

C9PH
6927



Systematic Relationships within the *Litsea* Complex
(*Lauraceae*)

by

Jie Li

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Environmental Biology

University of Adelaide

January 2001

Contents

• Abstract	i
• Declaration and authority of access to copying	ii
• Acknowledgments	iii
• List of Tables	iv
• List of Figures	v
1. General introduction	1
1.1 Taxonomic history	3
1.2 Embryology	6
1.3 Palynology	7
1.4 Karyology	9
1.5 Anatomy	11
1.6 Distribution	11
1.7 Purpose of this study	12
2. Morphology	15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.2 Materials and methods	16
2.3 Notes on morphology and characters of taxonomic importance	16
2.3.1 Vegetative morphology	16
2.3.2 Reproductive morphology	19
2.4 Infrageneric system within the <i>Litsea</i> complex	23
2.5 Specimens checked	24

3. Leaf cuticle study	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 Materials and methods	26
3.3 Leaf cuticle features (leaf cuticle description in each species)	28
3.3.1 Leaf cuticle features in <i>Actinodaphne</i>	28
3.3.2 Leaf cuticle features in <i>Neolitsea</i>	48
3.3.3 Leaf cuticle features in <i>Lindera</i>	73
3.3.4 Leaf cuticle features in <i>Litsea</i>	104
3.3.5 Leaf cuticle features in mono- or oligo-typic genera	182
3.4 A cluster analysis of cuticular characters in the <i>Litsea</i> complex	186
3.5 Results and discussion	189
4. A cladistic analysis based on morphological and leaf cuticle data	200
4.1 Introduction	200
4.2 Materials and methods	201
4.2.1 Terminal taxa	201
4.2.2 Out-group	203
4.2.3 Characters	204
4.2.4 Cladistic analysis	207
4.3 Results	209
4.4 Discussion	209
5. Molecular systematics in the <i>Litsea</i> complex	216
5.1 Introduction	216
5.2 Materials and methods	218
5.2.1 DNA sampling	218
5.2.2 DNA extraction	220
5.2.3 PCR and sequencing	221
5.2.4 Sequence alignment	224
5.2.5 Data analysis	224
5.3 Results and discussion	225

6. Systematic relationships within the <i>Litsea</i> complex: based on the combined data	229
6.1 Introduction	229
6.2 Materials and methods	230
6.2.1 Sampling	230
6.2.2 Analysis data	232
6.2.3 Cladistic analysis	232
6.3 Results	233
6.4 Towards an improved classification within <i>Litsea</i> complex	234
6.4.1 Revised classification	236
6.4.2 Key to genera	238
• References	242
• Leaf cuticle plates	253
• Appendix I: Checked Specimens	338
• Appendix II: Complete data matrix for phylogenetic analysis	380
• Appendix III: Map of China	401
• Appendix IV: Systematic relationships within the <i>Litsea</i> complex (<i>Lauraceae</i>): a cladistic analysis on the basis of morphological and leaf cuticle data	403
• Appendix V: Key to the revised genera & Key to the <i>Litsea</i> complex leaf cuticles (Using taxonomical computer program LucID)	404

Abstract

Lauraceae contains many species that are important constituents in tropical and subtropical forests, both ecologically and economically. This importance makes studying its diversity, taxonomy, and evolution ^{essential}. Currently, no widely accepted phylogenetic classification of the family and adequate circumscriptions of many genera exist. Essentially the same set of characters has been used in nearly all systems proposed thus far. The *Litsea* complex is a prime example of a poorly understood and controversial generic grouping within the family.

The *Litsea* complex consists of ten genera with 500-700 species, which are concentrated in tropical to subtropical Asia. Although four modern *Lauraceae* classifications show strong consistency in recognizing the *Litsea* complex, the generic and infrageneric systematic relationships within the complex are unclear and controversial. This study revises the *Litsea* complex, based on a sample of 339 species, with general descriptions of anatomy, palynology, karyology, embryology and distribution, and additionally employs leaf cuticle and molecular systematic data (*matK* sequences). The study includes morphological data as a bridge to connect its new data with past research results, using cladistic analysis to investigate potential monophyly and to reconstruct the phylogeny of the complex.

As a result, several well supported monophyletic groups have been found, including: *Litsea-Aperula* clade characterized by a long peduncle in the racemiform inflorescence, a *Cylicodaphne-Cupuliformes* clade with cup-shaped fruit cupules, a *Uniumbellatae-Daphnidium* clade with trinerved leaves, a *Parasassafras-Sinosassafras* clade with minute involucre bracts and a *Tomingodaphne-Palminervia-Sphaerocarpace-Lindera* clade characterized by a deciduous habitat. A revised classification of the *Litsea* complex is presented; several sections previously included under *Litsea* and *Lindera* are restored or combined owing to the disappearance of the previous generic delimitation. The fasciculate pseudo-umbel group in *Actinodaphne* is recognized as a new genus *Actinodaphnopsis*. Finally, a key to the revised genera based on morphological characters is presented.