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Abstract

**Background:** Resource constraints in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) necessitate practical approaches to optimizing antiretroviral therapy outcomes. We hypothesised that an untimed plasma lopinavir concentration (UPLC) at week 12 would predict loss of virological response in those taking lopinavir as part of a second-line antiretroviral regimen.

**Methods:** We measured plasma lopinavir concentration at week 12 on stored samples from the SECOND-LINE study. We characterised UPLC as: (a) detectable and optimal (≥1000 µg/L); (b) detectable but sub-optimal (≥25 to < 1000 µg/L); (c) undetectable (< 25 µg/L). We used Cox regression to explore relationship between UPLC and loss of virological response over 48 weeks and backwards stepwise logistic regression to explore the relationship between UPLC and other predictors of virological failure (VF) at week 48.

**Results:** At week 48 we observed VF in 15/32 (47%) and 53/485 (11%) of patients with undetectable and detectable UPLC, respectively, p<0.001. Both suboptimal (adjusted HR 2.94, 95% CI 1.54 - 5.62, p=0.001), and undetectable (adjusted HR 3.55, 95% CI 1.89 - 6.64, p<0.001) UPLC were associated with higher rates of loss of virological response over 48 weeks. In multivariate analysis, an independent association with VF at week 48 and undetectable UPLC was observed after adjustment (OR 5.48, 95% CI 2.23 - 13.42, p<0.01).
**Conclusions:** In LMICs implementing a public health approach to ART treatment, untimed plasma drug concentration may provide a practical method for early identification of patients with inadequate medication adherence and facilitate timely corrective interventions to prevent virological failure.
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Introduction

Optimising second-line antiretroviral therapy (ART) outcome is critical to achieving the global UNAIDS “90–90–90” targets. Worrying trends of increasing second-line regimen failure in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) pose significant challenges to global efforts to achieving these targets [1].

Boosted protease inhibitors (PIs) are the World Health Organisation (WHO) - recommended and preferred anchor drugs for second-line ART regimens [2]. PI-based regimens have demonstrated a characteristic adherence-response relationship [3,4]. While regimen potency is key for virological suppression, and near complete (95%) adherence is critical to assure full and sustained virological suppression, the levels of adherence required for the selection of boosted PI resistance is unknown [5,6]. While high adherence level of 95% has been associated with optimal viral suppression [7], high rates of viral suppression have also been documented among patients with moderate levels of adherence [8,9].

We have previously demonstrated that higher baseline HIV RNA viral load (VL), poor adherence (<100%), greater degrees of study baseline N(t)RTI resistance and ethnicity independently predicted virological failure at week 96 [10].

We decided to extend these observations by assessing whether an early untimed lopinavir drug level could predict the virological outcome (dichotomised to
virological suppression, defined as VL< 200 copies/mL and virological failure, defined as VL ≥200 copies/mL at week 48. We were also interested in determining if the independent association between virological failure and ‘ethnicity’ would remain if we controlled for plasma ART concentration. We hypothesised that an untimed plasma lopinavir concentration (UPLC) measured at week 12 would predict virological failure at 48 weeks in the SECOND-LINE Study [11].

**Participants and trial design**
SECOND-LINE was an international, multicentre, open-label, randomised controlled trial comparing ritonavir-boosted lopinavir given with either two or three N(t)RTIs (N(t)RTI group) or with raltegravir (RAL group) as second-line therapy. [11] Of 558 participants, 41 were excluded for either switching off ritonavir-boosted lopinavir prior to week 12 or having an inadequate stored plasma samples at week 12.

**Materials and Methods**
We retrospectively analysed week 12 plasma lopinavir concentration using stored patient samples obtained from the SECOND-LINE study repository in Sydney, Australia. We measured lopinavir concentration using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. The method allows for accurate and precise quantitation of samples from 100 µg/L - 15,000 µg/L with the lower limit of detection (LLD) of 25 µg/L. All LPV measurements were untimed. We used minimum target LPV trough
concentration for wild-type HIV [11] and LLD of the assay to characterize UPLC into categories.

**Study objectives**

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the association between untimed detectable (LPV≥25 µg/L) or undetectable (LPV<25 µg/L) plasma LPV at week 12 and virological failure at week 48 (HIV viral load in plasma≥200 copies/mL). Secondary objectives included the association between untimed plasma lopinavir concentration (UPLC) as (a) detectable and optimal (o-UPLC) (≥1000 µg/L); (b) detectable but sub-optimal (s-UPLC) (≥25 to <1000 µg/L); (c) undetectable (u-UPLC) (<25 µg/L) and time to loss of virological response (TLOVR).

**Statistical analysis**

A chi-square test was used to examine the association between UPLC and virological failure at week 48. Univariate logistic regression was used to assess the association between virologic failure at week 48 and UPLC as well as other correlates of virologic outcome (age, BMI, sex, ethnicity, duration of HIV infection, HIV stage, duration of ART, randomized arm, baseline VL, nadir CD4, baseline CD4, baseline CD8, baseline CD4/CD8 ratio, adherence at week 4, adherence at week 48, baseline resistance (genotypic sensitivity score [GSS]) and HIV subtype). Kaplan-Meier methods and Cox regression models were used to investigate the relationship between UPLC and TLOVR. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA® version 14.2,
Results

Our analysis included 517 of 558 participants enrolled into the SECOND-LINE trial who were receiving lopinavir at week 12 and had an adequate stored sample available. At week 48 we observed virological failure in 15/32 (47%) and 53/485 (11%) of patients with undetectable and detectable plasma lopinavir concentrations, respectively, p<0.001. At week 12, 32/517 (6%) had undetectable UPLC, and 485/517 (94%) had detectable UPLC.

Both suboptimal UPLC (adjusted HR 2.94, 95% CI 1.54 - 5.62, p=0.001), and undetectable UPLC (adjusted HR 3.55, 95% CI 1.89 - 6.64, p<0.001) were significantly associated with higher rates of loss of virological response over 48 weeks after adjusting for baseline viral load and randomized arm, Fig.1. In multivariate analysis, an independent association with time to loss of virological response over 48 weeks and undetectable UPLC was observed after adjustment for baseline GSS, baseline VL, baseline BMI, adherence at week 4 and week 48 and ethnicity (OR 5.48, 95% CI 2.23 - 13.42, p< 0.001), (Table1).

The association between VF at week 96 and ethnicity observed in our previous analysis (using Asians as comparator group: Whites had OR 2.28; CI 0.65 – 8.2;
p=0.196, Hispanics; OR 3.13; 95% CI 1.21 – 8.13; p = 0.019 and Africans; OR 2.09; CI 0.7 – 6.25; p= 0.185) [10] lost significance with the inclusion of the week 12 UPLC data in the current analysis (Whites as a comparator group: Asians; OR 0.43; CI 0.18 – 1.06; p= 0.368 and Africans; OR 0.59, CI 0.24 – 1.45; p =0.247).

Discussion

We observed a significant association between single undetectable UPLC and virological failure among an ethnically diverse cohort of HIV patients randomised to LPV/r as part of a second-line therapy.

Early and objective identification of poor adherence is critical to achieving and sustaining viral suppression. Self-reported adherence for example, while it is cheap and easy to administer, is prone to recall bias and overestimation [12,13]. Underestimation of true adherence and patients’ acceptability of medication event monitoring systems(MEMS) has been previously reported [14].

Several studies have demonstrated the relationship between untimed plasma or hair PI concentrations and virological outcome [12–23]. A significant association between a single, low, plasma drug level soon after starting unboosted PI therapy and poor virological outcome[adjusted OR,2.7; CI, 0.10 – 0.72; p<0.001] during the first year of therapy was reported by Alexander et al. [13]. In a retrospective analysis of plasma LPV concentration in 84 patients, Wateba et al reported a significant difference in
virological suppression at 3 months among those patients with subtherapeutic (LPV<3 mg/L), therapeutic concentration (LPV= 3mg/L - 8 mg/L) and toxic concentration (LPV> 8 mg/L), p< 0.05 ten days after commencing LPV/r containing regimen [24]. In a cross-sectional analysis of 93 patients treated with LPV/r regimens, low plasma LPV (< 1 μg/ml) had negative predictive value for virologic failure(VL>1000copies/ml) of 92% [17].

In contrast to the above studies, we used untimed plasma LPV at week 12 in a contemporary cohort of 517 patients, in a randomized trial setting, who were receiving LPV/r based, WHO recommended second-line regimens, to predict virological failure at week at 48 with a more stringent definition of virologic failure (VL≥200copies/ml).

Our findings have important clinical implications. Firstly, the measurement of untimed plasma drug concentration may provide a simple and practical method for the identification of patients with inadequate adherence and impending virological failure. This approach might allow early tailored adherence interventions before virologic failure and selection of resistance mutations to facilitate viral re-suppression and optimise treatment outcome [25]. At less than US$50 per sample, one could imagine for instance the development and use of a simple point of care test that reported ‘absence’ or ‘presence’ of the drug at any pre-determined level.
Secondly, even with an ethnically diverse population, ethnicity or racial categories are weak proxies for interrogating differential virologic outcomes with contemporary, potent, highly forgiving ART regimens. While it may be tempting to explain higher rates of virological failure by ethnically-determined drug distribution and metabolism, we have demonstrated that virological failure in the SECOND-LINE study was more likely simply a marker of poor adherence.

Strategies to optimise adherence will be critical to the long-term success of ART programs worldwide. While third-line ART is mentioned in WHO guidelines, it is mainly aspirational in LMICs and its optimal composition not well grounded in clinical science.

The study has some weaknesses. Plasma ART concentration can be influenced by sex, age, BMI, drug-drug interactions, drug-food interaction, disease state, drug transporters and genetic polymorphism [26–28]. We measured LPV/r at a single time point thus limiting our ability to interrogate inter-personal and intra-personal variability [29] of the plasma LPV concentrations. In some individual cases, we were unable to analyse the relationship between plasma lopinavir concentration and virological outcome due to missing or inadequate plasma samples. These phenomena partly explain the imperfect association between UPLC and virological suppression observed in our current analysis.
Conclusions

In LMICs, where a public health approach to the provision of HIV treatment is widely implemented, single untimed LPV concentration offers a practical method for adherence stewardship, optimising treatment outcome to boosted PI-based therapy and ensuring sustainability of ART treatment programs. This may be even more attractive if a simple point-of-care technology could determine the absence or presence of LPV were available. Further study using untimed LPV or other PI plasma concentration to optimise virological outcome deserves further research in prospective clinical trials.
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