconds, the double integration of the azimuthal component took 0.045 seconds and the double integration of the radial component took 0.24 seconds (Table 5.2). This can demonstrate that the analytical model derived in this study outperforms the double integration expression [19] in terms of the computational cost but remains very close in terms of the calculated results in most of the randomly selected points (Table 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5).
Fig. 5.1 Axial component of the magnetic field: Magnetic field

Fig. 5.2 Axial component of the magnetic field: Error rates between the analytical models and the FE model
Fig. 5.3 Azimuthal component of the magnetic field: Magnetic field

Fig. 5.4 Azimuthal component of the magnetic field: Error rates between the analytical models and the FE model
Fig. 5.5 Radial component of the magnetic field: Magnetic field

Fig. 5.6 Radial component of the magnetic field: Error rates between the analytical models and the FE model
Chapter 6

Conclusion and future work

An exact analytical model to compute the magnetic field generated by a diametrically magnetised cylindrical/ring shaped permanent magnet with a limited length, at any point in 3D space, both inside the magnet and in the air, was developed in this thesis. Based on geometrical and analytical analyses, without any approximation in the derivation steps, the magnetic field is expressed analytically using the complete elliptic integrals for its axial component and incomplete elliptic integrals for its azimuthal and radial components. The total computational cost of the analytical model is lower than that of a double integration model while the two models are in very good agreement in terms of computed results. The results of the developed analytical expressions are in good agreement with those using Finite Element Analysis and far more precise than those obtained by Caciagli et al. [42].

In the future, the derived analytical expressions will be further implemented to optimize the permanent magnet’s parameters and to define the optimized air gap between the magnet and the Hall effect sensors used in the study proposed by the authors [39].
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Appendix A

Derivation steps

A.1 The axial component $H^{(3D)}_{K(z)}(r, \alpha, z_K)$

From Eq. (4.2), the axial component $H^{(3D)}_{K(z)}(r, \alpha, z_K)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$H^{(3D)}_{K(z)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \int_{z=-h/2}^{z=h/2} \frac{(z_K - z)}{(R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \beta + (z_K - z)^2)^{3/2}} \sin(\alpha + \beta) \ dz \ d\beta \quad (A.1)$$

Integrating Eq. (A.1) based on $z$ yields:

$$H^{(3D)}_{K(z)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_K - z)^2 + |P'K|^2}} \right) \sin(\alpha + \beta) d\beta \quad (A.2)$$

Here, $|P'K|^2 = R^2 + r^2 - 2R \cos(\beta) \quad (A.2.1)$; expanding (A.2) produces:

$$H^{(3D)}_{K(z)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_K - h/2)^2 + |P'K|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{(z_K + h/2)^2 + |P'K|^2}} \right) \cos(\beta) \sin(\alpha) \right) + \sin(\beta) \cos(\alpha) \ d\beta \quad (A.3)$$
with consideration of periodic odd/even functions in the interval \([-\pi; \pi]\) in equation (A.3), the axial component is finally expressed as follows:

\[
H_{K(z)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)^2 + \left|PK\right|^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)^2 + \left|PK\right|^2}} \right) \cos(\beta) \, d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha) \quad (A.4)
\]

For point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder \(z_K = 0\), expression (A.4) can be simplified to \(H_{K(z)}^{(\text{Fontana})}(r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = 0\), which is presented in the study by Fontana et al. [19].

**A.2 The tangential (Azimuthal) component \(H_{K(a)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K)\)**

From Eq. (4.2), \(H_{K(a)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z)\) can be expressed as follows:

\[
H_{K(a)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \int_{z=-h/2}^{z=h/2} \frac{(- \sin(\alpha + \beta)))}{\sqrt{\left(R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos(\beta) + (z_K - z)^2\right)^2}} \, dz \, d\beta \quad (A.5)
\]

Integrating Eq. (A.5) based on z yields:

\[
H_{K(a)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( z - z_K \right) \frac{(- \sin(\beta))}{\sqrt{\left|PK\right|^2 + \left(z_K - z\right)^2}} \, \left( \frac{z = h/2}{z = -h/2} \right) \sin(\alpha + \beta) \, d\beta \quad (A.6)
\]
Here, $|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2$ is calculated using (A.2.1); expanding (A.6) produces:

$$H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \frac{\left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)}{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \sqrt{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)^2}} + \frac{\left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)}{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \sqrt{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)^2}} \right) (-R\sin \beta) \sin (\alpha + \beta) d\beta$$

or,

$$H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} G \left( |\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \right) (-R\sin(\beta))(\cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha) + \sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha)) d\beta \right)$$ (A.7)

Here,

$$G \left( |\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \right) = \frac{\left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)}{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \sqrt{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2} - z_K\right)^2}} + \frac{\left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)}{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \sqrt{|\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 + \left(\frac{h}{2} + z_K\right)^2}}$$ (A.7.1)

with consideration of periodic odd/even functions in the interval $[-\pi; \pi]$ in equation (A.7), the tangential component is finally expressed as follows:

$$H_{K(\alpha)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} G \left( |\overrightarrow{PK}|^2 \right) (-R\sin^2(\beta)) d\beta \right) \cos(\alpha)$$ (A.8)

For point K belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder $z_K = 0$, expression (A.8) can be simplified to
\[ H_{K(r)}^{\text{(Fontana)}}(r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = \left( \frac{JhR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{-R\sin^2(\beta)}{|P'K|^2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 + |P'K|^2}} d\beta \right) \cos(\alpha) \]

which is presented in the study by Fontana et al. [19].

### A.3 The radial component \( H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) \)

From Eq. (4.2), \( H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) \) can be expressed as follows:

\[ H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \int_{z=-h/2}^{z=h/2} \frac{(r - R\cos\beta)}{\left(R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \beta + (z_K - z)^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sin(\alpha) \left( R^2 + r^2 - 2Rr \cos \beta + (z_K - z)^2 \right) d\beta d\alpha \]

Following the same derivation steps as for the tangential component while integrating (A.9) yields:

\[ H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} G\left(|P'K|^2\right) (r - R\cos(\beta))(\cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha) \right. \left. + \sin(\beta)\cos(\alpha)\right) d\beta \right) \]

Here, \( G\left(|P'K|^2\right) \) is calculated using (A.7.1) with consideration of periodic odd/even functions in the interval \([-\pi; \pi]\) in Eq. (A.10), the radial component is finally expressed as follows:
\[ H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{JR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} G \left( \left| \vec{P}' \right| \right)^2 (r \cos(\beta) - R \cos^2(\beta)) \, d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha) \quad (A.11) \]

Inserting \( r = R \) in equations (A.7.1) and (A.11), Eq. (A.11) is simplified to

\[ H_{K(r)}^{(3D)}(r = R, \alpha, z_K) = \left( \frac{J}{8\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \left( \frac{h}{2} - z_K \right) \left( \frac{h}{2} + z_K \right) \frac{1}{\left( \sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} - z_K \right)^2 + \left| \vec{P}' \right|^2} \right)^2} \cos(\beta) \, d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha) \]

For point \( K \) belonging to the symmetrical plane of the cylinder \( z_K = 0 \), expression (A.11) can be simplified to

\[ H_{K(r)}^{(\text{Fontana})}(r, \alpha, z_K = 0) = \left( \frac{JhR}{4\pi\mu_0} \int_{\beta=-\pi}^{\beta=\pi} \frac{r \cos(\beta) - R \cos^2(\beta)}{\left| \vec{P}' \right|^2 \sqrt{\left( \frac{h}{2} \right)^2 + \left| \vec{P}' \right|^2}} \, d\beta \right) \sin(\alpha) \]

which is presented in the study by Fontana et al. [19].