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ABSTRACT

Control, compulsion and controversy:
venereal diseases in Adelaide and Edinburgh 1910-1947

This thesis argues that despite the liberal use of social control theory in the literature on the social history of venereal diseases, rational discourses do not necessarily lead to government intervention. Modern analyses usually overestimate the capacity of social control strategies to hit their mark. Where intervention was proposed the degree and method was, in the case of venereal diseases, continually debated and revised. Through the unique experience of the failed attempt to introduce compulsory notification and treatment for venereal disease in Adelaide and Edinburgh in the period defined by the title it will be demonstrated that legislators were constrained in these two cities by a variety of factors in determining the public health policy in regard to these particular diseases. The complexity of the relationship between governments and the societies for whom they legislate and the influences or otherwise of social organisations, institutions and pressure groups are seen as important factors in this relationship. Also, analyses that assume geographic as well as empirical specificity demonstrate the difficulty for historians who attempt to mould a national experience from a diverse set of circumstances. Comparative analysis reveals that culturally similar locations can experience similar impulses and constraints to the development of social policy under differing constitutional arrangements.