PUBLISHED VERSION

F.A.Aharonian... G.Rowell...and W.Wittek [et al]

pulsars and unidentified GeV sources in the Galactic plane in the longitude range between -2° and 85°

Astronomy and Astrophysics, 2002; 395 (3):803-811

© The European Southern Observatory 2002

PERMISSIONS

www.edpsciences.org/alr

Authors can make their article, published by EDP Sciences, available on their personal site, their institution's web site and Open Archive Initiative sites, provided the source of the published article is cited and the ownership of the copyright clearly mentioned. These must be not for profit sites. Reprint and postprint may be used (with the publisher's PDF). Authors are requested to create a link to the publisher's internet service. The link must be accompanied by the following text "The original publication is available at www.edpsciences.org/alr".

9th May, 2011

http://hdl.handle.net/2440/37571

A search for TeV gamma-ray emission from SNRs, pulsars and unidentified GeV sources in the Galactic plane in the longitude range between -2° and 85°

F. A. Aharonian¹, A. G. Akhperjanian⁷, M. Beilicke⁴, K. Bernlöhr¹, H. Bojahr⁶, O. Bolz¹, H. Börst⁵, T. Coarasa²,

J. L. Contreras³, J. Cortina², S. Denninghoff², V. Fonseca³, M. Girma¹, N. Götting⁴, G. Heinzelmann⁴,

J. L. Contreras⁵, J. Cortina², S. Denninghoff², V. Fonseca⁵, M. Girma⁴, N. Gotting⁴, G. Heinzelmann⁴,
G. Hermann¹, A. Heusler¹, W. Hofmann¹, D. Horns¹, I. Jung¹, R. Kankanyan^{1,7}, M. Kestel², J. Kettler¹, A. Kohnle¹,
A. Konopelko¹, H. Kornmeyer², D. Kranich², H. Krawczynski^{1,%}, H. Lampeitl¹, M. Lopez³, E. Lorenz²,
F. Lucarelli³, O. Mang⁵, H. Meyer⁶, R. Mirzoyan², A. Moralejo³, E. Ona³, M. Panter¹, A. Plyasheshnikov^{1,§},
G. Pühlhofer¹, G. Rauterberg⁵, R. Reyes², W. Rhode⁶, J. Ripken⁴, A. Röhring⁴, G. P. Rowell¹, V. Sahakian⁷,
M. Samorski⁵, M. Schilling⁵, M. Siems⁵, D. Sobzynska^{2,*}, W. Stamm⁵, M. Tluczykont⁴, H. J. Völk¹,
C. A. Wiedner¹, and W. Wittek²

¹ Max Planck Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 103980, 69029 Heidelberg, Germany

² Max Planck Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805 München, Germany

³ Universidad Complutense, Facultad de Ciencias Físicas, Ciudad Universitaria, 28040 Madrid, Spain

⁴ Universität Hamburg, Institut für Experimentalphysik, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

⁵ Universität Kiel, Institut für Experimentelle und Angewandte Physik, Leibnizstraße 15-19, 24118 Kiel, Germany

⁶ Universität Wuppertal, Fachbereich Physik, Gaußstr.20, 42097 Wuppertal, Germany

⁷ Yerevan Physics Institute, Alikhanian Br. 2, 375036 Yerevan, Armenia

[§] On leave from Altai State University, Dimitrov Street 66, 656099 Barnaul, Russia

[%] Now at Yale University, PO Box 208101, New Haven, CT 06520-8101, USA

* Home institute: University Lodz, Poland

Received 6 March 2002/ Accepted 16 August 2002

Abstract. Using the HEGRA system of imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes, one quarter of the Galactic plane ($-2^{\circ} <$ $l < 85^{\circ}$) was surveyed for TeV gamma-ray emission from point sources and moderately extended sources ($\emptyset \le 0.8^{\circ}$). The region covered includes 86 known pulsars (PSR), 63 known supernova remnants (SNR) and nine GeV sources, representing a significant fraction of the known populations. No evidence for emission of TeV gamma radiation was detected, and upper limits range from 0.15 Crab units up to several Crab units, depending on the observation time and zenith angles covered. The ensemble sums over selected SNR and pulsar subsamples and over the GeV-sources yield no indication of emission from these potential sources. The upper limit for the SNR population is 6.7% of the Crab flux and for the pulsar ensemble is 3.6% of the Crab flux.

Key words. gamma rays: observations – ISM: cosmic rays – stars: pulsars: general – ISM: supernova remnants

1. Introduction

Systems of imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes such as the HEGRA stereoscopic telescope system (Daum et al. 1997; Konopelko et al. 1999a) allow one to reconstruct the directions of air showers over the full field of view, with a radius of about 2° in the case of HEGRA, and can therefore be used for sky surveys (Pühlhofer et al. 1999; Aharonian et al. 2001b). Here, we report a survey of one quarter of the Galactic disc

Send offprint requests to: H. Lampeitl, e-mail: lampeitl@daniel.mpi-hd.mpg.de ranging from the Galactic center ($l \approx 0^{\circ}$) to the Cygnus region $(l \approx 83^{\circ})$. The latitude range covered corresponds in most parts of the survey to the FoV of the HEGRA telescope system and ranges from -1.7° to 1.7° (for more details see Fig. 1). The motivation for this survey was to search for gamma-ray point sources and moderately extended sources in the TeV energy range. Most of the potential Galactic gamma-ray sources like supernova remnants (SNR) (Green 1998) and pulsars (PSR) (Taylor 1993) are the remnants of young massive (Population I) stars and thus cluster along the Galactic plane and concentrate towards the Galactic center. This picture is supported by earlier

 γ -ray surveys carried out with the COS B satellite (Swanenburg et al. 1981) and with the EGRET instrument (Hartmann et al. 1999; Lamb & Macomb 1997) in the GeV range, revealing an enhancement of γ -ray sources along the Galactic plane. Both types of objects - SNRs and pulsars - are almost certainly particle accelerators and emitters of high-energy gamma radiation. Theoretical models predict typical gamma-ray fluxes from the majority of these objects that are below the detection thresholds of the current generation of Cherenkov instruments (see, e.g. Drury et al. 1994; Aharonian et al. 1997 and Berezhko & Völk 2000a). Until now only three SNRs - SN1006 (Tanimori et al. 1998), RX J1713.7-3946 (Muraishi et al. 2000) in the southern hemisphere and Cas-A (Aharonian et al. 2001a) in the northern hemisphere show evidence of TeV gamma-ray emission. For SN1006 a flux at 70% of the Crab flux¹ is reported, for RX J1713.7-3946 at 80% and for Cas-A at 3.3%. For the individual shell type SNRs, y-Cygni, IC-433, W44, W51, upper limits of 20% to 30% of the Crab flux are given in Buckley (1998) and Völk (1997). For the SNR W28 an upper limit of 70% of the Crab flux is given in Rowell et al. (2000) and for Tycho an upper limit of 3.3% of the Crab is given in Aharonian et al. (2001c). Three pulsars - the Crab Nebula (Weekes 1989), PSR1706-44 (Kifune et al. 1995) at 60% and Vela (Yoshikoshi et al. 1997) at 70% of the Crab flux have been reported as gamma-ray emitters in the TeV regime. For a review of observations and theoretical predictions relating to Galactic gammaray sources see, e.g, Aharonian (1999c). In addition to pulsars and SNRs, many unidentified GeV sources (Lamb & Macomb 1997) lie in the Galactic plane. Both the lack of knowledge of the individual source parameters as well as the approximations used in the modeling result in large uncertainties in the predictions for individual objects by an order of magnitude or more. Hence it is desirable to observe a larger sample of source candidates beyond the few most promising representatives of each class. Given the density of source objects, a survey of the inner part of the Galactic plane provides an efficient way to search for gamma-ray emission and to average over the potential source populations.

Such a survey was conducted with the HEGRA telescope system. The range of the survey, $-2^{\circ} < l < 85^{\circ}$, was chosen by visibility conditions and by the density of potential gamma-ray emitters. Given the location of the HEGRA telescope system at 28°45' N, observation conditions are best for Galactic longitudes around 65°. The Galactic center can only be observed at large zenith angles around 60°, and most parts of the Galactic plane with negative longitudes are virtually inaccessible.

2. The HEGRA IACT system

The HEGRA stereoscopic system (Daum et al. 1997; Konopelko et al. 1999a) of imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes (IACTs) is located on the Canary Island of



Fig. 1. Observation time in hours used for the individual scan points. The large gray circles indicate the individual pointings and correspond the FoV of the telescope system used. Positions of potential TeV gamma-ray sources are marked by symbols; a filled symbol indicates a potential source for which we give an upper limit. The size of the circles for SNRs and GeV sources corresponds to the size of the source. Objects in the dashed box labeled (A) are excluded from further analysis (for explanation see text).

La Palma, on the site of the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, at 28°45' N, 17°53' W, 2200 m a.s.l. The stereoscopic telescope system consists of five telescopes

¹ To keep calculations simple, we give fluxes in units of the Crab Nebula flux (so called CU). For the Crab we take a value of: $F(>E) = 1.75 \times 10^{-11} \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right)^{-1.59} \text{ ph cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Aharonian et al. 2000).

(CT2-CT6). One additional telescope (CT1, Mirzoyan et al. 1994) is operated in stand-alone mode. The system telescopes are arranged on the corners and in the center of a square with 100 m side length. Each is equipped with a tessalated 8.5 m^2 mirror of 5 m focal length, and a camera with 271 photomultiplier pixels in the focal plane. The field of view of each camera has a diameter of 4.3° with pixel diameters corresponding to 0.25°. The telescope system is triggered when in at least two cameras two neighboring pixels show a signal above ≈ 7 photoelectrons. Signals from the cameras are recorded using a 120 MHz Flash-ADC system, which is read out after a system trigger. Details of the camera hardware and of the trigger system are given in Hermann (1995) and Bulian et al. (1998). The pointing uncertainty of the telescope system is below 1 arcmin (Pühlhofer et al. 1997). On the basis of the stereoscopic analysis of Cherenkov images, shower directions can be reconstructed with an accuracy of 0.1°, and shower energies with a resolution of 20% or better (Daum et al. 1997; Aharonian et al. 1999a,b). The energy threshold is 500 GeV for vertical incidence of gamma-rays, and increases to 0.9 TeV at 30°, to 1.8 TeV at 45° and to 5 TeV at 60° (Konopelko et al. 1999b). Cosmic ray showers are suppressed exploiting the width of Cherenkov images. A "mean scaled width" \bar{w} is defined by scaling the observed widths to the expected widths for gamma-ray images, which depend on the intensity of the images, the distance to the shower core and the zenith angle, and averaging over telescopes. Gamma-rays cause a peak in \bar{w} at 1, with a Gaussian width of about 0.1. Nucleonic showers have larger \bar{w} values, peaking around 1.7. While more sophisticated identification schemes (e.g., Daum et al. 1997; Lampeitl & Konopelko 1999; Schäfer et al. 2001) can reach slightly better sensitivity, the default (and most stable) analysis schemes are based on cuts in \bar{w} ($\bar{w} < 1.1...1.3$), combined with an angular cut relative to the source of about 0.15° in the case of a point source.

3. The dataset

Data used in this survey were taken from June to September in 1997 and from June to August in 1998 with a 4-telescope system². In total, 176 h of observation time distributed over 92 separated locations along the Galactic plane were obtained. The general layout of the survey is illustrated in Fig. 1. The observations mainly followed the galactic equator with a spacing between individual scan positions of 1°, allowing an overlap of the FoV between different scan points. In the outer region of the Galactic plane $(l > 54^{\circ})$ the survey points are slightly displaced to the north following the density of interstellar matter. In the Cygnus region ($l \approx 80^{\circ}$) the survey points cover a larger range in Galactic latitude b, because the distribution of matter is much broader in this region, at the expense of a reduced overlap between adjacent points. The observation schedule was optimized such that individual survey points were observed near culmination, resulting in the smallest zenith angle accessible. This observation strategy leads to a strong correlation between zenith



Fig. 2. Correlation between Galactic longitude and zenith angle under which the individual scan points were observed. Each dot represents a data taking period of 20 or 30 min. The solid line indicates the approximated energy threshold in TeV (right axis) as a function of Galactic longitude (Konopelko et al. 1999b).

angle and Galactic longitude. As shown in Fig. 2 this correlation also implies a variation of the effective energy threshold with Galactic longitude l.

A quality selection of the data sets was based primarily on the average trigger rate of the telescope system. Data affected by bad weather conditions and technical problems were excluded from further analysis. The remaining data set encompasses 115 h of observation time.

The analysis of Cherenkov images could potentially suffer from variations in the sky brightness over the scan region. Since the readout electronics of the telescopes is AC coupled, a star illuminating a pixel will not cause baseline shifts, but it will still result in increased noise in that pixel. The observed region contains only one star brighter than 3.5 mag at $l = 78.15^{\circ}$, $b = 1.87^{\circ}$ with a magnitude $m_v = 2.23$ mag. Since the galactic equator is obscured by interstellar dust, in most regions of the scan the Galactic background light is negligible. Only the region around $l = 6^{\circ}$ (marked in Fig. 1 with A) shows a strong influence of background light caused by the star clusters M8 and NGC 6530. Data taken at this location show a strong inhomogeneity over the FoV and were excluded from this analysis.

For reference and comparison, observations of the Crab Nebula in September and October 1997 and September and October 1998 were used, and were subject to identical selection criteria. In total 114 h of Crab data covering the zenith angle range from 10° to 60° were used. The angular resolution of the telescope system was derived by fitting a two dimensional Gaussian distribution to the spatial distribution of the angular resolution, the 40% containment radius $r_{40\%}$ of the two dimensional distribution was taken equivalent to the Gaussian width of the projected one dimensional angular

805

 $^{^{2}}$ CT2 was incorporated into the HEGRA-Telescope-System in May 1999.



Fig. 3. Angular resolution $(r_{40\%})$ derived from the Crab data as a function of zenith angle *z*, after a cut on mean scaled width of $\bar{w} < 1.1$. The angular resolution is shown for events with four telescopes out of four (4/4) and three telescopes out of four (3/4) used in the analysis. The lines indicate the parametrisation used for further analysis.

distribution. The resulting angular resolution for different zenith angles is shown in Fig. 3. For weak sources the optimum significance S / \sqrt{B} is obtained by cutting the distribution at a radius of $1.6 \cdot r_{40\%}$. After applying the angular cut the detection rate for the Crab Nebula was determined. The result is shown in Fig. 4. Since aging processes of the PM tubes and different HV settings in 1997 and 1998 affected the detection rate of gamma-rays, individual calibration curves were derived for these years³. The off axis sensitivity of the telescope system was determined by Monte Carlo simulations (for more details see Aharonian et al. 2001b). Simulations carried out for zenith angles of 20° and 30° show that the detection rate for off axis gamma rays could be well described for $\vartheta < 1.7^{\circ}$ by a dependence of the form $(1-0.1\vartheta^2)$ where ϑ is the inclination of the shower axis with respect to the telescope pointing in degrees. The simulation show that the detection rate is reduced by 10% for an inclination of 1° and by 30% at 1.7°. For larger inclinations, border effects from truncated images start to deteriorate the smooth behavior.

4. Search for gamma-ray sources

The cuts on the telescope images and the shower reconstruction follow earlier work (see e.g. Aharonian et al. 1999a). In particular, only images with at least 40 photoelectrons were accepted and the centroid of the image had to be within 1.7° of the camera center, in order to exclude truncated images. Showers with reconstructed cores up to 300 m from the center telescope were accepted. Since angular resolution and gamma/hadron-



Fig. 4. Observed photon rate for the Crab Nebula in 1997 and 1998 as a function of $1/\cos(z)$ for 3- and 4-telescope events. An angular cut optimized for the angular resolution of the telescope system was applied. The lines indicate the parametrisation used in the analysis.

separation improves with the number of telescopes used for shower reconstruction, only events with three or more triggered telescopes were included in the analysis.

The search for gamma-ray sources was carried out on a grid of 0.03125° spacing, well below the angular resolution of the telescope system. For each grid point the number of events located within a search radius r_s were counted. The background was determined by three control regions rotated by 90°, 180° and 270° with respect to the pointing of the telescopes. Each potential source location was analyzed assuming a point source, as well as extended sources of radii $r = 0.1^{\circ}, 0.2^{\circ}, 0.3^{\circ}$ and 0.4° and taking in addition into account the zenith angle dependent angular resolution of the system (see Fig. 3). By applying this scheme, the number of background events could not be evaluated for sources on or near the center of the FoV, and hence the inner part of the FoV of radius r_s was excluded from this analysis. This results in a minor loss of sky coverage, since neighboring scan points cover the excluded regions in most parts of the scan. The radius of the FoV for sources was limited to $1.7^{\circ} - r_{\rm s}$ to exclude the influence of the camera borders. With the event counts in the search region and the three control regions the significances σ were calculated according to Li & Ma (1993) and upper limits according to Helene (1994) for each grid point. To derive an upper limit on the flux the result was divided by the expected number of events for a Crab-like source observed for the same time at the same zenith angle and at the same inclination with respect to the pointing of the telescopes.

The distribution of significances for different search radii is shown in Fig. 5. All distributions are well fitted by a Gaussian distribution of mean value 0 and a variance of 1, indicating that

³ We note that the different γ -ray detection rates for different years indicate a change in the energy threshold of the order of 15%.



Fig. 5. Distribution of significances for the grid points and for different search radii. No point above 4.5 σ is detected. Taking the number of trials into account, this result is fully compatible with background noise. The curves shown indicate Gaussian fits to the data points. The curves for point sources and for sources with an extension of 0.1° are nearly identical.

the background estimation is reliable. In none of the distributions is a point above 4.5σ evident. Taking the number of trials into account the result is fully compatible with background noise.

In the following we give limits for various individual sources in the range of the survey. For the nine GeV sources located in the scan region, results are given in Table 1. The source GeV J1746-2854 coincides with the Galactic center. The limit of 8.7 Crab can thus be interpreted as an upper limit on the emission from the Galactic center. We note that the Galactic center is observed around a zenith angle of 60° and that at such large zenith angles the detection rate for gamma-rays is quite low (see Fig. 4) and angular resolution is degraded by more than a factor of 2 (see Fig. 3). Systematic effects might well dominate over statistics and a systematic error on a level of 50% on this limit can not be excluded.

Results for 19 SNRs out of 63 with an estimated distance of less than 10 kpc, a diameter smaller than 0.8° and observed under zenith angles smaller than 45° are given in Table 2. Results for 18 pulsars selected from 86 with a characteristic age less than 10^{6} years, a distance smaller than 10 kpc and which were observed under zenith angles smaller than 45° are given in Table 3. Results on the remaining SNRs and pulsars are given in Table 4. Limits obtained range from 7% of the Crab flux up to several Crab units, depending on zenith angle, accumulated observation time and search radius.

5. Ensemble limits

Even if individual sources show no indication for TeV gamma-ray emission, one can try to increase the experimental

sensitivity by considering whole ensembles of sources ("source stacking"). For given source classes, the source-region and background-region counts were accumulated and a significance and a limit was derived for each ensemble.

5.1. Unidentified GeV-sources

One can use source stacking under the assumption that all of the unidentified GeV sources here are of similar type, making any upper limits meaningful to any source-specific model. For seven GeV sources located at a Galactic longitude $l > 25^{\circ}$ the sum is given in Table 1. In total 21.9 h of on source observation time was accumulated and no indication of TeV gamma-ray emission was found. The significance σ is calculated to -1.4and the upper limit to 5.7% of the Crab flux.

5.2. SNRs

For 19 SNRs, with $l > 20^{\circ}$ and with an estimated distance d < 10 kpc (Case & Bhattacharya 1998) statistics was accumulated (see Table 2), resulting in an equivalent observation time of 52.3 h. A significance of 1.1 standard deviations was derived and an upper limit of 6.7% of the Crab flux was calculated.

Theoretical predictions for the hadronic gamma-ray emissivity of SNRs are taken from Drury et al. (1994), hereafter DAV:

$$F_{\gamma}(>E) \approx 9 \times 10^{-11} \Theta \left(\frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right)^{-1.1} \times S \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}.$$
 (1)

The scaling value S is given by:

$$S = \left(\frac{E_{SN}}{10^{51} \text{ erg}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{1 \text{ kpc}}\right)^{-2} \left(\frac{n}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}}\right).$$
(2)

Assuming for the product of the fraction of mechanical energy converted to cosmic rays times the SNR energy ΘE_{SN} a value of 1.6×10^{50} erg as given e.g. by Fields et al. (2001), placing the remnant at a distance d = 1 kpc and assuming an average value of n = 1 atom per cm³ for the interstellar medium density, a flux F_{SNR} of 78% of the remnant compared to the flux of the Crab (F_{Crab}) is calculated in the energy range between 1 and 10 TeV. Following the paper of DAV lower flux ratios of 48% and 18% are derived for softer SNR spectra of index -1.2 and -1.3.

We note that the value for ΘE_{SN} is quite uncertain, depending on the rate of SN explosions in our Galaxy (see e.g. Dragicevich et al. 1999), on the mass of interstellar matter in the galaxy and on the spectral index of the source population of CRs. Berezhko & Völk (2000b) derive a value between 0.5×10^{50} and 3.3×10^{50} erg from CR energetic arguments. Fields et al. (2001) calculate from similar arguments a value of 1.6×10^{50} erg but cannot exclude values as low as 1×10^{50} erg and as high as 10×10^{50} erg. A similar value of 1×10^{50} erg to 3×10^{50} erg is derived in Drury et al. (1989). Theoretical calculations for diffusive shock accelerating models based on spherical symmetry of the acceleration process tend to give a value for Θ of 50% or even higher (see e.g. Drury et al. 1989 and consequently Drury et al. 1994), resulting in a value of 5×10^{50} erg for a standard SNR of 10^{51} erg.

Table 1. Results for GeV sources taken from the catalogue of Lamb & Macomb (1997). σ_{pos} indicates the 95% error box on the source location, *r* the assumed radius of the source (*P* = point like source). *T* denotes the observation time, ON the number of events in the source bin and OFF the number of events in the control regions. σ gives the significance calculated according to Li & Ma (1983) with $\alpha = 1/3$. Exp. gives the number of expected events for a Crab-like source observed at the same zenith angle, the same observation time and at the same inclination with respect to the telescope pointing. E_{th} gives the approximate energy threshold in TeV. $F^{99\%}$ is the derived upper limit in units of the Crab flux (CU). Possible coincidences of GeV-sources with SNRs are indicated in the last column, as given by Romero et al. (1999). The last line gives the sum over the GeV sources 3 to 9 which were observed under zenith angles $z < 45^{\circ}$.

| | Name | $\sigma_{ m pos}$ | r | Т | ON | OFF | σ | Exp. | $E_{\rm th}$ | $F^{99\%}$ | Remark |
|---|----------------|-------------------|-----|------|------|------|----------|-------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| | | [°] | [°] | [h] | | | | | [TeV] | [CU] | |
| 1 | GeV J1746-2854 | 0.16 | Р | 7.1 | 1183 | 3443 | 0.9 | 15.0 | 4.5 | 8.67 | Gal. cent., G0.0+0.0, G0.5+0.0 |
| 2 | GeV J1825-1310 | 0.32 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 79 | 201 | 1.23 | 20.4 | 1.7 | 1.87 | |
| 3 | GeV J1837-0610 | 0.20 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 51 | 170 | -0.67 | 86.2 | 1.3 | 0.24 | |
| 4 | GeV J1856+0115 | 0.21 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 49 | 216 | -2.53 | 101.1 | 0.9 | 0.14 | G34.7-0.4 (W44) |
| 5 | GeV J1907+0557 | 0.36 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 65 | 169 | 0.97 | 67.7 | 0.8 | 0.48 | G39.2-0.3 |
| 6 | GeV J1957+2859 | 0.36 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 32 | 150 | -2.40 | 78.4 | 0.6 | 0.15 | |
| 7 | GeV J2020+3658 | 0.28 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 27 | 65 | 0.95 | 56.1 | 0.6 | 0.38 | |
| 8 | GeV J2020+4023 | 0.14 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 36 | 102 | 0.29 | 181.9 | 0.6 | 0.12 | G74.9+1.2 |
| 9 | GeV J2035+4214 | 0.42 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 59 | 172 | 0.19 | 74.2 | 0.6 | 0.35 | |
| | Σ_{3-9} | | | 21.9 | 319 | 1044 | -1.4 | 645.6 | - | 0.057 | |

Table 2. List of selected SNR from Green (1998). Type indicates the morphology according to Green (C = Composite, S = shell type, ? = some uncertainties). r_{SNR} denotes the radius of the SNR in degrees. *r* indicates the assumed source size as taken in the analysis (P = point like source). *d* is the distance to the individual remnant in kpc as derived from the radio-surface-brightness-to-diameter relationship (Σ -*D*) as given in Case & Bhattacharya (1998). T/d^2 is the weight of the individual remnant as used in Eq. (3). The other columns are labeled as in Table 1. The last row gives the sum over the ensemble of SNRs. F_{DAV} is the expected hadronic flux from the ensemble calculated according to Drury et al. (1994). For further explanations see text.

| Nr | Name | Type | rsnr | r | d | Т | ON | OFF | σ | Exp. | T/d^2 | E_{th} | F ^{99%} |
|----|-----------------|------|------|-----|---------|------|-----|------|----------|--------|-----------------------|----------|------------------|
| | | 51 | [°] | [°] | [kpc] | [h] | | | | 1 | [h/kpc ²] | [TeV] | [CU] |
| 1 | G021.5-00.9 | С | 0.01 | Р | 6.3 | 1.6 | 23 | 44 | 1.7 | 33.0 | 0.040 | 1.5 | 0.70 |
| 2 | G021.8-00.6 | S | 0.17 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 34 | 85 | 0.9 | 59.6 | 0.309 | 1.5 | 0.39 |
| 3 | G022.7-00.2 | S? | 0.22 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 32 | 69 | 1.5 | 29.2 | 0.088 | 1.4 | 0.89 |
| 4 | G023.3-00.3 | S | 0.22 | 0.3 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 29 | 76 | 0.6 | 29.9 | 0.165 | 1.4 | 0.69 |
| 5 | G024.7-00.6 | S? | 0.12 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 3.3 | 41 | 144 | -0.9 | 79.9 | 0.060 | 1.3 | 0.23 |
| 6 | G030.7+01.0 | S? | 0.20 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 2.1 | 37 | 128 | -0.8 | 56.4 | 0.034 | 1.0 | 0.30 |
| 7 | G031.9+00.0 | S | 0.04 | 0.0 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 21 | 56 | 0.5 | 107.2 | 0.081 | 1.0 | 0.16 |
| 8 | G032.8-00.1 | S? | 0.14 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 3.1 | 38 | 90 | 1.2 | 84.9 | 0.078 | 1.0 | 0.32 |
| 9 | G033.6+00.1 | S | 0.08 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 38 | 108 | 0.3 | 159.9 | 0.115 | 0.9 | 0.14 |
| 10 | G034.7-00.4 | С | 0.29 | 0.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 68 | 229 | -0.9 | 115.8 | 0.340 | 0.9 | 0.19 |
| 11 | G039.2-00.3 | S | 0.07 | 0.1 | 5.9 | 3.1 | 20 | 62 | -0.1 | 87.5 | 0.089 | 0.8 | 0.18 |
| 12 | G040.5-00.5 | S | 0.18 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 35 | 73 | 1.7 | 65.1 | 0.056 | 0.8 | 0.43 |
| 13 | G041.1-00.3 | S | 0.04 | Р | 6.1 | 3.2 | 16 | 38 | 0.8 | 87.3 | 0.086 | 0.8 | 0.18 |
| 14 | G043.3-00.2 | S | 0.03 | Р | 7.5 | 3.4 | 16 | 50 | -0.1 | 96.3 | 0.060 | 0.7 | 0.14 |
| 15 | G045.7-00.4 | S | 0.18 | 0.2 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 26 | 87 | -0.5 | 80.7 | 0.031 | 0.7 | 0.20 |
| 16 | G046.8-00.3 | S | 0.14 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 23 | 62 | 0.4 | 79.2 | 0.063 | 0.7 | 0.22 |
| 17 | G049.2-00.7 | S? | 0.25 | 0.3 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 48 | 129 | 0.6 | 97.3 | 0.083 | 0.6 | 0.27 |
| 18 | G054.4-00.3 | S | 0.33 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 37 | 122 | -0.5 | 47.9 | 0.138 | 0.6 | 0.38 |
| 19 | G073.9+00.9 | S? | 0.18 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 5 | 17 | -0.2 | 47.9 | 0.046 | 0.6 | 0.18 |
| | Σ_{1-19} | | | | < 5.2 > | 52.3 | 587 | 1669 | 1.1 | 1445.0 | 1.962 | - | 0.067 |

An additional uncertainty arises from the density of the interstellar medium in which the SNR evolves. Berezhko & Völk (2000a) point out that a large fraction of the Galaxy is occupied by the so-called hot interstellar medium where the particle density is lower than 1 atom per cm³, leading to low π^0 -gammaray production in such an ambient medium.

For comparison of the derived ensemble limit with theoretical predictions, the difficulty of estimating the distance

Table 3. List of selected pulsars as taken from Taylor (1993). Pulsars are selected by their modeled distance d_m less than 10 kpc, rotation period less than 1 s and a characteristic age $\tau = 1/2 p/\dot{p}$ less than 10⁶ years. log(\dot{e}) denotes the logarithm of the spin down luminosity of the pulsar in erg/s. F gives the spin down energy of the pulsar divided by the square of the distance d_m in erg s⁻¹ kpc⁻². The last column gives the individual contribution of the pulsar to the ensemble sum. The ensemble sum is given in the last row. Other columns are labeled as in Table 1. Pulsars are treated in the analyses as point sources. The search radius is chosen to $1.6 \cdot r_{40\%}(z)$ (see Fig. 3). For the calculation of the ratio $T_i/T \cdot F_i/F_{Crab}$ the sum of the total observation time T = 51.0 h is used.

| | Name | Т | ON | OFF | Exp. | σ | $E_{ m th}$ | $F^{99\%}$ | $\log(\dot{e})$ | $d_{\rm m}$ | τ | $F = \dot{e}/d_{\rm m}^2$ | $\frac{T_i}{T} \cdot \frac{F_i}{F_{Crab}}$ |
|----|-----------------|------|-----|-----|--------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| | | [h] | | | | | [TeV] | [CU] | [erg/s] | [kpc] | [10 ⁵ y] | | Crab |
| | Crab | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38.649 | 2.49 | 0.013 | 7.19×10^{37} | |
| 1 | J1830-1059 | 2.1 | 17 | 75 | 45.4 | -1.49 | 1.5 | 0.24 | 34.552 | 3.63 | 1.07 | 2.71×10^{33} | 1.54×10^{-06} |
| 2 | J1832-0827 | 2.3 | 31 | 61 | 52.5 | 1.86 | 1.4 | 0.51 | 33.969 | 4.75 | 1.61 | 4.13×10^{32} | 2.56×10^{-07} |
| 3 | J1833-0827 | 2.3 | 25 | 71 | 52.9 | 0.23 | 1.4 | 0.34 | 35.766 | 5.67 | 1.47 | 1.81×10^{34} | 1.13×10^{-05} |
| 4 | J1835-06 | 3.8 | 22 | 99 | 86.4 | -1.79 | 1.3 | 0.13 | 34.747 | 6.34 | 1.20 | 1.39×10^{33} | 1.45×10^{-06} |
| 5 | J1836-1008 | 1.3 | 10 | 15 | 25.0 | 1.64 | 1.4 | 0.61 | 33.416 | 5.40 | 7.58 | 8.94×10^{31} | 3.19×10^{-08} |
| 6 | J1841-0425 | 2.6 | 19 | 73 | 57.7 | -0.98 | 1.2 | 0.21 | 34.592 | 5.16 | 4.62 | 1.47×10^{33} | 1.04×10^{-06} |
| 7 | J1844-0538 | 2.6 | 17 | 62 | 54.5 | -0.73 | 1.2 | 0.23 | 34.360 | 6.16 | 4.18 | 6.04×10^{32} | 4.29×10^{-07} |
| 8 | J1844-0244 | 3.1 | 14 | 58 | 78.7 | -1.12 | 1.1 | 0.14 | 33.703 | 5.99 | 4.81 | 1.41×10^{32} | 1.20×10^{-07} |
| 9 | J1845-0434 | 2.6 | 22 | 61 | 55.7 | 0.31 | 1.1 | 0.31 | 34.543 | 4.72 | 6.81 | 1.57×10^{33} | 1.11×10^{-06} |
| 10 | J1847-0402 | 2.6 | 22 | 32 | 59.1 | 2.53 | 1.1 | 0.43 | 33.980 | 3.13 | 1.83 | 9.75×10^{32} | 6.94×10^{-07} |
| 11 | J1856+0113 | 3.7 | 19 | 61 | 101.0 | -0.26 | 0.9 | 0.14 | 35.634 | 2.78 | 0.20 | 5.57×10^{34} | 5.69×10^{-05} |
| 12 | J1857+0212 | 3.7 | 16 | 52 | 97.7 | -0.28 | 0.9 | 0.14 | 34.345 | 8.58 | 1.64 | 3.01×10^{32} | 3.07×10^{-07} |
| 13 | J1902+0556 | 3.2 | 9 | 42 | 82.9 | -1.26 | 0.8 | 0.11 | 33.088 | 3.93 | 9.18 | 7.93×10^{31} | 6.82×10^{-08} |
| 14 | J1915+1009 | 3.1 | 9 | 33 | 85.8 | -0.54 | 0.7 | 0.11 | 33.960 | 5.37 | 4.19 | 3.16×10^{32} | 2.70×10^{-07} |
| 15 | J1917+1353 | 3.0 | 15 | 24 | 80.3 | 1.85 | 0.7 | 0.24 | 34.586 | 4.07 | 4.28 | 2.33×10^{33} | 1.88×10^{-06} |
| 16 | J1926+1648 | 3.1 | 13 | 44 | 90.5 | -0.39 | 0.6 | 0.13 | 33.562 | 7.75 | 5.11 | 6.07×10^{31} | $5.18 	imes 10^{-08}$ |
| 17 | J1932+22 | 2.2 | 4 | 8 | 44.0 | 0.65 | 0.6 | 0.21 | 35.877 | 9.31 | 0.40 | 8.69×10^{33} | 5.33×10^{-06} |
| 18 | J2002+3217 | 3.5 | 4 | 25 | 78.7 | -1.49 | 0.6 | 0.09 | 34.087 | 6.55 | 1.05 | 2.85×10^{32} | 2.75×10^{-07} |
| | Σ_{1-18} | 51.0 | 288 | 896 | 1228.8 | -0.54 | - | 0.036 | | | | 8.30×10^{-5} | |

to individual remnants complicates the situation. Only for a minority of SNRs do reliable estimates of the distance exist from direct measurements (see e.g. Green 1998). A recent estimate of the distance to most of the known remnants is given in Case & Bhattacharya (1998) using the radio-surface-brightness-todiameter relationship (Σ -D). In the calculations here we adopt their values. The limit derived from the observations can be compared with the predictions of the DAV model by summing over all remnants given in Table 2 and by weighting the individual source by the fractional observation time T_i . Thus we define the flux F_{DAV} predicted by the DAV model as follows:

$$\frac{F_{\text{DAV}}}{F_{\text{Crab}}} = \sum_{i} \frac{F_{\gamma}^{i}}{F_{\text{Crab}}} \frac{T_{i}}{T} = \frac{F_{\text{SNR}}}{F_{\text{Crab}}} \cdot \frac{1 \text{ kpc}^{2}}{T} \sum_{i} \frac{T_{i}}{d_{i}^{2}}$$
(3)

where F_{γ}^{i} for each source *i* is given by Eqs. (1) and (2) in the energy range between 1 and 10 TeV. For $\Theta E_{\rm SN}$ we assume a value of 1.6×10^{50} erg/s on average and for the density of the interstellar medium a value of 1 atom per cm³ on average. This calculation gives a flux of 0.029 CU for a spectral index of -1.1 of the complete ensemble which is about a factor of two below the derived limit of 0.067 CU. This result is, within errors, still consistent but obviously rules out a strong enhanced emission of the SNR population compared to the prediction of DAV. Despite the large uncertainties in the averaged gamma-ray luminosity of SNRs as discussed before, this limit could also

be viewed as a limit on non-hadronic production channels of gamma-rays in SNRs.

We note that the derived flux from the ensemble is quite stable with respect to the distance estimate to individual remnants. An alternative way to derive an estimate on the distance for individual remnants by assuming a Sedov-Taylor expansion of the shell into the interstellar medium, assuming an average age of the population of 2×10^4 years and using the values for the shell size as given in Shu (1992), gives within a few percent the same value for the flux of the ensemble.

5.3. Pulsars

Compared to the SNR population the situation for pulsars is less complicated for the following reasons:

- pulsars are for all practical purposes point sources for HEGRA;

- the distances to the pulsars can be estimated by the dispersion measure (DM) of the pulsed radio emission and by modeling the thermal electron distribution in the Galaxy.

For further analysis, pulsars with a characteristic age $\tau = 1/2 p/\dot{p}$ less than 10⁶ years, a rotation period of less than 1 s and a modeled distance of less than 10 kpc were selected. 18 out of 86 pulsars fulfill these criteria. A list of these pulsars is given in Table 3. A comparison of the estimated flux

F. Aharonian et al.: Point sources in the Galactic plane

Table 4. Results for SNRs and pulsars not used in the ensemble limits of Tables 2 and 3. Columns are labeled as in Table 2 and are in the same units.

| No | Name | r | Т | ON | OFF | σ | $E_{\rm th}$ | $F^{99\%}$ | No | Name | Т | ON | OFF | σ | $E_{\rm th}$ | $F^{99\%}$ |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-----------|------------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------|----------------|--------------|------------|
| | | [°] | [h] | | | | [TeV] | [CU] | | | [h] | | | | [TeV] | [CU] |
| SNF | Rs | | | | | | | | 30 | J1818-1422 | 3.8 | 53 | 156 | 0.12 | 1.8 | 0.34 |
| 20 | G359.0-0.9 | 0.2 | 6.6 | 1043 | 3028 | 0.9 | 4.8 | 9.90 | 31 | J1820-1346 | 2.1 | 38 | 115 | -0.05 | 1.8 | 0.54 |
| 21 | G359.1-0.5 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1105 | 3449 | -1.1 | 4.7 | 4.68 | 32 | J1822-1400 | 2.1 | 34 | 124 | -1.03 | 1.8 | 0.40 |
| 22 | G359.1+0.9 | 0.1 | 5.1 | 821 | 2500 | -0.4 | 4.7 | 8.54 | 33 | J1823-1115 | 1.6 | 21 | 69 | -0.37 | 1.6 | 0.55 |
| 23 | G000.0+0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 1156 | 3410 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 7.74 | 34 | J1824-1118 | 2.3 | 34 | 86 | 0.83 | 1.6 | 0.55 |
| 24 | G000.3+0.0 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 1118 | 3356 | -0.0 | 4.4 | 5.94 | 35 | J1825-1446 | 1.6 | 18 | 50 | 0.28 | 1.8 | 0.58 |
| 25 | G000.9+0.1 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 482 | 1738 | -3.6 | 4.2 | 2.30 | 36 | J1826-1131 | 2.6 | 36 | 107 | 0.05 | 1.6 | 0.41 |
| 26 | G001.0-0.1 | 0.1 | 4.6 | 726 | 2178 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.96 | 37 | J1826-1334 | 2.6 | 53 | 164 | -0.20 | 1.7 | 0.50 |
| 27 | G001.4-0.1 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 312 | 904 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 9.59 | 38 | J1827-0958 | 1.6 | 14 | 34 | 0.66 | 1.5 | 0.47 |
| 28 | G001.9+0.3 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 207 | 633 | -0.2 | 4.0 | 9.87 | 39 | J1832-1021 | 2.6 | 14 | 60 | -1.25 | 1.5 | 0.20 |
| 29 | G008.7-0.1 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 274 | 737 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 3.49 | 40 | J1837-06 | 3.3 | 35 | /9 | 1.37 | 1.3 | 0.34 |
| 30 | G009.8+0.6 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 80 | 340 | -2.9 | 2.5 | 0.52 | 41 | J1836-0436 | 1.8 | 6 | 47 | -2.50 | 1.2 | 0.20 |
| 31 | G010.0-0.3 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 65 | 220 | -0.9 | 2.5 | 0.67 | 42 | J1842-03 | 2.6 | 13 | 60 | -1.47 | 1.1 | 0.17 |
| 32 | G011.2-0.3 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 63 | 212 | -0.8 | 2.4 | 0.68 | 43 | J1844-0433 | 2.6 | 25 | 63 | 0.73 | 1.1 | 0.34 |
| 33 | G011.4-0.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 62 | 218 | -1.1 | 2.4 | 0.53 | 44 | J1848-0123 | 3.7 | 36 | 65 | 2.38 | 1.0 | 0.34 |
| 34 | G012.0-0.1 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 62 | 205 | -0.7 | 2.3 | 0.79 | 45 | J1852+00 | 5.8 | 32 | 78 | 0.97 | 0.9 | 0.16 |
| 35 | G013.5+0.2 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 58 | 167 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 1.35 | 46 | J1857+0057 | 3.2 | 10 | 4/ | -1.35 | 0.9 | 0.11 |
| 36 | G015.9+0.2 | 0.1 | 4.9 | 82 | 297 | -1.5 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 4/ | J1901+0156 | 1./ | 3 | 14 | -0.73 | 0.9 | 0.18 |
| 3/ | G016./+0.1 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 30 | 105 | -0.8 | 1.8 | 0.41 | 48 | J1901+0331 | 2.6 | 11 | 47 | -1.09 | 0.8 | 0.14 |
| 38 | G016.8-1.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 31 | 85 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 0.95 | 49 | J1908+04 | 2.1 | 4 | 23 | -1.29 | 0.8 | 0.14 |
| 39 | G018.8+0.3 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 63 | 219 | -1.0 | 1.7 | 0.41 | 50 | J1902+06 | 3.2 | 12 | 36 | 0.00 | 0.8 | 0.16 |
| 40 | G018.9-1.1 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 51 | 133 | 0.8 | 1./ | 1.53 | 51 | J1905+0709 | 3.2 | 17 | 54 | -0.21 | 0.8 | 0.16 |
| 41 | G020.0-0.2 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 36 | 100 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 0.56 | 52 | J1906+0641 | 3.2 | 17 | 42 | 0.67 | 0.8 | 0.18 |
| 42 | G023.6+0.3 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 23 | 54 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.37 | 53 | J1901+0/16 | 2.1 | 4 | 19 | -0.88 | 0.8 | 0.14 |
| 43 | G024.7+0.6 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 54 | 194 | -1.2 | 1.3 | 0.27 | 54 | J1902+07 | 2.1 | 6 | 26 | -0.84 | 0.7 | 0.17 |
| 44 | G027.4+0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 27 | /5 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.33 | 33 54 | J1908+0916 | 3.4 | 12 | 44 | -0.63 | 0.7 | 0.11 |
| 45 | G027.8+0.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 63 | 187 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.69 | 56 | J1909+1102 | 2.1 | 3 | 26 | -2.00 | 0.7 | 0.11 |
| 40 | G029.7-0.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 21 | 60 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.21 | 57 | J1908+07 | 3.4 | 14 | 34 | 0.66 | 0.7 | 0.16 |
| 4/ | G031.5-0.6 | 0.2 | 2.6 | 28 | 202 | -1.5 | 1.0 | 0.19 | 58 50 | J1910+07 | 2.0 | 14 | 25 | -0.41 | 0.7 | 0.14 |
| 40 | G032.1-0.9 | 0.4 | 4.2 5 7 | 54 | 295 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.57 | 59 | J1912+10 J1012+00 | 5.1 2.4 | 14 0 | 50 40 | 1.02 | 0.7 | 0.18 |
| 49 50 | G035.2-0.0 | 0.2 | J./ | 54 42 | 107 | -0.2 | 1.0 | 0.14 | 60 61 | J1913+09 | 3.4 2.6 | 0 15 | 40 | -1.39 | 0.7 | 0.09 |
| 50 | G030.0-0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 45 | 100 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.39 | 62 | J1914+1122 | 2.0 | 15 | 21 | 0.89 | 0.7 | 0.25 |
| 51 | $G042.8\pm0.0$ $G054.1\pm0.3$ | 0.5 | 2.4 | 40 | 128 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.50 | 62 | J1910+1050 J1016+0051 | 3.1 2.1 | 6 | 51 16 | -1.29 | 0.7 | 0.10 |
| 52 52 | $G055.0\pm0.3$ | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10 | 42 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.20 | 64 | J1910+0931 | 2.1 | 12 | 24 | 0.24 | 0.7 | 0.17 |
| 54 | $G_{055,0+0.5}$ | 0.2 | 2.0 | 11 | 42 | -0.7 | 0.0 | 0.19 | 65 | J1910+1312 J1018+1444 | 2.0 | 12 | 24 | 0.42 | 0.0 | 0.20 |
| 55 | $G057.2\pm0.8$ | 0.2 | 2.5 | 11 | 27 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.22 | 66 | J1918 + 1444 J1021 + 1410 | 3.0 | 10 | 20 | 0.45 | 0.0 | 0.10 |
| 56 | $G_{059.3\pm0.1}$ $G_{059.8\pm1.2}$ | 0.0 | 3.0 | 11 | 25 36 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.28 | 67 | J1921 + 1419 J1018 + 15 | 0.5 | 10 | 21 | -0.76 | 0.0 | 0.10 |
| 57 | G0637+11 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 2 | 30 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.17 | 68 | $11076 \pm 1/3/$ | 3.0 | 8 | 28 | -0.70 | 0.0 | 0.37 |
| 58 | $G065.7\pm1.2$ | 0.1 | 1.5 | 20 | 74 | -0.4 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 60 | $J1920 \pm 1434$ $J1031 \pm 15$ | 2.1 | 0 7 | 13 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 0.13 |
| 50 | $G067.7\pm1.8$ | 0.2 | 4.0 2.5 | 29 5 | 12 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.21 | 70 | J1931+13 J1023+17 | $\frac{2.1}{2.1}$ | 8 | 13 | 0.99 | 0.0 | 0.24 |
| 60 | $G_{060,7+1,0}$ | 0.1 | 2.5 4.0 | 20 | 61 | _0.4 | 0.5 | 0.16 | 70 | J1925+17 J1035+17 | 1.6 | 2 | 10 | -0.70 | 0.0 | 0.21 |
| 61 | G009.7+1.0 G073.9+0.9 | 0.2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 20 | 17 | -0.1 | 0.5 | 0.10 | 72 | 1933+17 11927+18 | 2.6 | 1 | 15 | -0.70 | 0.0 | 0.10 |
| 62 | $G073.9\pm0.9$ $G074.9\pm1.2$ | 0.2 | 2.0 1 2 | 15 | 27 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 73 | $J1927 \pm 10$ $J1027 \pm 18$ | 2.0 | 7 | 10 | -1.90 | 0.0 | 0.09 |
| 63 | $G076.9\pm1.0$ | 0.1 | 7.2 2.9 | 10 | 32 | -0.2 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 74 | $J1927 \pm 10$ $J1929 \pm 18$ | 2.0 | 7 | 21 | 0.22 | 0.0 | 0.17 |
| Dule | 0070.9+1.0 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 10 | 52 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.14 | 75 | J1929+10 J1026+10 | 2.0 | 2 | 21 6 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.10 |
| 10 | 11730_20 | | 51 | 812 | 2474 | _0.38 | 18 | 8 61 | 76 | 11032 ± 2020 | 28 | 5 | 21 | _0.00 | 0.0 | 0.23 |
| 20 | J1739-29 J1740-3015 | | J.1 1 1 | 681 | 2474 | 0.36 | 4.0 | 18.00 | 70 | 11030 ± 24 | $\frac{2.0}{2.0}$ | 2 | 21 | -0.70 | 0.0 | 0.14 |
| 20 | J1740-3013 J1745-3040 | | 4.1 | 608 | 10/15 | 1.66 | 4.8 | 13.00 | 78 | J1939+24 J1030+2134 | 2.0 | 27 | 12 | -0.37 | 0.5 | 0.10 |
| 21 | J1749-3040 | | 3.1 | 468 | 1328 | 1.00 | 4.7 | 0.13 | 70 | J1939+2134 | 1.0 | / | 12 | 1.14 | 0.5 | 0.24 |
| 22 | J1749-3002 J1752-2806 | | 1.5 | 271 | 022 | -1.05 | 4.7 | 5.13 | 80 | $J1940\pm 2244$ $J1946\pm 26$ | 3.0 | + 7 | 20 | 0.11 | 0.5 | 0.52 |
| $\frac{25}{24}$ | 11803_2127 | | $\frac{1.5}{2.1}$ | 172 | 370 | -1.04 | 4.0 2 7 | 1 11 | Q1 | 11051±20 | 22 | , 5 | 20 19 | _0.11 | 0.5 | 0.10 |
| ∠+ 25 | J1803-2137 J1808-20 | | 2.1 1.6 | 123 67 | 276 | -0.05 | ∠./ 2.6 | 0.01 | 82 | J170472923 11955±7900 | 5.5 4.6 | 5 | 30 | -0.57 -1.55 | 0.5 | 0.15 |
| 25 26 | J1800-20 | | 1.0 | 71 | 220 | 0.05 | 2.0 | 1.26 | 82 82 | 12001±2127 | ч.0 2 5 | כ ד | 20 | 0.11 | 0.5 | 0.07 |
| 20 27 | J1009-21 J1812-1718 | | 1.0 2.4 | / 1 QQ | 205 | 0.20 | 2.0 2.1 | 1.20 | 87 87 | J2004+3137 I1948±3540 | 5.5 1 2 | 5 | 20 | 1 47 | 0.5 | 0.14 |
| ∠1 28 | J1012-1/10 J1812 1722 | | 2.4 2.1 | 09 100 | 204 267 | 0.40 | 2.1 2.1 | 1.04 | 85 | 12013.12845 | 1.2 1.6 | ר ב | 12 | 0.41 | 0.5 | 0.44 |
| 20 20 | J1012-1/33 J1816 17 | | 2.4 1.6 | 100 | 155 | 0.99 | 2.1 2.1 | 1.15 | 0J 86 | J2013+3043 | 1.0 | נ ר | 12 | 0.41 | 0.5 | 0.20 |
| 29 | J1010-1/ | | 1.0 | 00 | 133 | 0.97 | 2.1 | 1.38 | 00 | J2029+3744 | 1.0 | 2 | 4 | 0.40 | 0.0 | 0.18 |

for the selected pulsars compared to the estimated flux for the Crab Pulsar from the spin-down luminosity and the distance show that even the most energetic pulsar in the selected sample is well below three orders of magnitude compared to the Crab pulsar. Aharonian et al. (1997) point out that the efficiency in converting spin-down energy into high energy gamma-rays depends inversely on the magnetic field B in the surrounding synchrotron nebula. Due to the high magnetic field in the Crab Nebula the expected efficiency in producing gamma-rays could be significantly higher (up to a factor of a hundred) for other pulsars with a low magnetic field compared to the Crab Nebula. Even under such an optimistic assumption a detection seems to be quite unlikely. The situation does not improve for the ensemble of the 18 pulsars. Under the assumption of the same efficiency in converting spin-down energy into TeV gamma-rays in the individual pulsars as in the Crab pulsar, a flux \approx 400 times lower than the calculated upper limit on the ensemble of 3.6% of the Crab flux is expected.

6. Summary

In a systematic search for point sources in the Galactic plane in the longitude range from -2° to 85° with the HEGRA IACT system, no TeV gamma-ray emission was detected at a level above 4.5σ over a total observation time of 115 h. Upper limits for 63 SNRs, 86 pulsars and nine unidentified GeV-sources on the level between 7% of the Crab flux and up to 18 Crab flux units were derived, depending on observation time and zenith angle. Summation over the most promising sources for TeV gamma-ray emission within each source class did not yield an indication of emission from the SNR ensemble, the PSR ensemble or the ensemble of GeV-sources. For the ensemble of 7 GeV sources an upper limit of 5.7% compared to the Crab flux was derived. For the ensemble of 18 pulsars selected by characteristic age and distance a similar upper limit of 3.6% was obtained. A theoretical estimate for these pulsars using the same conversion efficiency from rotational energy to gammarays as in the Crab Nebula gives a flux of approximately a factor of 400 lower than the derived limit.

For an ensemble of 19 selected SNRs a limit of 6.7% of the Crab flux was derived. Comparing this limit with a predicted hadronic gamma-ray flux of 2.9% according to the DAV model and reasonable parameters rules out a strong enhancement of the emission of the SNR population compared to the model predictions.

While no new TeV sources could be established in this survey, we nevertheless note that with systems of IACTs such a survey provides an efficient method to probe extended regions of the sky with a dense population of sources, such as the Galactic plane.

Acknowledgements. The support of the HEGRA experiment by the German Ministry for Research and Technology BMBF and by the Spanish Research Council CICYT is acknowledged. We are grateful to the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias for the use of the site and for providing excellent working conditions. We gratefully acknowledge the technical support staff of Heidelberg, Kiel, Munich, and Yerevan.

References

- Aharonian, F., et al. 2001a, A&A, 370, 112
- Aharonian, F. A., et al. 2001b, A&A, 375, 1008
- Aharonian, F. A., et al. 2001c, A&A, 373, 292
- Aharonian, F. A., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, 317
- Aharonian, F., et al. 1999a, A&A, 342, 69
- Aharonian, F., et al. 1999b, A&A, 349, 11
- Aharonian, F. A. 1999c, Astropart. Phys., 11, 225
- Aharonian, F. A., Atoyan, A. M., & Kifune, T. 1997, MNRAS, 291, 162
- Berezhko, E. G., & Völk, H. J. 2000a, Astropart. Phys., 14, 201
- Berezhko, E. G., & Völk, H. J. 2000b, ApJ, 540, 923
- Buckley, et al. 1998, A&A, 329, 639
- Bulian, N., et al. 1998, Astropart. Phys., 8, 223
- Case, G. L., & Bhattacharya, D. 1998, ApJ, 504, 761
- Dragicevich, P. M., Blair, D. G., & Burman, R. R. 1999, MNRAS, 302, 693
- Daum, A., et al. 1997, Astropart. Phys., 8, 1
- Drury, L. O., Aharonian, F. A., & Völk, H. J. 1994, A&A, 287, 959
- Drury, L. O., Markiewicz, W. J., & Völk, H. J. 1989, A&A, 225, 179
- Fields, B., et al. 2001, A&A, 370, 623
- Green, D. A. 1998, A Catalouge of Galactic Supernova Remnants, Mullard Radio Astronomy Observatory
- Hartmann, R. C., et al. 1999, ApJSS, 123, 79
- Helene, O. 1983, NIM, 212, 319
- Hermann, G. 1995, Proceedings of the Int. Workshop "Towards a Major Atmospheric Cherenkov Detector IV", Padua, ed. M. Cresti, p. 396
- Kifune, T., et al. 1995, ApJ, 438, L91
- Konopelko, A., et al. 1999a, Astropart. Phys., 10, 275
- Konopelko, A., et al. 1999b, J. Phys. G, 25, 1989
- Lamb, R. C., & Macomb, D. J. 1997, ApJ, 488, 872
- Lampeitl, H., & Konopelko, A. 1999, Proc. of the 26th ICRC, Salt Lake City, 4, 81
- Li, T., & Ma, Y. 1983, ApJ, 272, 317
- Mirzoyan, R., et al. 1994, NIM, 351, 513
- Muraishi, H., et al. 2000, A&A, 354, L57
- Pühlhofer, G., et al. 1997, Astropart. Phys., 8, 101
- Pühlhofer, G, et al. 1999, Proc. 26th ICRC, 4, 77
- Romero, G. E., Benaglia, P., & Torres, D. F. 1999, A&A, 348, 868
- Rowell, G. P., et al. 2000, A&A, 359, 337
- Schäfer, B. M., et al. 2001, NIM, A465, 394
- Shu, F. H. 1992, The Physics of Astrophysics, Volume II, University Science Books, 233
- Swanenburg, B. N., et al. 1981, ApJ, 243, L69-L73
- Tanimori, T., et al. 1998, ApJ, 497, L25
- Taylor, J. H., et al. 1993, ApJS, 88, 529
- Völk, H. J., in Proc. Towards a Major Atmospheric Cherenkov Detectoe-V, ed. O. C. de Jaeger (Kruger National Park, 1997), 87
- Weekes, T. C., et al. 1989, ApJ, 342, 379
- Yoshikoshi, T., et al. 1997, ApJ, 487, L65