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ON DIT SPORT

Sportsman Editorial

(Entry Charario)

It is gratifying to note that over the past couple of years there has been a gradual increase in the coverage of soccer provided in sporting media. Soccer is a game of skill and a delight to watch and the rapid growth of this international sport in South Australia is a good thing.

At last! We hear news that the Sports Association is making moves to allow part-time students, not only to go on Inter-Varsity, but to compete for University Clubs. At Flinders, Melbourne and Western Australia and nearly every other Uni. in Australia this problem does not arise. Part-time students are automatic members of the Sports Association. But not at Adelaide. How they have to pay the full $45 Statutory fee to be able to compete for the University. In this parochial backwater why should anyone worry about those poor bastards who are earning their way through the University. Even SIAA have not picked this case up. Maybe sport is not part of the Revolution.

Not that many of the sporting clubs at Uni. take much notice of the rule. But it will be good when these biologs can go on Inter-Varsities to balance out the teams from other Universities that are stronger, in certain sports, for the presence of part-time students.

Soccer Club

Adelaide University is again fielding seven teams this season, and getting into my Queensland crystal ball I can't see us doing any better than last year. Last year, we had in Graduates, the best team Uni. had put out, but they failed to go top; reasons being I.V. and too much allied social grace to let alone the ribbons consumed by Pepe Galvani and fellow associates.

This year the club has again been "split" up into three sections, I.e. University under Des Geary, Graduates Max Huaff and Sunday teams under Dr. Tony Winefield who is to be noted wearing a RED backstop with a Sports office badge!!

Uni. under the guidance of Des Geary, together with some old timers and some freshers should make Uni. A a squad comparable in strength to last year's -- i.e. second from other end!!

A surprising face to show up is that of one P. Tavish whom we had marked as "flag to Queensland," but Pete assures us he's a Crowter to his soccer boots -- so that ends the Tavish controversies! Others to show up are Alex Derelane, Dirty Koukourou, and his brother Karnikze Koukourou.

Graduates, under Max Huaff should set the pace in this division as they have the more experienced players of the clubs in their ranks. Manos will again show his "Blue" form in the sticks and it is expected that Dracopoulos and Koutsomis will again be the outstanding players in the team.

Sunday league teams under Tony Winefield will be using our new ground on the main Uni. oval -- so, please roll up and cheer the fellows on the Sundays. The tempo and pace of these teams is not as hectic as those of the Saturday teams and thus a few laughs are to be had as out "Hucker" disposers of the opposition.

In the May vacation a squad of the best specimens the clubs can put up will be heading to Sydney for the I.V. soccer carnival. If you possess the necessary physical attributes, i.e. able to hold grog like Iwaniu and Molok, able to go "off deep end like Astill," able to make an idol of yourself like Clarence, able to spin yarns like Dick -- ah yes -- able to kick a ball -- then show up at Park 9 am - join the soccer clubs -- the Treasurer needs your money to fly to Sydney -- Quick!!!

Sportsman of the Week

Two guesses to pick the Sportsman of the Week? Yes, ladies and gentlemen, two! Because there are two: Mike Magrey (2nd from right) and Rod Elleway (4th from right). Mark their faces well. We have them as members of a crew for two reasons: First, we fost our photographer, but second, and more important, these two are part of a team, for closely co-ordinated team-work is essential to rowing more so than to many other sports. That is why these two are good rowers. And that is why they have been chosen as Sportmen of the Week.

Rod Elleway (Law IV: Articled Clerk)

Mick Magrey

GLIDING CLUB

This term's first meeting of the club was held in the Anne Menz Room on Thursday 26th. March. Two films were shown and arrangements were made for members to visit Gawler during the Easter recess. Martin Simons will be competing in the 'Kestrel' sailplane at the regatta.

During the summer, Andrew Trewin attended a course at Gawler and now has his C soaring badge. He is expected to gain the 'Silver C' before too many weeks have passed.

John Nielson, in his first year at Uni., already has the 'Gold C' badge and is now looking for diamonds.

The next meeting of the club will be on Thursday 2nd. April, Anna Menz Room, at 1 p.m. There will be an informal lecture on thermals, slope effects and standing waves. New members will be welcomed at this meeting, or at any time you may contact Martin Simons (II-A7) or Room 303 (Nagel Building) for further information.

THE KESTREL SAILPLANE

Adelaide University Sports Association
Annual General Meeting

will be held in the
LADY SYMON LIBRARY
at 11.10 p.m.
on
THURSDAY, 9 APRIL, 1970.
Good performances into the strong southwestern winds and heavy rain of the first two days of the Australian Track and Field Athletics Championships, held in Adelaide recently, were difficult. But with Australia providing some of the world’s top athletes, such performances were not impossible.

For the Adelaide University athletes in the South Australian team there was every prospect of success after the first day. In a close-fought battle David Fitzsimmons won his heat of the 150 metres and convincingly qualified for the final on the Saturday. A short time later both Alan Bradshaw and David Stobie ran second in their respective heats to qualify for the semi-finals of the 100 metres.

Friday saw the State win its first medal for the title in the 10000 metres walk world record holder Stan Clarke (Vic). This was the battle for second place between Walsh (N.S.W.), Farrington (N.S.W.) and Norman (S.A.), the latter remaining in doubt until the last lap where Norman held off a desperate challenge by Farrington to win the silver medal.

Saturday was a mixed day for the University athletes, but Clarke was displaced in the 1500 metres final and Bradshaw and Stokes ran out in the semi- final and qualified. Jeff Pentelow, suffering from a badly injured Achilles tendon could not compete with the success the State had hoped for in the 5000 metres walk. But there are all comparatively young athletes who will repay watching next season when, all, and Fitzsimmons and Pentelow in particular, will undoubtedly continue to improve.

Some of the disappointment was removed when University athlete Bill Goolagong became the fourth South Australian to ever throw 195 feet with the discus. Further success came in the 4 x 100 metres relay where Bradshaw and Stokes ran first and second legs. With a tremendous exhibition of spiriting these two put the S.A. team at least two years in the lead in front of the Victorians (the eventual winners). They enabled the State team, although only finishing third, to break the State record of 4/10 of a second.

For the State team as a whole it was a day of mixed fortunes. Trevor Hight and, surprisingly, Lance Russe (other marble in 1969) were run out in their heats of the 400 metres hurdles. But medals were won. Gold medals went to Ken O’Brien (1000 metres Steeplechase and 1500 metres Steeplechase) and Graeme Fisher (1500 metres) both of whom had convincingly victories over her competitors. Silver medals went to Ivor Kirkpatrick in the 400 metres where he ran a magnificent race but was unable to catch Wilson (Qld) who broke the State record to win; bronze medals went to S.A. in the Dacius (Kemp), Long Jump (Rees), Walk (Baird and 4 x 100 Relay (Bradshaw, Stokes, Law, Knowles).

The only University athletes to compete on the third and last day were Bradshaw and Stokes in the 200 metres. Stokes ran third in his heat and just failed to take the final for which Bradshaw qualified with a well-run second in the next heat. But he was replaced in the final was by Pete Norman (Vic), silver medalist at the Mexico Olympics.

There were no gold medals for S.A. that day. Yet medals there were. Silver medals were won by Kemp (Shot Put), with a throw that set a new State record record, Bird (Javelin) and O’Brien (5000 metres). O’Brien narrowly lost the gold medal in this event to Manning (N.S.W.) after a battle of tactics between O’Brien and world record holder Clarke (Vic) leading to O’Brien and Manning breaking away from Clarke and the field, but breaking Manning to use his slightly superior speed to win.

There were bronze medals too a – David Storer in the Pole Vault in which Boyd of Victoria provided a tremendous spectacle when he broke the Australian record to become only the second Australian to clear 16 feet; to Spicer (Javelin); and to Rossie (Triple Jump).

But one of the best was the silver medal for second place won by the State 4 x 100 metres relay team. Woods, running without being fully recovered from his tremendous efforts in the 800 metres final, ran first for S.A. and despite a valiant effort the man lay 5th at the end of the last leg. A good second leg by Swyney brought us into the change third. We came out of the change fifth after Rossie was forced to pause and lose stride when a runner from another team got in his way. A tremendous run by Rossie put us 3rd once again. Kirkpatrick, running last, had a lot of ground to make up. He ran magnificently. He posted the N.S.W. team and gained ground. Wilson (Qld) who had beaten him on the previous day but the gap was too great. It was a tremendous race and a extra to the programme. The result was a new State record to the Queensland team, and a silver medal and new State record to the South Australian team.

South Australia’s juniors once again performed extremely well. There was only one gold medal. That went to David Pentelow in the 1500 metres Steeplechase. Silver medals were won by Michael Cain (Long Jump), Peter Berkelfeld (400 metres), Stan Casey (400 metres hurdles – in which the boisterous, pleasingly, went to a young New Guinea junior, Moses Pupukapu). His time in the junior final was good enough to qualify for the senior event, and since his hurdles technique improves his times will also improve. I would say there is every likelihood of his competing in the Nationals next year – and this is a good sign for the Territory’s athletics.

Bronze medals were won by David Pentelow (800 metres) and Andrew Pedlar (110 metres hurdles). Ian Brown was unlucky not to win one in the 100 metres final.

It is interesting to note that Berkelfeld, Pentelow and Cain are first year students at Adelaide University this year. Both Cain and Pentelow are seeking clearances to the University Club but despite the fact that they are University students one of these clearance applications may be refused, as may those of one or two other first year students who wish to compete for the University Club. As a spokesman for the Club said: “We hope that the concerned policy of certain members of the State Association will not deprive these athletes of their right to take part in the varied aspects of University life.” He went on to point out that “any athlete who has to fight his way out of a Club is both to compete for it again once he has graduated and left the University”.

Many State athletics trained with the University athletes at Park 5 prior to the Australian Titles. The facilities are some of the best in the State.

Church Forum

A series of discussion sessions on social topics (peace, pollution, drugs, abortion) starts next Sunday (5th) at ARCHER ST., METHODIST CHURCH at 7 p.m. All welcome to join in the discussions. The series continues until May 10th.

Sports Secretary’s note

It is up to you to see that ON DIT’s Sports Editor (a poor, hardworking bloke trying to finish his final year of 5 subjects) has a steady flow of articles on the adventures and escapades of your particular sporting club. The requirements are simple – be BRIEF, but be INTERESTING, and include photographs if you can. Don’t make them long-winded straight-out adverts, everybody knows what the club does. They might not know what it has done or is about to do.

Articles to be in to the ‘ON DIT’ Office by April 9th.

Sports Editor, ‘ON DIT’
P.S. The Editor would welcome any (valid) nominations for Sportswoman of the Week. Include details of her/his achievements over the past couple of years.

Trevor Wismann

Alan Bradshaw

Gerald Pryor
BARBITOS

Welcomes poetry that is tolerably young and unremarkably new. Please send to:
BARBITOS
Cl. English Dept. Office,
University of Adelaide,
Adelaide, 5001.
or deposit in English Dept. office,
Flour 6, Napper Tower, or at On Dit office.

Communion

I cannot converse with silence
When love is empty of your presence,
Nor wish for converse
When eyes with eyes partake communion.
For then you are the subject of my object,
And the liveliness in everything I see.
Superficial, that is, the love pink assumption
From the rosy voice, which, living afterwards,
Returns, setting the wag tail mind
Chattering and flirting, skimming the light,
Straining at thoughts that rise
Like insects from the grass,
Where once we walked.
And memory, forgetting to forget,
Destroying the impenetrable joy
Division stands divided, still
Presence restores the symmetry of wholeness
Coming and going in our eyes.

Re-Birth

I am
A seed
Grown
In the soil
Of Eden.

I am
A root
That sought
The river
Of life.

I am
The trunk
That bears
The world.

You are
The branches
That encircle
My body.

He or she
Leaves
Decisive
droppings of trees
That fertilize
Adam’s seed.

Jacques Moncrieff

Laced-Up

I spoke to the man in the crimson suit,
A stock-market dummy, dressed in death;
He yawned in his yellow underwear
Stretched his paper-like soles
And began laying up his worry corset, slowly, strictly.
“Stiff,” he said, “Do you believe, sir,
Do you trust your neighbour’s son-in-law.
‘Do you love your brother?’
‘Disgusting – incoherent!’
He stamped his patent-leather shoes on the pavement;
Pedestrian!”

Nan Ker-Viis

The Mind

Fell Dead

When the mind fell dead,
Life flowered again in innocence,
And left conjecture and its barren paths,
Throwing the reference book of experience
Into the stony vaults of certainty.
And the lifting light in the human heart,
That never knew day or darkness,
Burned out the ash and agony of the living dead.

James Bald

CLEAVAGE

Say I created earth and all the seas,
And you, my seventh day, my day of rest,
Even my outward form, I cannot bend
Them, uncontrollable, to my desire.
They, and you, return, and the serenity
Of my imagination’s and my hope’s
Inexplicable ratio saline they break
Up into their ordered certainty, making all
My chaos chaos, and dream dreams.

“Sumeria” is a small book of poems
By two University students, Peter Giblin
And Michael Scullian with illustrations by
Michael Scullian.
It is on sale at the Union Bookshop,
Mary Martin’s and Beck Book Co.

THE QUINTESSENCE

And once I wore a pendant all day long
And people would wonder at the golden glint
Against my other gold of skin.
And I looked into the mirror and saw it hung
Conspicuous-high around my neck.
And in the hollow of my shoulder blade.

And then I was shivering and white and sick
And the sun was so bright and the air so cool.
O God, what paradise, what paradise.
O I imagined sleek white necks and their
Airs around them blowing at their breasts.
And they too had pallid eyes of illness.
The point is this: we are offered a before-and-after demonstration. We must agree, whatever we suggest it to have been, that SOMETHING sudden happened, for there was a radical change in the followers of Jesus, which we may characterize as a conversion from the fear of life to confidence in the face of death.

The Christians themselves said that it was the resurrection.

Many are not happy to accept this suggestion, and have left the obligation to provide alternatives. Three very authoritative views from the fact that the disciples were linked to Schonfield’s rather curious account “Passover Plot.” It was a great idea ON DJT to go into them all. J. N. D. Anderson is Professor of Oriental laws in the University of London. He points out deficiencies in each of the main alternative theories in a paper called “The EVIDENCE FOR THE RESURRECTION (IT).”

You are not to judge, if you do not accept the explanation of the Christians, to establish your own.

But at least consider these four things:

1. The Christians seemed a very earnest people: their profession demanded it. And had they lied, they would have been almost incredibly unmoved to have considered it as worth not dying for. Their belief seems genuine — we must expect how they were mistaken.

2. We may suggest that Jesus really did die, but was reconstituted in the cool tomb. That is, badly wounded, he stripped off the garments, rolled aside a heavy stone at the door of the tomb, and appeared large as life to the disciples, fit enough to be regarded as the conqueror of death, and not necessarily innocent of deception, which seems out of character.

3. If we think that the recorded hallucinations are hallucinatory, we can check whether they accord with hallucinatory events. The appearances of Jesus occurred to groups of people at once, rather than to individuals, and people clearly of many psychological conditions. EKOLAS is clear that they did not, consistently least, expect to see him: in at least two cases they failed to recognize him at first. The appearances are recorded to have ceased abruptly (at the time of the resurrection), rather than to have gradually tapered off in frequency.

4. There is the modern-sounding opinion that the spirit of Jesus’ teaching was so vital that the disciples came to view him as having been raised spiritually. His body may be nothing, but this was irrelevant. In the REAL sense, not that which depends on its verification on the senses, he was alive, and existentially present.

All of this is present, that the hope of the Christians could not have been constructed. Jewish thought left no place for disembodied spirits, or for resurrected bodies. I am not quoting a bostion of fundamentalists when I cite Professor Feinberg’s words: “The resurrection of Jesus was impossible in Jewish thought of that time only in a bodily form connected with the embalming of the tomb.”

The situation is that the Christians preached a risen Christ, by which they could only have meant that the body of Jesus, though in another form, had risen from death, and the authorities could not produce the body. They did not claim that it had been stolen by thieves.

As for its meaning, they interpreted the whole of life in the light of it. If it is not "an interval between two ills" in which all values being equivalent, we emphasize quantity rather than quality. They held, first, that Jesus’ resurrection was the proof of his own predictions, which at the time they had failed to believe, or even comprehend. From this, they took it that all that he had said was authoritative. That meant that, as he had claimed, to have seen him was to have seen God (known as Father), and this is why he, Jesus, was called "the image of the invisible God.

The unknown God was now knowable.

They believed that the basis of their existence as Christians lay in the events they had just witnessed. In some way, Jesus’ death and resurrection had been victorious, so that through his death, they died, in a sense, and by his life, they then also entered authentic life with God. Jesus, they claimed, was existentially present with each Christian, and they variously said that Christ was "in" them and that they were "in" Christ. That is his resurrection was the basis from the start of Christian theology. Without it, said Paul, "your faith is futile, and you are still in your sins."

ONE MORE THING

There is one more thing. They never for a moment regarded this as of interest in a detached way. Professor Anderson has elicited intellectual agreement with his argument from men who not subsequently became Christians. One of these was a professor of philosophy, who also agreed with him when he said: ‘If a man or a woman doesn’t want to believe in the resurrection, and basing on some PRIOR ground that he CANNOT believe in the resurrection, then he won’t. . . . But it will be because of the evidence, it will be in spite of the evidence. In other words, it won’t be on exclusively ineliminative grounds; it will also represent, in some degree, a moral decision.” Put it another way:

"Now for the evidence,” said the King, "and then the sentence.

"No!” said the Queen, “first the sentence, and then the evidence!”

We ourselves find it difficult to regard this evidence in a detached way. Bennett it the suggestion that, if it is true, we are required in the light of it to do something unacceptable, which is to put ourselves utterly at the disposal of the risen Christ. That is the thing which the Christ who has been about, ultimate, even at the expense of their own lives.

G. D. Pearse.
"You probably know them as balls"  
By Brian Jackson

In the primary school, the first thing to answer children’s questions to their family is speech. And, of course there will be hundreds of such questions not planned on, and no children’s problems are anything in (teachers as well as child). But it seems a pity. A denial of education.

What can be done as described, in ‘Where,’ by Albert Charter, a primary school head: ‘My method is to introduce to the orphans, by the link of talks, discussions, films, film strips and hand-drawn diagrams, an insight into the wonder of living things, and now-living things. I try to express it in the character of all living things — how they breathe, feed, excrete, move, grow, and reproduce themselves. We observe how living things are classified into groups according to certain distinguishing features. We compare them with humans, and the similar, and dissimilar, points are noted.

Sex is introduced by studies on how these various groups reproduce themselves, and look after their young. A vocabulary is established — male, female, penis, testes, sperm, ovum, ovaries, womb, uterus, vagina, menstruation, fertilisation, births, breasts, teats, suckling, menstruation.

Time is spent on distinguishing between male and female animals. Children speak freely of their observations, and ask why. ‘My male rabbit has a pink little spot between the back legs, and if you press it itlittle thing comes out.’

That seems to me to capture the basis of good teaching practice: the systematic communication of basic facts, and the cultivation of sexual activity within the totality of creation. It is said that children can still emerge into adolescence without a basic vocabulary on the main organs of sex, with a grasp of either the biological or the physiological, is going on. And I feel convinced from my own experience as a village school teacher that deep sense of the natural rhythms of the changing, process world give the finest of all perspectives as a teacher.

And yet. It is so easy for school to build its empire at the expense of the home. It remains, at heart, the parent’s job. Not to be shuffled off on to a progressive school or college. In Hamburg you can give your child a number to telephone, and he gets pre-recorded sex lessons from the Post Office. Spare us the day when children will be doubling up with laughter in the little red school book.

Sex teaching is a burn of mission actual robs the parents of whatever little territory they control. ‘We were having breakfast yesterday when Joan said: ‘Fancy growing-ups doing all that — just to get babies. All those positions, Mum. I think I like the American Position best, don’t you?’ My mouth was full of cornflakes. I didn’t know what to say. Neither of us did. We didn’t say anything, we just sat there. And the worst thing is that we don’t even know what the American Position is.’

Perhaps the most important help a primary school can give is to the parents, not the child. A really good head might call a parents’ meeting to discuss how he propose to handle the subject in his school. He’s certain to get a whopper turn-out. Adults are so much more interested in sex than children are. Such an evening — in which all the tips and suggestions, blanknesses and uncertainties which it uncovers might give parents a confidence and a style for tackling their end of the work. Otherwise it is the old story of the shy mum squeezing herself up to explain, only to find her daugher learned it all long ago. The natural link of sex knowledge with home, family, mother, father is the second main perspective — and no teacher can give this one.

And yet. There remains something awkward about the very idea of sex education as a thing in itself — certainly so at the secondary stage. Men are not stunted. Penis plus vagina does not equal sex. And every adolescent faced with the new andv of their inner landscape knows that. Of course there are specific jobs to do, one small craft certainly, rescue actions in frankness like Michael Duane’s classes at Raising Films all the way from making sense of the strange, sexual scene that the mass media so mechanically presents.

But it seems to me that sex education at this stage of school is not an entity by itself, but a woven-in part of any teaching. It was at this very age that, my head summoned all the fifth year to meet in the gym. Round the walls stood six govern the men, dressed in the blue and scarlet gowns of the officer of the Air Training Corps. He delivered the lecture on sex with which we sat cross-legged watching the dust motes glitter in the dry shafts of sunlight. It was crazy. I told my girl friend, ‘I don’t know what it means too well. It didn’t connect at all with the pleasures of the perpetual girl hunt. And (like the school encyclopedia) it provided no useful information on how not to get the girl pregnant if all the chemicals.

A fond admirer to his mistress brings
A plum-branch which now in the garden springs
He wonders at the meaning of that breeze
That rustled through his hand and through the trees.
To ruffle to the end, he knows now where
A lover with his mistress does not care,

The blossom wakening then was quivering,
Unhappy few who knew not what it means.
Have you not heard the joyous voice of Spring
That wakes all living from the land of dreams,
But touches not the deed, the deed should be
Condemned to sleep for all eternity.

It is true thought that runs right through my mind?
Or is it knowledge gathered in from time
To help a schoolgirl searching for to find
Some words to vainly try to set to rhyme?
Plum blossom really made me think of Jan,
So now, again, see what a fool I am

Wherever teaching lies behind this lovely second of adolescent mood is that spirit of sex education which school can most specially give.

Brian Jackson
Brian Jackson is Director of the Advisory Centre for Education.

A CHALLENGE
Can 10,000 University people keep one good Hairdresser on the Campus gainfully employed.

THE NEW VARYSI BARBER SHOP
George Murray Basement 8.30 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.

CO-OPERATOR WANTED (Male) 
Adelaide to Perth in Kombi
Phone 79-1495

"If You Are Arrested"
BUY YOUR COPY NOW from Unwin bookshop or SRC office.
20c of useful information.

S.A. COUNCIL FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES
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COLDFINGER IS COMING
by Felini

Adelaide University Film Unit, after gauging for two years, has at last pulled its finger out with production of what promises to be a blockbuster movie.

The film, which features Andrew Lukasik, engineering graduate as well as a bevy of under-graduate beauties, was filmed over five hectic week-ends and involved the participation of over 50 people.

The idea for this film had been stewing in the minds of several members of the University Film Unit for some time, and when, early last year, the SRC approved a loan to help finance the production, work got under way immediately.

The project increased in scope as the weeks went by, as the producers decided to create a film which would utilise all aspects of filmcraft. One of the major decisions made only days before shooting began was to expand the budget and film in colour in order to make the most of the lavish sets and colourful locations.

The film was shot at I.F.A. studios, and on location in Chambers Gully Reserve, which was obtained after negotiations with Burnside Council.

Taking a cue from movies of this nature, also included in the film are several bedroom scenes. The producers were inundated with technical advisers, but found that the actors could usually manage on their own.

During the making of Coldfinger camera man Brian Gears found himself filming some extremely unusual activities in unusual places. He is now accomplished at filming in a shower, suspended halfway up a quarry, from an airplane, from the boot of a moving car, between the sheets and from the top of a ladder perched precariously on the edge of a swimming pool.

Stunts and fights were carefully and painstakingly arranged, and one scene in which a studded belt is used to rip open a shirt and tear an arm took four hours to film, and lasts ten seconds on the screen.

Other stunts included driving a car over a cliff, smashing a car into a tree, and falling down a cliff and rolling, with clothing aight, down a hillside. All stunts ran smoothly except the last, which was executed in the last hour of filming. In this the stuntman received second degree burns in the worst place possible and spent an uneasy few days in hospital.

COLDFINGER
UNION HALL
Thurs. 2nd
Fri. 3rd.
Mon. 6th
1.10 p.m. 30c
in color
Made at Adelaide Uni.

NUAUS ELECTION

Nominations are called for an observer to attend the Second Conference of the Association of South East Asian University Students, to be held at Bandung, Indonesia from 6th to 12th May, 1970.

Nominations should be forwarded to the National Secretary, NUAUS, 344-350 Victoria Street, North Melbourne 3051 together with a Curricular Vitae with details of experience and qualifications for this position by 5 p.m., TUESDAY, APRIL 7TH.

Interested nominees should contact Paul Wilkins (C/O SRC Office or ring 61-4415) before notifying NUAUS.

EDUCATION AND WELFARE
CONFERENCE

This conference will be held at Monash University from 20th to 23rd May, 1970. Topics for seminars and discussion include: a) Education Planning, Inequalities, Student Representation, Teaching and Learning, b) Welfare - Student finance, Concessions, Medical and counselling services, Taxation and Housing. Cost is $4.20 per day, full board.

The SRC will subsidize travel costs for between four and six observers. Registration forms are available from the SRC and should be lodged at that office before Thursday, 9th April.

"On Ry eplease miss!"
MORE POP NEW RECORDS PLAN REVISITED NEXT WEEK'S POLICE!