

12/2/86

ECOLOGICAL PHYSIOLOGY OF MALLEEFOWL (Leipoa ocellata)

DAVID TERRINGTON BOOTH

BSc. (Hons) (Monash University)

Department of Zoology

The University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

AUGUST 1985

Awarded

24/10/85

CONTENTS

	Page
Frontispiece	I
Abstract	II
Declaration	IV
Acknowledgements	V
Abbreviations used in text	VII
1 <u>GENERAL INTRODUCTION</u>	1
1.1 A summary of Malleefowl biology	1
1.2 Investigations of the present study	7
2 <u>GENERAL METHODS</u>	11
2.1 Study site	11
2.2 Collection and incubation of eggs	11
2.3 Raising and maintenance of captive Malleefowl	12
2.4 Oxygen consumption measurements	12
3 <u>PHYSIOLOGY AND ENERGETICS OF INCUBATION</u>	13
3.1 INTRODUCTION	13
3.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	17
3.2.1 Measurement of natural incubation mound temperatures	17
3.2.2 Laboratory incubation procedure	17
3.2.3 Measurement of oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production	18
3.2.4 Measurement of eggshell thickness	19
3.2.5 Measurement of eggshell water vapour conductance	19
3.3 RESULTS	21
3.3.1 Natural incubation temperatures	21
3.3.2 Effect of incubation temperature on egg hatching success	21
3.3.3 Effect of incubation temperature on oxygen consumption	21
3.3.4 Mass loss during incubation	24
3.3.5 Egg temperature during incubation	26
3.3.6 Eggshell thinning	28
3.3.7 Eggshell water vapour conductance	28

CONTENTS

	page
3.4 DISCUSSION	
3.4.1 Natural incubation temperature and effect of temperature on hatchability	31
3.4.2 Egg temperature throughout incubation	34
3.4.3 Effect of incubation temperature on oxygen consumption	36
3.4.4 Mass loss of eggs during incubation	40
3.4.5 Respiratory gas exchange ratio in Malleefowl eggs	44
4 <u>METABOLIC RESPONSES OF MALLEEFOWL EGGS TO COOLING, HEATING, AND HIGH OXYGEN TENSION</u>	49
4.1 INTRODUCTION	49
4.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	52
4.3 RESULTS	54
4.3.1 Cooling eggs	54
4.3.2 Heating eggs	57
4.3.3 Effect of increased oxygen tension on oxygen consumption	57
4.4 DISCUSSION	59
4.4.1 Cooling eggs	59
4.4.2 Heating eggs and effect of increasing the partial pressure of oxygen around full-term eggs	63
4.4.3 Effect of chronic and acute exposure to various incubation temperatures on oxygen consumption	67
4.4.4 Egg cooling and heating rates	69
5 <u>RESPIRATORY GAS EXCHANGE DURING HATCHING</u>	71
5.1 INTRODUCTION	71
5.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	74
5.3 RESULTS	75
5.3.1 General	75
5.3.2 Patterns of metabolism immediately prior to hatching	75
5.3.3 Metabolic patterns immediately after hatch	77
5.4 DISCUSSION	79
5.4.1 Gas exchange patterns immediately prior to hatching	79
5.4.2 Gas exchange patterns after hatch	82
5.4.3 Energetic cost of the paranatal period	83

CONTENTS

	page
6 <u>THERMOREGULATION IN NEONATE MALLEEFOWL AND BRUSH-TURKEYS</u>	87
6.1 INTRODUCTION	87
6.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	92
6.2.1 Chicks	92
6.2.2 Measurement of oxygen consumption and evaporative water loss	92
6.2.3 Cooling curve determination of conductance	93
6.2.4 Thermal regime of hatching environment	95
6.3 RESULTS	96
6.3.1 Malleefowl chicks	96
6.3.2 Brush-turkey chicks	97
6.3.3 Thermal conductance	98
6.4 DISCUSSION	102
6.4.1 Standard metabolic rate and body temperature	102
6.4.2 Comparison of methods used to calculate thermal conductance	107
6.4.3 Cold-hardiness in Malleefowl and Brush-turkey hatchlings	109
6.4.4 Heat stress in Malleefowl and Brush-turkey hatchlings	113
6.4.5 Thermal conductance of Malleefowl and Brush-turkey hatchlings	114
6.4.6 Metabolic water production in hatchling Malleefowl	116
6.4.7 Differences in thermoregulatory parameters of Malleefowl and Brush-turkey hatchlings	116
7 <u>THERMOREGULATION IN TWO-YEAR-OLD MALLEEFOWL</u>	121
7.1 INTRODUCTION	121
7.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	122
7.3 RESULTS	124
7.3.1 Body mass	124
7.3.2 Oxygen consumption and thermal conductance	124
7.3.3 Evaporative water loss	125
7.3.4 Body temperature	125
7.3.5 Respiratory frequency	125
7.4 DISCUSSION	127
7.4.1 Oxygen consumption and thermal conductance	127
7.4.2 Evaporative water loss	129
7.4.3 Body temperature	130

CONTENTS

	page
8 <u>WATER TURNOVER</u>	132
8.1 INTRODUCTION	132
8.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	134
8.2.1 Water flux and body water of captive chicks	134
8.2.2 Water flux in free-ranging chicks	134
8.2.3 Water flux and body water of captive adults	135
8.2.4 Water flux and body water of free-ranging adults	135
8.2.5 Analysis of tritiated water in blood samples	136
8.2.6 Calculation of total body water	136
8.2.7 Water flux calculations	137
8.3 RESULTS	139
8.3.1 HTO equilibration time trial	139
8.3.2 Water flux and body water of chicks	139
8.3.3 Water flux and body water of captive adult Malleefowl	141
8.3.4 Water flux and body water of free-ranging adult Malleefowl	142
8.3.5 Relationship between body water content and body mass	145
8.4 DISCUSSION	146
8.4.1 Justification of HTO technique	146
8.4.2 Water flux and body water of chicks	147
8.4.3 Water flux and body water of adult birds	149
8.4.4 Conclusion	156
9 <u>HOME RANGE OF MALLEEFOWL</u>	158
9.1 INTRODUCTION	158
9.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	160
9.2.1 Radio tracking adult birds	160
9.2.2 Radio tracking chicks	161
9.3 RESULTS	163
9.3.1 Adult Malleefowl location	163
9.3.2 Chick location and movement	165
9.4 DISCUSSION	167
9.4.1 Home range of adult Malleefowl	167
9.4.2 Malleefowl chick movement	170
9.4.3 Fox predation of adult Malleefowl	171
9.4.4 Conclusion	171
10 <u>EFFECT OF ADDING WATER TO MALLEEFOWL MOUNDS DURING A DROUGHT</u>	172

CONTENTS

	page
10.1 INTRODUCTION	172
10.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	173
10.3 RESULTS	174
10.3.1 Mound renovation in 1981	174
10.3.2 Mound renovation in 1982	174
10.4 DISCUSSION	176
11 <u>MOUND DENSITY AND HATCHING SUCCESS OF MALLEEFOWL OVER THE STUDY PERIOD</u>	178
11.1 INTRODUCTION	178
11.2 MATERIAL AND METHODS	179
11.2.1 Mound survey	179
11.2.2 Fecundity and hatching success	179
11.2.3 Rainfall patterns	180
11.3 RESULTS	181
11.3.1 Mound survey	181
11.3.2 Fecundity and hatching success	181
11.3.3 Rainfall patterns	183
11.4 DISCUSSION	184
11.4.1 Mound density	184
11.4.2 Egg mass, clutch size and hatching success	185
<u>LITERATURE CITED</u>	189

ABSTRACT

Aspects of the incubation biology and environmental physiology of Malleefowl Leipoa ocellata have been studied in the field near Renmark, South Australia, and in the laboratory.

Malleefowl eggs were incubated artificially at temperatures of 30, 32, 34, 36, and 38 C. The energetics of incubation at these temperatures was determined by monitoring oxygen consumption over the incubation period. Egg water loss and egg surface temperature were also monitored. No eggs developed at 30 C (N=6), 22% (N=9) hatched at 32 C, 80% (N=10) hatched at 34 C, 44% (N=9) hatched at 36 C, and 38% (N=8) hatched at 38 C. The amount of oxygen consumed during incubation varied with incubation temperature. Eggs incubated at 32 C consumed 42% more oxygen than eggs incubated at 34 C, while eggs incubated at 36 and 38 C consumed 19 % less.

Water loss from developing eggs increased with incubation time at all temperatures. The cause of increased water loss in eggs incubated at 34 C was investigated and can be attributed to an increase in eggshell gas conductance.

During incubation a temperature gradient developed between the eggshell and the surrounding sand at all incubation temperatures. This gradient reached 1.5 - 2.0 C in full term eggs. Egg surface and surrounding sand temperatures were monitored continuously throughout incubation in a natural incubation mound, and sand temperature was found to vary from 27 C to 32 C, while egg surface temperature varied from 27 C to 35 C. This experiment demonstrated that Malleefowl eggs can hatch

III.

successfully after exposure to temperatures as low as 28 C for at least a week, and that a temperature gradient of up to 3.0 C develops between the eggshell surface and the surrounding sand.

The ontogeny of thermoregulation was investigated in full term eggs and hatchling chicks. The development of an 'endothermic response' appears during the last week of incubation in most eggs. Hatchlings were found to be competent homeotherms only five hours after hatching, to have low evaporative water loss, and to be tolerant of heat stress.

Water turnover using the tritium labeled water technique was monitored over a twelve month period in both captive birds kept in Adelaide and free-ranging birds at Renmark. Water turnover in captive birds remained relatively constant and was only 33% of the expected rate based on allometric criteria. Water turnover in free-ranging birds was more variable and greater than in captive birds, but was still only about 50% of the predicted rate. These results suggest that Malleefowl have evolved low water requirements in response to their arid environment.