



**STUDIES ON THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BLACKLEG
(*LEPTOSPHAERIA MACULANS*) AND MECHANISMS OF
RESISTANCE IN CANOLA.**

MARK R. SOSNOWSKI

B.Ag.Sc, B.Sc (Hons), The University of Adelaide

**Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
The University of Adelaide**

**Department of Applied and Molecular Ecology
Faculty of Sciences**

December 2002

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract.....	i
Declaration.....	iii
Acknowledgements.....	iv
Publications and conference proceedings	vi
Abbreviations	vii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....	3
2.1 INTRODUCTION	3
2.2 BACKGROUND	3
2.2.1 History of canola and blackleg.....	3
2.2.2 Australian cultivars	5
2.3 DISEASE SYMPTOMS.....	7
2.3.1 Macroscopic description	7
2.3.2 Microscopic description	10
2.4 EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BLACKLEG.....	10
2.4.1 Life cycle of <i>Leptosphaeria maculans</i>	10
2.4.2 Inoculum.....	13
2.4.3 Infection	16
2.4.4 Disease modelling and forecasting.....	20
2.5 MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTICS.....	21
2.5.1 Serology	22
2.5.2 Nucleic acid techniques.....	23
2.6 PATHOGENIC VARIABILITY	26
2.6.1 Techniques for distinguishing strains.....	26
2.6.2 Pathogenicity of strains	27
2.6.3 Sub-groups	28
2.6.4 Sexual reproduction.....	29
2.6.5 Population structure in different regions	29
2.7 BLACKLEG DISEASE RESISTANCE	32
2.7.1 Mechanisms of resistance.....	32
2.7.2 Inheritance of resistance.....	37
2.8 DISEASE CONTROL.....	41
2.8.1 Crop rotation	41
2.8.2 Sanitation.....	41
2.8.3 Stubble management	42
2.8.4 Sowing time.....	43
2.8.5 Resistance.....	44
2.8.6 Fungicides	44
2.9 SUMMARY.....	45
2.10 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES.....	46
CHAPTER 3 GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	48
3.1 PLANTS	48
3.1.1 Canola cultivars.....	48
3.1.2 Plant growth	49
3.2 FUNGI.....	50
3.2.1 Collection and storage of <i>L. maculans</i> isolates	50
3.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	52

CHAPTER 4	EXPLORING MECHANISMS OF RESISTANCE	53
4.1	INTRODUCTION	53
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	55
4.2.1	Scanning electron microscopy	55
4.2.2	Histochemistry	58
4.2.3	Progress through the petiole	60
4.3	RESULTS	61
4.3.1	Scanning electron microscopy	61
4.3.2	Histochemistry	63
4.3.3	Progress through the petiole	71
4.4	DISCUSSION	79
CHAPTER 5	EPIDEMIOLOGY IN THE FIELD	84
5.1	INTRODUCTION	84
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	85
5.2.1	Field trials	85
5.2.2	Spore trap plants	87
5.2.3	Weather data collection	88
5.3	RESULTS	90
5.3.1	Field trials	90
5.3.2	Weather data	100
5.3.3	Spore trap plants	101
5.3.4	Disease modelling	105
5.4	DISCUSSION	111
5.4.1	Disease progression	111
5.4.2	Ascospore-derived infection	113
5.4.3	Disease modelling	116
5.4.4	Summary	119
CHAPTER 6	EPIDEMIOLOGY IN CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS	121
6.1	INTRODUCTION	121
6.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	123
6.2.1	Effect of inoculum concentration	123
6.2.2	Effect of temperature and wetness period	124
6.2.3	Effect of maturity	126
6.2.4	Effect of cultivar	127
6.3	RESULTS	127
6.3.1	Effect of inoculum concentration	127
6.3.2	Effect of temperature and wetness period	130
6.3.3	Effect of maturity	134
6.3.4	Effect of cultivar	136
6.4	DISCUSSION	138
6.4.1	Effect of inoculum concentration	138
6.4.2	Effect of temperature and wetness period	139
6.4.3	Effect of maturity	141
6.4.4	Effect of cultivar	142
6.4.5	Summary	143

CHAPTER 7	VALIDATION OF A DNA-BASED ASSAY FOR <i>L. MACULANS</i>	144
7.1	INTRODUCTION	144
7.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	145
7.2.1	Development of selective medium for <i>L. maculans</i>	145
7.2.2	Validation of DNA-based assay for diagnostic purposes	154
7.2.3	Determination of sampling requirements	157
7.3	RESULTS	158
7.3.1	Development of selective medium for <i>L. maculans</i>	158
7.3.2	Validation of DNA-based assay for diagnostic purposes	164
7.3.3	Determination of sampling requirements	168
7.4	DISCUSSION	170
CHAPTER 8	PATHOGEN SURVIVAL	174
8.1	INTRODUCTION	174
8.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	175
8.2.1	Distribution of <i>L. maculans</i> in the soil profile	175
8.2.2	Survival of <i>L. maculans</i> on organic matter	176
8.2.3	Effect of rotation	177
8.3	RESULTS	178
8.3.1	Distribution of <i>L. maculans</i> in the soil profile	178
8.3.2	Survival of <i>L. maculans</i> on organic matter	179
8.3.3	Effect of rotation	180
8.4	DISCUSSION	182
CHAPTER 9	ROOT INFECTION	186
9.1	INTRODUCTION	186
9.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	186
9.2.1	Collection and diagnosis of samples	186
9.2.2	Pathogenicity testing	188
9.3	RESULTS	189
9.3.1	Collection and diagnosis of samples	189
9.3.2	Pathogenicity testing	189
9.4	DISCUSSION	193
CHAPTER 10	GENERAL DISCUSSION	195
	Appendices	202
	References	221

ABSTRACT

Blackleg disease of canola (*Brassica napus*) is caused by the fungus *Leptosphaeria maculans*. It has the potential to reduce crop yield by up to 80% in a severe epidemic. In this project, three aspects of the disease were examined.

Mechanisms of resistance in Australian canola cultivars were investigated using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and histochemical stains in combination with light microscopy. SEM suggested that the major mechanism of stem resistance was located in the junction of the petiole and stem of plants and that the cultivar Hyola 60 had the ability to resist invasion by *L. maculans* at the leaf surface. Histochemical stains showed that lignin and suberin were produced in the epidermal cell walls and guard cells surrounding stomata in both resistant and susceptible cultivars in response to infection with *L. maculans*. It appeared that resistant cultivars had higher concentrations of both compounds than the susceptible cultivars.

The epidemiology of the disease was studied, both in the field and in controlled conditions. In the field, most cultivars that showed resistance to stem and cotyledon infection were susceptible to leaf infection. Infection by ascospore inoculum was favoured by periods of increased rainfall, temperature and wind activity and infection was most prevalent early in the season, when plants were most vulnerable to leaf infection. Controlled environment experiments revealed that the optimal conditions for stem infection were a temperature regime of 23°C with at least 48 h of leaf wetness, at least 10⁶ pycnidiospores/ml water and infection of leaves up until the three-leaf stage.

A DNA-based method of detection of *L. maculans*, which was developed by the Root Disease Testing Service, South Australian Research and Development Institute using *L. maculans* specific primers acquired from the CSIRO, was validated for quantifying DNA in stubble and

soil samples. A selective medium was developed to enumerate colonies of *L. maculans* from infected canola stubble and a plant bioassay was developed to estimate the disease potential of infected stubble. Milled and ground canola stubble was mixed with oat hay (*Avena sativa* L.) in a series of fractions and spread on plates of the selective medium and over plants in a bioassay. There was a strong correlation ($R^2 > 0.94$) between these results and the estimated amount of *L. maculans* DNA. After validation, the procedure was used to quantify *L. maculans* DNA in soil samples; which revealed that levels decreased as stubble decomposed and that *L. maculans* could not be detected in fields in which canola had been grown more than 2 years earlier.

Finally, during this study *L. maculans* was observed to have colonised the roots of canola plants in the field. Canola seedlings artificially inoculated via the roots or hypocotyls developed root infection and crown canker. It was concluded that *L. maculans* was able to infect the roots of canola via the stem or directly from the soil.