

ACCEPTED VERSION

Thomas Kirch, Cristian H. Birzer, Paul R. Medwell and Liam Holden
The role of primary and secondary air on wood combustion in cookstoves
International Journal of Sustainable Energy, 2018; 37(3):268-277

© 2016 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in **International Journal of Sustainable Energy**, on 30 Mar 2016 available online:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2016.1166110>

PERMISSIONS

<http://authorservices.taylorandfrancis.com/sharing-your-work/>

Accepted Manuscript (AM)

As a Taylor & Francis author, you can post your Accepted Manuscript (AM) on your personal website at any point after publication of your article (this includes posting to Facebook, Google groups, and LinkedIn, and linking from Twitter). To encourage citation of your work we recommend that you insert a link from your posted AM to the published article on [Taylor & Francis Online](#) with the following text:

“This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in [JOURNAL TITLE] on [date of publication], available online: [http://www.tandfonline.com/\[Article DOI\]](http://www.tandfonline.com/[Article DOI]).”

For example: *“This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis Group in Africa Review on 17/04/2014, available online: <http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/12345678.1234.123456>.”*

N.B. Using a real DOI will form a link to the Version of Record on [Taylor & Francis Online](#).

The AM is defined by the [National Information Standards Organization](#) as:
“The version of a journal article that has been accepted for publication in a journal.”

This means the version that has been through peer review and been accepted by a journal editor. When you receive the acceptance email from the Editorial Office we recommend that you retain this article for future posting.

[Embargoes apply](#) if you are posting the AM to an institutional or subject repository, or to academic social networks such as Mendeley, ResearchGate, or Academia.edu.

12 May 2020

<http://hdl.handle.net/2440/124665>

22 1. Introduction

23 Currently, and consistently over the last three decades, 2.9 billion people
24 rely on solid fuels as a primary source for cooking (Bonjour et al., 2013).
25 Traditional open fires or basic cookstoves, that are primarily used for burn-
26 ing solid fuels, result in the emission of significant amounts of toxic products
27 of incomplete combustion leading to health, social, and environmental prob-
28 lems. Worldwide, household air pollution from solid fuels is a major contrib-
29 utor to the burden of disease, especially for women, for whom it is second
30 only to high blood pressure in disability-adjusted-life-years (Lim, 2012), and
31 children, for whom it is responsible for 50% of premature deaths under five
32 years of age (WHO, 2014). Addressing the issue of pollution from open fires
33 and basic cookstoves is therefore important to help improve the quality of
34 life for billions of people.

35 To reduce the problems related to traditional cooking methods there are
36 a multitude of improved cooking systems on the market (Urmee and Gyamfi,
37 2014), with some showing promising results (Johnson et al., 2013; Jetter
38 et al., 2012). However, as noted by MacCarty et al. (2010), not all new stove
39 designs necessarily improve the emission of products of incomplete combus-
40 tion, such as carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter (PM) (MacCarty
41 et al., 2010). To ensure the optimisation of cookstoves for the future, the
42 development of improved combustion science for these systems is essential
43 (Simon et al., 2014).

44 It is widely known that a key attribute for complete combustion is to
45 create sufficient mixing of oxygen with the fuel. Without adequate mixing,
46 and the necessary feedback from the hot combustion products, emissions

47 of incomplete combustion will remain high. Recognising the importance of
48 mixing in the improvement of efficiency and reduction of pollutants, an in-
49 creasing number of improved cookstoves seek to incorporate forced draught.
50 Stoves that apply the assistance of blowers or fans increase the stove price
51 dramatically, however with low-cost computer-based blowers being available
52 now this problem can be ameliorated (Kshirsagar and Kalamkar, 2014). It
53 has been observed that when using forced air the heat transfer to a vessel
54 would increase, and products of incomplete combustion, foremost CO and
55 PM, would decrease, compared to other cookstoves (MacCarty et al., 2010;
56 Aprovecho Research Center, 2011; Kumar et al., 2013; Raman et al., 2014).
57 The increase in the heat transfer is related to higher gas velocity of hot flue
58 gases around the cooking vessel while the emissions reduction is believed to
59 be caused by greater mixing of air with combustible gases. On the contrary
60 it has also been found that forced air stoves, though more energy efficient,
61 are not necessarily more emission efficient (Kshirsagar and Kalamkar, 2014)
62 and although emitting relatively less PM_{2.5} mass the number of ultra-fine
63 particles can increase (Jetter et al., 2012).

64 There is an increasing trend for improved cookstoves to feature forced
65 air (Kshirsagar and Kalamkar, 2014) with the widespread belief that forced
66 air necessarily leads to greater mixing and thus increased performance. The
67 analysis of the validity of this claim over a range of conditions is the pur-
68 pose of this study. A two-stage solid fuel research furnace, analogous to a
69 cookstove, which allows the air flow rate through a primary and a secondary
70 inlet to be controlled was used to investigate advantages and disadvantages
71 of forced draught in cookstoves. The measurement of emissions from the

72 combustion and the temperatures inside the furnace enable the evaluation of
73 the process. Especially the incorporation of secondary air, also called over-
74 fire air, which is a key feature of gasifier type stoves could be beneficial for
75 the presented combustion furnace.

76 **2. Equipment and Techniques**

77 *2.1. Research Furnace*

78 Experiments were conducted in a research furnace, shown in Figure 1,
79 which was designed to provide control over multiple air flow rates, geometry
80 and fuel/air locations. The furnace has an inner diameter of 206 mm and a
81 height of 1200 mm. This size is larger than most stoves which are designed
82 for household use, to be able to address scaling issues and to enable a greater
83 variability of adjustable parameters. These parameters include the location
84 and the depth or amount of the fuel, which can have an influence on the com-
85 bustion process, but an investigation of these parameters is outside the scope
86 of the present study which is focussed on the effect of the air supply. The
87 research furnace consists of the primary air inlet chamber, the stove body,
88 in which the fuel grate is located, and the furnace extension, as presented in
89 Figure 1. On top of the research furnace a pot and pot-stand were added to
90 the set-up. The pot was filled with 2L of water, and the water temperature
91 was monitored with a K-type thermocouple. The tip of the water thermo-
92 couple was inserted through a small hole in the top of the lid of the pot to
93 ensure that it was submersed in the water.

Figure 1: Schematic research furnace (all measurements in [mm])

94 The primary air (PA) flow rate can be controlled by using a small blower
95 situated on the outside of the furnace, as shown in Figure 1. This blower is
96 designed for the purpose of cooling personal computers. It was chosen for
97 this application because for in-field construction of forced draught cookstoves
98 the use of this kind of blower could achieve a far reaching impact. Personal
99 computer blowers are readily available in many parts of the world and have
100 previously been used for the purpose of providing forced draft in cookstoves
101 (Anderson and Reed, 2004). The blower can be adjusted using a pulse width
102 modulator (PWM) with five settings to adjust the primary air flow rate in
103 relation to the maximum airflow of 14.6 L/s.

104 To control the global equivalence ratio of the furnace, without changing
105 the air flow rate through the fuel bed, compressed air was injected into the
106 furnace as secondary air (SA). The SA flow enters the furnace downstream
107 of the fuel bed via 12 equi-spaced radial injection streams. The injection
108 streams consist of 290 mm long pipes, with an inner diameter of 12 mm,
109 and a 1.2 m long flexible hose. All hoses are connected to a manifold to
110 ensure uniform flow rate through the SA injection streams. The flow rates
111 are controlled using a rotameter situated upstream of the manifold.

112 2.2. Fuel

113 Wood chips were the fuel used for the experiments reported in this pa-
114 per. These wood chips were produced from Radiata Pine (*Pinus Radiata*)
115 trees sourced from a timber processing plant in Jamestown, South Australia.
116 Pine bark also accounts for a small portion of the chips (less than 10%).
117 A sample tested was found to include chips varying from $75 \times 25 \times 3$ mm
118 to $8 \times 5 \times 2$ mm with the average size approximately $30 \times 20 \times 3$ mm. A

119 controlled moisture content of approximately 10% was established for all
120 experiments. Wood chips were chosen as fuel because wood is the most com-
121 monly combusted biomass (Yevich, 2003). The mass of fuel used for all tests
122 was 1.0 kg.

123 *2.3. Measurements*

124 Emissions were measured using a Testo 350 Flue Gas Analyser. Measure-
125 ments included oxygen (O_2), carbon monoxide (CO), and carbon dioxide
126 (CO_2). The flue gas measurements were taken at 830 mm above the exit
127 plane of the furnace extension, along the central axis of the furnace. All
128 concentrations recorded are on a dry basis. Mean gas-phase temperature
129 measurements were obtained using a K-type thermocouple mounted in loca-
130 tion A, as shown in Figure 1 and the water temperature inside a pot was
131 measured, as described in section 2.1.

132 *2.4. Test Procedure for Varying Primary Air*

133 Investigations into the effect of primary air flow rate were conducted
134 with a furnace extension height set at 310 mm, a distance from the furnace
135 extension to the pot of 50 mm and the secondary air flow rate set at 6.4 L/s.
136 Tests were conducted at the primary air flow rates of 14.6 L/s, 8.8 L/s and
137 5.9 L/s, each repeated three times. To minimise thermal variations between
138 tests, the furnace was pre-heated to approximately 65°C. For each experiment
139 1 kg of fuel was loaded onto the fuel grate. During testing the research
140 furnace was placed under a fume extraction duct and the pot containing 2 L
141 of water was placed above the exit plane of the furnace extension. The pot
142 was placed onto the pot stand and visually aligned with the flue to ensure the

143 exhaust gases flowed evenly around the outside of the pot. The temperature
144 of the water in the pot, and the time taken to achieve water boiling, give an
145 indication of the heat transfer from the hot gases issuing from the furnace to
146 the pot.

147 To ignite the biomass, approximately 50 mL of methylated spirits (96%
148 ethanol) was poured into the furnace over the biomass fuel. The primary air
149 and the secondary air were turned on after one minute to the predetermined
150 value for each experiment. The experiments were conducted for 13 minutes,
151 with both airflows turned off after 11 minutes. The experiments were run
152 in a continuous cycle to ensure that the furnace always started at the same
153 temperature, being pre-heated by the previous experiment. For each set of
154 parameters the test was repeated three times and the arithmetic mean of the
155 results is presented. Due to the variability of stove testing more tests would
156 be advantageous (Wang et al., 2014) but the observations were found to be
157 repeatable, such that the trends are not affected by a bias due to the limited
158 sample size.

159 *2.5. Test Procedure for Varying Secondary Air*

160 Consistent with the test procedure for varying primary air presented in
161 section 2.4, the same procedure was used for a second set of experiments,
162 but instead the secondary air (SA) was varied. The PA was kept constant at
163 14.6 L/s and the SA was adjusted. Experiments were performed at SA flow
164 rates of 1.3 L/s, 3.8 L/s, 6.4 L/s and 9.0 L/s.

165 **3. Results and Discussion**

166 *3.1. Varying Primary Air Flow Rate*

167 *3.1.1. Oxygen profile*

168 Figure 2 shows the mean oxygen concentration from three independent
169 test measurements, at each of the three various primary air (PA) flow rates.
170 At the beginning of the burn cycle the initial concentration of oxygen (O_2)
171 is approximately 21%, as expected. During the combustion process the O_2
172 concentration decreases as a function of time for all levels of PA until it
173 reaches a minimum at approximately 350 seconds. It can be assumed that at
174 this point, after 350 seconds, the combustion intensity is greatest, consuming
175 the oxygen and leading to these low values. In all cases it is noted that the
176 lowest O_2 concentration is greater than 5% (on a volumetric basis). This
177 measurement is influenced due to the fact that the emissions were measured
178 at 830 mm above the top of furnace extension, where they have been mixed
179 with surrounding air. The high O_2 concentrations suggest though that with
180 all the PA flow rates the system operates fuel-lean.

Figure 2: Mean O_2 concentrations with varying primary air

181 Of particular note from Figure 2 is that the oxygen concentration returns
182 to 21% at approximately the same time for all PA flow rates. This indicates
183 that the burn-time for all cases is approximately 650 seconds. The average
184 air to fuel ratios ($A/F = kg_{air}/kg_{fuel}$), for the complete combustion of all
185 fuel over the time period of 650 sec are presented in Table 1. These values
186 are calculated assuming a molar ratio of the biomass fuel of approximately

187 $\text{CH}_{1.4}\text{O}_{0.6}$, as has been suggested to be an average for woods, with a result-
 188 ing A/F of 6.3 for complete combustion (Saravanakumar et al., 2007). The
 189 presented calculations in Table 1 are highly simplified, since they are based
 190 on many assumptions, such as a uniform fuel consumption during the com-
 191 bustion process. Bearing these assumptions in mind the values in Table 1
 192 give an indication which supports the suggestion of constantly fuel-lean con-
 193 ditions. In all cases, except for a primary air flow of 5.9 L/s, overall fuel-lean
 194 conditions appear to be achieved by the primary airflow.

Table 1: The primary and secondary air flow, the average air/fuel (A/F) ratio for the primary air flow as well as the overall A/F ratio for the consumption of all fuel over the whole burn-cycle of 650 s and the average nominal combustion efficiency (NCE) for all configurations.

Varying primary air flow				
Air flow		A/F ratio		NCE
Primary [L/s]	Secondary [L/s]	Primary [$\text{kg}_{air}/\text{kg}_{fuel}$]	Overall [$\text{kg}_{air}/\text{kg}_{fuel}$]	
5.9	6.4	5.1	10.6	0.919
8.8	6.4	7.6	13.2	0.916
14.6	6.4	12.7	18.2	0.944
Varying secondary air flow				
14.6	1.3	12.7	13.8	0.923
14.6	3.8	12.7	16.0	0.932
14.6	6.4	12.7	18.2	0.944
14.6	9.0	12.7	20.4	0.951

195 The SA is introduced downstream of the flame front, such that the pri-

196 primary air flow rate should control the combustion of the solid fuel. The
197 addition of secondary air serves predominately to create mixing of air and
198 the primary combustion products, and is aimed to lead to the complete com-
199 bustion.

200 *3.1.2. The nominal combustion efficiency*

201 Figure 3 presents the nominal combustion efficiency (NCE), the relation
202 of CO₂ emissions normalised by the sum of CO and CO₂ emissions (Jetter
203 et al., 2012), for varying primary air flows. This normalisation procedure
204 was performed because the emissions were measured at a position of 830 mm
205 above the furnace where they have been mixed with surrounding air. Due to
206 this mixing effect the measured concentrations have been diluted and could
207 be misleading. The NCE provides a relation of the carbonaceous emissions
208 of complete combustion, CO₂, to the intensity of the combustion process,
209 represented by the sum of all measured carbonaceous emissions, CO and
210 CO₂. This normalisation is independent of location of the measurement
211 and therefore allows an evaluation of the combustion process. High NCE
212 values, which can be seen in the first part of the process up to approximately
213 450 seconds, represent a high combustion performance and low emissions
214 of incomplete combustion. The rapid fall of all curves after the initially
215 steadily high values is assumed to be due to the transition from primarily
216 volatile combustion to primarily char combustion (Jones et al., 2014). In the
217 second part of the process mainly charcoal remains as fuel, which usually
218 accounts for about 20 - 30% of the initial mass (Huangfu et al., 2014). The
219 produced charcoal has a highly porous structure and it consists primarily
220 of carbon. The carbon reacts with oxygen on its surface, largely inside the

221 porous structure (Emmons and Atreya, 1982). This surface oxidation causes
222 high emissions of CO which can subsequently oxidise further to form CO₂
223 if sufficiently high temperatures and oxygen are available (Glassman et al.,
224 2015). Although with all three primary air flows sufficient oxygen is supplied
225 for complete combustion, as shown in Section 3.1.1, the CO emissions are high
226 in the char combustion phase. Table 1 presents the average NCE over the
227 burn-time for the respective tests, which shows that the NCE value increases
228 only by 2.5% with a 2.5 times greater primary air/fuel ratio. This shows that
229 by only increasing the primary air flow no drastic reduction of emissions of
230 incomplete combustion can be achieved. In the first two cases, of 5.9 and
231 8.8 L/s PA, the average NCE is similar to the average of an open fire during
232 a day of in-home use (Johnson et al., 2010). This lead to the choice of 14.6
233 L/s of primary air for the tests of varying secondary air, as the goal is to
234 achieve a NCE as high as possible.

Figure 3: NCE values for varying primary air

235 *3.2. Varying Secondary Air Flow Rate*

236 *3.2.1. Carbonaceous emissions*

237 Figure 4 presents the NCE profiles from varying SA flow rates. It shows
238 that the NCE, similar to the profiles of varying PA, is relatively high in the
239 first part and low in the second part of the burn-cycle. In the beginning,
240 predominantly combustion of volatile compounds, that are released from the
241 fuel stack, is taking place. The consistently high NCE shows that a variation
242 in SA air flow does not have an influence on this part of the combustion
243 process. Towards the end, when most of the volatiles from the fuel are

244 combusted, char remains. The NCE falls during the char combustion, which
245 occurs after the peak intensity of combustion (~ 350 seconds, as mentioned
246 in section 3.1.1). The CO levels are highest for the lowest secondary air flow
247 rate of 1.3 L/s SA.

Figure 4: NCE values for varying secondary air

248 When char combustion occurs in the fuel bed, the oxidation process could
249 be assumed to be controlled by the primary air flow rate rather than the
250 secondary air. This is reflected in the 3.8, 6.4 and 9.0 L/s SA cases, which
251 all show similar profiles. Table 1 shows that the average NCE increases with
252 a higher SA airflow. This indicates that there is a relationship between the
253 SA and the fuel bed. It is hypothesised that at the higher SA flow rates
254 some of the air is directed downward onto the fuel bed, assisting in the char
255 combustion and thus reducing the CO emissions.

256 3.2.2. *Flue gas temperature and heat transfer profile*

257 Figure 5 shows in part (a) the gas phase temperature in the furnace ex-
258 tension and in part (b) the water temperature inside the pot for the four SA
259 flow rates considered. It can be seen in Figure 5 (a) that when the secondary
260 air flow rate of 1.3 L/s was used, the flue temperature was considerably
261 higher, up to 200°C greater than at 9.0 L/s SA. The lower temperature with
262 the higher SA flow rates does not indicate lower heat output, but that the
263 heat is diluted by the additional air (thus lowering the temperature). De-
264 pending on the application, the additional air (thus increased gas velocity)
265 may be advantageous, whilst other applications may require the higher tem-
266 perature. The average of the water temperature, as presented in Figure 5

267 (b), reaches boiling point at 1.3 L/s SA (100°C) approximately 200 seconds
268 before the measurements at 9 L/s SA. This shows that at 1.3 L/s SA flow,
269 with the highest flue gas temperatures, the heat transfer is greatest in this
270 combustion system.

Figure 5: (a) The Gas temperatures in the furnace extensions and (b) the water temperatures during varying secondary air tests

271 3.3. Discussion

272 In Figure 3 and Figure 4 it can be seen that in all cases the emissions
273 of incomplete combustion are low in the first part of the combustion process
274 and high in the second part. The first part of the process appears to be
275 influenced by the variation of neither PA nor by SA. The volatile compounds
276 which are released from the fuel are burned cleanly. The combustion in the
277 second part of the process is, opposite to the first part, influenced by both PA
278 and SA flow. With an increase of PA flow the NCE also increases, as can be
279 seen in Figure 3, and the lowest SA flow displays the lowest NCE in Table 1.
280 These emissions profiles need to be related to the information that the heat
281 transfer to the pot, presented in section 3.2.2, is significantly greater with
282 lower secondary air. This finding is in agreement with previously reported
283 lower heat transfer with higher air flows in a biomass combustion furnace
284 (Selim et al., 2011). Therefore a trade-off needs to be considered between a
285 higher NCE and lower heat transfer with a greater air flow against a higher
286 heat transfer and lower NCE with a lower air flow.

287 4. Conclusions

288 The present study was performed on a two-stage research furnace to in-
289 vestigate the influence of the application of primary as well as secondary
290 air on the combustion process of cookstoves. Two sets of experiments were
291 performed. In one set the secondary air (SA) was held constant while the
292 primary air (PA) was varied. In another set the PA was held constant while
293 the SA was varied. This allowed the influence of air flows over a range of
294 conditions to be studied.

295 The results show that the first part of the combustion process, where the
296 combustion of volatile compounds prevails, exhibits similar emissions profiles
297 for all air flow applications, with low emissions of incomplete combustion. In
298 the second part of the process, where mostly char combustion is taking place,
299 higher primary air (PA) flows cause a higher nominal combustion efficiency
300 (NCE), but even an excessive supply of air does not lead to a significant
301 reduction of products of incomplete combustion. Furthermore although an
302 increase in SA supply steadily raises the NCE it has to be considered that it
303 also lowers the heat transfer to a pot on the stove.

304 Thus it has been shown that both forced primary air and forced secondary
305 air do have an influence on the stove performance. The increase of PA and
306 laterally introduced SA aid in the mixing of fuel and air and lower the emis-
307 sions of products of incomplete combustion, but also they cause a lower heat
308 transfer. Therefore the application of forced draught for primary air as well
309 as secondary air should be further investigated to achieve greater mixing and
310 higher NCE values without increasing the amount of air, introduced into the
311 system. Also it should be considered that different air flow rates in the first

312 and second part of the combustion process could be advantageous.

313 **5. Acknowledgements**

314 The authors wish to acknowledge the support of The University of Ade-
315 laide and Marc Simpson, the laboratory facilities manager.

316 **6. Bibliography**

317 Anderson, P. S. and T. B. Reed (2004). "Biomass Gasification: Clean Res-
318 idential Stoves, Commercial Power Generation, and Global Impacts". In
319 *LAMNET Project International Workshop*, Viña del Mar, Chile.

320 Aprovecho Research Center (2011). "Test Results of Cook Stove Perfor-
321 mance". Technical report.

322 Bonjour, S., H. Adair-Rohani, J. Wolf, N. G. Bruce, S. Mehta, A. Prüss-
323 Ustün, M. Lahiff, E. a. Rehfuess, V. Mishra, and K. R. Smith (2013).
324 "Solid fuel use for household cooking: Country and regional estimates for
325 1980-2010". *Environmental Health Perspectives* 121(7), 784–790.

326 Emmons, H. W. and A. Atreya (1982). "The science of wood combustion".
327 *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences Section C: Engineering*
328 *Sciences* 5(4), 259–268.

329 Glassman, I., R. A. Yetter, and N. G. Glumac (2015). "Combustion of
330 Nonvolatile Fuels". In *Combustion* (Fifth Edit ed.), Chapter 9, pp. 495–
331 551. Elsevier.

332 Huangfu, Y., H. Li, X. Chen, C. Xue, C. Chen, and G. Liu (2014). "Effects of
333 moisture content in fuel on thermal performance and emission of biomass
334 semi-gasified cookstove". *Energy for Sustainable Development* 21(1), 60–
335 65.

336 Jetter, J., Y. Zhao, K. R. Smith, B. Khan, T. Yelverton, P. Decarlo, and
337 M. D. Hays (2012). "Pollutant emissions and energy efficiency under con-
338 trolled conditions for household biomass cookstoves and implications for

339 metrics useful in setting international test standards". *Environmental Sci-*
340 *ence and Technology* 46(19), 10827–10834.

341 Johnson, M., R. Edwards, V. Berrueta, and O. Masera (2010). "New ap-
342 proaches to performance testing of improved cookstoves". *Environmental*
343 *Science and Technology* 44(1), 368–374.

344 Johnson, M. a., V. Pilco, R. Torres, S. Joshi, R. M. Shrestha, M. Yagnara-
345 man, N. L. Lam, B. Doroski, J. Mitchell, E. Canuz, and D. Pennise (2013).
346 "Impacts on household fuel consumption from biomass stove programs in
347 India, Nepal, and Peru". *Energy for Sustainable Development* 17(5), 403–
348 411.

349 Jones, J. M., A. R. Lea-Langton, L. Ma, M. Pourkashanian, and A. Williams
350 (2014). *"Pollutants Generated by the Combustion of Solid Biomass Fuels"*.
351 Springer London Heidelberg New York Dordrecht.

352 Kshirsagar, M. P. and V. R. Kalamkar (2014, February). "A comprehensive
353 review on biomass cookstoves and a systematic approach for modern cook-
354 stove design". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 30, 580–603.

355 Kumar, M., S. Kumar, and S. Tyagi (2013, October). "Design, development
356 and technological advancement in the biomass cookstoves: A review". *Re-*
357 *newable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 26, 265–285.

358 Lim, S. S. (2012). "A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and
359 injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions,
360 1990-2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study
361 2010". *The Lancet* 380(9859), 2224–2260.

- 362 MacCarty, N., D. Still, and D. Ogle (2010). "Fuel use and emissions perfor-
363 mance of fifty cooking stoves in the laboratory and related benchmarks of
364 performance". *Energy for Sustainable Development* 14(3), 161–171.
- 365 Raman, P., N. K. Ram, and R. Gupta (2014). "Development, design and
366 performance analysis of a forced draft clean combustion cookstove powered
367 by a thermo electric generator with multi-utility options". *Energy* 69, 813–
368 825.
- 369 Saravanakumar, a., T. M. Haridasan, T. B. Reed, and R. K. Bai (2007).
370 "Experimental investigation and modelling study of long stick wood gasi-
371 fication in a top lit updraft fixed bed gasifier". *Fuel* 86(17-18), 2846–2856.
- 372 Selim, M. Y. E., S. a. B. Al-Omari, S. M. S. Elfeky, and M. S. Radwan (2011).
373 "Utilization of extracted jojoba fruit as a fuel". *International Journal of*
374 *Sustainable Energy* 30(SUPPL. 1), S106–S117.
- 375 Simon, G. L., R. Bailis, J. Baumgartner, J. Hyman, and A. Laurent (2014,
376 June). "Current debates and future research needs in the clean cookstove
377 sector". *Energy for Sustainable Development* 20, 49–57.
- 378 Urmee, T. and S. Gyamfi (2014, May). "A review of improved Cook-
379 stove technologies and programs". *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Re-*
380 *views* 33, 625–635.
- 381 Wang, Y., M. D. Sohn, Y. Wang, K. M. Lask, T. W. Kirchstetter, and
382 A. J. Gadgil (2014, June). "How many replicate tests are needed to test
383 cookstove performance and emissions? Three is not always adequate".
384 *Energy for Sustainable Development* 20, 21–29.

385 WHO (2014). "Household air pollution and health - Fact sheet N 292".

386 Yevich, R. (2003). "An assessment of biofuel use and burning of agricultural
387 waste in the developing world". *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 17(4).