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Gaudeamus igitur, iuvenes dum sumus,
UNCANNING MOVEMENTS

Movements in this direction have continued, indeed, for their representation, recently agreed upon, among the most optimism, despite the fact that the original proposal was characterized by its extreme slowness and was opposed by the student body. However, 3 has of no particular value, which is tantamount to saying that there is no value. There will remain important University Committees with no student members.

The concern here is not for the most pertinent, the need to continue, and the need to continue is met by the signature of the students. This would put Adelaide at least on a par with Western Australian Universities.

STUDENT CONTROL UNREALISTIC

The remainder have one undergraduate representative who in some cases is not himself a student, or does not have full membership rights. This is an important difference, and the most significant one in this direction is the fact that the student body, which is considered by some as the part of the community that is most interested in the future and the direction of the university, is not represented.

The fact that the student body is not represented in the university council is more than a matter of representation. It is a matter of control. The student body is the only group that has the ability to influence the direction of the university and to ensure that the university is serving the needs of the students.

LOAN FUND

The University administers a student loan fund, and in certain cases of financial hardship, will grant relief in the payment of fees. These were under the control of the committee comprising the Vice-Chancellor, Registrar and in charge of the Finance Committee.

When the University claims that the committee has authority over the loan fund, it is not the same as saying that the committee is responsible for the administration of the loan fund. It is more accurate to say that the committee is responsible for the oversight of the loan fund, and that the actual administration of the loan fund is carried out by the Registrar and the Bursar.

It is impossible that the faculty can be represented in the establishment of these committees, and it is impossible that any committees can represent the students, including the student representatives. They have the real potential of being the real decision-making bodies in the faculty. They will have a right to consider the questions that are presented to them, and they will have a right to make decisions based on those questions. They will have a right to make decisions that are fair and just, and that are in the best interest of the students.

REFORMS PRESSURE

Unfortunately, the student representations of the eight universities are still far from ideal. There are still many areas where the student body is not represented, and where the student voice is not heard. It is imperative that the faculty and the Board of Councils work together to ensure that the student voice is heard, and that the student representation is improved.

It has been shown that student participation at junior level is in line with the goal of the Council. Councils, by their very nature, are expected to be representative of the student body. It is important to ensure that the student representation is accurate, efficient and democratic.
post iucundum iuventutem, post molestam