



THE BIOSTRATIGRAPHY AND PALAEOECOLOGY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIAN
PRECAMBRIAN STROMATOLITES

by

W. V. Preiss, B.Sc. (Hons.)

Department of Geology and Mineralogy

University of Adelaide

Volume 1

January, 1971

THE BIOSTRATIGRAPHY AND PALAEOECOLOGY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIAN
PRECAMBRIAN STROMATOLITES

by

W. V. Preiss, B.Sc. (Hons.)

Department of Geology and Mineralogy

University of Adelaide

January, 1971

CONTENTS

Volume 1

SUMMARY	(i)
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	(iv)
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
Part I <u>STRATIGRAPHIC REVIEW OF THE ADELAIDE GEOSYNCLINE</u>	3
Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION	3
Geography	3
Geological setting	4
Structure and metamorphism	6
Concluding remarks	7
Chapter 2 A REVIEW OF STRATIGRAPHIC INVESTIGATIONS OF THE ADELAIDE GEOSYNCLINE	8
Radiometric estimates of the age of the Adelaide System	28
Concluding remarks	35
Chapter 3 PRECAMBRIAN FOSSILS AND PSEUDOFOSILS FROM THE ADELAIDE GEOSYNCLINE	37
Animal fossils	37
Plant fossils	42
Concluding remarks	46
Part II <u>STROMATOLITES AND BIOSTRATIGRAPHY</u>	48
Chapter 4 A REVIEW OF THE STUDY OF ANCIENT STROMATOLITES	48
Introduction	48
Modern studies	50
Stromatolite biostratigraphy in the USSR	54
Oncolites and catagraphia	56
Chapter 5 THE CHARACTERS OF STROMATOLITES AND THEIR METHODS OF STUDY	59
Mode of occurrence	59
Column arrangement and shape	62
Branching	62
Margin structure	63
Lamina shape	65
Microstructure	66
Methods of study	68
Depositories	69

Chapter 6	THE PRINCIPLES OF STROMATOLITE TAXONOMY	70
	Conclusions	78
Chapter 7	SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS OF SOUTH AUSTRALIAN STROMATOLITES	79
	<u>Acaciella augusta</u>	80
	<u>Acaciella</u> f. <u>indet</u>	88
	<u>Acaciella angepene</u>	91
	<u>Baicalia burra</u>	101
	<u>Boxonia melrosa</u>	111
	<u>Conophyton garganicum garganicum</u>	117
	<u>Gymnosolen ramsayi</u>	129
	<u>Inzeria</u> cf. <u>tjomusi</u>	137
	<u>I. conjuncta</u>	142
	<u>I. multiplex</u>	149
	<u>Jurusania burrensis</u>	155
	<u>Katavia costata</u>	163
	<u>Kulparia kulparensis</u>	169
	<u>Linella ukka</u>	177
	<u>L. munyallina</u>	183
	<u>Omachtenia utschurica</u>	191
	<u>Tungussia etina</u>	199
	<u>T. wilkatanna</u>	206
	Miscellaneous stromatolites and stromatolite- like structures	213
Chapter 8	THE TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF STROMATOLITES AND STROMATOLITE CORRELATIONS	229
	Early Riphean	229
	Middle Riphean	230
	Late Riphean	231
	Vendian	232
	Cambrian	233
	Stromatolites outside the USSR	233
	Stromatolites studied in this thesis	236
	Conclusions	241
Part III	<u>THE ENVIRONMENTS OF STROMATOLITE GROWTH</u>	243
Chapter 9	INTRODUCTION	243
	Methods	253

Chapter 10	ENVIRONMENTAL INTERPRETATION OF THE SKILLOGALEE DOLomite	255
	Regional distribution and stratigraphy	255
	Stratigraphy of the Depot Creek Area	258
	Comparison with other areas	261
	Palaeogeography	263
Chapter 11	ENVIRONMENTAL INTERPRETATION OF PART OF THE UMBERATANA GROUP	267
	Patterns of sedimentation	267
	Descriptive stratigraphy	269
	Notes on diapirism	290
	A palaeogeographic synthesis	291
Chapter 12	THE PALAEOECOLOGY OF SOUTH AUSTRALIAN STROMATOLITES	296
	Skillogalee Dolomite stromatolites	297
	Umbertana Group stromatolites	299
	Cambrian stromatolites	311
	Stromatolitic environments in other Australian Precambrian basins	314
	Conclusions	317
	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	321
	References	325

SUMMARY

Precambrian stromatolites in South Australia are almost entirely restricted to the folded rock sequence of the Adelaide Geosyncline, a large, deeply subsiding basin with predominantly shallow-water sediments. The history of research into the age and fossils of the Precambrian rocks is reviewed, and a possible time-framework is suggested on the basis of available radiometric data.

Stromatolites, laminated structures formed by trapping of detritus and precipitation of chemical sediment by algae and bacteria, have been studied by other workers from at least two points of view: most Western authors regard stromatolite morphology to be purely environmentally determined, while one Russian school maintains that it is largely controlled by the algae present, and that stromatolites evolve as a consequence of the evolution of the algae forming them. They concluded this from an empirical study of widespread stromatolites of different ages, which made possible the biostratigraphic subdivision and correlation of many Late Precambrian sections.

The Russian methods of study and taxonomy have now been applied to South Australian stromatolites for the first time. Of the eighteen forms of columnar stromatolites described, five are identical or nearly identical to Russian forms. Nine forms are new, but sufficiently similar to Russian forms to allow inclusion in the same groups as these. Groups and forms must be defined on the basis of numerous characters, which may be given different relative weighting for different taxa. The taxa so defined

have restricted ranges in geological time.

Stromatolite correlation with the Russian sequence suggests that the Early Adelaidean (i.e. pre-tillite) beds are Middle Riphean; the Skillogalee Dolomite is youngest Middle Riphean, i.e. older than the Late Riphean Bitter Springs Formation of Central Australia. The Late Adelaidean Umberatana Group assemblage, correlated with the youngest Late Riphean, has seven groups in common with the Bitter Springs Formation, but unlike the latter, it overlies the lower tillite. A comparison with available radiometric data shows good agreement for the Umberatana Group, but some conflict with one recent age determination exists for the Early Adelaidean.

A study of the environments of growth of South Australian stromatolites shows that at least three forms, of widespread distribution, grew under a variety of conditions of energy, oxidation, type of sediment influx, and possibly salinity. The taxa defined are stable under these varying conditions, but there are minor modifications due to differences in environmental energy. Skillogalee Dolomite stromatolites grew under varying energy conditions on a very extensive and level carbonate depositing platform, frequently under hypersaline conditions. Umberatana Group stromatolites inhabited a marine environment, either in marginal littoral zones in the south-western and north-eastern Flinders Ranges, or on off-shore carbonate banks interpreted to be related to rising diapirs. In both cases, stromatolites formed during episodes of shallowing water depth.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to Prof. M. F. Glaessner for suggesting this project to me and for supervising the study. He also drew my attention to much of the Russian literature, which is frequently not readily available, and allowed me to borrow extensively from his library.

Without the assistance of people well acquainted with South Australian geology, much of this research could not have been carried out. In particular, Dr. B. Daily of the Geology Department of the University of Adelaide, and Dr. B. G. Forbes, Messrs. C. R. Dalgarno, P. J. Binks, B. P. Thomson and R. P. Coats, all at the time of the South Australian Geological Survey, Mines Department, provided specimens and suggested numerous localities to me. Mr. B. Murrell, of the Geology Department, also collected specimens for me in the course of his work in the Willouran Ranges. I am grateful to other members of the Geology Department for the helpful interest they have shown, especially Dr. V. Gostin.

Outside the Geology Department, the following people kindly assisted. Drs. B. W. Logan and R. G. Brown, University of Western Australia, conducted Dr. M. R. Walter and me on a tour of Shark Bay in 1967. Drs. M. A. Semikhatov and I. N. Krylov, of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, cooperated in correspondence and exchange of specimens. Dr. H. Womersley of the Botany Department assisted in the identification of some Recent algae. Visitors who contributed with numerous helpful discussions while in Adelaide include Drs. H. J. Hofmann, University of Montreal, J. W. Schopf, University of California, E. L. Winterer, Scripps Institute and E. Sass, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Prof. R. W. R. Rutland kindly made the facilities of the Department available to me. Among the technical staff, I must thank Mr. W. Dowling for help with photography and Mr. O. R. Stanley for help in maintaining the cutting equipment. Mr. J. Gehling redrafted some of my stromatolite reconstructions.

I am indebted to my colleagues at the Geology Department, University of Adelaide; in particular, Dr. M. R. Walter and Mr. R. J. F. Jenkins accompanied me on field trips and provoked many stimulating discussions.

The willing assistance given by my wife, Aileen, was always appreciated; she accompanied me on many field trips and redrafted most of my stromatolite reconstructions.

Mrs. H. Ball kindly typed the thesis.

I am very grateful for the hospitality shown to me by the Fitzgerald family of Depot Flat, during field work in that area.

The whole of this study was carried out under the tenure of a Commonwealth Postgraduate Award, while several field trips were financed by a grant to Prof. M. F. Glaessner from the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund.