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Will promoting general practitioners with special interests threaten access to primary care?

Moyez Jiwa, Hooi C Ee and Justin J Beilby

Increasing the number of “special interest” GPs may exacerbate the current GP shortage

According to the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners (RACGP):

In the provision of primary care, much undifferentiated illness is seen; the general practitioner often deals with problem complexes rather than with established diseases. The general practitioner must be able to make a total assessment of the person’s condition without subjecting a person to unnecessary investigations, procedures and other treatment.1

In this paradigm, a condition such as chest pain may have its roots in the physical, social and/or psychological domains, and a robust general practice system is crucial to managing these complex clinical interactions. A GP is well placed to determine the relative contributions of these causes and to effectively manage the interface between primary care and the hospital. Starfield and colleagues have long argued this case, and few have offered a cogent counterargument.2 However, in the United Kingdom, and now in Australia, a formal system of GPs with special interests (GPwSIs) — touted as the answer to the growing demand for specialist services in tending to patients with chronic illness.3

If GPwSIs were to reduce the workload for specialists, their impact on primary care could be unhelpful or even detrimental. In a recent UK patient survey, it emerged that patients foresaw difficulty making appointments with their chosen GP in circumstances where a special-interest GP was working at the practice.4 In the Australian context, it may be difficult to predict the likely impact, given that general practice is a privatised business. However, if such difficulties with access were mirrored here, the resulting loss of continuity of care could diminish the key value of the GP as defined by the RACGP. This is of special concern given the growing burden of chronic disease in an ageing population. Indeed, many patients are still receiving suboptimal care for chronic disease.5 Furthermore, with the increasing policy emphasis on prevention, screening and...
surveillance, many patients will need more access to GPs. To achieve the long-term benefits of these policy directions, we need to protect the principle of equal access for all.

Patients in the UK, where the GPwSIs concept has been widely embraced, are impressed by the speed of access and personal aspects of the service, but not necessarily by its quality. In the UK, GPs-in-training have been reported to be naive about the potential complexities of accreditation and governance required for the roles of GPwSIs. If GPwSIs are to become a feature of the primary care landscape in Australia, appropriate training and accreditation will be critical to prevent them being regarded as a cheaper, second-class service.

Another danger with facilitating growth in the number of GPwSIs is the possibility that it will interfere with the fabric of primary care — namely, an accessible, generalised, integrated and coordinated approach to patient management. Furthermore, we should not underestimate the financial incentive to dabble in lucrative specialist procedures in a largely unregulated health care system in which many GPs feel undervalued. Indeed, a more appropriate remunerative structure, especially for cognitive (as opposed to procedural) practice, may well serve to change perceptions of what is attractive and rewarding. Thus, promoting GPwSIs in Australia may serve only to redistribute scarce GP resources while running the risk of exacerbating GP workforce shortages. This, in turn, will require more GP training positions to be established, a decision that is not under the control of the profession. Balancing the increasing role of GPwSIs in Australian general practice will require careful workforce modelling and planning.
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