

the action to which reference was made in the paragraph, and that it got in without the exercise of that care and that exercise of the pruning-knife which perhaps under other circumstances might have been exhibited towards it. At all events he was quite sure that the paragraph was not any credit to the refinement which all who knew anything of the public writing of the day in the colony knew usually characterized what came forth credited with Mr. Chandler's acknowledgment. At all events it was supposed, for Professor Ives heard, that some ill-natured person sent in the paragraph giving a garbled version. The Professor hearing that something had been sent in went to the defendants, so that they had not acted unconsciously. Professor Ives interviewed Mr. Evans, so that the paragraph was not inserted without due warning. It was inserted deliberately, and under those circumstances he would ask for a committal.

The following evidence was taken:—

Edward White O'Hallaron, solicitor for the informant, deposed to having called at *Quiz* office, Bray-street, city, for a copy of *Quiz* for February 27, but being told that they did not think there were any left, he went to Mr. Chandler's office. Mr. Chandler said he did not expect he could get a copy of the paper for that date, as they usually sold out. Whilst speaking to Mr. Chandler a copy was brought to him by the person to whom he first applied. Paid 3d. for it. On enquiry told Mr. Chandler it was the para-

graph referring to Professor Ives that he wanted to see. Said, "I think you have been rather rough on him." Chandler replied, "I don't think so; he should not deal in shares, holding the position he does; he should have employed a broker." Said it was a mere matter of taste, and nothing to do with the paragraph. Said he would write about the matter, and personally delivered the letter on March 17—a letter enquiring the name of the writer of the paragraph. The next day Messrs. Evans and Chandler replied, respectfully declining to disclose the author. On March 19 wrote a reply to defendants complaining of a gross and unjustifiable libel, requiring its immediate withdrawal and an apology to be published in *Quiz*, the *Register*, and the *Advertiser* at their expense, and setting out that unless an intimation of compliance with the request were received by the 23rd inst. proceedings would be instituted. On March 23 received a letter from Messrs. Gordon, Nesbit, & Bright stating that their clients refused to apologize, but admitted that Mr. A. T. Chandler was the writer of the paragraph, and upon their behalf would accept process.

W. R. Cave, of Adelaide, merchant, stated that he had read the paragraph mentioned. He believed it referred to Professor Ives.

This closed the case for the prosecution.

The defendants notified that they reserved their defence.

The P.M. committed the defendants for trial, and said that as they were living in Adelaide, and carrying on their business there, he would accept their own bail, each to enter into his own recognizances for £50.

The
Register May 13 1891.

**DEATH OF MISS KNIGHT, B.A.,
M.B.**

[By Telegraph.]

Melbourne, May 12.

The Rev. S. Knight, of the Wesleyan Church, Brunswick-street, to-day received a cablegram from Vienna announcing the death in that city of his daughter, Miss Adela McCulloch Knight, B.A., M.B., who had begun what promised to be a very successful career in the medical profession. She was a native of Ballarat, aged twenty-five, matriculated at the Adelaide University, and afterwards at the London University, at which she passed through a medical course with great credit. She obtained the degree of M.B., and then attached herself to the London School of Medicine for Women, at which she won the Helen Prideaux Scholarship, which was given to enable the holder to perfect her study in gynaecology. In pursuance of the intention of the founder Miss Knight attached herself to one of the Vienna hospitals, with the intention subsequently of assuming the position of lecturer at the school referred to. The cause of death is not stated.

**THE LATE MISS ADELA KNIGHT,
B.A., M.B.**

The sad news which we publish this morning conveying the unexpected announcement of the death of Miss Adela McCulloch Knight, B.A., M.B., at Vienna, will be read with sorrow by those who had taken an interest in the young lady's successful course, and with regret on account of the sudden termination of a life devoted to science. Not alone will the friends of the Rev. S. and Mrs. Knight extend the greatest sympathy, but colonists generally who have followed the successful course of their accomplished daughter will join in the general condolence consequent upon the mournful event. Miss Adela Knight was the daughter of the Rev. Samuel Knight, a Wesleyan minister, who for nearly a quarter of a century was stationed in various circuits in South Australia. His daughter was born in Ballarat in 1865, but until she left Adelaide for London she had resided almost wholly in this colony. From her earliest years she was of a thoughtful and enquiring nature, and in her search for knowledge found ready assistance from both her parents. At fifteen years of age she entered the Advanced School for Girls, and so well did she attend to her studies that she was enabled in 1882, a year after her entry, to matriculate in the first class at the Adelaide University. Her studies in physiology at that seat of learning were conducted under Dr. Stirling, who quickly noted the character of his young lady pupil, and particularly observed the facility she developed for acquiring medical knowledge. Her first success, and the one which brought her prominently before the public, was the winning of the Sir Thomas Elder prize for physiology in 1882. Many of our readers will remember the brilliant assemblage on Commemoration Day in 1883 at the University, not alone because of the practical address by the Chancellor (Chief Justice Way), but because of the enthusiastic reception accorded Miss Knight when the prize which she had so deservedly won was handed to her by the Chancellor. The young lady student was not content with the honour she had gained, but pursuing her studies she further delighted her friends and gratified herself by matriculating for the University of London, the necessary papers having been sent out to Adelaide. A little over six years ago, in February, 1885, Miss Knight left South Australia for London to begin her course at the University there. In July, although the intervening period had been unusually short for preparation, she passed the preliminary scientific examination of her Alma Mater, and gained in 1886 the Histology Prize in the London School of Medicine for Women. It was in the Jubilee year, however, that she attained the honour which she had so long and so sedulously worked for, as in July of that year she passed the intermediate examination of the London University for the degree of M.B. The quality of her work was shown by the fact that she was classed in the first division. She was then the only Australian lady student who had risen to that high position, and it was observed at the time that "the result is alike creditable to her own powers and to the teaching she received in the Adelaide University." She subsequently proceeded to her M.B. degree, and then walked the principal hospitals in London, besides furthering her medical studies in Edinburgh and Paris. Miss Knight's ambition was to obtain the distinguished diploma of M.D. Having won the Helen Prident Scholarship at the London School of Medicine to which she had attached herself, she left for Vienna intending to perfect herself in the study of gynaecology. It was there that her promising career came to a sudden termination.