



STROMATOLITES AND THE  
BIOSTRATIGRAPHY OF THE  
AUSTRALIAN PRECAMBRIAN  
with appendices on pseudofossils  
from Australian Precambrian  
iron-formation and greywacke

Volume I

by

M. R. Walter, B.Sc. (Hons)

Department of Geology and Mineralogy

University of Adelaide

April 1970

Frontispiece

- Top: Stromatolites in the intertidal zone of Shark Bay, Western Australia. The large stromatolites in the foreground are dead but living algal mats (dark grey) bind sediments between these and the dunes. Spade and haversack indicate scale.
- Bottom: Alpherinaga narrina on ripple marks, Pillingini Tuff, Nullagine Basin. This is one of the two oldest known Australian stromatolites (about 2190 $\pm$ 100 m.y.). Scale 5mm.

# Contents

## Volume I

SUMMARY	(i)
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	(vi)
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
 PART I SYSTEMATICS	
Chapter 1 BRIEF HISTORY OF THE STUDY OF STROMATOLITES . . . . .	6
2 TAXONOMY. . . . .	17
Conclusions . . . . .	33
3 LITHOFACIES RELATIONSHIPS AND ECOLOGY . . . . .	36
Shark Bay, Western Australia: a modern analogue of the environment of deposition of the Bitter Springs Formation . . . . .	47
Tidal Ranges . . . . .	51
Atmospheric Composition . . . . .	54
4 BIOLOGICAL INTERPRETATIONS . . . . .	58
The algae which build stromatolites . . . . .	58
Algal plant shape and its relation to stromatolite shape . . . . .	63
Biological interpretation of stromatolite microstructure . . . . .	74
The extent of algal evolution during the Precambrian . . . . .	79
5 METHODS . . . . .	84
6 DESCRIPTIONS . . . . .	91
Introduction . . . . .	91
Glossary . . . . .	92
Descriptions: <u>Conophyton basalticum</u> . . . . .	96
<u>C. garganicum australe</u> . . . . .	101
<u>C. garganicum var.</u> . . . . .	112
<u>C. cf. gaubitzae</u> . . . . .	116
<u>Jacutophyton howdhini</u> . . . . .	110
<u>Apoclella australica</u> . . . . .	123
<u>Alcheringa nerrina</u> . . . . .	135
<u>Balcalia capricornia</u> . . . . .	142
<u>Basilephaera irregularis</u> . . . . .	149
<u>Böxonia pertaknurra</u> . . . . .	156
<u>Inzeria intia</u> . . . . .	163
<u>Jurusania chewingsi</u> . . . . .	174
<u>Kulparia alioia</u> . . . . .	181
<u>Linella avis</u> . . . . .	188
<u>Madiganites mawsoni</u> . . . . .	196
<u>Mintaria pontifera</u> . . . . .	202

Chapter 6 (continued)	<u>Patomia</u> f. indet. . . . .	209
	<u>Pilbaria perplexa</u> . . . . .	212
	<u>Tunquesia erecta</u> . . . . .	219
	<u>T. inna</u> . . . . .	224
	Unnamed stromatolite . . . . .	230

PART II STRATIGRAPHY

7	THE AMADEUS BASIN . . . . .	233
	Stratigraphy and stromatolite distribution . . . . .	234
	Age of the Amadeus Basin succession . . . . .	250
	History of study of Amadeus Basin stromatolites with a summary of the development of ideas on the age of the sediments . . . . .	256
8	THE NULLAGINE BASIN . . . . .	268
	Stratigraphy and stromatolite distribution . . . . .	269
	Ages of the Nullagine Basin successions . . . . .	274
9	THE ANTRIM PLATEAU VOLCANICS . . . . .	279
	Stratigraphy and stromatolite occurrence . . . . .	279
	Age of the Antrim Plateau Volcanics . . . . .	281
10	THE MoARTHUR BASIN . . . . .	287
	Stratigraphy . . . . .	287
	Stromatolites in the MoArthur Basin . . . . .	288
	Age of the MoArthur Basin succession . . . . .	290
11	THE GEORGINA BASIN . . . . .	295
	Stratigraphy and stromatolite occurrence . . . . .	295
	Age of the Mount Baldwin Formation . . . . .	297
	Other stromatolite occurrences in the Georgina Basin . . . . .	299

PART III TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF STROMATOLITES

12	HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION . . . . .	302
13	TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF AUSTRALIAN STROMATOLITES . . . . .	308
	The stromatolite sequence in the Adelaide Geosyncline and intracontinental correlations . . . . .	311
14	THE DISTRIBUTION OF RIPHEAN AND CAMBRIAN STROMATOLITES IN THE USSR AND ELSEWHERE AND CORRELATION WITH THE AUSTRALIAN SEQUENCE . . . . .	315
	Correlation with Australia . . . . .	326
15	ARCHAEAN AND EARLY PROTEROZOIC STROMATOLITES . . . . .	331
	Conclusions . . . . .	347
16	MAJOR EVENTS IN THE EVOLUTION OF STROMATOLITES . . . . .	349
	Conclusions . . . . .	355
17	CONCLUSIONS. . . . .	357

REFERENCES . . . . .		363a
----------------------	--	------

Volume II

APPENDICES

Appendix I PSEUDOFOSSELS FROM THE BROCKMAN IRON FORMATION  
(PRECAMBRIAN, WESTERN AUSTRALIA) AND THEIR  
DIAGENETIC SIGNIFICANCE

Abstract . . . . .	407
Introduction . . . . .	408
The Brockman Iron Formation and its regional geological environment . . . . .	409
Colloidal phenomena . . . . .	413
Diagenesis of iron-formations . . . . .	415
Pseudofossils from the Brockman Iron Formation . . . . .	419
Discussion . . . . .	443
Conclusions. . . . .	445
References . . . . .	447

Appendix II TECTONICALLY DEFORMED SAND VOLCANOES FROM A  
PRECAMBRIAN GREYWACKE, NORTHERN TERRITORY

Introduction . . . . .	456
Occurrence . . . . .	456
Morphology and petrology . . . . .	457
Mode of formation . . . . .	461
Similar structures . . . . .	463
Summary . . . . .	464
References . . . . .	465

TABLES

1-4,6 . . . . .	after p.466
5 . . . . .	pocket at the back of vol.II
7 . . . . .	p.410

FIGURES

1-36,38-50 . . . . .	after table 6
37 . . . . .	pocket at the back of vol.II

PLATES

1-40 . . . . .	after fig.50
----------------	--------------

## SUMMARY

A study has been made of Precambrian and Cambrian columnar stromatolites from the Amadeus, Georgina, Nullagine and McArthur Basins and the Antrim Plateau Volcanics. A very detailed field and laboratory study of those from the Amadeus Basin provides the basis for a critical review of stromatolite taxonomy in which it is concluded that taxa with stable, distinct, character combinations can be defined. It is found that the taxonomic significance of morphological features is variable. Modifications suggested for the present classification result in a reduction in the number of taxa and possibly also in the further temporal restriction of some groups. Observation of growing stromatolites and a study of the literature on modern algae and stromatolites allows some biological interpretation of the classification. From the limited data available it is concluded that there are differences in gross plant shape between some algal taxa and upon plant shape depends stromatolite lamina shape; there is a correlation between lamina shape and other morphological features of stromatolites. A brief study of lithofacies relationships shows that different stromatolites occur in identical rocks and similar stromatolites are found in different rocks, supporting the conclusion that more than just the environment of growth determines the shape of stromatolites. The environment of growth of certain Amadeus Basin stromatolites is compared with that at Shark Bay, Western Australia.

The eighteen taxa defined or identified and one tentatively identified are temporally restricted within the Precambrian and Cambrian of Australia. In the Amadeus Basin, eight forms occur in the Bitter Springs Formation, one in the Pertatataka Formation and one in the Pertaoorta Group. In the Nullagine Basin, two forms are known from the Fortescue Group, two from the Wyloo Group and two from the Bangemall Group. One form each is known from the McArthur and Georgina Basins and two from cherts in the Antrim Plateau Volcanics. The diverse assemblage from the upper Bitter Springs Formation is distinctive and very similar to that from the Umberatana Group of the Adelaide Geosyncline; it is unlike that of the lower Burra Group (Adelaide Geosyncline) with which the Bitter Springs Formation is usually correlated on lithological and stratigraphic grounds. Therefore the Umberatana Group and upper Bitter Springs Formation are placed in the same assemblage zone, younger than that of the lower Burra Group. This correlation is more precise than previously possible. The Bangemall Group assemblage (Nullagine Basin) is very similar to that of the lower Burra Group; the correlation of these units is consistent with evidence from isotopic dating.

Of the five taxa from the upper Bitter Springs Formation which are known in the USSR, two are exclusively Late Riphean, two Late Riphean and Vendian and one possibly only Vendian. Correlation with the Upper Riphean is consistent with other geological evidence and provides a more precise relative dating than previously available.

The Bangemall Group assemblage is found in the USSR exclusively in the Middle Riphean (1350±50 - 950±50 m.y.); this correlation confirms a shale dating of 1080±80 m.y. from the Bangemall Group.

The Antrim Plateau Volcanics assemblage is most like Vendian assemblages in the USSR. The Georgina Basin Jaoutophyton howchini is probably Early Cambrian but in the USSR Jaoutophyton is only known from the Middle Riphean. This is considered to be an example of convergent evolution; several others are known but they are greatly outnumbered by the number of temporally restricted taxa without disjunct distributions.

Two of the Nullagine Basin assemblages are Early Proterozoic and different from those of the Riphean. This with a review of other Early Proterozoic stromatolite occurrences confirms the potential of stromatolites for zoning the Early Proterozoic as well as the Riphean.

Several aspects of stromatolite ecology are considered. Besides a study of ecology relevant to the taxonomy, it is found that stromatolites cannot be used to determine tidal ranges but may give clues to past atmospheric composition.

Finally, structures formerly described as fossils (including stromatolites) from Precambrian banded iron-formation of the Nullagine Basin and greywacke of the Pine Creek Geosyncline were

studied. Those from the iron-formation were found to have formed during diagenesis of the previously colloidal sediment. The greywacke structures are tectonically deformed sand volcanoes.