

A triangular grid finite-difference model for wind-induced circulation in shallow lakes

David John McInerney, Hons. B.Sc. (Ma. & Comp. Sc.)

*Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Applied Mathematics
at
The University of Adelaide
(Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences)*

School of Mathematical Sciences



February 2005

Contents

List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	v
Abstract	xi
Signed Statement	xiii
Acknowledgements	xv
1 Introduction	1
2 Governing equations	3
2.1 The depth-integrated shallow water equations	3
2.2 The linearised depth-integrated shallow water equations	7
3 Finite-difference formulation using a rectangular grid	9
3.1 The rectangular grid	9
3.2 Discretisation and notation	9
3.3 Implementing initial and boundary conditions	12
3.4 Finite-difference formulae for the linearised equations	12
3.5 Stability criteria for the linear finite-difference formulae	14
3.6 Finite-difference formulae for the nonlinear equations	14
3.6.1 Alternative approximations for advective terms near boundaries	17
3.6.2 Alternative approximations for diffusive terms near boundaries	21
4 Finite-difference formulation using a triangular grid	23
4.1 The triangular grid	24
4.2 Allocating element types	25
4.3 Modelling triangular elements	26
4.3.1 Alternative approximations for advective terms near boundaries	28
4.3.2 Alternative approximations for diffusive terms near boundaries	30
4.3.3 Modification of the triangular grid algorithm	30
5 Verification of the linear finite-difference models	33
5.1 Wind effect on a rectangular lake	33
5.1.1 Analytic solution	33
5.1.2 Numerical tests using Lake Alexandrina parameters	35
5.2 Wind effect on a circular lake	42
5.2.1 Analytic solution	42
5.2.2 Numerical tests using Lake Albert parameters	44
5.2.3 Comparison with Matthews' 'oblique boundary' method	48

6	A second-order analytic solution to the nonlinear equations	51
6.1	First-order analytic solution	53
6.2	Second-order analytic solution	55
6.3	Discussion	69
7	Verification of the nonlinear finite-difference models	71
7.1	Comparisons between first- and second-order analytic solutions	71
7.2	Finite-difference formulae	75
7.3	Verification of centred-space finite-difference formulae	75
7.4	Verification of alternative approximations for advective terms near boundaries on a rectangular grid	77
7.4.1	Alternative approximations	82
7.4.2	Numerical tests	85
7.5	Verification of alternative approximations for advective terms near boundaries on a triangular grid	86
7.6	Summary	89
8	Application to the Lower Murray Lakes	91
8.1	The Lower Murray Lakes	91
8.2	A comparison between modelled and observed water levels at Tauwitchere Barrage	93
8.3	Predicted water levels and currents in the Lower Murray Lakes	99
8.4	A comparison between predicted results obtained using the rectangular and tri- angular grid models	101
8.5	Examining the influence of using alternative approximations for diffusive terms near boundaries on flow patterns	109
8.6	Examining schemes that may be used to increase wind-induced circulation in Lake Albert	111
8.6.1	Dredging the Narrung Narrows	112
8.6.2	Constructing impermeable barriers inside Lake Albert	116
8.7	Other engineering options	123
9	Conclusion	127
	Appendix	131
	Bibliography	135

List of Tables

5.1	CP times using a variety of grid spacings for the rectangular lake problem	41
5.2	CP times using a variety of grid spacings for the circular lake problem	46
5.3	Errors obtained using Matthews' 'oblique boundary' method	49
5.4	Errors obtained using the triangular grid model for the problem considered by Matthews'	50
7.1	Ratios comparing the sizes of the first- and second-order components of the an- alytic solution for Tests 1–3	73
7.2	Maximum and average values for the magnitude of the first-order analytic eleva- tion compared with the water depth for Tests 1–3	73
7.3	Ratios comparing the sizes of the first- and second-order components of the an- alytic solution for Tests 4–8	74
7.4	Maximum and average values for the magnitude of the first-order analytic eleva- tion compared with the water depth for Tests 4–8	74
7.5	Differences between the second-order analytic solution and numerical results ob- tained using the centred-space finite-difference formulae	76
7.6	Differences between the second-order analytic solution and modelled velocities obtained using various approximations for the cross-advective terms in the rect- angular grid model	85
7.7	Differences between the second-order analytic solution and modelled velocities obtained using various approximations for the cross-advective terms in the tri- angular grid model	88

List of Figures

2.1	The side view of a water column, displaying the relationship between the variables	4
3.1	The discretisation of a fictional lake using a rectangular grid	10
3.2	The Arakawa C grid	11
3.3	Computational stencils corresponding to the finite-difference formulae for the linear equations	13
3.4	Computational stencils corresponding to the centred-space finite-difference formulae for the nonlinear momentum equations	15
3.5	A magnified view of a region in Figure 3.1	18
3.6	Computational stencil corresponding to the centred-space approximation of the cross-advective term	18
3.7	Rectangular grid representation of some regions in the vicinity of a land–water boundary where the centred-space approximation of the cross-advective term is not used	20
3.8	Rectangular grid representation of some regions in the vicinity of a land–water boundary where the centred-space approximation of the diffusive term is not used	21
4.1	The discretisation of a fictional lake using a triangular grid	24
4.2	The six element types used in the triangular grid model	25
4.3	Some grid boxes that contain a mixture of land and water	26
4.4	A north-east element and a water element	27
4.5	The triangular grid representation of some regions in the vicinity of a land–water boundary where the centred-space approximation of the cross-advective term is not used	29
4.6	The triangular grid representation of a region in the vicinity of a land–water boundary where the centred-space approximation of the diffusive term is not used	31
4.7	Three scenarios that require modifications to be made to the triangular grid algorithm	32
5.1	A rectangular lake	34
5.2	Actual and model boundaries for a rotated rectangular lake	36
5.3	Errors for various orientations of the rectangular lake	37
5.4	Various regions inside the rectangular lake	39
5.5	Modelled and analytic velocities in region A of the rectangular lake	39
5.6	Errors obtained using various grid spacings for the rectangular lake problem	40
5.7	Errors for various orientations of the rectangular lake with $\Delta x \neq \Delta y$	42
5.8	More model boundaries for rotated rectangular lakes	43
5.9	A circular lake	44
5.10	Errors obtained using various grid spacings for the circular lake problem	45
5.11	Model boundaries for a circular lake	46
5.12	Various regions inside the circular lake	47
5.13	Modelled and analytic velocities in region C of the circular lake	47
5.14	The discretisation of a fictional lake using Matthews’ ‘oblique boundary’ method	48

6.1	A rectangular lake	51
7.1	Some locations inside a rectangular lake	76
7.2	Numerical and analytic values at various locations inside a rectangular lake for Test 1	78
7.3	Numerical and analytic values at various locations inside a rectangular lake for Test 2	79
7.4	The rectangular grid model boundary for a rotated rectangular lake	80
7.5	A magnified view of a region in Figure 7.4	81
7.6	Differences between the second-order analytic solution and modelled velocities obtained using various approximations for the cross-advective terms in the triangular grid model	87
7.7	The triangular grid model boundary for a rotated rectangular lake	87
7.8	A magnified view of a region in Figure 7.7	88
7.9	Differences for various orientations of the rectangular lake obtained using different approximations for cross-advective terms in the triangular grid model	90
8.1	The Lower Murray Lakes	92
8.2	Depth variations within the Lower Murray Lakes	93
8.3	Wind speeds at Mundoo Island	94
8.4	The triangular grid representation of the Lower Murray Lakes	95
8.5	Predicted and observed water levels at Tauwitchere Barrage assuming a closed system	96
8.6	A magnified view of a region in Figure 8.4	97
8.7	Predicted and observed water levels at Tauwitchere Barrage assuming constant outflow	98
8.8	Predicted water levels at Goolwa, Milang and Meningie	100
8.9	Wind stresses between 42.2 and 43.6 days	101
8.10	Predicted velocities at 42.4 days, and elevations at 42.5 days, inside the Lower Murray Lakes	102
8.11	Predicted velocities at 42.7 days, and elevations at 42.8 days, inside the Lower Murray Lakes	103
8.12	Predicted velocities at 43.35 days, and elevations at 43.6 days, inside the Lower Murray Lakes	104
8.13	Discretisation of upper Lake Alexandrina	105
8.14	Modelled velocities in upper Lake Alexandrina	106
8.15	Discretisation of the Narrung Narrows	107
8.16	Modelled velocities in the Narrung Narrows	108
8.17	Some regions in the vicinity of a land–water boundary where the centred-space approximation of the diffusive term is not appropriate	109
8.18	Predicted velocities in Lake Albert after 42.2 days obtained using various approximations for diffusive terms	111
8.19	Predicted velocities in Lake Alexandrina after 42.2 days obtained using various approximations for diffusive terms	112
8.20	Predicted velocities in Lake Albert	113
8.21	Predicted velocities in Lake Albert after dredging the Narrung Narrows	114
8.22	Volumetric flow rate into Lake Albert for various depths of the Narrung Narrows	115
8.23	Triangular grid model boundary for Lake Albert	116
8.24	Elevations at three positions in Lake Albert for various depths of the Narrung Narrows	117
8.25	Barrier Positions 1 and 2	118
8.26	Predicted velocities in Lake Albert with Barrier Position 1	119
8.27	Predicted velocities in Lake Albert with Barrier Position 2	120

8.28	Various locations inside Lake Albert when Barrier Positions 1 and 2 are used . . .	121
8.29	Volumetric flow rate into Lake Albert for Barrier Position 1	122
8.30	Volumetric flow rate into Lake Albert for Barrier Position 2	123
8.31	Elevations at various positions inside Lake Albert for Barrier Position 1	124
8.32	Elevations at various positions inside Lake Albert for Barrier Position 2	125

Abstract

In this study, the development and testing of a finite-difference model for wind-induced flow in shallow lakes, and, in particular, a new technique for improving the land–water boundary representation, are documented. The model solves nonlinear, as well as linear, versions of the two-dimensional depth-integrated shallow water equations.

Finite-difference methods on rectangular grids are widely used in numerical models of environmental flows. In these models, land–water boundaries are usually approximated by a series of perpendicular line segments, which enable the impermeability condition to be easily implemented. A disadvantage of this approach is that the actual boundary is often poorly approximated, particularly in regions which have complicated coastlines, and, as a result, currents in these regions cannot be accurately predicted.

A technique for improving the land–water boundary representation in finite-difference models is introduced. This technique permits the model boundary to contain diagonal line segments, in addition to the vertical and horizontal line segments used in traditional models. The new technique is based on a simple concept and can easily be included in existing finite-difference models.

In order to test the new method, the linearised shallow water equations are solved numerically for oscillatory wind-driven flow in lakes with simple geometry. Predictions obtained using the new approach are compared with predictions from the traditional stepped boundary and known analytic solutions. A significant improvement in the accuracy of results is noticed when the new approach is used, particularly in currents close to shore. The increased accuracy obtained using the improved boundary representation can lead to a significant computational saving, when compared with running the rectangular grid model with smaller grid spacings.

A second-order analytic solution to the nonlinear shallow water equations is developed for oscillatory wind-driven flow in a rectangular lake. Comparisons between this solution and numerical results, obtained using the traditional stepped boundary and the improved boundary, verify the finite-difference formulae used in these models, including the approximations used for the cross-advective terms close to shore. Once more, currents are predicted with greater accuracy when the new technique for representing the land–water boundary is implemented.

The lake circulation model is applied to the Lower Murray Lakes, South Australia, and predicted water levels at Tauwitchere Barrage are shown to agree very well with observations. The model is then used to examine the effectiveness of two schemes that have been proposed to increase wind-induced circulation, and therefore potentially decrease salinity, in Lake Albert, demonstrating the model's use as an efficient and effective tool for analysing flow behaviour in lakes.

Signed Statement

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

SIGNED: DATE:

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to my primary supervisor, Dr Michael Teubner, for his continued support, expert guidance, encouragement and patience throughout the development of this work. I am grateful for the many hours that he spent discussing and proof-reading my work, and appreciate the faith that he has shown in my ability.

I also wish to thank my secondary supervisor, Associate Professor John Noye, for encouraging me to commence this PhD and whose idea was the basis for this work. The support that he provided for me in the early stages of this research is much appreciated.

I express my sincere thanks to the Applied Mathematics staff at the University of Adelaide. In particular, I thank Dr Peter Gill, Dr Liz Cousins and Dianne Parish for their ongoing support.

I would like to thank the past and present members of the Adelaide University Computational Fluid Dynamics Group, as well as fellow mathematics postgraduate students, for the valuable discussions on my research, as well as their friendship and support over the years.

Special thanks go to my parents, Peter and Jan, for their encouragement and understanding. I am especially thankful to my mother who spent many hours editing my thesis. I also thank my brother, Ben, and sister, Kate, as well as many other family members and friends who have made this period of my life so enjoyable.

I wish to acknowledge the financial assistance from the Commonwealth Government of Australia, in the form of an Australian Post-graduate Award, that I received in the early years of this work.