Mr. GRANGER said that the word in the act was the word "resumption." He said that the works of the states of New South Wales and Victoria had been resuming for some time. The result was that the counties had now to be resuming. He thought that the act should be made to apply to the counties, and that the counties should be able to do their own work.

Mr. WOOD, in reply, said that the act was intended to apply only to the States of New South Wales and Victoria. He thought that it was desirable that the act should be made to apply to the counties. The result would be that the counties would be able to do their own work, and that the work of the states would be facilitated.

The ATTORNEY-GENERAL said that the act was intended to apply only to the States of New South Wales and Victoria. He thought that it was desirable that the act should be made to apply to the counties. The result would be that the counties would be able to do their own work, and that the work of the states would be facilitated.
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14th Nov. 1898.

Mr. O'MALLEY said that the judges were not acting under the act. He said that the judges were acting under the act, and that the act was intended to apply only to the States of New South Wales and Victoria. He thought that it was desirable that the act should be made to apply to the counties. The result would be that the counties would be able to do their own work, and that the work of the states would be facilitated.
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