

PATHOLOGY AND DISTRIBUTION IN THE HOST OF PEA SEED-BORNE MOSAIC VIRUS

JULIO S. LIGAT

MAgSc (Benguet State University, Philippines)

Department of Crop Protection

Waite Agricultural Research Institute

The University of Adelaide

South Australia

Thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree Doctor of Philisophy

December, 1992

Awarded 1903

Table of Contents

| | | Page |
|--------------|---|------|
| Summary | | viii |
| Statement | | хi |
| Acknowledger | nent | xii |
| Chapter 1 | General Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | History and economic importance of PSbMV | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | Incidence of PSbMV | 2 |
| 1.1.2 | Crop losses due to PSbMV | 3 |
| 1.2 | Biological properties of PSbMV | 4 |
| 1.3 | Pathotypes of PSbMV | 5 |
| 1.3.1 | Variation in pathogenicity | 5 |
| 1.3.2 | Relationships among pathotypes of PSbMV | 7 |
| 1.4 | Seed transmission of PSbMV | 7 |
| 1.5 | Purification of PSbMV | 10 |
| 1.6 | Molecular properties of PSbMV | 10 |
| 1.7 | Diagnosis of PSbMV | 11 |
| 1.7.1 | Detection of PSbMV by electron microscopy | 11 |
| 1.7.2 | Serological studies | 11 |
| 1.8 | Cytology of PSbMV infection | 14 |
| 1.9 | Control measures | 15 |
| 1.10 | Cross protection studies | 16 |
| 1.11 | Nucleotide sequence of the coat protein gene and RNA genome | ; |
| | of PSbMV | 18 |
| 1.12 | Nucleic acid hybridization studies | 19 |

| | Scope of the | nis thesis | 20 |
|------|--------------|--|----|
| Chap | oter 2 | General Materials and Methods | 22 |
| | 2.1 | Materials | 22 |
| | 2.1.1 | Virus isolates | 22 |
| | 2.1.2 | Plants | 22 |
| | 2.1.3 | Bio-chemicals | 22 |
| | 2.1.4 | Miscellaneous chemicals | 23 |
| | 2.1.5 | Water | 23 |
| | 2.2 | Methods | 23 |
| | 2.2.1 | Comparative host range | 23 |
| | 2.2.2 | Classification of PSbMV isolates with pea differentials | 23 |
| | 2.2.3 | Seed transmission | 23 |
| | 2.2.3.1 | Detection of PSbMV in Pisum sativum cv. Dun and Dundale | 23 |
| | 2.2.3.2 | Relationship between seed size and rate of seed transmission | 24 |
| | 2.2.4 | Virus purification | 24 |
| | 2.2.5 | Serology | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.1 | Immunization of rabbits for antiserum production | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.2 | Two-dimensional immunodiffusion test | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.3 | DAS-ELISA | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.3.1 | Purification of γ-globulin | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.3.2 | Conjugation of enzyme with γ-globulin | 25 |
| | 2.2.5.3.3 | Procedure for carrying out microplate DAS-ELISA technique | 26 |
| | 2.2.5.4 | Dot-immunobinding assay (DIBA) | 26 |
| | 2.2.5.5 | Electron microscopy | 27 |
| | 2.2.5.6 | Immunosorbent electron microscopy | 27 |
| | 2.2.6 | Spectrophotometry | 27 |
| | 2.2.7 | Nucleic acid studies | 28 |

| | 2.2.7.1 | Precautions against RNAs activity | 28 |
|------|--------------|--|----|
| | 2.2.7.2 | Preparation of total plant nucleic acids | 28 |
| | 2.2.7.3 | Preparation of virus RNA | 28 |
| | 2.2.7.4 | Synthesis of cDNA | 28 |
| | 2.2.7.4.1 | Measurement of radioactivity | 28 |
| | 2.2.7.5 | Hybridization assays | 29 |
| | 2.2.8 | Studies on virus distribution in pea | 29 |
| | 2.2.9 | Cross protection studies | 30 |
| Chaj | pter 3 | Biological Properties of PSbMV | 31 |
| | Introduction | on | 31 |
| | Results an | d discussion | 31 |
| | 3.1 | Origin of isolates S4 and S6 | 31 |
| | 3.2 | Comparative host ranges | 32 |
| | 3.3 | Classification of the PSbMV isolates with pea differentials | 32 |
| | 3.4 | Seed transmission | 35 |
| | 3.4.1 | Detection of PSbMV in Pisum sativum cv. Dun and Dundale | 35 |
| | 3.4.2 | Relationship between seed size and rate of seed transmission | 36 |
| | Conclusio | on | 36 |
| Cha | pter 4 | Purfication of PSbMV | 39 |
| | Introducti | on | 39 |
| | Results ar | nd discussion | 39 |
| | 4.1 | Development of a purification schedule | 40 |
| | 4.1.1 | Time course of increase of PSbMV in pea | 40 |

| | | , | iv |
|-----|-----------|---|----|
| | 4.1.2 | Effect of extraction buffers | 40 |
| | 4.1.3 | Effect of reducing agents | 40 |
| | 4.1.4 | Effect of pH of the extraction buffer | 42 |
| | 4.1.5 | Clarification of extracts | 43 |
| | 4.1.6 | Differential centrifugation | 44 |
| | 4.1.6.1 | Resuspension of virus pellet | 44 |
| | 4.1.6.2 | Sucrose cushion | 44 |
| | 4.1.6.3 | Rate zonal centrifugation | 44 |
| | 4.1.6.4 | Isopycnic centrifugation | 45 |
| | 4.1.6.5 | Final method adopted for the purification of PSbMV | 46 |
| | 4.2 | Storage of preparations | 46 |
| | 4.3 | Assessment of preparations | 46 |
| | 4.3.1 | Infectivity assay | 46 |
| | 4.3.2 | Electron microscopy | 46 |
| | 4.3.3 | Serology | 48 |
| | Conclusio | o n | 48 |
| Cha | pter 5 | Serology | 49 |
| | Introduct | tion | 49 |
| | Results a | and discussion | 50 |
| | 5.1 | Production of antisera | 50 |
| | 5.2 | Comparison of isolates in TDIT | 50 |
| | 5.3 | Quantitative DAS-ELISA | 51 |
| | 5.4 | Development of DIBA | 51 |
| | 5.4.1 | Dilution of antiserum for removal of MB | 51 |
| | 5.4.2 | Increasing the time of washing for the removal of MB | 52 |
| | 5.4.3 | Cross absorption of antiserum for removal of NSB of healthy | |

| | | antigen | 52 |
|-----|------------|---|----|
| | 5.4.4 | Use of pre-immune serum and mouse serum to determine the | |
| | | nature of NSB healthy antigen | 52 |
| | 5.4.5 | Use of monosaccharides in buffer | 52 |
| | 5.4.6 | Comparison of healthy leaf sap and seed extract with other | |
| | | blocking agents | 53 |
| | 5.4.6.1 | Bovine serum albumin | 53 |
| | 5.4.6.2 | Skim milk | 53 |
| | 5.4.6.3 | Healthy leaf sap or seed extract | 54 |
| | 5.4.7 | Final procedure adopted for DIBA of PSbMV | 55 |
| | 5.4.8 | Dilution end-point of antigen in sap | 55 |
| | 5.4.9 | Titre of antisera | 55 |
| | 5.4.10 | Optimum condition for tests | 55 |
| | 5.4.11 | Use of PSbMV antiserum | 55 |
| | 5.4.12 | Use of cytoplasmic inclusion protein antiserum | 55 |
| | 5.5 | Comparison of DIBA and DAS-ELISA | 57 |
| | 5.6 | Correlation of symptoms with serological detection of PSbMV | 57 |
| | | | |
| | Conclusio | n | 58 |
| | | | |
| Cha | pter 6 | Virus Distribution in Pea | 59 |
| | | | |
| | Introducti | on | 59 |
| | | | |
| | Results ar | nd discussion | 60 |
| | 6.1 | Distribution of symptoms and virus in 5 generations of pea | |
| | | plants | 60 |
| | 6.1.1 | Localisation of PSbMV in pea tissues | 60 |
| | 6.1.2 | Vertical transmission of PSbMV in five generations | 60 |
| | 6.2 | Distribution and relative amount of virus in seed | 60 |
| | | | |

| | | • | |
|-----|--------------|---|----|
| | 6.3 | Comparison of transmission of PSbMV through seeds with and | |
| | | without a testa | 62 |
| | 6.4 | Detection of separate products of virus infection in vegetative | |
| | | and reproductive tissue | 63 |
| | 6.5 | The detection of infectious virus in seed of G5 | 63 |
| | Conclusion | n | 64 |
| Cha | pter 7 | Cross Protection Study on PSbMV | 66 |
| | Introduction | on | 66 |
| | Results an | nd discussion | 67 |
| | 7.1 | Healthy seedlings mechanically inoculated with PSbMV | |
| | | (stage G1) | 67 |
| | 7.2 | Distribution of PSbMV antigen in plants at stage G5 | 67 |
| | 7.3 | Distribution of PSbMV in G5 plants mechanically inoculated | |
| | | on leaves 1 and 2 | 68 |
| | 7.3.1 | Susceptibility of inoculated plants | 68 |
| | 7.3.2 | Detection of virus in inoculated leaf | 68 |
| | 7.3.3 | Detection of virus in uninoculated parts of plants | 68 |
| | 7.3.3.1 | Leaves | 68 |
| | 7.3.3.2 | Whole flower | 68 |
| | 7.3.3.3 | Whole mature green seed | 69 |
| | Conclusion | on | 69 |
| Ch | apter 8 | General Discussion | 70 |
| | 8.1 | PSbMV in Australia | 70 |

| | | vii | |
|--------------|---|-----|--|
| 8.2 | The technical development of serological assays and their | | |
| | application to assay of PSbMV in small tissue samples | 71 | |
| 8.3 | Demonstration of an eclipse phase in pea cv. Dundale | 72 | |
| 8.4 | Implications for control of PSbMV | 73 | |
| 8.5 | Implications of this work for resistance breeding | 74 | |
| 8.6 | Further work to be done | 75 | |
| | | | |
| Appendix I | | 76 | |
| Appendix II | | 78 | |
| Appendix III | | 81 | |
| | | | |
| References | | 82 | |

Summary

Five isolates of pea seed-borne mosaic virus (PSbMV; isolates US, Q, S4, S6 and T) were compared by host range and symptomatology on 16 *Pisum sativum* cultivars and lines, 21 lines of *Lathyrus* and *Lens spp*. and several indicator species. All selections of *Pisum sativum*, except cv. Greenfeast, were susceptible to all isolates, but Greenfeast was susceptible to the isolate US. All isolates except isolate T infected the *Lathyrus* and *Lens spp*. through mechanical and aphid transmissions. *Chenopodium amaranticolor* and *Vicia faba* reacted similarly to all isolates while *Phaseolus vulgaris* cv. Hawkesbury Wonder was infected by none. The North American isolate (US) was distinguished from the Australian isolates S4, S6, Q, and T by infecting *Nicotiana clevelandii* and Greenfeast pea.

Four of the PSbMV isolates were tentatively classified using pea differentials as follows: isolates US and Q were placed in pathotype P1 and isolates S4 and S6 in pathotype P4. Using the grouping system, isolates US and Q were placed in group III and isolates S4 and S6 in group V.

The infectivity assay and double antibody sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DAS-ELISA) showed that PSbMV was present in 5 areas of South Australia, but at a low incidence (2-3%).

In all cases the highest rate of seed transmission occurred in the largest seed (83-92%) and the lowest was in the smallest seed (29-40%). Infected seed in the largest size classes was lighter in weight than the corresponding uninfected seed. Infected seed in all classes had a significantly lower germination rate than uninfected seed although the greatest reduction in germinability was in the smallest seed. In each size class uninfected seed was heavier than infected seed and germinated better.

Two-dimensional immunodiffusion tests showed that precipitin lines between all the isolates and either the US and S6 antisera were confluent with no evidence of spurs. A rapid and sensitive indirect dot-immunobinding assay (DIBA) on nitrocellulose membrane for PSbMV was developed. Non-specific binding of conjugate to the healthy antigen was partially removed by using mannose and glucose in all buffers, and completely eliminated by using either healthy plant sap, healthy seed extract or a combination of both (1:1) as the

blocking agent. The limit of detection of antigen was about 32 ng per sample. Both of the antisera detected antigen in sap extracted from peas infected with the 5 standard PSbMV isolates, as well as an additional isolate from Denmark and all isolates were detected at similar antiserum dilution endpoints.

Isolates US, Q, S4 and S6 were used in a study of the survival and partitioning of PSbMV under conditions of continuous seed transmission in the commercial pea cultivar Dundale. Under the conditions of these experiments, seed transmission was at rates exceeding 90% for all virus isolates.

Assays suitable for detecting virus in small tissue samples were used, and included DIBA with antisera to both PSbMV and cytoplasmic inclusion body (CIB) protein, and dot hybridization assay (DHA) with cDNA transcribed from virus RNA.

Virus was detectable by serology and symptoms in inoculated plants, and in all vegetative tissue of second generation (G2) plants raised from seed of the inoculated plants. However, in the third (G3), fourth (G4) and fifth (G5) sequential generations raised from seed, all plants were symptomless. Neither virus nor CIB were detectable in leaf, stem or roots by serology, but were readily detectable in some floral parts, and in immature and mature green seeds. Mature seed contained virus and CIB antigen in the testa, cotyledon and embryo. Inoculum prepared from whole seeds was infectious. The testa was shown not to be involved in transmission between generations, thus implicating the embryo alone in vertical transmission. Although virus antigen could not be detected in the emerging cotyledons of germinating seed and any true leaves by serology, the leaves contained PSbMV RNA detectable by DHA. This inability to detect PSbMV in the vegetative tissue of plants is defined as an eclipse phase.

These results show that PSbMV infection can be transferred through the vegetative phase at a subliminal level, and reaches relatively high concentrations in floral parts and seeds. Thus PSbMV may be maintained at a high level of infection in seed in the absence of any apparent symptoms in the plant, and without a requirement for horizontal transmission between plants by vectors. Such a mechanism may explain the high levels of infection commonly reported in pea breeding lines.

A study to determine whether symptomless plants exhibited cross protection showed that there was an uneven distribution of antigen after plants from G5 were challenged with the homologous isolate US. Plants in the eclipse phase in G5 thus exhibited an apparent resistance to infection by systemic movement. These results support the conclusion that peas in the eclipse phase are subliminally infected.