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FOREWORD

by

THE HON. SIR MELLIS NAPIER, K.C.M.G., LL.D.
Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of South Australia

The launching of a new periodical devoted to the law in South Australia is an important step, and all the more so, in that the purpose of "The Adelaide Law Review" is to cater for the practical, as well as for the academic, interest of the legal profession in this State. As with any new publication, there must be difficulties in the way of its success, and not the least of these is in relation to the degree of professional support that the enterprise receives. It is to be hoped that the legal profession will support the effort of those who have worked to produce the Review, by becoming regular subscribers, and contributing, from time to time, to its columns.

Another, and a very important, aspect of the enterprise is that the Review may be expected to fill the want of a "two-way traffic" in the exchange of experience and ideas, between those who follow the life of the law in South Australia and in the world beyond our borders. It is to be hoped that this publication will provide an opportunity for legal, as opposed to political and social,appenums of the judgments and statutes of the law in South Australia. If the publication achieves this, it will be serving a very useful purpose.

I offer a hearty welcome to "The Adelaide Law Review" and I wish it success.