



MOTOR CORTICAL CONTROL OF HUMAN JAW MUSCLES

A thesis submitted

for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

The Department of Physiology

The University of Adelaide

Adelaide, South Australia

by

Sophie Pearce, B.Sc. (Hons.)

October, 2002

MOTOR CORTICAL CONTROL OF HUMAN JAW MUSCLES

page

ABSTRACT	ix
DECLARATION	xi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
AIMS AND GENERAL INTRODUCTION	xix

CHAPTER 1

Literature Review	1
1.1 The masseter muscle	1
1.1.1 <i>Structure</i>	1
1.1.2 <i>Motor units and muscle fibre types</i>	3
1.1.3 <i>Nerve supply</i>	4
1.1.4 <i>Proprioceptors</i>	5
1.1.5 <i>Stretch Reflexes in the masseter</i>	8
1.2 The motor cortex	9
1.2.1 <i>Organisation of the motor cortex</i>	10
1.2.1.1 <i>Topographical organisation</i>	10
1.2.1.2 <i>Cellular organisation</i>	10
1.2.2 <i>The corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts</i>	12
1.2.3 <i>Techniques used to investigate the corticospinal and corticobulbar pathways</i>	14
1.2.3.1 <i>Neuroanatomical tracing</i>	14

1.2.3.2	Lesions/inactivation.....	15
1.2.3.3	Neuronal recording studies.....	15
1.2.3.4	Electrical stimulation of the exposed motor cortex.....	16
1.2.3.5	Transcranial electrical stimulation	17
1.2.3.6	Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS).....	18
1.2.4	<i>Corticomotoneuronal cells</i>	20
1.2.4.1	Identification of CM cells.....	20
1.2.4.2	Role of CM cells.....	22
1.2.5	<i>Branching of CM cells</i>	25
1.2.5.1	Functional significance of branched CM projections innervating multiple muscles.....	26
1.2.5.2	Identification of branched CM projections in awake human subjects	26
1.2.6	<i>Mirror movements</i>	28
1.2.6.1	Recovery of CNS following stroke	29
1.2.6.2	Evidence for branched corticospinal axons in hemiplegic patients with mirror movements.....	33
1.3	The cortical control of the masseter muscle	34
1.3.1	<i>Anatomical investigations</i>	34
1.3.2	<i>Lesion studies</i>	35
1.3.3	<i>Single neuron recording</i>	36
1.3.4	<i>Surface stimulation of the motor cortex</i>	36
1.3.5	<i>Intra-cortical Micro-stimulation</i>	37
1.3.6	<i>Transcranial Electrical Stimulation in humans</i>	38
1.3.7	<i>Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in humans</i>	40

CHAPTER 2

Task-Dependent Control of Human Masseter Muscles

from Ipsi- and Contralateral Motor Cortex	48
2.1 Introduction	48
2.2 Methods	50

2.2.1	<i>Apparatus and recording</i>	50
2.2.2	<i>Focality of TMS</i>	51
2.2.3	<i>Protocol</i>	52
2.2.4	<i>Data Analysis</i>	54
2.3	Results	55
2.3.1	<i>Performance of the biting tasks</i>	55
2.3.2	<i>Focality of TMS</i>	56
2.3.3	<i>Masseter MEPs during bilateral biting</i>	56
2.3.4	<i>Task-dependence of masseter MEPs during unilateral biting</i>	63
2.4	Discussion	67
2.4.1	<i>The nature of corticotrigeminal projections to masseter motoneurons</i>	69
2.4.2	<i>Differences in the control of masseter motoneurons from each hemisphere</i>	73
2.4.3	<i>The role of the motor cortex in controlling movements of the hand vs. the jaw</i>	77
2.4.4	<i>The silent period</i>	79
2.4.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	80

CHAPTER 3

Control of Masseter Single Motor Units from Motor

Cortex of Each Hemisphere.....81

3.1	Introduction	81
3.2	Methods	82
3.2.1	<i>Apparatus and recording</i>	83
3.2.2	<i>Protocol</i>	84
3.2.3	<i>Data Analysis</i>	86
3.3	Results	87
3.3.1	<i>Patterns of masseter single motor unit responses to TMS</i>	87

3.3.2	<i>“Late” increase in motor unit firing probability induced by TMS</i>	95
3.3.3	<i>Slowing of masseter motor unit discharge by TMS</i>	95
3.4	Discussion	98
3.4.1	<i>Corticomotoneuronal projections to human masseter</i>	98
3.4.2	<i>The nature of the excitatory response to TMS induced in masseter motor units</i>	101
3.4.3	<i>The late increase in discharge probability</i>	102
3.4.4	<i>The nature of the inhibitory response to TMS induced in masseter motor units</i>	104
3.4.5	<i>Functional implications</i>	108
3.4.6	<i>Comparison to digastric muscle</i>	109
3.4.7	<i>Conclusion</i>	110

CHAPTER 4

Simultaneous Fluctuations in Size of Responses to Focal TMS in Multiple Muscles. I. Muscles of the upper limb.....

4.1	Introduction	111
4.2	Methods	113
4.2.1	<i>Apparatus and recording</i>	113
4.2.1.1	<i>Electromyography</i>	113
4.2.1.2	<i>Transcranial magnetic stimulation</i>	114
4.2.1.3	<i>F-waves</i>	115
4.2.2	<i>Protocol</i>	115
4.2.2.1	<i>Effects of timing of dual TMS on MEP size</i>	116
4.2.2.2	<i>Trial-by-trial fluctuations in MEP size</i>	116
4.2.2.3	<i>F-waves</i>	117
4.2.3	<i>Data Analysis</i>	118
4.2.3.1	<i>TMS Thresholds</i>	118
4.2.3.2	<i>Effects of timing of dual TMS on MEP size</i>	118

4.2.3.3	Regression analysis of trial-by-trial variation in size of MEPs elicited in pairs of muscles	118
4.2.3.4	Regression analysis of trial by trial variation in size of F-waves simultaneously elicited in left and right FDI	120
4.3	Results	121
4.3.1	<i>Effect of discharging two TMS coils in close proximity</i>	121
4.3.2	<i>MEP thresholds</i>	121
4.3.3	<i>MEP variability</i>	121
4.3.4	<i>Trial-by-trial correlations in MEP size</i>	128
4.3.4.1	Between-limb comparisons	128
4.3.4.2	Within-limb comparisons	134
4.3.5	<i>F-waves</i>	139
4.4	Discussion	139
4.4.1	<i>MEP variability</i>	141
4.4.2	<i>MEP fluctuations in muscles on opposite sides of the body</i>	143
4.4.3	<i>MEP fluctuations in upper limb muscles on the same side.</i>	146
4.4.4	<i>Conclusion</i>	148

CHAPTER 5

Simultaneous Fluctuations in Size of Responses to Focal TMS in Multiple Muscles. II. A case study in a patient with infantile hemiplegia and mirror movements		149
5.1	Introduction	149
5.2	Methods	151
5.2.1	<i>Apparatus and Recording</i>	152
5.2.1.1	Surface electromyography	152
5.2.1.2	Single unit electromyography	152
5.2.1.3	Transcranial magnetic stimulation	153
5.2.1.4	F-waves.....	153

5.2.2	<i>Protocol</i>	153
5.2.2.1	Trial-by-trial fluctuations in masseter MEP size.....	154
5.2.2.2	Trial-by-trial fluctuations in MEPs from upper limb muscles on the same, and opposite sides.....	154
5.2.2.3	F-waves.....	155
5.2.2.4	Synchronisation of motor unit discharge.....	156
5.2.3	<i>Data Analysis</i>	156
5.2.3.1	Regression analysis of trial-by-trial variation in size of MEPs elicited in pairs of muscles	156
5.2.3.2	Regression analysis of trial by trial variation in size of F- waves simultaneously elicited in left and right FDI	158
5.2.3.3	Correlations in motor unit firing times in left and right FDI.....	158
5.3	Results	159
5.3.1	<i>Responses to focal TMS</i>	159
5.3.2	<i>MEP variability</i>	161
5.3.3	<i>Trial-by-trial correlations in MEP size</i>	161
5.3.3.1	MEP correlations in left and right Masseter.....	161
5.3.3.2	MEP correlations in the upper limb – between-limb comparisons.....	164
5.3.3.3	MEP correlations in the upper limb – within-limb comparisons.....	171
5.3.4	<i>F-waves</i>	177
5.3.5	<i>Synchronisation of FDI motor unit discharge</i>	177
5.4	Discussion	177
5.4.1	<i>The nature of MEPs elicited by focal TMS</i>	180
5.4.2	<i>Correlations in MEP fluctuations</i>	182
5.4.2.1	Fluctuations in MEP size due to movement of the stimulating coil.....	185
5.4.2.2	Fluctuations in motoneuron excitability.....	185
5.4.2.3	There may be separate populations of contralaterally and ipsilaterally projecting CM neurons.	186
5.4.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	187

CHAPTER 6

Simultaneous Fluctuations in Size of Responses to Focal TMS in Multiple Muscles. III. Left and right

masseter muscles	189
6.1 Introduction	189
6.2 Methods	191
6.2.1 <i>Apparatus and recording</i>	191
6.2.2 <i>Protocol</i>	192
6.2.3 <i>Regression analysis of trial-by-trial variation in size of MEPs elicited in pairs of muscles</i>	192
6.3 Results	195
6.3.1 <i>MEP variation</i>	195
6.3.2 <i>Trial-by-trial correlations in MEP size</i>	200
6.4 Discussion	203
6.4.1 <i>Fluctuations in MEP size and parallel fluctuations in activity of both masseter muscles</i>	204
6.4.2 <i>Fluctuations in MEP size and movement of the stimulating coil</i>	205
6.4.3 <i>Fluctuations in MEP size reflect specific changes in the corticomotor region supplying masseter rather than global cortical excitability fluctuations.</i>	206
6.4.4 <i>There may be separate populations of contralaterally and ipsilaterally projecting corticobulbar neurons.</i>	207
6.4.5 <i>There may be a population of corticobulbar cells which branch to innervate the masseter motoneuron pools on both sides.</i>	207
6.4.6 <i>Conclusion</i>	208

CHAPTER 7

Is The Long Latency Stretch Reflex In Human

Masseter Transcortical?210

- 7.1 **Introduction**210
- 7.2 **Methods**212
 - 7.2.1 *Apparatus and recording*.....213
 - 7.2.2 *Protocol*214
 - 7.2.2.1 *Conditioning-testing with stretch and TMS.*215
 - 7.2.2.2 *Modulation of the masseter LLSR by prior instruction.*.....216
 - 7.2.3 *Data Analysis*217
 - 7.2.3.1 *Conditioning-testing with masseter stretch and TMS.*217
 - 7.2.3.2 *Modulation of the masseter LLSR by prior instruction.*.....218
- 7.3 **Results**.....218
 - 7.3.1 *TMS and muscle stretch*.....218
 - 7.3.2 *Modification of LLSR with prior instruction*.....224
- 7.4 **Discussion**224
 - 7.4.1 *Summation of Rectified EMG averages*.....228
 - 7.4.2 *Alternative mechanisms for the LLSR*230
 - 7.4.3 *Modulation of the LLSR*232
 - 7.4.4 *Conclusion*.....233

CHAPTER 8

Concluding Remarks234

BIBLIOGRAPHY239

REPRINTS OF PUBLISHED PAPERS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS

THESIS278

ABSTRACT

The human jaw muscles exhibit precise control during mastication and speech. By analogy with the limbs, this control is probably mediated from the motor cortex via corticomotoneuronal (CM) cells, however CM cells have not been unambiguously demonstrated for the trigeminally innervated jaw muscles, and their characteristics have not been described in detail. In this study I have investigated the existence, nature and function of CM cells innervating the human masseter muscle during voluntary movements and reflexes.

Masseter CM projections were examined by a) comparing motor evoked potentials (MEPs) elicited concurrently in the surface electromyograms (EMG) of both masseter muscles by focal transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) of one hemisphere of the motor cortex; b) comparing responses of individual masseter motoneurons to TMS of the contra- and ipsi-lateral motor cortex, and; c) examining co-variation in left and right masseter MEP size on a trial-by-trial basis, to identify branched CM neurons (an analysis first tested in two situations where branched CM projections were known to exist). Masseter CM cell function was examined by assessing CM cell involvement during a) bilateral vs. unilateral biting and b) the masseter long latency stretch reflex (LLSR).

Two types of CM projections were identified in the control of human masseter. Larger MEPs were elicited in the surface EMG from the masseter contralateral to the TMS, and most low threshold motor units in masseter were excited at a monosynaptic latency. This suggested a population of CM neurons with exclusively contralateral projections to masseter motoneurons. However, bilateral masseter MEPs were elicited in the surface EMG following focal TMS and some masseter motoneurons were identified with

excitatory input from both hemispheres of the motor cortex. Co-variation in left and right masseter MEPs suggested that some CM neurons branch to innervate masseter motoneuron pools on each side.

CM cells from each hemisphere were shown to have distinct roles during the biting tasks; unilateral biting was associated with a reduced activity of CM cells in the contralateral, but not the ipsilateral cortex. By combining muscle stretch with TMS, I found no evidence for CM cell involvement in the masseter LLSR.