

Soil Water and Nitrogen Dynamics of Farming Systems on the upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences at the University of Adelaide.

Discipline of Soil and Land Systems
Roseworthy Campus
The University of Adelaide

Damien Paul Adcock
B.Ag.Sc. (Hons), La Trobe University

July 2005

Dedicated to the memory of my father, Ian Leigh Adcock

Table of Contents

List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Plates	ix
List of Appendices	x
Abstract	xii
Declaration	xiv
Acknowledgements	xv
Chapter 1	1
General Introduction.....	1
1.1 Semi-arid Mediterranean farming systems.....	1
1.1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.1.2 Water use efficiency	1
1.1.3 Improving water use efficiency.....	2
1.1.4 Nitrogen supply and demand	2
1.1.5 Farming systems development.....	2
Chapter 2	4
Literature Review	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Semi-arid farming systems in southern Australia	4
2.2.1 Introduction.....	4
2.2.2 Development of farming systems on the Eyre Peninsula	5
2.2.3 Sustainable farming systems.....	5
2.2.4 Cropping intensification	6
2.2.5 Yield trends and soil fertility	8
2.2.6 Rainfall-yield relationships.....	8
2.2.7 Estimating potential yield	9
2.3 Soil water balance	11
2.4 Indices of water use efficiency	12

2.4.1	Introduction.....	12
2.4.2	Evapotranspiration, ET.....	13
2.4.3	Soil evaporation, E _s	14
2.4.4	Transpiration, T.....	15
2.4.5	Agronomic management and water use efficiency.....	15
2.5.	Seasonal pattern of water use.....	16
2.6	Plant available water capacity.....	18
2.6.1	Introduction.....	18
2.6.2	Available soil water.....	18
2.6.3	Integral water capacity.....	19
2.7	Nitrogen dynamics of farming systems.....	20
2.7.1	Introduction.....	20
2.7.2	N ₂ fixation and the importance of legume pastures.....	20
2.7.3	Measuring N ₂ fixation.....	21
2.7.4	Nitrogen cycle.....	22
2.7.5	N mineralisation and immobilisation.....	23
2.7.6	Nitrogen and water use interaction.....	24
2.8	Conclusion.....	26
Chapter 3.....		28
Effects of cropping sequence, with and without legumes, on dry matter accumulation, grain yield, and water use efficiency.....		28
3.1	Introduction.....	28
3.2	Materials and methods.....	29
3.2.1	Site description.....	29
3.2.2	Soil type.....	30
3.2.3	Experimental design.....	34
3.2.4	Crop agronomy.....	34
3.2.5	Crop production: dry matter and grain yield measurements.....	36
3.2.6	Soil water measurement.....	36
3.2.6.1	Neutron moisture meter calibration.....	37
3.2.7	Evapotranspiration.....	37
3.2.7.1	Potential evapotranspiration.....	37
3.2.7.2	Actual evapotranspiration.....	37
3.2.7.3	Estimating ET using Bowen ratio.....	38
3.2.7.4	Estimating ET using soil water balance.....	38
3.2.8	Water use efficiency.....	38
3.2.9	Soil evaporation and transpiration.....	39
3.2.9.1	Estimating soil evaporation.....	39
3.2.9.2	Measurement of Leaf Area Index.....	39
3.2.9.3	Estimation of transpiration efficiency.....	39
3.2.10	Statistical Analyses.....	39
3.3	Results.....	40
3.3.1	Climate.....	40
3.3.2	Dry matter accumulation and grain yield.....	40

3.3.3	Soil water content.....	45
3.3.4	Evapotranspiration and water use efficiency	46
3.3.5	Leaf area index.....	52
3.3.6	Soil evaporation and transpiration.....	53
3.4	Discussion.....	57
3.4.1	Total water use	57
3.4.2	Water use efficiency by wheat.....	58
3.4.3	Crop sequence influences water use efficiency	59
3.5	Conclusions	60
	Soil water availability in a semi-arid Sodosol: Effect of soil physical properties.	61
4.1	Introduction	61
4.2	Materials and methods	63
4.2.1	Experimental site description.....	63
4.2.2	Soil sampling and analysis.....	63
4.2.3	Soil water	63
4.2.3.1	Water retention curve, $\theta(h)$	63
4.2.3.2	Soil resistance curve, $SR(h)$	65
4.2.4	Rationale for weighting functions, $\omega_i(h)$	65
4.2.4.1	Weighting function for hydraulic conductivity, being too large, $\omega_{KL}(h)$, or too small, $\omega_{KS}(h)$	65
4.2.4.2	Weighting function for low soil aeration, $\omega_A(h)$	66
4.2.4.3	Weighting function for high soil resistance, $\omega_{SR}(h)$	67
4.2.5	Particle size analysis.....	68
4.3	Results	69
4.3.1	Soil physical properties.....	69
4.3.2	Water retention curves.....	71
4.3.3	Soil resistance curve	75
4.3.4	Integral Water Capacity	77
4.4	Discussion.....	81
4.5	Conclusions	85
	Nitrogen dynamics of cropping sequences with and without a legume component.....	86
5.1	Introduction	86
5.2	Materials and methods	87
5.2.1	Site description.....	87
5.2.1.1	Crop sequence	87
5.2.1.2	Shoot dry matter, crop residues and grain quality.....	88
5.2.1.3	Statistical analysis	88
5.2.2	Nitrogen mineralisation	88
5.2.2.1	Growing season net mineralisation	88
5.2.2.	Estimated ‘fallow’ mineralisation	89
5.2.3	Nitrogen fixation	90

	5.2.6	Microbial biomass nitrogen	90
5.3		Results	92
	5.3.1	Legume dry matter, N content and N ₂ fixation	92
	5.3.2	Non-legume shoot dry matter N content and grain protein ..	97
	5.3.3	Soil profile (0-0.8m) mineral N at sowing and harvest.	100
	5.3.4	Apparent net N mineralisation during summer ‘fallow’	107
	5.3.5	Growing season net N mineralisation/immobilisation	107
	5.3.6	Microbial biomass N	108
	5.3.7	Nitrate ‘movement’ within the ‘effective rooting depth’ ..	108
	5.3.8	Nitrogen balance	113
5.4		Discussion.....	115
	5.4.1	Legume N ₂ fixation	115
	5.4.2	Mineralisation/Immobilisation.....	116
5.5		Conclusion	119
		Frequency of re-wetting events: Effects of soil type and residue amendment on net mineralisation.	120
6.1		Introduction	120
6.2		Materials and methods	121
	6.2.1	Soil core collection.....	121
	6.2.2	Experimental design and rationale	122
	6.2.3	Sampling protocol	123
	6.2.4	Incubation conditions	123
	6.2.5	Calculation of net mineralization	123
	6.2.6	Statistical analysis	123
6.3		Results	124
	6.3.2	Soil moisture content.....	124
	6.3.3	Extractable ammonium.....	126
	6.3.4	Extractable nitrate.....	128
	6.3.4.1	Effect of soil type	128
	6.3.4.2	Effect of residue	128
	6.3.4.3	Effect of wetting treatment	129
	6.3.4.4	Interaction between re-wetting frequency, soil type and residue amendment.....	129
	6.3.5	Net mineralization or immobilization	135
6.4		Discussion.....	137
6.5		Conclusion	140
		Chapter 7	141
		General Discussion and Conclusions	141
7.1		Background.....	141
7.2		The effect of continuous cropping on WUE and N supply and demand	142

7.3	Sustainability of farming systems on the upper Eyre Peninsula.....	144
7.4.	Further research	145
7.5.	Conclusion and recommendations	147
Chapter 8	148
References.....	148
Chapter 9	178	
Appendices.....	178

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Map of the Eyre Peninsula and location of research sites supported by the GRDC and the Eyre Peninsula Farming Systems Project.	5
Figure 2.2: Average wheat yields in Australian (1860-2000). Data for 1860-1965 obtained from (Donald, 1965) and extended until 2000 by Angus (Source: Angus, 2001).	7
Figure 2.3: Relationship between growing season rainfall (April-October) and grain yield in South Australia (Source: French and Shultz, 1984b).	10
Figure 2.4: Relationship between proportional pre and post anthesis water use and crop grain yield, biomass and harvest index (Source: Fischer, 1979).	17
Figure 2.5: Nitrogen cycle (Source: www.neuse.ncsu.edu/nitrogen/).	23
Figure 3.1: Precipitation (\diamond), potential evapotranspiration (\blacklozenge) and mean monthly temperature ^A (\square) for the experimental period.	31
Figure 3.2: Correlations between wheat grain yields (Y, mean of each sequence) and shoot dry matter at anthesis (DM _a) in 2000 (\circ) and 2002 (\diamond) at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	43
Figure 3.3: Volumetric soil moisture content of each sequence at sowing, anthesis and maturity in 2000.	48
Figure 3.4: Volumetric soil moisture contents of each sequence at sowing, anthesis and maturity in 2001.	49
Figure 3.5: Volumetric soil moisture contents of each sequence at (1) sowing, (2) anthesis and (3) maturity in 2002.	50
Figure 3.6: Correlation between wheat grain yields (mean of each sequence) and water use efficiency at anthesis, WUE _a , in 2000 (\circ) and 2002 (\diamond) at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	51
Figure 3.7: Leaf Area Index (LAI, m ² m ⁻²) for crop sequences at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia as a function of time days after sowing, DAS, in 2002. Crop sequences I (\square), II (\diamond), III (\blacktriangle), IV (\triangle) and V (\blacksquare). Error bars represent <i>l.s.d.</i> ($P \leq 0.05$).	52
Figure 3.8: Cumulative soil evaporation until grain-filling (DAS 112) for the mean of each crop sequence at the three leaf stage (DAS 30), tillering (DAS 63), anthesis (DAS 91) and grain-fill (DAS 112) at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia in 2002. Error bars represent <i>l.s.d.</i> ($P \leq 0.05$). ...	56
Figure 4.1: Bulk density (g cm ⁻³) as a function of depth at the Rudall site.	70
Figure 4.2: Soil water retention curve for undisturbed soil cores from Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia. Soil depths 0-0.05m (\diamond), 0.05-0.2m (\blacksquare), 0.2-0.4m (\triangle), 0.4-0.6m (\square) and 0.6-0.8m (\circ).	72
Figure 4.3: Correlation between clay & water contents at $h=100$ (\diamond), 15000 cm (\circ)	73
Figure 4.4: Correlations between van Genuchten's 'n' and clay and sand contents.	74
Figure 4.5: Soil resistance curves for intact cores down the soil profile: 0-0.05 m (\diamond), 0.05-0.2 m (\square), 0.2-0.4m (\triangle), 0.40-0.60 m (\circ), and 0.60-0.80 m (\times).	75
Figure 4.6: Soil water availability as determined by the integral water capacity, IWC (\bullet) and the plant available water capacity, PAWC (\diamond).	80

Figure 4.7: Potential and actual soil water capacity as determined from the IWC for the (A) 2001 and (B) 2002 growing seasons. Volumetric water content at □ sowing □ anthesis and Δ harvest superimposed on the IWC (●).	83
Figure 5.1: Anthesis shoot dry matter and harvest grain yields for wheat in relation to nitrate-N (kg ha^{-1}) in the soil profile (0-0.8m) in 2000 and 2002. Mean dry matter at (○) anthesis and (□) mean grain yield (kg ha^{-1}) for each crop sequence treatment.	99
Figure 5.2: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ profile for crop sequence I (CWBW)	102
Figure 5.3: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ profiles for crop sequence (II) VWCW	103
Figure 5.4: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ profiles for crop sequence III (MWMW)	104
Figure 5.5: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ profiles for crop sequence IV (BWVW)	105
Figure 5.6: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ profiles for crop sequence V (WWWW)	106
Figure 5.7: Net mineralisation- immobilisation of $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ($\mu\text{g N ha}^{-1}$) in the 0-0.15m soil layer under medic pasture (crop sequence III) and wheat (crop sequence V) in 2001, and wheat (crop sequence III and V) in 2002.	110
Figure 5.8: Microbial biomass N ($\mu\text{g NO}_3\text{-N g}^{-1}$ soil) in the surface soil (0-0.1m) during the 2002 growing season.	111
Figure 5.9: Soil $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ leached ($\mu\text{g N g}^{-1}$ soil) below 0.15m measured using anion exchange resin traps in 2001 and 2002.	112
Figure 6.1: Mean volumetric soil water content ($\text{mm}^3 \text{mm}^{-3}$) of soil cores for (□) daily wetting, (◇) weekly, (Δ) fortnightly and (○) monthly re-wetting to 75% of field capacity. Solid and dashed lines represent field capacity (-10kPa) and wilting point (-1500kPa) respectively for the Rudall soil.	125
Figure 6.2: Extractable NH_4 ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) content for the Rudall soil amended with, wheat straw (Δ) and medic (○) residues and the unamended control (□) at four re-wetting regimes; constant, weekly, fortnightly and monthly. All soils re-wet to approximately 75% of field capacity.	127
Figure 6.3: Cumulative extractable NO_3 content ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) in cores amended with wheat straw (red) or medic (yellow) residues, and an unamended control (blue) for each soil type for the daily wetting regime. Error bars represent least significant difference.	131
Figure 6.4: Cumulative extractable NO_3 content ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) in cores amended with wheat straw (red) or medic (yellow) residues, and an unamended control (blue) for each soil type for the weekly re-wetting regime for each soil type. Error bars represent least significant difference.	132
Figure 6.5: Cumulative extractable NO_3 content ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) in cores amended with wheat straw (red) or medic (yellow) residues, and an unamended control (blue) for each soil type for the fortnightly wetting regime for each soil type. Error bars represent least significant difference.	133
Figure 6.6: Cumulative extractable NO_3 content ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) in cores amended with wheat straw (red) or medic (yellow) residues, and an unamended control (blue) for each soil type for the monthly wetting regime for each soil type. Error bars represent least significant difference.	134
Figure 7.1: Possible scenarios from the interaction between water and nitrogen availability.	146

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Soil ^A chemical and physical ^B properties for the experimental site at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	32
Table 3.2: Descriptions and rationale for cropping sequence at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	35
Table 3.3: Monthly, annual and actual growing season rainfall at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia for the period 1999-2002, with corresponding long-term averages.....	41
Table 3.4: Shoot dry matter (DM _a), grain yield (Y), evapotranspiration (ET) ^A and water use efficiency (WUE) at anthesis and maturity for crop sequences at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia, from 2000 to 2002.....	44
Table 3.5: Total soil water content (mm) for the top 0.8m of soil in each crop sequence during the growing seasons for 2000-2002 at the experimental site at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	47
Table 3.6: Evapotranspiration (ET), soil evaporation (E _s), transpiration (T) and transpiration efficiency (TE) for the wheat phases of each crop sequence at maturity between 2000 and 2002 at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	54
Table 3.7: Effect of crop sequence on evapotranspiration (ET), soil evaporation (E _s) and transpiration (T) for wheat at anthesis in 2002 at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	55
Table 4.1: Selected soil physical properties of the Rudall soil to a depth of 1.8m.	69
Table 4.2: Volumetric water contents (m ³ m ⁻³) of each soil depth as a function of the imposed matric suctions (<i>h</i> , cm) for the undisturbed cores.....	71
Table 4.3: Fitting parameter for the van Genuchten equation derived from the water retention data of the five soil layers.	74
Table 4.4: Fitting parameters to describe soil resistance data in Figure 4.5.....	76
Table 4.5: Coefficients for the weighting functions to modify the water capacity of the soil horizons for K(<i>h</i>), aeration, and soil resistance.....	77
Table 4.6: Matric heads (<i>h</i> , cm) at which weighting functions were equal to either 0 or 1 for different soil physical limitations.....	78
Table 4.7: Comparison of the 'Integral Water Capacity' (IWC) and plant available water capacity (PAWC) for each soil depth.....	79
Table 4.8: Time until the onset of wilting conditions calculated using Equation [4.9] based on the IWC and based on the maximum measured water content in the 2001 growing season.	84
Table 5.1: Cumulative shoot dry matter production (kg ha ⁻¹) and N content of crop sequences at the experimental site for the 1999 and 2000 growing seasons...	94
Table 5.2: Cumulative shoot dry matter production (kg ha ⁻¹) and N content of crop sequences at the experimental site for the 2001 and 2002 growing seasons...	95
Table 5.3: Mean proportional dependence on N ₂ fixation (%N _{dfa}), shoot N fixed and shoot N uptake from soil derived N (kg N ha ⁻¹) at the experimental site in 1999 and 2001.	96
Table 5.4: Total soil nitrate-N (kg NO ₃ ⁻ ha ⁻¹) to depth (0-0.8m) for each crop sequence at sowing and maturity from 1999-2002.....	101

Table 5.5: N inputs (fertiliser and N ₂ fixation) and N output (harvested grain) and net N balance (kg N ha ⁻¹) for each crop sequence at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia (1999-2002).	114
Table 6.1: Carbon and Nitrogen content of added residues	124
Table 6.2: Net mineralisation or immobilisation of NO ₃ -N (μg g ⁻¹ soil) for three Eyre Peninsula soils either unamended (nil) or amended with medic or wheat straw residues and incubated for 56 days under four different re-wetting treatments.	136

List of Plates

Plate 3.1: Soil profile (0-0.45m) at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	33
Plate 5.1: Onset of water-limited conditions at stem-elongation at Rudall in 2002. Crop sequence V (WW) on the left hand side compared to crop sequence III (MW) on the right hand side.	98

List of Appendices

Appendix 3.1: Sowing, anthesis and harvest dates at Rudall (1999-2002).	178
Appendix 3.2: Incidence of root disease prior to sowing wheat in 2000 and 2002 at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.....	179
Appendix 3.3: Sample neutron moisture meter calibration curves for (A) 0.5m and (B) 0.7m soil depth at Rudall, upper Eyre Peninsula, South Australia.	180
Appendix 3.4a: Volumetric soil water content for the 2000-growing season.	181
Appendix 3.4b: Volumetric soil water content for the 2001-growing season.	182
Appendix 3.4c: Volumetric soil water content for the 2002-growing season.	183
Appendix 3.5 : Effect of crop sequence on cumulative E_s and T during the 2002- growing season, A (canola-wheat), B (medic pasture-wheat) and C (continuous wheat).	184
Appendix 4.2: Soil penetrometer resistance (MPa) data for each soil layer.	185
Appendix 4.3: Alternative equivalent matric potentials (cm, positive) where weighting functions are equal to unity and zero for hydraulic conductivity at the 'dry end'.....	185
Appendix 5.1a: $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for reference plants and legumes used to determine $\%N_{\text{dfa}}$ for each replicate in 1999.	186
Appendix 5.1b: Mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values for reference plants and legumes used to determine $\%N_{\text{dfa}}$ in 2001.	186

Appendix 5.2: Measured soil ammonium ($\text{NH}_4\text{-N kg ha}^{-1}$) values for 2001 and 2002.....	187
Appendix 5.3: Measured soil nitrate ($\text{kg NO}_3\text{-N ha}^{-1}$) per soil layer for each crop sequence at the experimental site in 1999-2000.	188
Appendix 5.4: Measured soil nitrate ($\text{kg NO}_3\text{-N ha}^{-1}$) per soil layer for each crop sequence at the experimental site in 2001-2002.	189
Appendix 6.1: Chemical and physical measurements for Minnipa and Cungena soils.	190

Abstract

In the semi-arid Mediterranean-type environments of southern Australia, soil and water resources largely determine crop productivity and ultimately the sustainability of farming systems within the region. The development of sustainable farming systems is a constantly evolving process, of which cropping sequences (rotations) are an essential component. This thesis focused on two important soil resources, soil water and nitrogen, and studied the effects of different crop sequences on the dynamic of these resources within current farming systems practiced on the upper Eyre Peninsula of South Australia.

The hypothesis tested was that: *continuous cropping may alter N dynamics but will not necessarily alter water use efficiency in semi-arid Mediterranean-type environments*. Continuous cropping altered N-dynamics; increases in inorganic N were dependent on the inclusion of a legume in the cropping sequence. Associated with the increase in inorganic N supply was a decrease in WUE by the subsequent wheat crop. Overall, estimates of water use efficiency, a common index of the sustainability of farming systems, in this study concur with reported values for the semi-arid Murray-Mallee region of southern Australia and other semi-arid environments worldwide.

Soil water balance and determination of WUE for a series of crop sequences in this thesis suggests that the adoption of continuous cropping may increase WUE and confer a yield benefit compared to crop sequences including a legume component in this environment. No differences in total water use (ET) at anthesis or maturity were measured for wheat regardless of the previous crop. Soil evaporation (E_s) was significantly affected by crop canopy development, measured as LAI from tillering until anthesis in 2002, however total seasonal E_s did not differ between crop sequences. Indeed in environments with infrequent rainfall, such as the upper Eyre Peninsula, soil evaporation may be water-limited rather than energy limited and the potential benefits from greater LAI and reduced E_s are less.

Greater shoot dry matter production and LAI due to an enhanced inorganic N supply for wheat after legumes, and to a lesser degree wheat after canola, relative to continuous cereal crop sequences resulted in increases in WUE calculated at

anthesis, as reported by others. Nonetheless the increase in WUE was not sustained due to limitations on available soil water capacity caused by soil physical and chemical constraints. Access to more soil water at depth (>0.8m) through additional root growth was unavailable due to soil chemical limitations. More importantly, the amount of plant available water within the 'effective rooting depth' (0-0.8m) was significantly reduced when soil physical factors were accounted for using the *integral water capacity* (IWC) concept. The difference between the magnitude of the plant available water capacity and the integral water capacity was approximately 90mm within the 'effective rooting depth' when measured at field capacity, suggesting that the ability of the soil to store water and buffer against periodic water deficit was severely limited. The IWC concept offers a method of evaluating the physical quality of soils and the limitations that these physical properties, *viz.* aeration, soil strength and hydraulic conductivity, impose on the water supply capacity of the soil.

The inability of the soil to maintain a constant supply of water to satisfy maximal transpiration efficiency combined with large amounts of N resulted in 'haying off', and reduced grain yields. A strong negative linear relationship was established between WUE of grain production by wheat and increasing soil NO₃-N at sowing in 2000 and 2002, which conflicts with results from experiments in semi-arid Mediterranean climates in other regions of the world where applications of N increased water use efficiency of grain.

Estimates of proportional dependence on N₂ fixation (%N_{dfa}) for annual medics and vetch from this study (43-80%) are comparable to others for environments in southern Australia (< 450mm average annual rainfall). Such estimates of fixation are considered low (<65%) to adequate (65-80%). Nevertheless, the amount of plant available N present at sowing for subsequent wheat crops, and the occurrence of 'haying off', suggests that WUE is not N-limited *per se*, as implied by some reports, but constrained by the capacity of a soil to balance the co-limiting factors of water and nitrogen.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or any other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by an other person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give my consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University library, being made available for photocopying.

Damien Adcock

May 2005

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all my supervisors Dr. Ann McNeill, Dr. Cameron Grant, Professor David Coventry and Dr. Robert Holloway for their advice and guidance throughout the course of this project. I am indebted to my principal supervisors: Annie for her constant support and friendship, and Cam for his insightful direction and encouragement.

In particular, I wish to thank Matt and Mignon Dunn and family for their hospitality and friendship and providing the experimental site. I am especially grateful to Pennelopy Day for field and technical assistance and her efforts maintaining the site during my intermission. I would also like to thank the staff from the Minnipa Agricultural Centre for their assistance during the project, in particular Jon Hancock and Shane and Samantha Doudle.

I would like to offer a special note of appreciation to Dr. Murray Unkovich for keeping me focused and reminding me what a thesis is all about.

I would like to also thank Laurence Jassogne for her skilled assistance with formatting.

I am obliged to the Grains Research and Development Corporation for funding this project, which was part of the Eyre Peninsula Farming Systems initiative. I am also thankful to the A.W. Howard Memorial Trust for funding to attend the 11th Nitrogen Worksop in France and the K.P. Barley Trust for other funding.

Finally, I would like to thank Caroline for her constant patience, support, encouragement and understanding throughout.