Dear Sirs,

It was with some surprise that I read at the bottom of "your" special edition "Produced by Peter Balan, President of the SRC." Has Mr. Balan joined you as an associate editor? Or if not, has he brought out an ON-DIT on his own? Is this with your approval? If the answer to my second question is "yes," then such action on the part of the President of the SRC is gross injudiciousness to yourselves as Editors of this University's Student Newspaper. Mr. Balan has every right to have articles written by him to appear in ON-DIT as such. But for him to produce an ON-DIT of his own clearly goes beyond the limitations of his Office.

Last year the SRC released official-sounding "nonofficial" statements concerning an issue on campus politics. These pamphlets wereunsigned and many students complained about their anonymity. No doubt Mr. Balan believes that putting his name to the 'Special Edition' everyone will be happy; he will have met the requirements.

Indeed, what he has done is to demonstrate how much control he has over the Newspaper in his official capacity as President, a control which is surely unconstitutional.

The implications of such a move are far-reaching. Does this mean that Mr. Balan can, because of his position as SRC President, PRODUCE an ON-DIT whenever he likes? Presumably any other student wishing to do the same thing would be refused the use of ON-DIT's finances, facilities and standing in the student community.

How is it possible that you have allowed yourselves to be quite blantly duped, even temporarily, from the position to which you were elected merely because a member of the SRC wants certain information to appear in an 'official' way?

I do not believe that the constitution of the SRC allows the President, or any member for that matter, the right to take over ON-DIT when he chooses to do so.

For the many students who are concerned with the Freedom of the Student newspaper, this unprecedented takeover deserves a full explanation.

Yours sincerely,

Adrian Hain (Art.)

(please refer to editorial ed.)

---

Dear Sir,

I am ashamed of the behaviour of my fellow students at the 1970 Miss Fisher Contest. Their immature, childish reaction to the demonstration of the Women's Liberation Movement only made the contest more effective and brought out its relevance and sincerity. By turning the S.D.A. leader of his basic freedom of speech, the Competition audience showed an intolerance and ignorance that makes me wonder about the level of intelligence of the average student.

Perhaps the gentleman near me who yelled the "bloody Commo" S.D.A. leader to "go back to Russia and take your bloody lot with you" does not appreciate that the basic difference (I used to think), between our society and Russia is that our's is tolerant of dissent, and gives every man the right to say what he thinks. This gentleman is much nearer to being a Russian Communist than the S.D.A. leader, whose motivation in this issue was genuine, distinct, courageous, and probably right to a large degree.

I am not an S.D.A. member and generally disagree with their arguments.

Yours sincerely,

Andrew Farkas

---
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**Sirs**, in connection with the article on drugs (17/3/70), I should like to ask Dr. Heddle the following questions:

1. If "pot" were legalised, who would control the distribution and supply of the anxious, worried individual? And can you guarantee that police, psychiatrists and others will keep the game under control? And can you guarantee that police, psychiatrists and others will keep the game under control?

2. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

3. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

4. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

5. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

6. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

7. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

8. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

9. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

10. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

11. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

12. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

13. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

14. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

15. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

16. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

17. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

18. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

19. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

20. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

I should also like to ask Dr. Heddle the following questions:

1. If "pot" were legalised, who would control the distribution and supply of the anxious, worried individual? And can you guarantee that police, psychiatrists and others will keep the game under control? And can you guarantee that police, psychiatrists and others will keep the game under control?

2. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

3. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

4. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

5. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

6. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

7. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

8. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

9. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

10. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

11. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

12. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

13. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

14. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

15. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

16. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

17. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

18. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

19. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?

20. Are you conscious that some of your experiences are being used, without your consent, by the police in their efforts to control the drug trade?
ORIENTATION WEEK ’70

Due to what I feel was not a very successful Orientation Week, I have undertaken to find out why. Hopefully this survey will shed some light on the subject so that in future perhaps the S.R.C. can run a better Week.

Michael Chapomas,
ORIENTATION WEEK DIRECTOR

For this to have any real meaning there must be a decent response to this questionnaire. So I ask you to spare a few minutes to complete and return to the S.R.C. office. Hopefully, you have been orientated sufficiently to know where to find it. While it is mainly for fresher's of 1970, later year students with any comments on this year's Orientation Week and those of their own fresher days are welcome.

11. (a) How many days did you come into Uni. during Orientation Week?

(b) How many hours were spent at organised activities?
   (i) Orientation Week program events
   (ii) Preliminary lectures
   (iii) How many hours were spent on other activities e.g., socialising, finding out about courses etc.

OR
Did you come in for specific organised Orientation Week activities?
YES/NO.

OR
Were they just afterthoughts between preliminary lectures? YES/NO

2. (a) Did you gain anything from Orientation Week which you did not already have?
   (i) more information about the life at Uni.
   (ii) more information about S.R.C. and University structure;
   (iii) more information about clubs (not sporting).
   (iv) more information about sporting clubs;
   (v) more opportunity to discuss such things as politics, sex etc.;
   (vi) more opportunity just to meet people. (Tick: i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi)...

(b) Which of the above would you like to have been more emphasised? (Tick: i, ii, iii, iv, v, vi)

3. Do you think general student meetings on the Barr Smith Lawns on subjects of interest (e.g., drugs, student protests, University structure, radical political views etc.) should be held during Orientation week.
YES/NO.

Any suggestions for other topics?

4. Have you any suggestions to enable greater opportunity to meet other fresher's during Orientation Week?

5. (a) Do you think that “fun” activities were overdone? YES/NO.
   (b) If so, which could be done away with?

   (c) Further comments

6. (a) How many clubs and societies welcomes did you attend?
   (b) Did they provide sufficient information?
   (c) Considering the large number of clubs and societies did you expect them to be more active during the week?
   (d) Further comments

7. (a) Did you attend a library tour? YES/NO.
   (b) Further comments

8. Seminars on the rules were held under the auspices of the Student Counsellor. Did you attend? YES/NO.
   If not, why not?

9. Have you any comments generally on Orientation Week?

10. Did you attend a freshness camp? If so, which
   (i) S.R.C. Freshers’ Camp.
   (ii) S.R.C. Seminar Weekend.
   (iii) Other

11. Have you READ your Orientation Handbook in detail? YES/NO.
Politics

In 1967, 85% of males and 80% of females did not know their electorate or their MHR. On Dit is glad to report that this year the figure has dropped considerably, although the number of freshers who didn’t know is still deplorable. 37% of males and 32% of the females knew what electorates they were situated in, and 34% of males and females knew who their MHR was. Whether this is an accurate indication of the new freshman’s knowledge is hard to gauge; however, it is worthwhile pointing out that a majority of the freshers in the second camp, come from John McLeay’s electorate of Boothby. Perhaps Mr. McLeay’s recent notoriety may have been responsible for the increase of knowledge in this direction.

Similarly, most freshers knew that the famous (or infamous) Mrs. Steele was the former Minister of Education in the State Government. 82% of males and 80% of females knew who Mrs. Steele was.

It was surprising to see that more birds knew that Communism was legal in Australia than did men. 70% of the women knew that Communism was legal in comparison to 61% of the men. These figures and the above ones indicate that the women are becoming more politically observant (in relation to previous years), however the men are still more aware. This is not to say that freshers are well informed politically, for indeed they still have a long way to go.

The freshman is still a very conservative person, however there are encouraging signs that he is beginning to liberalise. Agreeing with Australia’s involvement in Vietnam were 29% of the males and 44% of the females, while 28% of the males and 33% of the females agreed with conscription. Three years ago the figures were as high as 79% of the males and 66% of the females.

A majority of freshers said they would go in a protest demonstration if they agreed with its aims, the figures being 65% of the males and 63% of the females. However, only 14% and 7% respectively believed that there was police brutality on these demonstrations.

The strong support that the Liberal Party had amongst the freshers of 1967 has diminished considerably. If freshers could vote in the next Federal elections 39% of the males and 46% of the females would vote Liberal while 43% of the males and 28% of the females would vote for the A.L.P. In the state sphere, 43% of the males and 42% of the females support the L.C.L., with 43% of the males and 26% of the females supporting the A.L.P.

Thus, it seems that the State Liberal government is slightly more popular than its Federal counterpart. Again, most of the college students supported the Liberals while a majority of High School students supported the A.L.P. Women also were more conservative than the men.

It is interesting to note that while 51% of the men and 55% of the women favored Australia becoming a republic, 65% of the men and 69% of the women still stood up for the national anthem.