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**EDITORIAL**

On Tuesday night last week, two events created a media World Bookshop frenzy for purchasing copies of the book, *Moratorium*, in Unley Road. They were two events that may be considered posters which are on walls and in minds.

The police prosecutor claimed that some of his colleagues from the NOC and Traffic division were making up stories to make the Moratorium one-sided. The police, like all of humanity, must see that as true a jall as possible because we are as human.

The second event occurred on Monday night when the Union Meeting held the previous day on the Moratorium issue was discussed. The Meeting passed a resolution urging students to support the Moratorium "against student strikes" -- it is the actual wording of the resolution was "Thirty-two students in the uni support the Moratorium and academic and ancillary staff." Further, at the meeting, the students who spoke authoritatively for the no-strike line stated that they were to be voluntary. A few lines later, it was said that because of the vote, the students supporting the Moratorium became only 6 of approximately 100 students opposed it at the vote. 

Now, the actual vote in the University students are opposed to the war. Does not the absence of the other 7,000 students reflect their sympathy to the War? It certainly does not show their opposition to the War and "showing that Grass's Roots is guilty of something it is foreever accused of doing" -- turning the facts to suit its own political bias.

Garry Disher.

---

**DEMOS.**

Sirs,

It is said the term Democracy is derived from two Greek words, namely, DEMOS (meaning the people) and CRATOS (meaning strength) -- thus Democracy or strength claimed to be based on the will of the people, either by the majority, or by the people, alone.

In recent discussion on the use of the term Democracy, however, I find it is offered to the writer, if the writer could dominate anything about the people, either by a majority, or alternatively, as a whole had over invented, mechanical, or otherwise, anything original by way of music, poetry, literature etc. As a farm hand with little education, I have recently been unfortunately out of my depth on the subject and therefore appeal to those, better educated to supply the answer. At the same time, I would like to collect the $1000 mentioned.

Yours respectfully,

R. Burns

Those interested contact
C.W. Woodside

Woodside S.A.

---

**GRASS ROOTS**

Dear Sirs,

I would like to correct one error in this article written by me and headed "CLC Challenged by MORATORIUM" which appeared in your paper last week.

I did not choose the heading and the article I was allowed to write. What I did at all was challenge the ignorance and the false information which the CLC Government on its stand attacked me to do.

The LCL give me the job as the political editor of the paper after knowing my background. I tried to make the proposition effective from both our point of view because of the attitudinal stance towards me adopted by the MORATORIUM Government on its stand.

I have already pointed out that because of the man's idea about my appointment I was left with no more than 4 hours work weekly.

The situation became so bad that even when the editor of the Murray Register phoned me, at the request of a former LCL member, to ask me about the subject of an "LCL talk" was not permitted to pass on the information, even though he was in the same office. I was instructed by my Superiors to remain silent on the subject. However, I was made to take the "rhetoric of power" and the "centralization of power" in between the LCL meeting, by Mr. R. L. Smith, was prepared to remain so for a reason which I do not know. I was asked to by the LCL President, Mr. W. S. White, to put an end to this unduly incorrect in ruling in which I was not permitted to pass on the information, even though I was in the same office.

I was informed by a different member that the subject of the talk was given to me by Mr. W. W. White in an "emotionally" way and therefore injuring, nd damaging to my self-respect.

R. Mcclarty.

---

**HESTER - A.F. MEETING**

Dear Sir,

I am writing to make your report of the "1974 and Education" meeting 14/4/74 published on page 9 of your issue May 7th. It has come to my attention that my voice was not heard on issue 17/29-30. "Matters should be dealt with more adequately on the agenda paper, until determining intentions to deal them in some other order, including the one mentioned by Mr. R. L. Smith, who was not unauthorised in ruling in this subject. The decision turned largely on whether to deal with the matter should be decided without being under the influence of the present group.

On the Deans vetoing on the subject of the next day's paper; the reasons for his veto.

Yours sincerely,

---

**DEBATE - McNALLY CHALLENGES HALL**

Since the beginning of the last issue of our student magazine "Round Table", a member of the "Round Table" committee (Fran Mcnally) sought to do an interview with Hall, Millhouse, Deagars and McNally. However, Hall, Millhouse and Deagars refused to appear on the "Round Table" Committee. McNally now offers a challenge to meet Hall etc. in a public debate at a time and place convenient to both.

---

**SALVATION.**

Dear Sir,

We, the students of both science and engineering and, in my own way, of society, would like to let you know that the quotation of our letter in or related by radical literature in your paper "Neo Science" in particular.

Firstly, the publication itself is very misleading. In particular your underground newsletters which have no name and are not sufficiently related to identify themselves. There is some reason of course for the inclusion of the quotation, but I think it is a little libelous in character, because, in any way, the "Round Table" Council produce only contempt for the possibility of intellectual development (merely vocabulary) which they indicate.

Secondly and above all these is demonstrated the intellectual and understanding approach which is so much the hallmark of today's literature, the "Round Table" Council "salvation": "We intend trying to create in science students an awareness of their society and, we would like to show them that they are not an elite group of students, that they have no responsibility to society, to humanity as well as to themselves. The training of students into society we wish to create a social awareness in his mind that they have to act and ultimately convert him from a passive role in his society to one of such as well as political awareness." Both "Neo Science" and the round table council portion have probably been the provocation of the left that support that the radicals have received from the Science faculty.

Politics in the universities, like with everything else, is probably even in Arts, the majority of people would disagree more or less strenuously with the radical position. Indubitably there are some people who are using their brain over social political or moral issues. This is sad perhaps, the fact that they are doing so is right NOT TO become a moral activist if he chooses. However,
returning to the matter of moral arrogrance: a person who disagrees with the opinions of others, who believes with his position on Vietnam, on the way the National Service is not necessarily apathetic or duped, "a mindless and mindless creation" of the elist or observer; he is not necessarily a creation of a "cultural tradition," nor of moral leporus. It is a sign of greatest and most obstructing to the meaning of moral arrogrance to assume human self-centeredness in the form of the funders in the previous marginals that the sign of generalists in general, or of Academic science students, that there are something in this, giving no notice to the thought of the world and we are unaware of "THEIR human." Could it be merely that in an honest it doesn't agree with most of the radicals' conclusion and have no faith in the radical answers to the many problems posed by the present and state of the world. And isn't it possible that this attitude is nearer the truth? The world is most often a place of shades of grey so the black and white images and courses of action adopted by radicals can only be a distorted, and therefore, dangerous response to reality. These are the latter day prophets, conscripted by the state and proclamors to moral bankruptcy and the gospel of salvation through revolutionary activism. —For the sake of political maneuvering, unconvincing.

This line with this black and white approach, there is an attempt, widespread in general and accepted in the general "bogey-man" or "moral leporus" to convince scientists: The author(s), and most radicals for that matter, have apparently had very little contact with responsible scientific thought. In 1968, in "Neo Science," a scientific weekly, might help them appreciate that conscientious scientists are aware and respect the potential of their work and the consequences of the world, and of the horrors of which modern weapons' science may bring. However, if science is to be under a comprehensive control, which surely it must, and if the community by the metropolitan demand of the metropolis, such science shall be used to develop hides and the hideous precisely what it does, then are the scientists to see themselves up as a suprademonic Knowledge elite as yet a rational development is to be done? "Demand social control of science," says Leo Szilard, that's what we've got and a right measure is too, why don't we get Weapons Research Establishment at Salisbury, run by the Government. Thirty years ago in WWI, the principle was never questioned as "scientists shall be on tap, not on top." and this is essentially the relationship as it exists between science and society: politicians take care that it stays that way. The community must and in fact does control science and decide what it shall produce and while this is so the horrors of scientific warfare must be attributed solely to the attitudes of society and it is nonessential to treat science and scientists as bogey men worthy of general vilification, suspicion and censure.

Summing up, to main points have been presented. Firstly, on the case: I think that I am with the majority in that I disagree with the radicals on many things but I try not to consider them as moral or political simplifiers or leptons: most scientists who are physicists perhaps in them could do their opponents the justice of realizing that they have a logically and balanced arguments rather than a religious devotion with dreams of exortations, slogans, expletives and emotional obscenities.

John Crick.

As clarity people are a neglected part of the community, more publicity is needed about their isolation and problems. Recently from work, I met some of these people, and they seemed to be quite able to cope with these problems. However, it is essential to help these people, and the government should provide special centers for elderly people.

Many elderly people live in homes that are not large for them to cope with adequately, leaving the alternatives of entering a nursing home or finding a smaller house for themselves. If they move into a smaller house, they may not be able to cope with the situation because of the size of their home. So, I believe that special homes should be provided for elderly people.

The meeting house environment is not very good for elderly people. In fact, it seems more of a community in which the elderly people are isolated and separated from the younger people. This can lead to loneliness and isolation, and it is essential to provide special centers for elderly people.

The meeting discussed the following motions and proposals that were put forward and debated:

- Motion A: The meeting authorized the formation of a special committee to investigate the problems facing elderly people and to make recommendations for improvement.
- Motion B: The meeting called for the government to provide more funding for elderly care centers and to ensure that these centers are accessible to all elderly people.
- Motion C: The meeting called on the community to increase its support for elderly people by volunteering their time and resources.

The meeting ended with a call to action for all community members to become involved in supporting elderly people and to work towards creating a more inclusive and caring community for all ages.
At a General Student Meeting on Wednesday, April 15th a motion was passed to establish a moratorium money campaign. The meeting was called to order by the Vice-president of the Vietnam Moratorium Coordinating Committee and $1150 was donated to the Student Club supporting the Campaign.

The request for the moratorium money was approved by the Council at its last meeting by the President and Vice-president of the council. The request for the money is for the purpose of allowing the Vietnam Moratorium Committee to continue its efforts.
SO MUCH FOR THE INTERNATIONAL COMMUNIST CONSPIRACY….

WHICH SIDE IS RUSSIA ON?

Exactly what does "peaceful coexistence" mean for the Soviet leadership? Some items:

- Yugoslavia lost nearly half of its territory to the West and was forced to cede some of its most strategic points.
- Poland lost two million people and had to sign a humiliating peace treaty with the Soviet Union.
- Czechoslovakia had to pay reparations and cede territory to the Soviet Union.
- East Germany lost half of its territory and had to pay reparations.
- Bulgaria lost one-third of its territory and had to sign a humiliating peace treaty.
- Hungary had to pay reparations and cede territory to the Soviet Union.
- Romania lost two million people and had to sign a humiliating peace treaty with the Soviet Union.

The Soviet Union had gained control over most of its neighbors and was able to dictate terms to them.

FREEDOM, 1984 STYLE?
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FREEDOM OF THE PRESS

The criticisms made by N.U. of various staff members and sacred cows within the National Union have begun to turn some and the inevitable results are astounding. Various staff members have been demanding the right to reply to criticisms. We must not lose this right. We must not lose this right. N.U. is free at any time only we don't have both liberal pretensions and desires with which to dignify our real purpose. We allow 'free' discussion of issues. Just as the Adventist won't publish articles attacking Christianity, so won't publish anything which supports capitalism, and capitalism is supported in this university by the so-called 'liberal' views of bourgeois academics.

Half Baked Science forum

"Who made the World - God made the World." This is what made the New Testament religious text. It came to us in the light of the Bible and to us we have a very clear understanding of this. It seems to the reader, the reader may be right. Yes, it is right. At least we have a good understanding of this. In the religion in which I have been raised, there is a very definite belief in the power of God and His Holy Being. It is this power of God and His Holy Being which must work on anything to that much that we don't wonder. We accept the evidence that the New Testament is a very definite Book of the Bible.

Adi-Adi's Women's Literature Movement has been criticized by the American political–social forces. To a half-baked scientist, it seems right. H. B. Adi-Adi's belief that their real enemy is the foe, is even more. Every other book about this is understood by the reader. In the religion which I believe in, there is a very definite belief in the power of God and His Holy Being. It is this power of God and His Holy Being which must work on anything to that much that we don't wonder. We accept the evidence that the New Testament is a very definite Book of the Bible.

On set this year My Fear of God's

Medical Undergraduates!

There are unqualified professional career opportunities as Medical Officers in the modern

Navy, Army and Air Force

with all the benefits of Commissioned Rank, generous pay and allowances, and study costs paid.

If you are accepted to complete your degree studies in the Undergraduate scheme, you will have your University tuition and examination fees paid, all necessary text books, meals and accommodation provided — in return you must agree to serve your country.

You will receive free medical and dental attention and hospitalisation. A generous salary will be paid if you are studying, plus a clothing allowance, maintenance allowance and applicable, a marriage allowance.

Upon graduation you are guaranteed a professional appointment with status, appropriate salary and retirement benefits.

The life of a Medical Officer in the Navy, Army or Air Force, is a rewarding one, with opportunities for travel, diversification, professional and specialised training and promotion.

The benefits of sport and recreation, Officers’ leisure life, social activity and wonderful friendships to be made.

If you are over 18, and have successfully completed the first four or more years of your medical course, you are eligible for acceptance under the Undergraduate Scheme.

Applicants must be Australian Citizens and are accepted ordinarily resident in Australia.

Full details and conditions for acceptance are available from you now. Visit the Services Career Officers at:

Recruiting House, 18-20 York Street, Sydney, N.S.W., 2000.

Australian Defence Force, 26 Gifted Lane, Melbourne, Vic., 3000.

Recruiting House, 157 Prie Street, Adelaide, S.A., 5000.

Recruiting House, 129 Mary St, Brisbane, Qld., 4000.

Combined Services Recruiting Centre, 55 William Street, North, W.A., 6000.

This article was free.
Envoi

David Bell

Having now spent one year at this University getting a clear view of tertiary education as a whole, I am impressed with the fact that there is a world of potential education. The world is facing increasingly complex problems, and it is in our hands to shape the future. While the world may be in flux, in the present, it is up to us to make the most of it.

There are many interpretations of the world, but most of them suggest that it is changing. In the past, the world was static and unchanging, but today, it is dynamic and ever-evolving. This change is driven by various factors, including technological advancements, social upheavals, and environmental changes.

The current challenges facing the world are complex and interconnected. For example, climate change is a global issue that affects every continent. It is not just about the weather, but about food security, water resources, and human health.

It is crucial that we recognize the importance of these issues and work towards finding solutions. The future belongs to those who are willing to take action and make a difference.

In conclusion, the world is changing, and we must be prepared to adapt. It is up to us to ensure that this change is for the better, and that we leave a legacy of progress and prosperity for future generations.

On this note, I wish you all a successful and fulfilling future.
present. What does Australia do when Indonesia can no longer afford to pay us for our new products? We do for them in those of their millions of people in need of food. The conflict of today's problem is that 83% of the world's total population. It is a problem that the whole world has to face, and the provision of food for this purpose is a major problem. 

The problem of hunger and its effects on the world's population is not new. It has been a problem for centuries, and it is likely to continue to be a problem for the foreseeable future. The problem is not only one of quantity, but also of quality. Even if we were able to produce enough food to feed the world's population, the food we produce would not be nutritious enough to sustain life. 

There are several factors that contribute to the problem of hunger. One of the most important is the unequal distribution of food. In the world, there are countries where people are starving while others are able to produce surplus food. This is a result of the unequal access to resources and the unequal distribution of wealth. 

Another factor is the international trade system. In many cases, the countries that can afford to produce food for export are able to do so, while the countries that cannot afford to produce food are not able to do so. This is because the international trade system is based on the principle of comparative advantage, which means that countries are able to produce certain goods more efficiently than others. 

The problem of hunger is not a problem that can be solved easily. It requires a global effort and a commitment from all countries to work together to address the issue. This is a challenge that we all face, and it is one that we must take seriously.