

**Random Allocations:  
New and Extended Models and Techniques  
with  
Applications and Numerics**

Raymond William Kennington

B.Sc.(Ma.Sc.)(Hons)	University of Adelaide	1978
Grad.Dip.Ed.	University of Adelaide	1990
B.Comp.Inf.Sc.(Hons)	University of South Australia	1996

*Thesis submitted for the degree  
of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Applied Mathematics  
at  
The University of Adelaide  
(Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences)*

School of Mathematical Sciences



September 2006

# Trademarks

*Athlon<sup>TM</sup>* is a trademark of *AMD*.

*Borland*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *Borland Software Corporation*.

*Borland C++*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *Borland Software Corporation*.

*Delphi<sup>TM</sup>* is a trademark of *Borland Software Corporation*.

*Celeron<sup>TM</sup>*, i.e. *Intel*<sup>®</sup> *Celeron<sup>TM</sup>*, is a trademark of *Intel Corporation*.

*Intel*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *Intel Corporation*.

*Maple*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *Waterloo Maple Software*.

*MuPAD<sup>TM</sup>* is a trademark of *SciFace Software GmbH & Co. KG*.

*Scientific Word*<sup>®</sup> was a registered trademark of *TCI Software Research, Inc.*

*Scientific Workplace*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *MacKichan Software, Inc.*

*SET*<sup>®</sup> and *SET Enterprises*<sup>®</sup> are registered trademarks of *SET Enterprises Inc.*

*Windows*<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of *Microsoft Corporation*.

*T<sup>3</sup><sup>TM</sup>* was a trademark of *TCI Software Research, Inc*

All other brand and product names are trademarks of their respective companies.

# Contents

<b>Trademarks</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxvii</b>
<b>General Notation and Conventions</b>	<b>xxxii</b>
<b>Glossary</b>	<b>xxxv</b>
<b>Symbols</b>	<b>xliv</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>lxiv</b>
<b>Declaration</b>	<b>lxix</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>lxx</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>lxxiii</b>
<b>Summary</b>	<b>lxxv</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The Fundamental Models . . . . .	1
1.2 State of the Discipline and Field of Study . . . . .	3
1.3 Theoretical Techniques . . . . .	3
1.4 Alternative Expressions and Computational Improvements . . . . .	5
1.5 Computational and Numerical Aspects . . . . .	6
1.6 General Comments . . . . .	7

---

1.7	The Joy of Mathematical Research . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Descriptions of Applications, Related Theory and Known Results</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction . . . . .	13
2.2	Queueing in Lanes . . . . .	13
2.2.1	E. Hauer and J.G.C. Templeton (Parking Lot Design) . . . . .	13
2.2.1.1	Hauer and Templeton’s Derivation of the Distribution for $t = 1$ and the Moments . . . . .	16
2.2.2	Parking Lot Design with $\rho$ Arrivals for a Vehicle . . . . .	17
2.2.3	Parking Lot Design with Bi-Directional Exiting . . . . .	17
2.2.4	Parking Lot Design: Multi-Directional Exiting . . . . .	17
2.2.5	Parking Lot Design: Platoon Size . . . . .	18
2.2.6	At a Movie Theatre . . . . .	18
2.2.7	Random Servicing of Vehicles in Lanes . . . . .	18
2.2.8	School Bus Lane . . . . .	19
2.2.9	District Postal Service . . . . .	19
2.2.10	Remote Bus Service . . . . .	19
2.2.11	Wheat Board Parking Lot Problem . . . . .	19
2.2.12	2-D Gap Problem . . . . .	20
2.2.13	Other Generalisations . . . . .	21
2.2.14	Parking Attendant . . . . .	21
2.3	Coupon-Collecting Models . . . . .	21
2.3.1	Coupon-Collecting . . . . .	21
2.3.2	Asymptotic Distributions . . . . .	27
2.3.3	Variations . . . . .	28
2.3.4	Restricted Coupon-Collector’s Problem . . . . .	28
2.3.5	Applications . . . . .	29
2.3.6	Coupon-Collector’s Page Problem . . . . .	31
2.3.6.1	The <i>Without-Replacement</i> Model . . . . .	31
2.3.6.2	The <i>With-Replacement</i> Model . . . . .	31
2.3.6.2.1	The Bird-Watcher’s Problem . . . . .	32
2.4	Bernoulli’s Classical Lot Problem . . . . .	33
2.5	Bernoulli’s Marriage Problem . . . . .	33
2.6	Sock-Matching . . . . .	34

---

2.6.1	Introduction . . . . .	34
2.6.2	Variations on Placement . . . . .	35
2.6.3	Limiting Distributions . . . . .	35
2.6.4	Generalisations . . . . .	36
2.6.5	Formulation . . . . .	36
	2.6.5.1 Example: Bernoulli's Marriage Problem . . . . .	38
	2.6.5.2 Example: Sock-Matching with Multi-Legged Beings . . . . .	38
2.7	The Cake Display Problem . . . . .	38
2.8	Attribute-Matching . . . . .	42
2.8.1	Introduction . . . . .	42
2.8.2	Genetic Code Attribute Matching . . . . .	43
2.8.3	The Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	43
	2.8.3.1 Description . . . . .	43
2.8.4	The Standard Game . . . . .	44
	2.8.4.1 How Long will a Card Remain on the Table? . . . . .	46
	2.8.4.2 Number of Matches when a Card is Played . . . . .	47
	2.8.4.3 How Many Triads in $K$ Cards? . . . . .	47
2.9	Zig-Zag Problems . . . . .	47
2.9.1	2-D Zig-Zag Problem . . . . .	47
2.9.2	Waiting for Utilities to be Connected to Plots of Land . . . . .	48
2.9.3	3-D Zig-Zag Problem: Flying Saucers . . . . .	48
2.10	Construction Site Logistics . . . . .	49
2.11	Random Graphs . . . . .	50
2.11.1	Introduction . . . . .	50
2.11.2	Reliability Theory . . . . .	51
2.11.3	Fail-Safe System with Redundancy . . . . .	51
2.11.4	Car Accidents and Roadworks Blocking Access . . . . .	51
2.11.5	No Path in a Network . . . . .	52
2.11.6	Bombing Raid . . . . .	52
	2.11.6.1 Example: Finding a Direct Path between Two Intersections . . . . .	52
2.11.7	Percolation Theory . . . . .	53
2.11.8	Thresholds and the Structure of Sparse Random Graphs . . . . .	54
2.12	Testing the Randomness of Data . . . . .	54

---

2.13	Ball-Point Pens . . . . .	55
2.14	Medical Trials . . . . .	55
2.15	Storage Problems . . . . .	56
2.15.1	Wine Barrel Problem . . . . .	56
2.15.2	Information Storage in Files . . . . .	56
2.16	The Classical Occupancy Problem and Related Models . . . . .	56
2.16.1	Introduction . . . . .	56
2.16.2	Related Models . . . . .	57
2.16.3	2-D Tables . . . . .	58
2.17	Skeletal Remains at an Archæological Site . . . . .	58
2.18	Body Parts after an Explosion . . . . .	58
2.19	Baggage Collection at an Airport . . . . .	59
2.20	Inventory Models . . . . .	59
2.21	Game of Hex . . . . .	59
2.22	A Voting System . . . . .	59
2.23	Learning Theory . . . . .	60
2.24	Linear Hashing . . . . .	60
2.25	Tagged Fish: Estimating the Abundance of Wildlife . . . . .	60
2.26	Warning Signals . . . . .	62
2.27	Summary . . . . .	62
<b>3</b>	<b>The Random Processes</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
3.2	Common Elements . . . . .	64
3.2.1	Sample Space . . . . .	64
3.2.2	Sampling Types . . . . .	65
3.2.3	Observations . . . . .	65
3.2.4	Arrival Number per Observation . . . . .	65
3.2.5	Special Sets . . . . .	66
3.2.5.1	<i>G</i> -Sets . . . . .	66
3.2.5.2	<i>A</i> -Sets ( <i>Required Sets</i> ) . . . . .	66
3.2.5.3	Example: Car Parking in a Single Lane . . . . .	67
3.2.5.4	<i>B</i> -Sets ( <i>Taboo Sets</i> ) . . . . .	68
3.2.5.5	Example: Car Parking in a Single Lane (continued) . . . . .	68

---

3.2.5.6	The Set of Non-Specified Elements . . . . .	68
3.2.6	Word Usage for Observations . . . . .	68
3.2.7	The Number of Observations . . . . .	69
3.3	The Models . . . . .	69
3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	69
3.3.2	The <i>Static</i> Model . . . . .	70
3.3.3	The New <i>Waiting-Time</i> Model . . . . .	70
3.3.4	Occupancy Urn Model Formulation of the New <i>Waiting-Time</i> Model . .	71
3.3.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	71
3.3.4.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	71
3.3.4.3	The Non-Batch Process with <i>Taboo</i> Sets . . . . .	72
3.3.4.4	The Non-Batch Process without <i>Taboo</i> Sets . . . . .	72
3.3.4.5	The Processes with Batch Arrivals . . . . .	73
3.3.4.6	The Processes with Varieties . . . . .	73
3.3.4.7	The Parameters . . . . .	74
3.3.5	The <i>Dynamic</i> Model . . . . .	74
3.4	The Random Variables . . . . .	75
3.4.1	The Random Variable $T(\cdot)$ . . . . .	75
3.4.2	Example: Queueing in Lanes . . . . .	75
3.4.3	The Random Variable $R(\cdot)$ . . . . .	76
3.5	Comment on Sufficiency of Numbers of Elements . . . . .	76
<b>4</b>	<b>Computational Aspects</b> . . . . .	<b>77</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	77
4.2	Precise and Asymptotic Forms . . . . .	78
4.3	Bonferroni's Inequalities . . . . .	79
4.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	79
4.3.2	Inequalities Based on the Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion . . . . .	80
4.3.2.1	Theory . . . . .	80
4.3.2.2	Example: Simple Events that Illustrate the Problems . . . . .	80
4.3.2.3	Example: 2-D Zig-Zag Problem - Total Probability . . . . .	81
4.3.2.4	Example: 2-D Zig-Zag Problem - $P(T = 0)$ . . . . .	81
4.3.2.5	Example: <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> . . . . .	82
4.3.3	The Case for Caution . . . . .	82

---

4.4	Kwerel's Bounds . . . . .	84
4.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	84
4.4.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	84
4.4.3	Example: Simple Events . . . . .	85
4.4.4	Example: <i>2-D Zig-Zag Problem</i> . . . . .	85
4.5	Number of Calculations . . . . .	86
4.6	Size of the Numbers Involved . . . . .	86
4.7	Digits of Accuracy . . . . .	86
4.8	Processing Time Required . . . . .	87
4.9	Converting Combinatorial Sums to Simpler Forms . . . . .	88
4.10	Application of the <i>Minimal Covering Theorem</i> . . . . .	90
<b>5</b>	<b>Useful Formulae and the P. of I. E. for the Mini-Max</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	91
5.2	Useful Formulae . . . . .	91
5.3	Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion for the Mini-Max . . . . .	96
<b>6</b>	<b>The Stochastic Process: <i>Without-Replacement</i></b>	<b>101</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	104
6.2	Distribution for a Single <i>A</i> -Set: $\sigma = \rho$ . . . . .	105
6.3	The Transformation Formula . . . . .	108
6.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	108
6.3.2	The Formula . . . . .	108
6.3.3	Derivation using Generating Functions . . . . .	109
6.3.3.1	The Generating Functions are Analytic . . . . .	112
6.3.4	Derivation using Finite Differences . . . . .	113
6.4	Simplified Distribution for a Single <i>A</i> -Set: $\sigma = \rho$ . . . . .	117
6.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	117
6.4.2	Statement of the Theorem . . . . .	117
6.4.3	The Original Derivation . . . . .	117
6.4.3.1	For $k \geq 1$ . . . . .	117
6.4.3.2	For $k = 0$ . . . . .	118
6.4.4	Produced by a Direct Combinatoric Argument . . . . .	118
6.4.4.1	For $k \geq 1$ . . . . .	119



---

6.4.4.2	For $k = 0$ . . . . .	120
6.4.5	Distribution for a Single $A$ -Set: $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	120
6.4.6	Example: The Hauer-Templeton Model . . . . .	121
6.4.7	Example: District Postal Service . . . . .	121
6.5	Distribution for a Single $A$ -Set: $\sigma < \rho$ . . . . .	121
6.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	121
6.5.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	122
6.5.3	Results . . . . .	122
6.5.4	Example: Warning Signals in Medical Experiments . . . . .	123
6.6	Simplified Distribution for a Single $A$ -Set . . . . .	124
6.6.1	Distribution for $m = 0, k > 0$ . . . . .	125
6.6.2	Distribution for the First Arrival: $\sigma = 1 < \rho$ . . . . .	126
6.6.2.1	For $m \geq 0$ . . . . .	126
6.6.2.2	For $m = 0$ . . . . .	126
6.7	Distribution for Multiple $A$ -Sets . . . . .	127
6.7.1	Introduction . . . . .	127
6.7.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	128
6.7.3	The Upper Bound of $T$ . . . . .	129
6.7.4	The Fundamental Theorem of $\Psi_1$ -Processes . . . . .	130
6.7.5	With $A$ -Sets Mutually Intersecting in $G$ and of Equal Size . . . . .	130
6.8	$\Psi$ -Numbers of the First Kind . . . . .	131
6.8.1	Introduction . . . . .	131
6.8.2	The $\Psi_1$ -Numbers: $\sigma \leq \rho$ . . . . .	132
6.8.2.1	The $\Psi_1$ -Probabilities: $\sigma = \rho$ . . . . .	133
6.8.2.2	The $\Psi$ -Probabilities: $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	133
6.8.3	The <i>Fundamental Formula</i> in Terms of $\Psi'_1$ -Numbers: $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	134
6.9	Decomposition . . . . .	136
6.9.1	Introduction . . . . .	136
6.9.2	The Decomposition Formula . . . . .	137
6.9.3	Counts of Occurrences . . . . .	138
6.9.4	Example: The 2-D Zig-Zag Problem . . . . .	139
6.10	Minimal Covering . . . . .	140
6.10.1	Introduction . . . . .	140

---

6.10.2	Minimal Covering Theorem . . . . .	140
6.10.3	Gains Made by Application of the <i>Minimal Covering Theorem</i> . . . . .	141
6.11	Cumulative Distributions . . . . .	142
6.11.1	Introduction . . . . .	142
6.11.2	Multiple $A$ -Sets . . . . .	142
6.11.3	A Single $A$ -Set and $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	145
6.12	Approximations . . . . .	146
6.12.1	Introduction . . . . .	146
6.12.2	Using Bonferroni's Inequalities . . . . .	146
6.12.3	Using Incremental Addition of Paths . . . . .	146
6.13	The Number Still Required upon Arrival . . . . .	148
6.13.1	Introduction . . . . .	148
6.13.2	For $r = 1$ . . . . .	148
6.13.3	For $r \geq 1$ . . . . .	149
6.14	Waiting for the $\tau$ th Arrival of $G$ Measured from the $\sigma$ th Arrival of $G$ . . . . .	150
6.14.1	Introduction . . . . .	150
6.14.2	Results . . . . .	150
6.14.3	Example: Duration of a Cake on Display . . . . .	152
6.15	Estimating $N$ for $r = 1$ and $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	152
6.15.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	153
6.15.2	Results for a Single Observation . . . . .	153
6.15.3	Example: The Precise Integral Value . . . . .	158
6.15.4	Example: The Asymptotic Value . . . . .	158
6.15.5	Results for Multiple Observations . . . . .	159
6.16	Estimating $N$ and the Number of $G$ -sets for $\rho > 1$ , $\sigma = 1$ and $m = 0$ . . . . .	159
6.16.1	Introduction . . . . .	159
6.16.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	159
6.16.3	Results for Estimating $N$ . . . . .	160
6.16.4	Results for Estimating the Number of $G$ -Sets . . . . .	161
6.16.5	Example: Estimating the Number of Skeletons . . . . .	162
6.16.6	Multiple Observations . . . . .	163
6.17	Estimating $\rho$ for $m = 0$ given $\sigma$ and $\tau$ . . . . .	163
6.17.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	163

---

6.17.2	Results	164
6.17.3	Example: Voting	165
6.18	Markov Chain for the <i>Waiting-Time</i> Process	165
6.18.1	Introduction	165
6.18.2	States, Absorbing States and Their Number	166
6.18.3	Transition Probabilities	168
6.18.4	Characteristic Equation	171
6.18.5	First Passage Times	171
6.18.6	Determining Distribution Properties from the Markov Chain	172
6.18.7	Variations	173
6.18.8	Example	173
6.18.8.1	States	173
6.18.8.2	Transition Matrices	173
6.18.8.3	Distribution	175
6.18.8.4	Characteristic Polynomial and Eigenvectors	177
6.19	Distribution for the Completions of $G$ -Sets (Platoon Size)	178
6.19.1	Introduction	178
6.19.2	Preliminaries	179
6.19.3	Distribution for a Single $A$ -set	180
6.19.3.1	Example: Parallel Lanes with Uni-Directional Exiting	181
6.19.3.2	Example: The Game <i>SET</i> : Triad Point of View	181
6.19.4	Distribution for Multiple $A$ -sets	182
6.19.4.1	Example: Parallel Lanes with Bi-Directional Exiting	182
6.19.4.2	Example: The Game <i>SET</i> : Card Point of View	182
6.19.5	Minimal Covering Theorem for Platoon Size	185
6.19.6	Joint Distribution for $G_1$ and $G_2$ for Single $A$ -sets	186
6.19.6.1	Example: The Game <i>SET</i> : Triad Point of View	189
6.19.7	Joint Distribution for $G_1$ and $G_2$ for Multiple $A$ -sets	190
6.20	Static Distribution	192
6.20.1	Introduction	192
6.20.2	Occupancy Numbers for Disjoint $G$ -Sets	192
6.21	Markov Chain for the State of $G$ -sets	193
6.21.1	Introduction	193

---

6.21.2	Example: Comparison of the States in Two Cases . . . . .	194
6.21.3	Parking in a Lane . . . . .	194
6.21.3.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	194
6.21.3.2	Transition Probabilities . . . . .	194
6.21.3.3	Example: Transition Matrix for Parking in a Lane . . . . .	195
<b>7</b>	<b>The Stochastic Process: <i>With-Replacement</i></b>	<b>196</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	197
7.2	Process Description . . . . .	199
7.3	Preliminaries . . . . .	200
7.4	Distribution of a Single $A$ -Set: $\sigma \leq \rho$ . . . . .	201
7.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	201
7.4.2	Results . . . . .	202
7.4.3	Example: Illustrative Counts using a Small System . . . . .	206
7.4.4	Example: <i>Coupon-Collectors Page Problem: Probability of Filing a Page</i> . . . . .	206
7.5	Distribution for the First Arrival: $\sigma = 1 < \rho$ . . . . .	207
7.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	207
7.5.2	For $m \geq 0$ . . . . .	208
7.5.3	For $m = 0$ . . . . .	209
7.5.4	Example: <i>Coupon-Collector's Single Page Problem</i> . . . . .	210
7.6	Simplified Distribution for a Single $A$ -Set . . . . .	211
7.7	Distribution for Multiple $A$ -Sets . . . . .	215
7.7.1	Introduction . . . . .	215
7.7.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	215
7.7.3	The Upper Bound of $T$ . . . . .	216
7.7.4	The Fundamental Theorem of $\Psi_2$ -Processes . . . . .	217
7.7.5	Example: Distribution for a Small System with $r = 2$ . . . . .	218
7.8	$\Psi$ -Numbers of the Second Kind . . . . .	219
7.8.1	Introduction . . . . .	219
7.8.2	The $\Psi_2$ -Numbers . . . . .	219
7.9	Decomposition . . . . .	220
7.10	Minimal Covering Theorem . . . . .	221
7.11	Asymptotic Results for Constant Ratio $n : N$ . . . . .	221
7.11.1	Introduction . . . . .	221

---

7.11.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	221
7.11.3	Results . . . . .	222
7.11.4	Example: Comparisons for Various $m$ and $\rho$ . . . . .	225
7.11.5	Example: Comparison with Precise Values . . . . .	225
7.12	Estimating $N$ . . . . .	227
7.12.1	Introduction . . . . .	227
7.12.2	Results . . . . .	228
7.12.3	Example: The <i>Bird-Watcher's Problem</i> . . . . .	229
7.13	Estimating $n$ . . . . .	230
7.13.1	Introduction . . . . .	230
7.13.2	Results . . . . .	230
7.13.3	Example: The <i>Bird-Watcher's Problem</i> . . . . .	232
7.14	Markov Chain for the <i>Waiting-Time Process</i> . . . . .	233
7.14.1	Introduction . . . . .	233
7.14.2	States, Absorbing States and Their Number . . . . .	233
7.14.3	Transition Probabilities . . . . .	234
7.14.4	Characteristic Equation . . . . .	236
7.14.5	Determining Distribution Properties from the Markov Chain . . . . .	237
<b>8</b>	<b>Combinatorially Derived Identities and Some Generalisations</b>	<b>238</b>
8.1	Introduction . . . . .	238
8.2	Sums of Single Binomial Coefficients . . . . .	239
8.3	Sums of Products of Pairs of Binomial Coefficients . . . . .	240
8.4	Classical Occupancy Numbers: A Recursive Relationship . . . . .	250
8.5	A Coupon Identity . . . . .	250
8.6	Identities Resulting from the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> . . . . .	251
<b>9</b>	<b>Extensions: <i>Without-Replacement</i></b>	<b>253</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	254
9.2	Waiting for a Minimum Number of Completions . . . . .	255
9.3	Taboo Sets . . . . .	255
9.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	255
9.3.2	Description . . . . .	256
9.3.3	The Upper Bound of $T$ assuming $R = 1$ . . . . .	257

---

9.3.4	The Distribution . . . . .	257
9.3.4.1	The case $r = 1, t = 1$ . . . . .	258
9.3.4.2	The case $r = 1, t \geq 1$ . . . . .	261
9.3.4.3	The Fundamental Theorem of $\Psi_1$ -Processes with <i>Taboo Sets</i> . . . . .	262
9.3.5	Example: All Elements of a Set are Taboo . . . . .	263
9.3.6	Example: Some Network Paths Before Others . . . . .	263
9.3.7	Example: Voting System . . . . .	265
9.4	Blocking - No Path Available . . . . .	266
9.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	266
9.4.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	266
9.4.3	Results . . . . .	268
9.4.4	Example: Using the <i>Decomposition Formula</i> with the <i>Minimal Blockage Covering Theorem</i> . . . . .	269
9.4.5	Example: The Effect of having $(A_i \cap A_j) \setminus G \neq \emptyset$ . . . . .	269
9.5	Incomplete Arrival Stream . . . . .	270
9.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	270
9.5.2	The Distribution . . . . .	270
9.6	Requiring Only a Partial Completion of $A$ -Sets . . . . .	271
9.6.1	Introduction . . . . .	271
9.6.2	Method 1: Applying the Fundamental Theorem . . . . .	272
9.6.3	Method 2: Employing the Standard Combinatorial Technique and the Transformation Formula . . . . .	272
9.7	Requiring Only a Partial Completion of the $G$ -set . . . . .	274
9.7.1	Introduction . . . . .	274
9.7.2	Results . . . . .	275
9.8	Batch Arrivals . . . . .	276
9.8.1	Introduction . . . . .	276
9.8.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	277
9.8.3	Results for a Single $A$ -Set . . . . .	277
9.8.4	For Batches of Size 1 . . . . .	279
9.8.5	Example: The Game <i>SET</i> : A Particular Match . . . . .	280
9.8.6	Multiple $A$ -Sets and The Fundamental Theorem of Batch $\Psi_1$ -Processes . . . . .	282
9.8.7	Example: The Game <i>SET</i> - Any Match . . . . .	283

---

9.9	Varieties (Complexes) . . . . .	284
9.9.1	Introduction . . . . .	284
9.9.2	Simultaneous Varieties . . . . .	285
9.9.3	Preliminaries . . . . .	285
9.9.4	Distribution . . . . .	286
9.9.5	Example: <i>Queueing in a Lane</i> . . . . .	287
9.9.6	Randomised Varieties . . . . .	287
<b>10</b>	<b>Extensions: <i>With-Replacement</i></b>	<b>289</b>
10.1	Introduction . . . . .	289
10.2	Taboo Sets . . . . .	289
10.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	289
10.2.2	Distribution . . . . .	290
10.2.2.1	The case $r = 1, t = 1$ . . . . .	290
10.2.2.2	The case $r = 1, t \geq 1$ . . . . .	292
10.2.2.3	The Fundamental Theorem of $\Psi_2$ -Processes with <i>Taboo Sets</i> . . . . .	294
10.3	Blocking - No Path Available . . . . .	296
<b>11</b>	<b>Global Properties: <i>Without-Replacement</i></b>	<b>297</b>
11.1	Maximum Total Wait . . . . .	299
11.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	299
11.1.2	General Formulation . . . . .	299
11.1.3	Example: Maximum Wait for A Non-Trivial Model . . . . .	301
11.1.4	Queueing in Lanes and Related Models . . . . .	302
11.1.4.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	302
11.1.4.2	Maximum Possible Wait for the Uni-Directional Model . . . . .	304
11.1.4.2.1	Arrivals at the Front Must Arrive after Arrivals for the Others within a Lane . . . . .	304
11.1.4.2.2	Independence of the Arrival Order to Non-Front Ve- hicles within a Lane . . . . .	305
11.1.4.2.3	Arrivals to Front Vehicles Occur as the Last $t$ Arrivals . . . . .	305
11.1.4.2.4	Independence of the Arrival Order to Non-Front Ve- hicles . . . . .	306
11.1.4.2.5	Independence of the Arrival Order to Front Vehicles . . . . .	307

---

11.1.4.2.6	The Maximum Total Wait . . . . .	307
11.1.4.3	Maximum Possible Wait for the Bi-Directional Model . . . . .	308
11.1.4.3.1	Arrivals at the Ends Must Arrive after Arrivals for the Others within a Lane . . . . .	308
11.1.4.3.2	Independence of the Arrival Order to Non-End Ve- hicles within a Lane . . . . .	311
11.1.4.3.3	Arrivals to End Vehicles Occur as the Last $2t$ Arrivals	311
11.1.4.3.4	Independence of the Arrival Order to Non-End Ve- hicles . . . . .	312
11.1.4.3.5	Independence of the Arrival Order to End Vehicles	313
11.1.4.3.6	The Maximum Total Wait . . . . .	313
11.2	Moments for the $\Psi_1$ -Process . . . . .	314
11.2.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	314
11.2.2	For the $\sigma$ th Arrival ( $r = 1$ ) . . . . .	315
11.2.2.1	Simplification for Component $C'_1$ . . . . .	317
11.2.2.2	Simplification for Component $C'_2$ . . . . .	318
11.2.2.3	Simplification for Component $C'_3$ . . . . .	318
11.2.2.4	Simplification for Component $C'_4$ . . . . .	319
11.2.3	For $\sigma = \rho$ ( $r = 1$ ) . . . . .	319
11.2.4	For $\sigma = 1$ ( $r = 1$ ) . . . . .	320
11.2.4.1	Mean and Variance . . . . .	323
11.2.4.1.1	Example: Expected Duration of Open Pages . . . . .	323
11.2.5	For $\sigma = 1, m = 0$ ( $r = 1$ ) . . . . .	323
11.2.5.1	Mean and Variance . . . . .	324
11.2.5.1.1	Example: Expected Duration of Cakes on Display . . . . .	325
11.2.5.1.2	Example: Expected Duration of Sock Types on the Table . . . . .	325
11.2.6	For $m = 0, \rho = N$ . . . . .	325
11.2.6.1	Mean and Variance . . . . .	326
11.2.7	For $\rho = 1$ ( $r = 1$ ) . . . . .	327
11.2.7.1	Mean and Variance for the Hauer-Templeton Model . . . . .	327
11.2.7.2	Example: A Particular Match for a Single Card in the <i>Standard Linear Game of SET</i> . . . . .	328



---

11.2.8	For $r \geq 1$ . . . . .	329
11.2.9	For $r = 2, \rho = 1$ . . . . .	329
	11.2.9.1 Example: The Car Parking Model with Bi-Directional Exits . . . . .	331
11.2.10	Completions for $A$ -Sets of Equal Size and $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	333
	11.2.10.1 Example: Parking at a 6-Lane Intersection . . . . .	336
	11.2.10.2 Example: The Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	337
11.2.11	For a Minimum Number of Completions . . . . .	338
11.2.12	Batch Arrivals: Expected Waiting Time . . . . .	339
11.3	Total Expected Wait for All Arrivals . . . . .	339
	11.3.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	339
	11.3.2 Results . . . . .	340
11.4	Moments for the Number Still Required upon Arrival . . . . .	341
11.5	Expected Completions at the $k$ th Arrival (Platoon Size) . . . . .	343
	11.5.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	343
	11.5.2 Mean . . . . .	344
	11.5.3 Variance . . . . .	345
	11.5.4 Example: Parallel Lanes . . . . .	347
	11.5.4.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	347
	11.5.4.2 With Uni-Directional Exiting . . . . .	348
	11.5.4.3 With Bi-Directional Exiting . . . . .	349
	11.5.5 Example: The Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	351
	11.5.5.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	351
	11.5.5.2 The Triads' Point of View . . . . .	351
	11.5.5.2.1 Example: $a = 2$ Attributes . . . . .	358
	11.5.5.2.2 Example: Mean and Standard Deviation at the 12th Card in <i>The Standard Game of SET</i> . . . . .	358
	11.5.5.3 The Cards' Point of View . . . . .	359
	11.5.5.3.1 Example: $a = 2$ Attributes . . . . .	361
11.6	Measures of the Dynamic State of Disjoint $G$ -Sets . . . . .	362
	11.6.1 Introduction . . . . .	362
	11.6.2 Preliminaries . . . . .	362
	11.6.2.1 Example: Bernoulli's Marriage Problem . . . . .	363
	11.6.2.2 Example: Sock-Matching with Multi-Legged Beings . . . . .	363

---

11.6.3	Means and Variances: With Multiplicities . . . . .	364
11.6.3.1	Example: Expectations for the Cake Display Problem with $N = 8$ , $\gamma = 2$ and $\rho_i \equiv 4$ . . . . .	370
11.6.4	Means and Variances: Without Multiplicities . . . . .	370
11.6.5	Example: Treating Identical Cakes as Distinguishable . . . . .	374
11.6.6	Example: Expected Numbers of Cakes and Slices on Display . . . . .	375
11.6.7	Maximum Number of Open $G$ -Sets . . . . .	382
11.6.7.1	Example: The Cake Display Problem . . . . .	383
11.6.8	Duration of the Maximum Expected Number Open . . . . .	383
11.6.8.1	Example: The Cake Display Problem . . . . .	383
11.7	Clustering of Completions of $G$ -sets: $r = 1, m = 0$ . . . . .	383
11.7.1	Introduction . . . . .	383
11.7.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	383
11.7.3	Formulae . . . . .	384
11.7.4	Example: Cake Displays and Sock-Matching . . . . .	385
11.7.5	Example: Ball-Point Pens . . . . .	385
11.8	Comparison of Expected Future Arrivals . . . . .	386
11.8.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	386
11.8.2	Rising Factorial Moments for the Numbers of Further Arrivals . . . . .	387
11.8.3	Comparing the Moments . . . . .	388
11.8.4	A Numerical Comparison of Means . . . . .	388
11.8.5	A More-General Comparison . . . . .	389
11.9	Generating Function . . . . .	393
<b>12</b>	<b>Global Properties: <i>With-Replacement</i></b> . . . . .	<b>395</b>
12.1	Moments . . . . .	396
12.1.1	Introduction . . . . .	396
12.1.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	396
12.1.3	The Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	396
12.1.3.1	Preliminary Results . . . . .	396
12.1.3.2	The Transformation Formulae . . . . .	399
12.1.3.3	The Reduced Expectation Formula . . . . .	402
12.1.4	Example: Comparison between <i>With-</i> and <i>Without-Replacement</i> . . . . .	410
12.2	The Limiting Conditional Moments as $n \rightarrow \infty$ . . . . .	411

---

12.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	411
12.2.2	Preliminary Results . . . . .	411
12.2.3	The Limit of the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	413
12.2.4	Example: <i>Coupon-Collector's Page Problem</i> : Comparison of Limits with Precise Values . . . . .	416
12.2.5	Example: First $n$ for the Conditional Expectation to be within a Given Percentage of the Limit . . . . .	417
12.2.6	Example: First $n$ for the Conditional Expectation to be within a Fixed Distance from the Limit . . . . .	418
<b>13</b>	<b>Applications: <i>Without-Replacement</i></b>	<b>420</b>
13.1	Introduction . . . . .	422
13.2	Queueing in Lanes . . . . .	423
13.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	423
13.2.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	423
	13.2.2.1 Uni-Directional Movement . . . . .	423
	13.2.2.2 Bi-Directional Movement . . . . .	424
13.2.3	Mean and Variance . . . . .	424
	13.2.3.1 Uni-Directional Movement . . . . .	424
	13.2.3.2 Bi-Directional Movement . . . . .	424
13.2.4	Expected Total Wait . . . . .	425
	13.2.4.1 Uni-Directional Movement . . . . .	425
	13.2.4.2 Bi-Directional Movement . . . . .	425
13.2.5	Parallel Lanes Waiting Times . . . . .	426
	13.2.5.1 Introduction . . . . .	426
	13.2.5.2 Preliminaries . . . . .	426
	13.2.5.3 Results . . . . .	426
13.2.6	Parking Lot: Comparison of Delays . . . . .	429
	13.2.6.1 Introduction . . . . .	429
	13.2.6.2 Individual Waiting Time . . . . .	429
	13.2.6.3 Expected Total Waiting Time . . . . .	430
	13.2.6.4 Maximum Waits . . . . .	431
13.2.7	Platoon Departure Size . . . . .	433
	13.2.7.1 Introduction . . . . .	433

---

13.2.7.2	Uni-Directional Exiting . . . . .	433
13.2.7.3	Bi-Directional Exiting . . . . .	435
13.2.7.4	Parking Lot Design . . . . .	435
13.2.8	Parallel Arrivals . . . . .	436
13.2.9	Parking Attendant . . . . .	437
13.2.9.1	Description . . . . .	437
13.2.9.2	Formulae . . . . .	438
13.2.9.3	Example . . . . .	438
13.3	Interconnected Parallel Lines . . . . .	439
13.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	439
13.3.2	Simple Interconnected Parallel Lines . . . . .	439
13.3.2.1	Example: Percolation Theory . . . . .	440
13.3.3	General Interconnected Parallel Lines . . . . .	440
13.3.3.1	Example: Construction Site Logistics . . . . .	442
13.4	No Path in a Network (Bombing Raid) . . . . .	444
13.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	444
13.4.2	Example Network . . . . .	444
13.4.3	Minimal Blockage Covering . . . . .	446
13.4.4	Blocking Probabilities . . . . .	446
13.5	2-D Gap Problem . . . . .	447
13.5.1	Introduction . . . . .	447
13.5.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	448
13.5.3	The Distribution in Terms of $\Psi_1$ -Probabilities . . . . .	453
13.5.4	Intermediary Results for the Decomposition Formula for $n = 2$ . . . . .	453
13.5.5	Decomposition Formula for $n = 2$ . . . . .	455
13.5.6	Decomposition Formula for $n \geq 2$ . . . . .	455
13.5.7	A Further Simplification for $k > 0$ . . . . .	456
13.5.8	Multiple Directions . . . . .	457
13.5.9	Mean . . . . .	458
13.5.10	Examples and Illustrations with Numerical Comparisons . . . . .	458
13.5.11	Speeding up the Calculations . . . . .	460
13.5.12	Combinatorial Identities . . . . .	461
13.6	Zig-Zagging Problems . . . . .	462

---

13.6.1	Introduction . . . . .	462
13.6.2	2-D Zig-Zag Problem . . . . .	462
13.6.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	462
13.6.2.2	Path Generation Algorithm . . . . .	463
13.6.2.2.1	General Algorithm for the Full Covering . . . . .	463
13.6.2.2.2	2-D Zig-Zag Algorithm for the Full Covering . . . . .	464
13.6.2.2.3	Producing the Minimal Covering . . . . .	466
13.6.2.3	Numbers of Paths and Calculation Times . . . . .	466
13.6.2.4	The Coverings . . . . .	466
13.6.2.4.1	g at [3,3] . . . . .	466
13.6.2.4.1.1	Full Covering . . . . .	466
13.6.2.4.1.2	Minimal Covering . . . . .	468
13.6.2.4.2	g at [2,3] . . . . .	469
13.6.2.4.2.1	Full Covering . . . . .	469
13.6.2.4.2.2	Minimal Covering . . . . .	471
13.6.2.4.3	g at [2,2] . . . . .	472
13.6.2.4.3.1	Full Covering . . . . .	472
13.6.2.4.3.2	Minimal Covering . . . . .	474
13.6.2.5	The Decomposition Coefficients . . . . .	475
13.6.2.6	Probability Distributions . . . . .	475
13.6.3	Waiting for Utilities to be Connected to Plots of Land . . . . .	477
13.6.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	477
13.6.3.2	The Paths . . . . .	477
13.6.3.3	Formulae for the Expectations . . . . .	478
13.6.3.4	Formulae for the Platoon Sizes . . . . .	479
13.6.3.5	Results . . . . .	480
13.6.4	3-D Zig-Zag Problem - Parked Flying Saucers . . . . .	480
13.6.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	480
13.6.4.2	Path Generation Algorithm for Minimal Paths . . . . .	483
13.6.4.3	Numbers of Paths . . . . .	484
13.6.4.4	Minimal Coverings . . . . .	485
13.6.4.5	The 35 Shortest, Longest and Random Paths Used . . . . .	485
13.6.4.5.1	The Shortest Paths Used . . . . .	485

---

13.6.4.5.2	The Longest Paths Used . . . . .	486
13.6.4.5.3	The Randomly-Selected Paths Used . . . . .	487
13.6.4.6	Approximate Probability Distributions . . . . .	488
13.6.4.7	Estimated Time Requirements . . . . .	490
13.7	The Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	490
13.7.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	490
13.7.2	Waiting Time for a Particular Match for a Specific Card . . . . .	491
13.7.2.1	The Standard Linear Game . . . . .	491
13.7.2.2	The Standard Batch Game . . . . .	491
13.7.3	Waiting Time for Any Match for a Specific Card . . . . .	491
13.7.3.1	The Standard Linear Game . . . . .	491
13.7.3.2	The Standard Batch Game . . . . .	492
13.7.4	Number of Triads at the $k$ th Card . . . . .	492
13.7.5	Number of Triads in $K$ Cards . . . . .	493
13.8	Cake Display Problem: Distinct Cakes . . . . .	495
13.8.1	Introduction . . . . .	495
13.8.2	Expected Display Time . . . . .	495
13.8.3	Tail Probabilities . . . . .	496
13.8.4	Expected Number of Cakes and Slices on Display . . . . .	497
13.8.5	Expected Duration for having $\geq \tau$ Cakes with $\geq \mu$ Slices on Display . . . . .	498
13.8.6	Clustering of Completions . . . . .	500
13.9	Cake Display Problem: Cakes with Multiplicities . . . . .	500
13.9.1	Introduction . . . . .	500
13.9.2	Expected Display Time . . . . .	500
13.9.3	Tail Probabilities . . . . .	502
13.9.4	Expected Number of Cakes and Slices on Display . . . . .	502
13.10	Cake Display Problem: Comparison of <i>Distinct Cakes</i> with <i>Cakes with Multiplicities</i> . . . . .	503
13.11	Ball-Point Pens and the Rush of Completions . . . . .	503
<b>14</b>	<b>Applications: <i>With-Replacement</i></b> . . . . .	<b>505</b>
14.1	Introduction . . . . .	505
14.2	The Bird-Watcher's Problem . . . . .	506
14.3	No Path in a Network (Bombing Raid) . . . . .	506
14.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	506

---

14.3.2	Decomposition Coefficients . . . . .	508
14.3.3	Blocking Probabilities . . . . .	509
<b>15</b>	<b>Numerical Analysis</b>	<b>510</b>
15.1	Introduction . . . . .	511
15.2	Comparisons for the $\Psi_1$ -Process . . . . .	512
15.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	512
15.2.2	Count of Operations . . . . .	512
15.2.3	Execution Times . . . . .	520
15.2.4	Improvements by Application of the <i>Decomposition Formula</i> . . . . .	520
15.2.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	520
15.2.4.2	Improvements for the <i>2-D Zig-Zag Problem</i> . . . . .	520
15.2.4.3	Improvements for the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> . . . . .	520
15.2.5	Improvements by Application of the <i>Minimal Covering Theorem</i> . . . . .	521
15.3	Comparisons for the $\Psi_2$ -Process . . . . .	521
15.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	521
15.3.2	Count of Operations . . . . .	522
15.3.3	Digits of Accuracy . . . . .	524
15.3.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	524
15.3.3.2	Example: The Bird-Watcher's Problem . . . . .	524
15.3.4	Execution Times . . . . .	525
15.3.5	Timings versus the Number of Digits of Accuracy . . . . .	526
<b>16</b>	<b>Testing the Randomness of Sequences</b>	<b>528</b>
16.1	Introduction . . . . .	529
16.2	Tests Based on the $\Psi_1$ -Distribution . . . . .	529
16.2.1	Introduction . . . . .	529
16.2.2	Example Data . . . . .	529
16.2.3	$\Psi_1$ Permutation Test . . . . .	530
16.2.4	$\Psi_1$ Chi-Square Test . . . . .	532
16.3	Cake Display Tests . . . . .	532
16.3.1	Introduction . . . . .	532
16.3.2	Example Data . . . . .	532
16.3.3	Displayed-Cake Test . . . . .	534

---

16.3.4	Un sliced-Cake Test . . . . .	535
16.3.5	Eaten-Cake Test . . . . .	535
16.4	Tests Based on the $\Psi_2$ -Distribution . . . . .	536
16.4.1	Introduction . . . . .	536
16.4.2	Bird-Watcher’s Test . . . . .	536
16.4.3	Example: The Decimal Digits of $\pi$ . . . . .	536
<b>17</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>538</b>
17.1	Introduction . . . . .	539
17.2	Accomplishments . . . . .	539
17.3	Future Directions . . . . .	542
17.3.1	Direct Determination of the Decomposition Formulae for the <i>Zig-Zag</i> and Other Problems . . . . .	542
17.3.2	Combined Model for all Variants of the Processes . . . . .	543
17.3.3	<i>With-Replacement</i> Sampling for the <i>Static</i> and <i>Dynamic</i> Models . . . . .	543
17.3.4	<i>With-Replacement</i> Generalisations, Extensions and Variations . . . . .	543
17.3.5	Balancing Overall Waiting Time in a Car Parking Lot . . . . .	543
17.3.6	Optimising Arrival Times in order to Minimise Waiting Times . . . . .	543
17.3.7	Dynamic Distributions . . . . .	544
17.3.8	Replacing Completed Sets or Introducing New Sets . . . . .	544
17.3.8.1	Cake Display Problem with Immediate Replacements . . . . .	544
17.3.8.2	Learning Model . . . . .	544
17.3.8.3	Hash Tables . . . . .	545
17.3.9	Balanced Allocations . . . . .	545
17.3.10	Renewal Theory . . . . .	545
17.3.11	Continuous Analogue . . . . .	545
17.3.12	Measures of the Dynamic State of Disjoint <i>G</i> -Sets . . . . .	545
17.3.13	Batch Arrivals for $\Psi_2$ -Process . . . . .	545
17.3.14	Extending Batch Arrivals: Cake Display Problem with Batch Orders . . . . .	546
17.3.15	Batch Arrivals with Limited Patterns . . . . .	546
17.3.16	Batch Arrivals with a Probability Distribution on Size . . . . .	546
17.3.17	The Use of Sums of Random Variables to Determine Moments . . . . .	546
17.3.18	Recurrence Relationships . . . . .	546
17.3.19	Joint Distributions . . . . .	547



**Contents**

---

17.3.20	Clustering of Completions . . . . .	547
17.3.21	Globally Independent Increments . . . . .	547
17.3.22	Approximations . . . . .	547
17.3.22.1	The Zig-Zag Problem . . . . .	547
17.3.22.2	The Bird-Watcher’s Problem . . . . .	548
17.3.22.3	Pearson’s Method of Moments . . . . .	548
17.4	Finale . . . . .	548
<b>Bibliography</b>		<b>549</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	The 2-D Gap Problem . . . . .	20
2.2	Cake Display Problem (Queues of Cakes Waiting to be Eaten) . . . . .	39
2.3	Example: 2-D Zig-Zag Problem . . . . .	47
2.4	Percolation Theory . . . . .	53
6.1	Example: The Likelihood Function for the HT-Model with $m = 2$ : $100f(N)$ . . .	159
6.2	Likelihood for the Number of Skeletal Bones: $\rho = 7, k = 300$ . . . . .	162
6.3	Example: A Markov Chain for the Waiting-Time Process . . . . .	174
7.1	Minimum Number of Coupons for the Required Probability of Filing. . . . .	207
7.2	Likelihood Function for $N$ using the Limiting Distribution for $k = \infty$ . . . . .	230
7.3	Likelihood Function for $n$ using the Limiting Distribution for $k = \infty$ . . . . .	232
9.1	Network for a Taboo Problem . . . . .	263
11.1	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Unique Types . . . . .	376
11.2	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Non-Unique Types . . . . .	376
11.3	The Ratio $F_1 = E[Z_2]/E[Z_1]$ for Small Lane Lengths, $s$ . . . . .	389
12.1	Minimum $n$ for $E_1$ to be within a Percentage $p$ of $E_1^*$ . . . . .	418
12.2	Minimum $n$ for $E_1$ to be within $\varepsilon$ of $E_1^*$ . . . . .	419
13.1	Platoon Size for Uni-Directional Exits: $s = 2, \dots, 6$ . . . . .	434
13.2	Platoon Size for Bi-Directional Exits: $s = 2, \dots, 6$ . . . . .	435
13.3	Parking Lot Platoon Size for Uni-Directional Exits: $s = 2, \dots, 6$ . . . . .	436
13.4	Parking Lot Platoon Size for Bi-Directional Exits: $s = 2, \dots, 6$ . . . . .	436
13.5	Parking Lot Platoon Size Comparison: $s' = 4, s = 2s'$ . . . . .	437
13.6	Example: The Network for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . . . .	444

---

**List of Figures**

13.7	Example: The Path Tree for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . . . .	445
13.8	Expected Platoon Size: Zig-Zag model . . . . .	481
13.9	Expected Platoon Size: Rook model . . . . .	482
13.10	Expected Platoon Size: Bi-Directional model . . . . .	482
13.11	Expected Number of Triads Formed by the $k$ 'th Card . . . . .	492
13.12	The Game SET: Expected Number of Sets in $K$ Cards . . . . .	494
13.13	The Game SET: Variance of the Number of Sets in $K$ Cards . . . . .	494
13.14	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Unique Types . . . . .	504
13.15	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Non-Unique Types . . . . .	504
14.1	Bird-Watcher's Problem: Expectations versus $n$ . . . . .	507
14.2	Bird-Watcher's Problem: Times versus $n$ . . . . .	507
16.1	Distribution Function for the Permutation Test Based on the $\Psi_1$ -Process . . . . .	531

# List of Tables

2.1	Comparison of Parameters for the Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	46
3.1	Example Names of Elements . . . . .	64
3.2	Example Group Names for Elements . . . . .	65
3.3	Example Names for Observations . . . . .	66
3.4	Word Usage for Observations . . . . .	69
4.1	Example: Bonferroni's Bounds for a Simple Problem . . . . .	81
4.2	Numerators for the Total Probability using Bonferroni's Inequalities . . . . .	81
4.3	$P(T = 0)$ using Bonferroni's Inequalities . . . . .	82
6.1	Expected Waits for a Medical Alert . . . . .	124
6.2	Decomposition Coefficients with Counts of Occurrences for the Centre Cell, $(3, 3)$ . . . . .	140
6.3	Convergence in the 2-D Zig-Zag Problem: $P(T = 0)$ . . . . .	147
6.4	Convergence in the 2-D Zig-Zag Problem: $P(T = 100)$ . . . . .	148
6.5	Maximum Likelihood Estimates for the Number of Skeletons . . . . .	163
6.6	Example: Markov Chain Transition States . . . . .	175
6.7	Example: Transition Matrix for the Markov Chain of the Waiting-Time Process . . . . .	176
6.8	4-Step Transition Probabilities for the Markov Chain . . . . .	176
6.9	Probability Distribution Determined by the Markov Chain . . . . .	176
6.10	Example of the State of $G$ -sets: Transition Matrix for Parking in a Lane . . . . .	195
7.1	Example: A With-Replacement Distribution . . . . .	206
7.2	Minimum Value of $n$ for the Required Probability of Filing. . . . .	207
7.3	Example: Coupon Collector's Page Display Problem: $N = 100, \rho = 10$ . . . . .	211
7.4	Example: Multiple $A$ -sets: Waiting-Times and Counts based on Arrival Sequences . . . . .	219
7.5	Example: Multiple $A$ -sets: Waiting-Times based on the Fundamental Theorem . . . . .	219

## List of Tables

7.6	Example: Asymptotic Values: $\rho = 1$ . . . . .	226
7.7	Example: Asymptotic Values: $\rho = 2$ . . . . .	226
7.8	Relative Errors due to using the Limiting Distribution . . . . .	227
9.1	Example: Taboo Probabilities: Terms in the Sum . . . . .	265
9.2	Example: Taboo Probabilities . . . . .	266
9.3	No Path in a Network: Blockage Sets . . . . .	269
9.4	$\#(T = k)$ for Waiting-Times for a Specific Card and a Particular Match . . . . .	282
9.5	Waiting-Time Distribution for the Batch Game based on All Matches . . . . .	284
9.6	Queueing in a Lane with Varieties . . . . .	287
11.1	Three Models to Illustrate the Calculation of Maximum Waits . . . . .	302
11.2	Maxima for Model (a) . . . . .	302
11.3	Maxima for Model (b) . . . . .	302
11.4	Maxima for Model (c) . . . . .	303
11.5	Example: Parking at a 6-Lane Intersection . . . . .	337
11.6	Standard Deviations for Waiting Times in the Game <i>SET</i> . . . . .	338
11.7	Expectations and Standard Deviations for the Cumulative Completions in the <i>Standard Game of SET</i> with $a = 2$ Attributes . . . . .	358
11.8	Expectations for the <i>Standard Game of SET</i> with $a = 2$ Attributes . . . . .	361
11.9	Example: <i>With-Multiplicity</i> Means: Cake Displays and Sock-Sorting for $\gamma = 2, \rho =$ $4, d = 2$ . . . . .	371
11.10	Example: <i>Without-Multiplicity</i> Means: Cake Displays and Sock-Sorting for $\gamma =$ $4, \rho = 2, d = 2$ . . . . .	374
11.11	Example: <i>Without-Multiplicity</i> Means: Cake Displays and Sock-Sorting for $\gamma =$ $2, \rho = 4, d = 4$ . . . . .	375
11.12	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Unique Types . . . . .	377
11.13	Expected Numbers of Cakes for Non-Unique Types . . . . .	379
11.14	Example of Clustering of Completions: Cake Displays and Sock-Sorting . . . . .	385
11.15	Example: Ball-Point Pens Completed on the Last of 100 Days. . . . .	386
12.1	Parameters for the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	409
12.2	Boundary Values for the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	410
12.3	Example: Comparison of Means between <i>With-</i> and <i>Without-Replacement</i> . . . . .	410
12.4	Parameters for the Limit of the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	415

## List of Tables

12.5	Boundary Values for the Limit of the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . .	415
12.6	Expressions involving $\zeta$ for the Limit of the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments	415
12.7	Positive Limit Terms for the Conditional Rising Factorial Moments . . . . .	416
12.8	Comparison of Limits with Actual Values . . . . .	417
12.9	Example of First $n$ to be within a Percentage of the Maximum . . . . .	417
12.10	Example of First $n$ to be within a Fixed Distance from the Maximum . . . . .	418
13.1	Example: Expected Total Waiting Times for a Single Lane: $N = 6, 12$ and $24$ . .	432
13.2	Example: Expected Total Waiting Times for a Single Lane: $N = 100$ . . . . .	432
13.3	Expected Total Waiting Times in a Parking Lot . . . . .	433
13.4	Construction Mean Waits: All in One Pile, Pile Size Fixed . . . . .	443
13.5	Construction Mean Waits: In 3 Piles, Various Pile Sizes . . . . .	444
13.6	Construction Mean Waits: Various Numbers in a Pile, Pile Sizes Fixed . . . . .	444
13.7	Example: Blocking Probabilities for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . . . .	446
13.8	Example: Reduction Counts for the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : $\Lambda_j(3, 2, (2, 3), 2)$ . . . .	449
13.9	Example: Reduction Counts for the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : $\Lambda_j(3, 2, (2, 3), 3)$ . . . .	449
13.10	Example: Reduction Counts for the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : Possible Collections of 3-tuples for $\lambda_1 = 2$ and $\lambda_2 = 3$ . . . . .	449
13.11	Example: <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : $m_i$ . . . . .	460
13.12	Example: <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : $P_L(n)$ . . . . .	460
13.13	Example: <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : Results for larger values of $L$ and $n$ . . . . .	460
13.14	Example: <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> : Numbers of Terms: $L = 4, n = 5$ . . . . .	461
13.15	2-D Zig-Zag Execution Times for Different Starting Positions . . . . .	466
13.16	Decomposition Coefficients for the <i>2-D Zig-Zag Problem</i> . . . . .	476
13.17	Probabilities for the <i>2-D Zig-Zag Problem</i> . . . . .	476
13.18	Waiting for Utilities: Expected Waiting Times . . . . .	480
13.19	Waiting for Utilities: Expected Platoon Size at the $k$ 'th Arrival . . . . .	481
13.20	3-D Zig-Zag Number of Paths in Coverings . . . . .	484
13.21	3-D Zig-Zag Path Length Counts . . . . .	485
13.22	3-D Zig-Zag Convergence for: $P(T = 0)$ . . . . .	489
13.23	3-D Zig-Zag Convergence for $P(T = 100)$ . . . . .	489
13.24	Expected Number of Triads in $K$ Cards . . . . .	493
13.25	Approximate Confidence Intervals for the Number of Triads in 12 Cards . . . . .	494
13.26	Chebyshev's Tail Probabilities for Distinct Cakes . . . . .	497

## List of Tables

13.27	A Cake Display Process (without multiplicities): $\gamma = 6, \rho = 3$ . . . . .	497
13.28	A Cake Display Process (without multiplicities): $\gamma = 3, \rho = 6$ . . . . .	498
13.29	Demonstration of Uniform Expansion of Periods as $\tau$ Decreases . . . . .	499
13.30	Periods for Expected Number of Cakes on Display with $\geq \mu$ Slices . . . . .	499
13.31	Periods for Cakes with Many Slices on Display . . . . .	500
13.32	Chebyshev's Tail Probabilities for Multiple Cakes . . . . .	502
13.33	A Cake Display Process (with multiplicities): $\gamma = 6, \rho = 3$ . . . . .	503
14.1	Bird-Watcher's Problem: Probabilities, Expectations and Calculation Times . . .	506
14.2	Contributions to the Decomposition Coefficients for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . .	508
14.3	The Decomposition Coefficients for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . . . .	508
14.4	Example: Blocking Probabilities for <i>No Path in a Network</i> . . . . .	509
15.1	Comparison of Counts: $C_1^+$ vs $C_2^+$ for $(N, m, \rho, k) = (10000, 2, 2, 5000)$ . . . . .	519
15.2	Comparison of Execution Times: $f_1$ vs $f_2$ for $(N, m, \rho) = (10000, 2, 2)$ . . . . .	520
15.3	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(50, 50, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	522
15.4	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(50, 92, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	522
15.5	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(50, 500, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	523
15.6	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(50, 5000, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	523
15.7	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(1000, 500, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	523
15.8	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(1000, 500, 20, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	523
15.9	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(1000, 500, 10, 20, 5)$ . . . . .	523
15.10	Operation Counts (in 1,000's) for $\Psi_2(1000, 10000, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	524
15.11	Accuracy for Digits: $N = 100$ . . . . .	524
15.12	Accuracy for Digits: $N = 1000$ . . . . .	525
15.13	Timings versus Digits of Accuracy for $(100, n, 10, 10, 5)$ . . . . .	527
15.14	Comparison of Times (in seconds) for the Reduced Conditional Expectation using 20 Digits . . . . .	527
15.15	Comparison of Times (in seconds) for the Reduced Conditional Expectation using 50 Digits . . . . .	527
16.1	Example: Arrival Sequence for a $\Psi_1$ -Process . . . . .	530
16.2	Cumulative Distribution Function for the Permutation Test Based on the $\Psi_1$ -Process	531
16.3	Example: Cake Display Test with $\gamma = 5$ . . . . .	533
16.4	Example: Cake Display Test with $\gamma = 2$ . . . . .	534

# General Notation and Conventions

Symbol	Meaning
$\mathbb{Z}^+$	the set of non-negative integers.
$\sim$	<i>is asymptotically approximately equal to.</i>
$\simeq$	<i>is calculated to be approximately equal to.</i>
$\equiv$	(a) <i>is equal to</i> for all relevant values of the index or indices; e.g. $m_i \equiv m$ means $m_i = m \forall i$ and $A_i \cap A_j \equiv G$ means $A_i \cap A_j = G$ for all $i \neq j$ .  (b) <i>is defined as</i> for all relevant values of the index; e.g. $\beta_u \equiv  B_u $ means $\beta_u \stackrel{def}{=}  B_u  \forall u$ .
$\leftarrow$	<i>is assigned the value of</i> , usually for substitution of variables in formulae.
$\rightarrow$	<i>tends to or has the limit.</i>
$\forall$	<i>for all.</i>
$o(g(N))$	Landau's little-o of $N$ : $f(N) = o(g(N))$ means for each constant $c > 0$ there exists a constant $k(c) > 0$ such that $0 \leq f(N) < cg(N)$ for all $N \geq k$ .
$O(g(N))$	Landau's big-O of $N$ : $f(N) = O(g(N))$ means there exists constants $c$ and $k$ such that $0 \leq f(N) \leq cg(N)$ for all $N \geq k$ .



---

$[x]_\ell$	The $\ell$ th rising factorial of $x$ .
$(x)_\ell$	The $\ell$ th falling factorial of $x$ .
$\delta_{i,j}$	Kronecker's delta function.
$0^0$	will be taken as 1 for convenience of representation of formulae.
$ B $	the number of elements in the set $B$ .
$\#$	The number of ways in which an event can occur; e.g. $\#(T = k)$ .
$\binom{N}{n}$	(a) the binomial coefficient.  (b) the number of ways of choosing $n$ objects from $N$ distinct objects without repetition.  (c) for $n \geq 0$ , defined to be $\frac{\binom{N}{n}}{n!}$ .
$\binom{N}{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r}$	the multinomial coefficient.
$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right\}$	(a) the Stirling number of second kind.  (b) the number of ways of partitioning a set of $n$ elements into $i$ non-empty subsets.  (c) the coefficients in the factorial polynomial form of a power, with $k^n = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right\} (k)_i$ .
$\dot{\cup}_{i=1}^r$	disjoint union.
$C^+$	a count of the number of addition-like operations in a formula, where $C$ is any letter of an alphabet.

---

$C^\times$	a count of the number of multiplication-like operations in a formula, where $C$ is any letter of an alphabet.
$\mathcal{C}_\ell^s$	same as $\{s\}_\ell$ ; see $\{n\}_i$ .
$f(x) _{[x^\lambda]}$	coefficient of $x^k$ in the Maclaurin expansion of $f(x)$ .
$\ (g, a, s, k)\ $	The norm $\ (g, a, s, k)\ $ is either equal to $g + a + s$ or $g + a + s + k$ for a valid state, $(g, a, s, k)$ , in a Markov Chain for a standard $\Psi_1$ -process or $\Psi_2$ -process, respectively, with $r = 1$ , $\sigma = \rho$ and $\alpha = m$ .
<i>iff</i>	<i>if and only if.</i>
$\dot{r}$	the dot above a letter indicates the sum of indexed values of the variable; e.g. $\dot{r} = \sum_{i=1}^{\gamma} r_i$ .
$\mathcal{S}_i^{(n)}$	(a) the Stirling numbers of first kind.  (b) the number of ways to partition $i$ objects into $n$ non-empty parts and arrange the members of each part around a circle, where the order of the objects around the circle must be taken into account.  (c) the coefficients in the polynomial expansion of a factorial polynomial, with $(k)_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathcal{S}_i^{(n)} k^i$ .
$\Delta^m$	the $m$ th finite difference operator.
$\Delta^{-m}$	the $m$ th inverse finite difference operator.
$\chi^2$	test statistic for the $\chi^2$ distribution.

$\chi_\nu^2$        $\chi^2$ -distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom.

$\chi_{\nu,\alpha}^2$       upper  $\alpha$ th percentile of the  $\chi_\nu^2$  distribution.

# Glossary

The most-commonly-used symbols and their most-commonly-used usage are provided here.

Symbol	Meaning
$\Psi$ -distribution	the probability distribution function for a $\Psi$ -process.
$\Psi$ -number	the number of ways in which the arrivals can occur in order to produce the specified waiting time in a $\Psi$ -process.
$\Psi$ -probability	the probability distribution function or a value thereof for a $\Psi$ -process.
$\Psi$ -process	(a) a <i>new</i> occupancy urn model in which we have a sequence of urns and throw the balls into them one by one until the appearance of a specified configuration occurs <i>after or at the same time as an initial specified configuration occurs</i> .  (b) a $\Psi$ -process is a <i>waiting-time</i> random process in which the waiting time is measured from the instant the process has first visited at least the $\sigma$ th element of $G$ to the instant it has first visited at least $\omega$ elements of $G$ and $\alpha_i$ elements of $A_i \setminus G$ for at least $q$ elements $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ but not $\beta_u$ elements of $B_u$ for at most $w$ $B$ -sets, $B_u$ , $u \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ .

- 
- $\Psi_1$ -process            a *without-replacement*  $\Psi$ -process.
- $\Psi_2$ -process            a *with-replacement*  $\Psi$ -process.
- able to leave*            in a *taboo*  $\Psi$ -process with  $r$   $A$ -sets and  $t$   $B$ -sets, a  $G$ -set  $G$  is *able to leave* when at least  $\omega$  distinct elements of  $G$  and at least  $\alpha_{i_j}$  distinct elements of at least  $q$  corresponding sets  $A_{i_j} \setminus G$ ,  $j \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  have arrived, and at most  $w$   $B$ -sets have at least  $\beta_u$  distinct elements of  $B_u$  with arrivals. If not a *taboo* model, then omit all conditions that refer to  $B$ -sets in the above sentence.
- alternative*            In the *2-D Gap Problem*, an *alternative* at gap  $\ell$  is said to occur for a collection of paths  $\{A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s}\}$  if  $|\{A_{i_1}(\ell), \dots, A_{i_s}(\ell)\}| \geq 2$ . When  $|\{A_{i_1}(\ell), \dots, A_{i_s}(\ell)\}| = \lambda \geq 2$  there is said to be  $\lambda$  alternatives at gap  $\ell$ . When  $|\{A_{i_1}(\ell), \dots, A_{i_s}(\ell)\}| = 1$  there is said to be *no alternative* at gap  $\ell$ .
- $A$ -set                    a subset of  $\mathcal{N}$ ; called a *required set*. In the new *waiting-time* model, measuring the wait ends when one or more  $A$ -sets are completed (or, in the more-general case, partially completed).
- batch*                    a collection of one or more arrivals at an instant.
- in the game *SET*, the game will be called *batch* if it is not *linear*.
- Bird-Watcher's Expectation*            in the *Bird-Watcher's Problem*, this is the expected waiting time for the completion of pages one and two, measured from the sighting of the 5th unique bird on page 2, conditional on completing both pages.

---

<i>Bird-Watcher's Probability</i>	in the <i>Bird-Watcher's Problem</i> , this is the probability of completing pages 1 and 2.
<i>blockage covering</i>	given a $G$ -set $G$ and $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ a collection of $t > 0$ blockage sets $\mathfrak{B} = \{B_1, \dots, B_t\}$ is defined to be a <i>blockage covering</i> of the $G$ -set $G$ for $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ if for any blockage set $B'$ of $G$ for $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ there exists $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ s.t. $B' \supseteq B$ .
<i>blockage set</i>	given a $G$ -set $G$ and $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , a set $B$ is defined to be a <i>blockage set of <math>G</math> for <math>A</math>-sets <math>A_1, \dots, A_r</math></i> if $B \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^r A_i \setminus G$ and contains at least one element from each set $A_i$ .
<i>blocking model</i>	a $\Psi$ -process in which success can be obtained only if at most $w$ <i>taboo</i> sets have been completed.
<i>blocking probabilities</i>	the probability of a $\Psi$ -process not able to complete due more than $w$ <i>taboo</i> sets having been completed.
<i>blocking sets</i>	see <i>blockage set</i> .
<i>Bonferroni's Inequalities</i>	upper and lower bounds for the probability of a union of events that truncates the inclusion-exclusion formula to odd and even numbers of summation terms, respectively.
<i>bounded partition</i>	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , this is an $(L, \ell, \lambda, n, d, b)$ - <i>partition</i> (q.v.).
<i>B-set</i>	a subset of $\mathcal{N} \setminus (G \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i)$ ; called a <i>taboo set</i> . In the new <i>waiting-time</i> model, if all elements of $B$ have been visited prior to at least one $A$ -set being completed, then measuring the wait ends and it is considered impossible for the $G$ -set to leave.

- 
- classical occupancy problem* (Boltzmann-Maxwell statistics)  $r$  indistinguishable balls are distributed among  $n$  cells and all of the  $n^r$  possible distributions have equal probability.
- classical occupancy urn model* see *classical occupancy problem*.
- complete match* all elements in a  $d$ -tuple of elements of a  $G$ -set have an arrival.
- conditional rising factorial moments* in a  $\Psi_2$ -process, these are the rising factorial moments for the waiting time of an arrival for the  $G$ -set given that both the  $G$ -set and at least one  $A$ -set completes; extensions to the more-general models are also included.
- covered* a blockage set  $B$  is said to be *covered* by a blockage set  $B^*$  if  $B^* \subset B$ .
- covering* a subset of  $A$ -sets that can be used in the *fundamental formulae* to produce an identical result for a model.
- decomposition* the property of linear independence of a collection of functions is used to write complex expressions as a unique linear sum of those functions. In this thesis, this corresponds to writing the *fundamental formula* as a linear combination of distinct  $\Psi$ -numbers.
- decomposition coefficient* the coefficient of a function when a formula is expressed in its *decomposition* form.
- dynamic model* an *occupancy urn model* in which there is a sequence of urns into which balls are thrown one by one at random, and the sequence of configurations is considered.

---

<i>empty match</i>	no arrivals have occurred for a $d$ -tuple of elements of a $G$ -set.
<i>first kind</i>	associated with a <i>without-replacement</i> $\Psi$ -process.
<i>full covering</i>	the complete collection of model-related $A$ -sets associated with a particular $G$ -set.
<i>Fundamental Formula</i>	the result of the <i>Fundamental Theorem</i> .
<i>Fundamental Theorem</i>	a theorem that provides a way to write the probability of at least $t$ events occurring in $\Psi$ -processes in terms of single events. It is both <i>fundamental</i> to the determination of distributions and moments for non-trivial cases and is also <i>fundamental</i> in the sense that it is a crucial piece of knowledge in the theory of the new <i>waiting-time</i> models.
<i>gaps with alternatives</i>	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , there are said to be $\ell$ <i>gaps with alternatives</i> if $\ell$ of the $L$ gaps have at least 2 <i>alternatives</i> and the remaining $L - \ell$ gaps have <i>no alternative</i> .
<i>G-set</i>	a subset of $\mathcal{N}$ . In the new <i>waiting-time</i> model, measuring the wait begins from the completion of (or partial completion of) a $G$ -set.
<i>limiting conditional rising factorial moments</i>	the limit of the <i>conditional rising factorial moments</i> as $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
<i>linear</i>	in the game <i>SET</i> , the game will be called <i>linear</i> if the cards are placed on the table one at a time.



---

$(L, \ell, \lambda, n, d, b)$ - <i>partition</i>	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , given positive integers $L$ and $n$ , which are the numbers of gaps and lanes, respectively, and non-negative integers $\ell$ , $\lambda$ , $d$ and $b$ with $\ell \leq L$ and $b\ell \leq \lambda \leq n\ell$ , an $(L, \ell, \lambda, n, d, b)$ - <i>partition</i> is a collection of $L$ numbers of which $L - \ell$ of them are set to $d$ , the other $\ell$ of them are bounded below by $b$ , are bounded above by $n$ and sum to $\lambda$ .
<i>minimal blockage covering</i>	a <i>blockage covering</i> , $\mathfrak{B}$ , is a <i>minimal blockage covering</i> if $ \mathfrak{B}  \leq  \mathfrak{B}' $ for any other blockage covering, $\mathfrak{B}'$ .
<i>Minimal Blockage Covering Theorem</i>	a theorem that provides a way to write the new waiting-time distribution for $T_B(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ as a $\Psi$ -distribution with parameters being the unions of <i>blockage sets</i> of a <i>minimal blockage covering</i> and the $G$ -set $G$ .
<i>minimal covering</i>	the minimal collection of $A$ -sets that can be used in the <i>fundamental formulae</i> or the <i>minimal covering theorem for platoons</i> to produce the required probability for a model.
<i>Minimal Covering Theorem for Platoons</i>	provides a way to reduce the number of $A$ -sets involved in the calculation of the platoon-size distribution by eliminating redundant $A$ -sets.
<i>MLE</i>	maximum likelihood estimate.
$(N, m, \rho)$ - <i>sequence</i>	in the standard $\Psi_1$ -process, a sequence of $m$ elements of $A \setminus G$ , $\rho$ of $G$ and $N - m - \rho$ of $S$ .
<i>noset</i>	in the game <i>SET</i> , a set of cards with no triad amongst them.

---

<i>on Athlon</i>	indicates that a computer program was run on a 100-mega-flop 1.2 GHz Athlon-based computer to obtain results, in particular, timing results.
<i>on Celeron</i>	indicates a computer program was run on a 466 MHz Celeron II-based computer to obtain results, in particular, timing results.
<i>partial match</i>	between 1 and $d - 1$ elements of a $d$ -tuple of elements of a $G$ -set have an arrival.
<i>platoon</i>	when an arrival occurs, the group of cells of all $G$ -sets that are considered complete and <i>able to leave</i> is called a <i>platoon</i> .
<i>platoon size</i>	the size of a <i>platoon</i> when an arrival occurs.
<i>Principle of Inclusion and Exclusion for the Mini-Max</i>	given $r$ events $E_1, \dots, E_r$ and a non-negative integral function $f$ , $P(\min_{\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} \max_{i \in \{i_1, \dots, i_t\}} f(E_i) = k) = \sum_{s=t}^r (-1)^{s-1} \binom{s-1}{t-1} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s} P(\max_{j \in \{1, \dots, s\}} f(E_{i_j}) = k).$
<i>randomised varieties</i>	a process with <i>varieties</i> in which there is no restriction on the number or type of varieties that can arrive simultaneously.
<i>reduced formula</i>	there are some alternative expressions for formulae that have been produced in order to speed up the calculations and also enable the determination of much simpler forms for the rising factorial moments; these are also more appealing due to their relative simplicity. These alternative formulae are referred to as either <i>simplified</i> or <i>reduced</i> formulae or expressions. Some of these expressions are far more complicated in immediate appearance, but are still referred to as <i>simplified</i> , because they allow finding closed-forms for the sum that produces the rising factorial moments and because there are orders-of-magnitude less calculations to be performed.

---

<i>reduction numbers</i>	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , consider $\ell$ specific gaps $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ that have corresponding particular alternatives with counts $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ , with each $\lambda_\alpha \geq 2$ , $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . For $j \in \{0, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell - \ell\}$ and $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , let $r_\alpha \in \{0, \dots, \lambda_\alpha - 1\}$ satisfy $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\ell} r_\alpha = j$ . When $j$ corresponds to the index in $\Lambda_j(n, \ell, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, s)$ (q.v), the $r_\alpha$ 's are called <i>reduction numbers</i> .
<i>required set</i>	see <i>A-set</i> .
<i>second kind</i>	associated with <i>with-replacement</i> $\Psi$ -processes.
<i>simplified formulae</i>	see <i>reduced formulae</i> .
<i>simultaneous varieties</i>	a process in which each arrival for each <i>variety</i> occurs simultaneously at each of the arrival-points and whose arrival streams are independent of each other.
<i>standard <math>\Psi</math>-process</i>	a $\Psi$ -process in which there are no <i>taboo</i> sets.
<i>static process</i>	an <i>occupancy urn model</i> in which we have a sequence of urns and throw balls into them at random, and look at the final configuration.
<i>taboo model</i>	a modification of the new <i>waiting-time</i> model in which the completion of <i>taboo</i> sets prevents the <i>G-set</i> from ever leaving.
<i>taboo set</i>	see <i>B-set</i> .
<i>transformation formula</i>	a specific combinatorial identity that is used to convert sums of products of two binomials to an alternative form that is more efficient and also enables a much-simplified version of moments to be found.

---

<i>varieties</i>	when each of $N$ urns has $v$ distinct attributes or locations in which a ball may be placed, a process is said to be <i>with varieties</i> .
<i>waiting-time process</i>	an <i>occupancy urn model</i> in which we have a sequence of urns and throw balls into them at random, and wait until the appearance of a specified configuration; the new <i>waiting-time</i> process begins counting <i>after or at the same time as an initial specified configuration occurs</i> .
<i>with multiplicities</i>	this term refers to a model in which a $G$ -set can have multiple partial completions (or matches), with specified counts determining the partial completion sets.
<i>without multiplicities</i>	this term refers to a model in which only an entire $G$ -set is considered to be a match.

# Symbols

Only the most-commonly-used symbols and their most-commonly-used usage are provided here.

Symbol	Meaning
$\otimes$	in the Markov Chain for the static model, indicates when at least one of a $G$ -set's corresponding $r$ $A$ -sets, $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r$ has completed.
$\bigoplus_{(\nu, \mu)} f(\nu, \mu)$	an operator that is an abbreviation for a specific double-sum of $f(\nu, \mu)$ over $\nu$ and $\mu$ with coefficients that are functions of the parameters $N, m, \rho, \sigma$ , which have the usual meanings, and the parameters $j, \alpha$ and $\beta$ , which are local to the problem of determining the conditional rising factorial moments. This is akin to the right-hand side of the <i>transformation formula</i> that we frequently use in $\Psi_1$ -processes.
$\alpha$	the number of states in $A$ that are yet to be visited at the instant when the $\sigma$ th state of $G$ is visited.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ .
$\alpha_i$	number of states in $A_i$ that are yet to be visited at the instant when the $\sigma$ th state of $G$ is visited..
$\beta$	number of elements in the $B$ -set $B$ .
$\boldsymbol{\beta}$	list of the numbers of elements in the $t$ $B$ -sets $B_1, \dots, B_t$ ; $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_t)$ .

---

$\beta_\ell$	cumulative number of arrivals after the $\ell$ th batch has arrived, with $\beta_0 = 0$ .
$\beta'_\ell$	number of arrivals yet to occur after the $(\ell - 1)$ th batch has arrived.
$\beta_u$	number of elements in the $B$ -set $B_u$ .
$\Gamma$	the gamma function $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt$ for $x > 0$ .
$\Gamma_g(\sigma; \pi)$	for $\pi \in \Pi$ , the set of elements of $G_g$ that are visited at or before the $\sigma$ th element of $G_g$ .
$\gamma$	(a) number of $G$ -sets when the sample space $S$ is considered to be partitioned into not-necessarily disjoint $G$ -sets.  (b) in the game <i>SET</i> , $\gamma$ is the number of possible triads.
$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , $\ell$ specific gaps that have a particular number of alternatives.
$\gamma^*$	MLE of the number of $G$ -sets.
$\zeta(\ell, q, x)$	essentially is the generating function for a truncated sum of rising factorials.
$\zeta_1(\ell, p, q, x)$	$= \zeta(\ell, q, x) - \zeta(\ell, p - 1, x)$ for $p \leq q$ and zero otherwise; this enables writing the upper-sum form of $\zeta(\ell, q, x)$ as the difference of two expressions whose summations begin at zero.
$\zeta_\infty(\ell, x)$	$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(\ell, q, x)$ ; used in determining the <i>limiting conditional rising factorial moments</i> .

---

$\Lambda(n, \ell, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, s)$	in the <i>2-D gap Problem</i> , the number of collections of $s$ $A$ -sets $\{A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s}\}$ such that $A_{j_1}(\gamma) = A_{j_2}(\gamma) \forall j_1, j_2 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}, j_2 \neq j_1, \forall \gamma \in \{1, \dots, L\} \setminus \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell\}$ , and $\Lambda(n, 0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, s) \stackrel{def}{=} 1$ . That is, it is the number of collections of $s$ paths that are possible through the gaps that have no alternatives given gaps $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ have alternatives.
$\Lambda_j(n, \ell, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, s)$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , consider $\ell$ specific gaps $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ that have corresponding particular alternatives with counts $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ , with each $\lambda_\alpha \geq 2$ , $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ . For $j \in \{0, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell - \ell\}$ , $\Lambda_j(n, \ell, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, s)$ is the number of collections of $s$ $A$ -sets $\{A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_s}\}$ such that $A_{j_1}(\gamma) = A_{j_2}(\gamma) \forall j_1, j_2 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}, j_2 \neq j_1, \forall \gamma \in \{1, \dots, L\} \setminus \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell\}$ and the $s$ $A$ -sets have $j$ fewer alternatives than from the total, $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell$ .
$\lambda$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , when $ \{A_{i_1}(\ell), \dots, A_{i_s}(\ell)\}  = \lambda \geq 2$ there are $\lambda$ alternatives at gap $\ell$ , and when $\lambda = 1$ there are no alternatives at gap $\ell$ .
$\boldsymbol{\lambda}$	abbreviation or vector notation for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ .
$\lambda(i)$	number of left-most bits of $i$ that are positive in its binary representation.
$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , these are the corresponding numbers of particular alternatives for the $\ell$ specific gaps $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell$ , with each $\lambda_\alpha \geq 2$
$\lambda_i$	see $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ .
$\mu$	(a) in the static and dynamic processes, $\mu$ represents either the number of $G$ -sets that have at least one element visited but not all elements visited or those that need at least $\mu$ to be visited.

---

	(b) when measuring the expected duration of cakes on display in the <i>Cake Display Problem</i> , $\mu$ represents the minimal number of slices each cake on display must have.
	(c) in the game <i>SET</i> , $\mu$ is the maximal number of triads that can be formed with no triad amongst them.
	(d) in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the total number of vehicles in front of, and in the same lane as, the special vehicle labelled $g$ .
$\mu'$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the total number of vehicles behind and in the same lane as the special vehicle labelled $g$ .
$\nu$	(a) number of steps in a Markov Chain.
	(b) index of the sum in $v(r, n, N)$ .
	(c) number of <i>varieties</i> ..
$\Pi$	the set of permutations on the $N$ elements of $\mathcal{N}$ .
$\pi$	(a) ratio of the circumference to the diameter of a circle.
	(b) for $\pi \in \Pi$ , represents an ordering of the visits to the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ .
$\pi(a)$	the arrival position for $a \in \mathcal{N}$ .
$\pi(\alpha)$	for $\pi \in \Pi$ , $\pi(\alpha)$ is the position at which the visit to $\alpha$ occurs.
$\pi^*$	an element of $\Pi$ that maximises the total wait, $\phi(\pi)$ over all $\pi \in \Pi$ .
$\rho$	(a) number of elements in a single $G$ -set; $\sigma \leq \omega \leq \rho$ .



---

	(b) number of arrivals for a vehicle.
$\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r$	numbers of elements in the $G$ -sets $G_1, \dots, G_r$ .
$\rho_i$	number of elements in the $i$ th $G$ -set.
$\rho^*$	MLE of $\rho$ .
$\sigma$	(a) an arrival position for an element in a single $G$ -set; $\sigma \leq \omega \leq \rho$ .  (b) an arrival position for a member of a particular vehicle.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}$	$(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$ .
$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$	(a) arrival positions for members of the $G$ -sets $G_1, \dots, G_r$ .  (b) occupancy numbers of the $G$ -sets $G_1, \dots, G_r$ .
$\sigma_i$	arrival position for a member of the $i$ th $G$ -set.
$\sigma\text{-max}_{\alpha \in I} f(\alpha)$	the maximum of the first $\sigma$ elements in the ordered list of elements in the set $\{f(\alpha) : \alpha \in I\}$ .
$\tau$	when measuring the expected duration of cakes on display in the <i>Cake Display Problem</i> , $\tau$ represents the minimal number of cakes on display.
$\phi(m)$	the <i>decomposition coefficient</i> of $P(T(m) = k)$ in the <i>decomposition formula</i> .
$\phi(\pi)$	abbreviation for $\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}; \pi)$ when $\sigma_g \equiv \rho_g$ .
$\phi_{(N, \rho, \sigma)}(m)$	same as $\phi(m)$ , but with an explicit specification of $N$ , $\rho$ and $\sigma$ .

$\phi(\boldsymbol{\sigma}; \pi)$	for $\pi \in \Pi$ , the total wait by all elements of all $G$ -sets $G_g$ , $g \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$ .
$\phi_g(\pi)$	abbreviation for $\phi_g(\boldsymbol{\sigma}; \pi)$ when $\sigma_g = \rho_g$ .
$\phi_g(\sigma; \pi)$	for $\pi \in \Pi$ , the wait by the $\sigma$ 'th element of $G_g$ for the completion of at least one of the $A$ -sets $A_{g\nu}$ , $\nu = 1, \dots, r_g$ .
$\phi^+(m)$	number of occurrences of $\Psi_1(N, m, k, \rho, \sigma)$ in $P(T = k)$ .
$\phi_{(N, \rho, \sigma)}^+(m)$	same as $\phi^+(m)$ , but with an explicit specification of $N$ , $\rho$ and $\sigma$ .
$\phi^*$	maximum total wait over all $\pi \in \Pi$ .
$\varphi_1(\dots)$	$\varphi_1(a, b, \alpha, \beta)$ ; a formula based on $v(r, n, N)$ that is used in the process of simplifying the probability distribution of a $\Psi_2$ -process.
$\varphi_2(\dots)$	$\varphi_2(k, j, a, b, \alpha, \beta)$ ; a formula based on $v(r, n, N)$ and $\varphi_1(a, b, \alpha, \beta)$ that is used in the process of simplifying the probability distribution of a $\Psi_2$ -process.
$\varphi_3(\dots)$	$\varphi_3(\ell, j, a, b, \alpha, \beta, e, f)$ ; a formula based on $\varphi_2(k, j, a, b - k, \alpha, \beta)$ that is used in the process of simplifying the <i>conditional rising factorial moments</i> ; $\sum_{k=\max(e, 1)}^f [k]_{\ell} \varphi_2(k, j, a, b - k, \alpha, \beta)$ .
$\varphi_4(\dots)$	$\varphi_4(\ell, j, a, b, \alpha, \beta, e, f)$ ; a formula based on $\varphi_2(k, j, a, b - k, \alpha, \beta)$ that is used in the process of simplifying the <i>conditional rising factorial moments</i> ; $\sum_{k=\max(e, 1)}^f [k]_{\ell} \varphi_2(k, j, a - k, b - k, \alpha, \beta)$ .
$\varphi_5(\dots)$	$\varphi_5(\ell, j, a, \alpha, \beta, e, f)$ ; a formula used in the determination of the <i>limiting conditional rising factorial moments</i> .

---

$\varphi'_5(\dots)$	$\varphi'_5(\ell, j, a, \alpha, \beta, e)$ ; a formula used in the determination of the <i>limiting conditional rising factorial moments</i> .
$\varphi_6(\dots)$	$\varphi_6(\ell, j, a, \alpha, \beta, e, f)$ ; a formula used in the determination of the <i>limiting conditional rising factorial moments</i> .
$\Psi_1(N, m, k)$	$\Psi$ -probabilities of first kind with $\sigma = \rho = 1$ and non-provided parameters having their default values.
$\Psi'_1$	the probabilities corresponding to $\psi'_1$ .
$\Psi_1(\dots)$	$\Psi_1(N, n, m, \rho, \sigma, \omega, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, w, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{n}, \nu, k)$ ; $\Psi$ -probabilities of first kind.
$\Psi_2(\dots)$	$\Psi_2(N, n, m, \rho, \sigma, \omega, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, w, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{n}, \nu, k)$ ; $\Psi$ -probabilities of second kind.
$\psi'$	(a) the $\Psi$ -numbers for a $\Psi$ -process, usually with parameters indicating which model the values corresponds to, and with an index to specify which $\Psi$ -process.  (b) the components of $\Psi$ -numbers that do not include $N$ in their expressions.
$\psi_1(\dots)$	$\psi_1(N, n, m, \rho, \sigma, \omega, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, w, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{n}, \nu, k)$ ; $\Psi$ -numbers of first kind.
$\psi_2(\dots)$	$\psi_2(N, n, m, \rho, \sigma, \omega, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, w, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \mathbf{n}, \nu, k)$ ; $\Psi$ -numbers of second kind.
$\Omega(L, f, c, e)$	either side in the <i>transformation formula</i> .
$\omega$	for $\sigma \leq \omega \leq \rho$ and in a $\Psi$ -process, it is not necessary to wait for more than the $\omega$ 'th arrival of $G$ ; in general, there are also other conditions that determine when measuring the wait ceases.

---

$A$	an $A$ -set.
$\mathbf{A}$	(a) abbreviation for the list $A_1, \dots, A_r$ .  (b) represents the vector or list $(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ .
$A_1, \dots, A_r$	$r$ $A$ -sets.
$\mathbf{A}_i$	abbreviation for $(A_{i1}, \dots, A_{ir_i})$ .
$A_{ij}$	the $j$ th $A$ -set of the $i$ th $G$ -set.
$A_i(\ell)$	in the $2$ -D <i>Gap Problem</i> , the $\ell$ th element of the path corresponding to $A_i$ .
$\mathbf{A}^{(\gamma)}$	$(\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_\gamma)$ .
$a$	in the game <i>SET</i> , $a$ is the number of attributes.
$B$	(a) a <i>taboo</i> set.  (b) number of batches when batch arrivals are permitted.
$\mathbf{B}$	(a) abbreviation for the list $B_1, \dots, B_t$ .  (b) represents the vector or list $(B_1, \dots, B_t)$ .
$B_1, \dots, B_t$	a collection of <i>taboo</i> sets.
$B'_1, \dots, B'_t$	a collection of sets of the form $G \cup B_u$ , where $B_u$ is a blocking set.

---

$B_u$	the $u$ th blocking set.
$C_\ell$	expected number of completions during the $\ell$ th interval.
$D$	destination node in network problems.
$d$	size of a subset of a $G$ -set that is considered to be a match.
$d_i$	size of a subset of the $G$ -set $G_i$ that is considered to be a match.
$d_{i1}, \dots, d_{i\tau_i}$	the sequential sizes of the subsets of the $G$ -set $G_i$ that are considered matches.
$E$	(a) an expected value.  (b) expectation of a distribution.
$E(k)$	expected number of completions of $G$ -sets at the $k$ th arrival when considering the clustering of completions.
$E_k^{(1)}$	expected platoon sizes as a result of the $k$ th arrival for the uni-directional car parking model.
$E_k^{(2)}$	the expected platoon sizes as a result of the $k$ th arrival for the bi-directional car parking model.
$E_\ell$	abbreviation for $E_{\ell,r}$ when $r = 1$ .
$E_\ell^*$	limit of the $\ell$ th <i>conditional rising factorial moment</i> .
$E_\ell(i, \sigma)$	$\ell$ th rising factorial moment for the $\sigma$ th arrival for the $G$ -set $G_i$ .

---

$E_{\ell,r}$	$\ell$ th rising factorial moment in the case of $r \geq 1$ $A$ -sets.
$E_v(m)$	expectation when there are $v$ varieties.
$\dot{E}_{1,K}$	in the game <i>SET</i> , the expected number of triads in $K$ cards.
$F_\ell$	(a) ratio of the $\ell$ th rising factorial moments of $Z_2$ to $Z_1$ .  (b) expected number of completions of $G$ -sets by the end of the $\ell$ th interval.
$f$	in the <i>Interconnected Parallel Lines</i> problem, the number of elements (feet) in a connection-set.
$G$	a $G$ -set.
$G_{(i,j)}$	the $G$ -set corresponding to car $j \in \{1, \dots, s_i\}$ of lane $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ in a multi-lane car parking model or a similar two-dimensional grid of cells.
$G_1, \dots, G_\gamma$	a collection of $\gamma$ $G$ -sets; typically $\dot{\cup}_{i=1}^\gamma G_i = \mathcal{N}$ .
$g$	(a) a particular element of a $G$ -set.  (b) in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , $g$ is the special vehicle of interest.  (c) in the <i>Interconnected Parallel Lines Problem</i> , the minimum number of elements required to be visited (shoed).  (d) an index for a collection of $G$ -sets as used in the determination of the maximum total wait.

---

$(g, a, s, k)$	state of the Markov Chain for a $\Psi$ -process, where $g$ , $a$ and $s$ are the numbers of distinct elements of $G$ , $A$ and $S$ that have been visited, respectively, and $k$ is the waiting-time, which may also take on the values $k = -3, -2, -1$ and $\infty$ .
$g(x)$	generating function of a $\Psi$ -distribution.
$H$	mean inter-arrival times between all pairs of consecutive arrivals.
$h$	in the game <i>SET</i> , $h$ is the number of triads each triad intersects with.
$i$	(a) the $i$ th $A$ -set.  (b) the $i$ th lane of vehicles.
$j$	(a) the $j$ th element of an $A$ -set.  (b) position of a vehicle in a lane.
$K$	(a) cumulative value of a random variable.  (b) in the game <i>SET</i> , the number of cards for which the number of matches is sought.  (c) the arrival at which properties of the cumulative number of completions are determined.
$k$	(a) a value of a random variable.  (b) waiting time.

---

	(c) number of arrivals.
$L$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the number of physical gaps within each lane in front of the special vehicle labelled $g$ .
$L'$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the number of physical gaps within each lane behind the special vehicle labelled $g$ .
$(L, \ell, \lambda, n, d, b)$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , these are the parameters of an $(L, \ell, \lambda, n, d, b)$ -partition, where $L$ and $n$ are the numbers of gaps and lanes, respectively, $\ell$ , $\lambda$ , $d$ and $b$ are non-negative integers with $\ell \leq L$ and $b\ell \leq \lambda \leq n\ell$ .
$\ell$	(a) a position in the arrival stream for the arrival of the last element of a $G$ -set or an $A$ -set.  (b) an index for the $\ell$ th rising factorial moment.
$m$	(a) number of elements in an $A$ -set other than those in $G$ .  (b) in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the number of vehicles directly in front of the special vehicle labelled $g$ and before the first gap.
$\mathbf{m}$	abbreviation for $(m, m_1, m_2, \dots, m_L)$ ; used in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> .
$m_1, \dots, m_L$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the number of vehicles directly in the front of the special vehicle labelled $g$ that are in the regions between the $L$ gaps.
$m'_1, \dots, m'_{L'}$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the number of vehicles directly behind the special vehicle labelled $g$ that are in the regions between the $L'$ gaps.
$m_1, \dots, m_r$	the numbers of elements in the $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ other than those in $G$ .



$m_{ij}$	$ A_{ij} \setminus G_i $ in a model that has $A$ -sets for each $G$ -set $G_i$ .
$m^*$	in a $\Psi$ -process with $\sigma = \rho$ , the number of elements minus one in the smallest collection of elements from $(r - 1)$ -collections of the sets $A_i \setminus G$ with one element from each of the $(r - 1)$ -collections of the sets $A_i \setminus G$ . In the <i>taboo</i> models, there must be at least one element from each of the $B$ -sets too.
$m_\sigma^*$	the same as $m^*$ , but for the case $\sigma \leq \rho$ .
$m^{**}$	the batch equivalent of $m^*$ .
$N$	(a) total number of elements in $\mathcal{N}$ .  (b) total number of vehicles, distinct coupons, etc.
$\mathcal{N}$	(a) the sample space $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .  (b) the set of all elements (or cells, cars, etc.).
$(N, m, \rho)_k$	number of $(N, m, \rho)$ -sequences for which $T(m) = k$ .
$(N, m, \rho, \sigma)_k$	number of $(N, m, \rho)$ -sequences for which $T(m) = k$ when $\sigma \leq \rho$ .
$(N, m, \rho, \sigma, n)$	represents the parameters in the <i>Bird-Watcher's Problem</i> .
$(N, n, m, \rho)$	number of distinguishable $(N, m, \rho)$ -sequences when the number of arrivals, $n$ , is different to $N$ .
$(N, n, m, \rho)_k$	number of $(N, n, m, \rho)$ -sequences for which $T = k$ , where $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - \rho\} \cup \{-1, \infty\}$ .

---

$N_{ap}(k)$	mean number of arrivals that are in partial matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_{ar}(k)$	mean number of arrivals required to complete partial matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_b$	number of distinct <i>blockage sets</i> of the $G$ -set $G$ for $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ .
$N_c(k)$	mean number of complete matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_e(k)$	mean number of empty matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_{pa}(k, \mu)$	mean number of partial matches with at least $\mu$ arrivals at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_{pr}(k, \mu)$	mean number of partial matches with at least $\mu$ arrivals still required at the $k$ th arrival.
$N_\sigma$	maximum finite wait possible for the $\sigma$ th arrival of $G$ .
$N^*$	MLE of $N$ .
$N_\infty^*$	an approximation of $N^*$ for small values of $m$ and a large population in a $\Psi_1$ -process.
$N_{-1}^*$	MLE of $N$ for the observation $T = -1$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .
$N_k^*$	MLE of $N$ for the observation $T = k$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .
$N_\infty^*$	MLE of $N$ for the observation $T = \infty$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .

---

$n$	(a) number of observations.  (b) number of lanes in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> .  (c) number of intervals when considering the clustering of completions.
$\mathbf{n}$	abbreviation for $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_B)$ .
$(n_1, \dots, n_B)$	vector representation of batch sizes.
$n_a$	number of absorbing states in a Markov Chain.
$n_b$	batch size of batch $b \in \{1, \dots, B\}$ , where $B$ is the number of batches.
$n_s$	total number of valid states in a Markov Chain.
$n_{-1}^*$	MLE of $n$ for the observation $T = -1$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .
$n_k^*$	MLE of $n$ for the observation $T = k$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .
$n_\infty^*$	MLE of $n$ for the observation $T = \infty$ in a $\Psi_2$ -process' limiting distribution for $T$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ with $n = \alpha N$ for $\alpha > 0$ .
$O$	origin node in network problems.
$P(T = k)$	the probability that the random variable $T$ takes on the value $k$ . Typically, the parameters of $T$ are understood by context. Where a parameter is specified, it is included for emphasis or because this parameter is going to be given various values for comparison purposes; e.g. $P(T(m) = k)$ .

$P(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$	the probability $\alpha_i$ states of $A_i \setminus G$ have not been visited for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ at the instant the $\sigma$ th state of $G$ arrives.
$P(\boldsymbol{\sigma}; k)$	the probability that at time $k$ each $G$ -set $G_i$ , $i \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$ , has $\sigma_i$ arrivals.
$P_{ij}$	$P_{ij}^{(\nu)}$ with $\nu = 1$ .
$P_{ij}^{(\nu)}$	the probability of Markov Chain going from state $i$ to state $j$ in $\nu$ steps; states may be of the form $(g, a, s, k)$ .
$P_k(G)$	$P_k(G, \mathbf{A})$ when $r = 0$ .
$P_k(G, A)$	$P_k(G, \mathbf{A})$ when $r = 1$ .
$P_k(G, \mathbf{A})$	for $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ , the probability that the first of the $r$ sets $G \cup A_s$ completes at the $k$ 'th arrival, and for $r = 0$ , the probability that $G$ completes upon the $k$ th arrival.
$P_{k,n_1,n_2}(\cdot, \cdot)$	for a pair of $G$ -sets $G_1$ and $G_2$ with corresponding $A$ -sets $A_1$ and $A_2$ , $P_{k,n_1,n_2}((G_1, \mathbf{A}_1), (G_2, \mathbf{A}_2))$ is the joint probability that, upon the $k$ th arrival, $G_1 \cup A_{1s_1}$ completes for at least one of $A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1}$ and $G_2 \cup A_{2s_2}$ completes for at least one of $A_{21}, \dots, A_{2r_2}$ , where $n_1, n_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ with a value of 1 indicating completion and 0 indicating non-completion. When $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ , it is written as $P_{k,n_1,n_2}((G_1, A_1), (G_2, A_2))$ .
$P_{k_1,k_2}(\cdot, \cdot)$	for a pair of $G$ -sets $G_1$ and $G_2$ with corresponding collections of associated $A$ -sets $A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1}$ and $A_{21}, \dots, A_{2r_2}$ , $P_{k_1,k_2}((G_1, \mathbf{A}_1), (G_2, \mathbf{A}_2))$ is the joint probability that $G_1 \cup A_{1s_1}$ completes upon the $k_1$ th arrival for at least one of $A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1}$ and $G_2 \cup A_{2s_2}$ completes upon the $k_2$ th arrival for at least one of $A_{21}, \dots, A_{2r_2}$ . When $r_1 = r_2 = 1$ , it is written as $P_{k_1,k_2}((G_1, A_1), (G_2, A_2))$ .

---

$P_L(n)$	in the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> , the expected waiting-time as a percentage of the total population.
$P_m(\alpha)$	the probability $\alpha$ states of $A \setminus G$ have not been visited at the instant the $\sigma$ th state of $G$ is visited.
$P_m(\alpha_i)$	the probability $\alpha$ states of $A_i \setminus G$ have not been visited at the instant the $\sigma$ th state of $G$ is visited.
$P_{\sigma\tau}(k)$	the probability of waiting $k$ for the $\tau$ th element of $G$ , measured from $\sigma$ th..
$R(A; B)$	$R(A_1, \dots, A_r; B_1, \dots, B_t)$ when $r = 1$ and $t = 1$ .
$R(\mathbf{A}; \mathbf{B})$	random variable for the event that the process visits all the states of at least one of the $A$ -sets $A_1, \dots, A_r$ but not all the elements of any the $B$ -sets $B_1, \dots, B_t$ ; the possible values of $R$ are <i>true</i> and <i>false</i> and are represented by 1 and 0, respectively.
$r$	(a) number of $A$ -sets.  (b) in the game <i>SET</i> , $r$ is the number of triads each card is a member of.
$r_i$	number of $A$ -sets for the $i$ th $G$ -set.
$S$	$S = \mathcal{N} \setminus (G \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i \cup \bigcup_{u=1}^t B_u)$ is the set of non-specified elements of $\mathcal{N}$ .
$s$	(a) in car parking models, the number of vehicles in a lane.  (b) in the <i>fundamental formulae</i> , the index for the outer sum over the number of $A$ -sets.
$s_i$	the number of cars (or cells) in the $i$ th lane (or set).

---

$T$	random variable for the new waiting-time process.
$T(A; B)$	$T(A_1, \dots, A_r; B_1, \dots, B_t)$ when $r = 1$ and $t = 1$ .
$T(A; \mathbf{B})$	$T(A_1, \dots, A_r; B_1, \dots, B_t)$ when $r = 1$ .
$T(A_1, \dots, A_r)$	same as $T$ , specifically for $r$ $A$ -sets.
$T(\mathbf{A}; \mathbf{B})$	$T(A_1, \dots, A_r; B_1, \dots, B_t)$ ; same as $T$ , specifically for $r$ $A$ -sets and $t$ $B$ -sets.
$T(m)$	same as $T$ , specifically for a single $A$ -set with $m$ elements.
$T(\mathbf{m})$	same as $T$ , specifically for the <i>2-D Gap Problem</i> .
$T(m_1, \dots, m_r)$	same as $T$ , specifically when $A_i \cap A_j \equiv G$ .
$T_b(A_1, \dots, A_r)$	random variable for the $\Psi$ -process that measures the waiting time, possibly zero, from the completion time of the $G$ -set $G$ to the time when <i>at least one element</i> has been visited from <i>each</i> of the $r$ sets $A_i \setminus G$ .
$T_q$	$T_q(A_1, \dots, A_r)$ .
$T_q(A_1, \dots, A_r)$	random variable for the new non- <i>taboo</i> waiting-time process when at least $q$ of the $A$ -sets are required instead of just one.
$t$	(a) number of lanes in car parking models.  (b) number of $B$ -sets in <i>taboo</i> and <i>blocking</i> models.  (c) number of arrivals that constitute the interval length when considering the clustering of completions.

---

$u$	an index for a particular $B$ -set in a <i>taboo</i> process or blocking model.
$u(r, n)$	the probability that $N$ given cells are occupied in the classical occupancy problem (Boltzmann-Maxwell statistics).
$V_k$	variance of the platoon size at the $k$ th arrival.
$\dot{V}_K$	in the game <i>SET</i> , the variance of the number of triads in $K$ cards.
$V_{ap}(k)$	variance for the number of arrivals that are in partial matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$V_{ar}(k)$	variance for the number of arrivals required to complete partial matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$V_c(k)$	variance for the number of complete matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$V_e(k)$	variance for the number of empty matches at the $k$ th arrival.
$V_{pa}(k, \mu)$	variance for the number of partial matches with at least $\mu$ arrivals at the $k$ th arrival.
$V_{pr}(k, \mu)$	variance for the number of partial matches with at least $\mu$ arrivals still required at the $k$ th arrival.
$v$	in models with <i>varieties</i> , $v$ is the number of <i>varieties</i> .
$v(r, n, N)$	number of ways of leaving each of $N$ given cells occupied in the classical occupancy problem (Boltzmann-Maxwell statistics); $v(r, n, N) \stackrel{def}{=} 0$ if $N > n$ , $r < N$ or $n < 0$ .
$W$	expected total wait for all states.

$W_i$	expected total wait for the $G$ -set $G_i$ .
$W_{\max}^{(1)}$	maximum total wait with $t$ parallel lanes, each containing $s$ vehicles, with uni-directional exiting.
$W_{\max}^{(2)}$	maximum total wait with $t$ parallel lanes, each containing $s$ vehicles, with bi-directional exiting.
$w$	maximum number of taboo sets that may be completed.
$Y_i$	indicator function that has $Y_i = j$ if $j$ $d_i$ -tuples form complete matches.
$Y'_i$	indicator function that has $Y'_i = d_i Y_i$ if $j \in \{0, \dots, r_i\}$ $d_i$ -tuples form complete matches.
$Y'_i$	number of arrivals for complete $d_i$ -tuples.
$Y_k(G, \mathbf{A})$	for $r \geq 1$ , the indicator function for whether or not $G \cup A_s$ completes at the $k$ th arrival for at least one $A$ -set $A_s$ .
$Y_k(G)$	same as $Y_k(G, \mathbf{A})$ , but for $r = 0$ .
$Y_k(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}^{(\gamma)})$	number of completions at time $k$ of sets $G_i \cup A_{ij}$ for at least one $A$ -set $A_{ij}$ , $j \in \{1, \dots, r_i\}$ .
$Z_1$	in the uni-directional car parking model, the number of further arrivals for whom the driver of a randomly selected vehicle has to wait.
$Z_2$	in the bi-directional car parking model, the number of further arrivals for whom the driver of a randomly selected vehicle has to wait.



# Preface

It was the author's original intention to generalise Hauer and Templeton's uni-directional exiting model of cars parked in lanes in order to determine the effects of allowing the more-realistic capability of allowing cars to reverse in addition to travelling forward. Also, their article was in a journal on transportation and it seemed like a good idea to make the model and the more-realistic solution apparent in mainstream probability circles.

The author discovered Hauer and Templeton's article in the *Transportation Science* journal whilst investigating models of traffic light queueing systems. A simple generalisation was considered immediately, but the full potential only developed later. The original derivation of the distribution and the rising factorial moments for the bi-directional model was an ad-hoc method based on Hauer and Templeton's work. It took over 400 hours during a hot summer month to derive the really simple form of the mean; suitable computer algebra tools were not available at the time, so all work was done with pencil and paper. The right-hand side of the initial equation took about 20 pages of 20 lines per page with about 10 terms per line, making about 4,000 terms per right-hand side. The terms were of the form of a fraction with numerator and denominator containing factorial terms and combinatorial terms. In order to simplify the expression, pairs of terms that could factorise nicely or had similar denominators were combined together. Not all terms were paired each time a new, simplified right-hand-side was produced. There were about 1,000 such pages in all.

This was painstaking work, but the result was so neat that it gave a great sense of joy and the knowledge that it was probably correct. Today it is quite simply produced as Corollary 11.52, because the form of the solution led to the idea of a simple generalisation whose basis is the new principle of inclusion and exclusion for the mini-max. Had it not reduced to such a simple and appealing form, it would have almost certainly ended any interest in this area of research. This is one of life's interesting coincidences.

The generalisation led to the idea of formalising the determination of the waiting-time for the completion of one set measured from the completion time of another set, where the former set includes the latter set; the sets could be made disjoint and the results would be the same, but

---

applications are naturally modelled by the way chosen. This new waiting-time process is referred to as a  $\Psi$ -process, which is written as  $\Psi$ -process.

What happened was a gradual process of extending the models under investigation into more-general and/or more-complex models. Then the corresponding *with-replacement* models seemed a natural follow-on, but had there been foresight of the difficulties involved, these might not have been investigated. However, having produced the results, the author has no regrets for doing so.

After further thought it became apparent that there was an opportunity to investigate the *static* and *dynamic* aspects of the random processes in a more-general fashion than had been done before.

This led to a more-formal organisation of the thesis into descriptions of applications, probability theory and application of the theory. The abstraction process of disassociating models from applications has led to a work on the foundations of probability theory.

It became clear that some real numerical calculations were required, and this led to the need to analyse the numerical properties of the expressions for the probability distributions and their moments.

There was so much to do that has not been done before in this area, that limitations have had to be made on what theory, applications and examples have been provided in this work. The decisions were generally based on the degree of extension of existing knowledge, difficulty in determining the results, utility provided by the results, variety of the results, usefulness of the techniques used to develop the results, neatness of the formulae, applicability to existing and new applications, and completeness of the questions answered within the domain of random allocation theory.

In the search for more applications, an awareness of the mathematics done on *Bernoulli's Lot Problem* and *Bernoulli's Marriage Problem* and an awareness of rules of the game *SET* led to further generalisations. This led to a question the author had wondered many years earlier about the spoilage of cakes on display in a shop and yet further generalisations of existing models.

As this continued, the number of perceived gaps in the thesis became fewer and the content became an integrated work on several aspects of essentially one type of problem. This has also produced a large volume of information that is aesthetically pleasing to the author.

The material is in the form of notation, definition, formularisation, lemma, theorem, corollary, remark, scholium and example. A formularisation is a special theorem-like tag that is used to specify the waiting time in terms of arrival positions. This is not used except when applying the *principle of inclusion and exclusion for  $\Psi$ -processes*. It is not used in any combinatorial arguments. Scholia are used in place of remarks when the remark is used to amplify a proof or

---

course of reasoning, or as a commentary on another author's work.

Examples are dotted throughout the thesis, and there are many tables and graphs to illustrate the theory. This is prefixed by a description of all of the applications and suffixed by an analysis of the major applications with emphasis on particularly pertinent aspects of the theory.

This work is therefore one of a fundamentally pure nature with examples, applications and numerical analysis provided to supplement the theoretical material with elucidations, the power of the results, surprising consequences, comparisons between and within models, demonstrations of deriving known results as special cases of the general theory — sometimes with extraordinary ease and sometimes demonstrating the level of generalisation that has been achieved — illustrations of the theory, difficulty of implementation, speed of convergence and sometimes the elegance of the results.

One of the consequences of such organisation is that it showed the first format of the thesis to include some applications as generalisations of the theory when they could, in fact, be formulated as applications, albeit complex ones. It has also enabled the development of a unified set of notations, definitions and approaches for related models, including the static, waiting-time and dynamic models. This provides a pleasing experience when modelling different aspects of the same physical process.

Sometimes one resorts to utilising other people's results. This can be done blindly or by first investigating the value of those results in both the general and current context. In this case, such an investigation has led to a correct, but perhaps dislikable, conclusion about the practical use of some commonly-used inequalities.

It is common today to employ calculus to solve many problems, even for those of a discrete nature. This thesis employs the calculus of finite differences wherever possible, although this is no longer taught in mathematics classes at many universities. Of particular importance is the determination of differences by parts. This enabled the first method of simplification of a distribution, and it was the form of this alternative form of the distribution that provoked its current combinatorial interpretation. The author studied the complete work of Jordan's 1947 book on the subject<sup>1</sup>; this was after having completed reading and answering every question in Feller's book on discrete probability and its applications<sup>2</sup>.

Less analysis has been included for *with-replacement* processes than for *without-replacement* processes, but one must stop somewhere. However, an adequate amount has been done for the

---

<sup>1</sup>C. Jordan, *Calculus of Finite Differences*, 2nd edition, Chelsea Publ. Co., New York (1947).

<sup>2</sup>W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. I, 3rd edition. Wiley and Sons, New York (1968).

---

new *with-replacement*  $\Psi$ -process to illustrate the notation, theory and techniques required, and the similarities and differences between the two processes.

The scheme used for numbering sections, formulae and theorem-like statements is as follows. Each chapter has its own numbering of these. All theorem-like statements use the same numbering sequence. All references use the full reference to chapter, section, sub-section and subsub-section, etc.

There are a liberal number of references to various divisions, tables, figures and equations. This enables the reader of the PDF version of the thesis to easily review earlier material and view related later material earlier through a series of hyper-jumps.

Some symbols are included in the preliminary section on *General Notation and Conventions* instead of the section on *Symbols* because they are in common use. The *Symbols* section is reserved for symbols specific to this thesis or are in less-common use. A *Glossary* of terms appears in the prefatory matter; this appears before the list of symbols specific to this thesis because the latter makes more use of the former than vice versa.

Typing of this thesis was begun using  $T^3$ . Due to a glitch in the computer, over four months of secretarial typing, which was mostly technical typing, were lost as there was no warning as to any problem whilst working on a material that was still fine. The backup disks had also been overwritten with garbage. Most of what was lost does not appear in the thesis now. The lost text associated with the current topic was entered again. Then  $\text{\LaTeX}$  appeared and soon after came Scientific Word, which provided a visual interface to  $\text{\LaTeX}$ . The text was entered yet again. The product developed into Scientific WorkPlace, and version 5.5 of this software was used to typeset the final version of this thesis. The packages used are *eurosym*, *amssymb*, *sw20au* (a modified version of the *mitthesis* style that changes the front matter, now included only because of the matching .CST file that Scientific WorkPlace uses), *amsmath*, *geometry*, *float*, *fancyhdr*, *trees* (modified to avoid a naming conflict, to allow single branches and to display the branches from node zero further apart), *curves*, *alltt*, *supertabular*, *minitoc*, *setspace* and *hyperref*.

The electronic version of this thesis is provided as a PDF file. It has had bookmarks created for the table of contents in order to provide an additional navigation method. These bookmarks are in a different font, namely unicode, because the standard  $\text{\LaTeX}$  fonts used for mathematics and other symbols are not included in the format's specification. With a few of these, it was necessary to use a standard-size font instead of a subscripted font, and in a few others, it was considered reasonable to use words instead of symbols. None of this changes the textual content of the thesis.

One might well ask why it took so long to complete this thesis, and the answer has to do

---

with a combination of several things: the need to earn an income, a car accident (in which the other driver drove through a stop sign in top gear without slowing down), health (whiplash injury, memory loss and other brain damage caused by the car accident (e.g. inability to concentrate, think and calculate, and sometimes forgetting my own name), multiple sclerosis, chronic fatigue, chronic osteo-arthritic pain in one hip), fitness, marriage and a child, working as a computer science lecturer and being required to produce in that field, and later running my own computer software development business with several staff. However, the total effort expended on the material in this thesis is equivalent to 4 years and 8 months at 40 hours per week, or 3 years and 2 months at 60 hours per week.

When researching mathematics, one often travels down a path that leads nowhere, and must choose whether to continue or follow another path from some point already travelled or to abandon the endeavour altogether. Sometimes we are lucky and sometimes the hard work pays off. In this case, there were many who said problems in this thesis could not be solved or that the author would not be the one to solve them. In this case then, there was luck in finding the article by Hauer and Templeton, but there was no luck recognising that the author knew in an instant that he had the ability to generalise the result and make something of it. One must always be preparing oneself for good luck to be taken advantage of, and always be prepared to go down a path not yet trodden. After that, sheer determination, will-power and effort are the keys.

# Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

A few small parts of this thesis have appeared in the following refereed papers in which my own work was that of a full pro-rata contributor:

- W. Henderson, R.W. Kennington and C.E.M. Pearce, *A Second Look at a Problem of Queuing in Lanes*, Trans. Sci. **1** (1984), 85-93.
- W. Henderson, R.W. Kennington and C.E.M. Pearce, *Stochastic Processes and Combinatorial Identities*. Combinatorial Mathematics X, Proceedings, Adelaide 1982. Springer-Verlag (1983).

These papers are included at the end of this thesis.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Raymond Kennington

# Acknowledgements

There are many people and events that have affected my life in a way to enable me to complete this work. I mention the most important here, including some from my childhood.

I thank Professor Charles Pearce not only for his inspirational and lively discussions, but also for the encouragement and emotional support he provided me during some trying times, and also for taking over as my supervisor when my previous supervisor became critically ill. Charles provided me with the opportunity to do a postgraduate degree. Charles made mathematics exciting and a growing, living thing. He also introduced me (and the rest of his 3rd-year class) to the significance of the *squiggle* symbol.

I thank my supervisor Associate Professor Bill Henderson for our discussions and his continuance with me during the years that this thesis has spanned. Bill provided me a framework within which I could enhance my analytical skills and research techniques. As I write up this thesis, many of Bill's comments, suggestions and ways of thinking about the presentation of the material pop into consciousness at appropriate times and I recall them with the sound of his voice as if he were standing right beside me.

There are several reasons for my early interest and ability in mathematics. Foremost amongst these is the way my father, Noel, played mathematical games with me for fun; this began at about age 6. Teaching me Trachtenberg methods from the outset played an important role in developing a sound foundation for my development as a mathematician. I thank dad also for proof-reading the non-mathematical aspects of the first draft of this thesis, especially as he has reached his 81'st year.

Literally hundreds of games of many different kinds were played by everyone in the family. I consider these games as extremely important in the formation of my mathematical ability. Of particular note is the game of cribbage, which my father played with me often, for I attach to it a significant role in developing my ability in combinatorial analysis. My mother, Barbara, was particularly adept at board games and played, amongst others, chess, German draughts, Halma and Mühle with me, especially as a very young child. I recall playing hundreds of different card

---

games with my younger brother, Duncan, using up to 40 standard packs of cards in a single game, with one of these games, *memory*, utilising every room in the house, and others of much greater complexity too.

My elder brother, Alan, introduced me to Martin Gardner's puzzles and diversions and to *Scientific American*; Martin Gardner makes the thought processes associated with mathematical discovery and insight a thrilling adventure, and every school library should have them. I thank Alan for providing me with an intellectual role model from early childhood, for explaining mathematics to me whenever I asked, and for never letting me take any written notes away with me after our discussions. He also lent me Lancelot Hogben's *Mathematics for the Million*, which includes a discussion of the algebra of choice and chance.

In memory of my mother, who did not live to see me finish this thesis, I thank her for her dreams for all her children, for her determination that I succeed at university in my mathematical endeavours, and for the hard life she endured so that her dreams could come true through her children.

The awarding of an Australian Commonwealth Scholarship to me is acknowledged, for without it I would not have been able to study at the university after high school. The awarding of a University of Adelaide Postgraduate Research Scholarship to me for 10 months and 13 days is acknowledged.

I give thanks to the teachers at Salisbury East High School, a school whose motto was during my time *Tenax ad Asperum*, meaning *fight to the bitter end*. We took this to mean *persist with your aspirations until the goal is achieved no matter what the difficulties*. Of those teachers, one in particular needs to be singled out, namely Mr. J.M. Dayman, who was determined to teach his students mathematics in a highly professional manner and was able to teach probability and statistics in a competent and exciting way. Mr. Duffield, my grade seven teacher, deserves an acknowledgement for allowing me to teach him and some of my class-mates some mathematics in class, including how to find cube roots of exact 9-digit cubes without hesitation, and the process of finding square and cube roots like long divisions.

I thank all of my lecturers and tutors in the mathematics departments at the University of Adelaide for their excellence in mathematics and the teaching of it. One more of particular note is Dr. Bill Venables, my foremost mathematical statistics lecturer, who is not only a superb teacher but also introduced me to the philosophy of probability. Another, Dr. Franz Salzborn, who taught optimisation theory, is a mathematician I admire greatly and thank for the long discussions we had.



---

The staff, in particular John MacKichan and George Pearson, at MacKichan Software Inc, home of Scientific WorkPlace, deserve a mention for all the questions they have answered about their product, the L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X language, and various packages. Also, the authors of the *minitoc* package, Jean-Pierre Drucbert, and the *hyperref* package, Heiko Oberdiek, have both been very helpful. Without all their help, the typeset appearance would have been quite different and it would have taken me far longer to produce the final typeset version of this thesis.

Finally, I would like to thank numerous friends and others throughout the years of my post-graduate study who have encouraged me to finish this work.

*iam confecet*

*alea iacta est*

*quod feci, feci - et*

*quidquid id est, id est*

*In memory of*

Bill Henderson (my supervisor)

Barbara Kennington (née Mehling; my mother)

Penny Barlow (my friend)

# Abstract

This thesis provides a general methodology for classifying and describing many combinatoric problems, systematising and finding theoretical expressions for quantities of interest, and investigating their feasible numerical evaluation. Unifying notation and definitions are provided.

Our knowledge of random allocations is also extended. This is achieved by investigating new processes, generalising known processes, and by providing a formal structure and innovative techniques for analysing them.

The random allocation models described in this thesis can be classified as *occupancy urn models*, in which we have a sequence of urns and throw balls into them, and investigate static, waiting-time and dynamic processes. Various structures are placed on the relationship(s) between cells, balls, and the selection of items being distributed, including *varieties*, *batch arrivals*, *taboo sets* and *blocking sets*.

*Static*, *waiting-time* and *dynamic* processes are investigated. Both *without-replacement* and *with-replacement* sampling types are considered. Emphasis is placed on the distributions of waiting-times for one or more events to occur measured from the time a particular event occurs; this begins as an abstraction and generalisation of a model of departures of cars parked in lanes. One of several additional determinations is the *platoon size* distribution.

Models are analysed using combinatorial analysis and Markov Chains. Global attributes are measured, including *maximum waits*, *maximum room required*, *moments* and *the clustering of completions*. Various conversion formulae have been devised to reduce calculation times by several orders of magnitude.

New and extended applications include *Queueing in Lanes*, *Cake Displays*, *Coupon Collector's Problem*, *Sock-Sorting*, *Matching Dependent Sets* (including *Genetic Code Attribute Matching* and the game *SET*), the *Zig-Zag Problem*, *Testing for Randomness* (including the *Cake Display Test*, which is a *without-replacement* test similar to the standard *Empty Cell* test), *Waiting for Luggage at an Airport*, *Breakdowns in a Network*, *Learning Theory* and *Estimating the Number of Skeletons at an Archaeological Dig*.

---

*Fundamental, reduction and covering* theorems provide ways to reduce the number of calculations required.

New combinatorial identities are discovered and a well-known one is proved in a combinatorial way for the first time.

Some known results are derived from simple cases of the general models.

**Keywords:** *random allocations, occupancy urn models, static models, dynamic models, waiting-time models, without-replacement, with-replacement, Psi-processes, Psi-numbers, Psi-probabilities, probability theory, P. of I. E. for the mini-max, Bonferroni's Inequalities, decomposition theorem, minimal coverings, taboo sets, blocking, platoon size, combinatorial identities, Markov Chains, incomplete arrival streams, batch arrivals, varieties, asymptotics, asymptotic distributions, approximations, moments, numerics, queueing in lanes, sock sorting, the game SET, coupon-collector's problem, cake display problem, the zig-zag problem.*

# Summary

**Chapter 1** provides a global introduction and motivation. It begins by placing the work into its elementary context of occupancy urn models. Then it provides a historic view and a brief survey of problems, analytical techniques, computational issues, and applications.

**Chapter 2** provides a description and discussion of numerous applications of the theory, most of which have been used in the thesis to illustrate theory, examine numerical issues, and provide challenges that required further theoretical development.

**Chapter 3** provides the formal structure and notation for the random processes. This includes the common elements, the models and the random processes.

**Chapter 4** discusses some computational aspects of determining numerical results for these applications. This includes a discussion of precise and asymptotic forms, the problems with Bonferroni's inequalities, the number of calculations involved, the size of the numbers involved, the digits of accuracy required, the processing time required, and the need to convert combinatorial sums to simpler forms.

**Chapter 5** lists formulae that have been used many times, some of which have been generalised, and introduces the new powerful principle of inclusion and exclusion for the minimum of the maximum of a collection of events.

**Chapter 6** investigates several aspects of the basic *without-replacement* processes for both the *waiting-time* and *static* processes. The original distribution is converted to another form that is computationally simpler and enables the moments to be determined with a greatly reduced number of terms. It provides the  $\Psi$ -numbers of first kind and uses them to provide a much-simpler formula for calculation of probabilities by writing the distribution as a linear combination of  $\Psi_1$ -numbers, called the *decomposition formula*. It shows how to remove redundant sets prior to determining the distribution formula and demonstrates its significance with an application to *The 2-D Zig-Zag Problem*; this leads to the notion of a *minimal covering*. Also investigated are cumulative

---

distributions, approximations, the number still required upon arrival, the waiting time from the  $\tau$ th arrival till the  $\sigma$ th arrival, estimating the number in the population from various observations of the process, and a Markov Chain for each of the *waiting-time* and *static* processes.

**Chapter 7** investigates several aspects of the basic *with-replacement waiting-time* processes. The original distribution is converted to another form that is computationally simpler and enables the moments to be determined with a greatly reduced number of terms, albeit the formula looks quite complicated. It provides the  $\Psi$ -numbers of second kind and uses them to provide a much-simpler formula for calculation of probabilities by writing the distribution as a linear combination of  $\Psi_2$ -numbers, called the *decomposition formula*. It shows how to remove redundant sets prior to determining the distribution formula; this leads to the notion of a *minimal covering*. Also investigated are asymptotic approximations, estimating the number in the population, estimating the number of trials required to achieve specified observations with an application to *The Bird-Watcher's Problem*, and a Markov Chain for the *waiting-time* process.

**Chapter 8** provides some new combinatorial identities, some generalisations of known identities, and a new combinatorial proof of well-known identity.

**Chapter 9** extends the basic *without-replacement* model to waiting for a minimum number of completions, taboo sets that must not be completed before success is achieved, measuring the wait until the event can no longer occur due to one or more sets being completed that *block* completion of the desired sets, incomplete arrival streams, requiring only a partial completion of desired sets, batch arrivals, and considers models in which each of the  $N$  cells has  $v$  distinct attributes called *varieties*, whose arrivals may be either simultaneous and independent of each other or randomised.

**Chapter 10** extends the basic *with-replacement* model to waiting for a minimum number of completions, taboo sets that must not be completed before success is achieved, and measuring the wait until the event can no longer occur due to one or more sets being completed that *block* completion of the desired sets.

**Chapter 11** provides global properties for the *without-replacement* process. This includes demonstrating the complexity of determining the maximum possible wait in the general case, deriving the maximum possible wait for the uni-directional and bi-directional car parking models, determining the moments and a simplification of them for the basic process, for a minimum number of completions and for batch arrivals, determining the total expected wait for all arrivals, moments for the

---

number still required upon arrival, and the expected platoon size when a completion occurs; this is useful in analysing, for example, the congestion at the exits of a parking lot.

This chapter also investigates the *dynamic* model and specialises the results to the classic *Bernoulli's Marriage Problem*, sock-matching with multi-legged beings, and the new *Cake Display Problem*; this includes a method of determining the expected duration of the maximum number of cakes on display. Then it investigates the rate at which completions occur during the entire process using means, variances and covariances, compares the expected waiting times of the original *Hauer-Templeton* uni-directional exit model with the new bi-directional exit model, and a generating function.

**Chapter 12** provides global properties for the *with-replacement* process. This includes determining the conditional moments, conditioned on being able to complete the set, and a simplification of them for the basic process, and compares the means of this *with-replacement* process with the *without-replacement* process. This chapter also provides limiting conditional moments as the number of arrivals increases indefinitely.

**Chapter 13** applies the theory to various *without-replacement* applications. Several of these applications seem like generalisations of the main model, but are modelled in such a way as to be able to apply the general theory.

For *Queueing in Lanes*, this includes the total waits for both the uni- and bi-directional models, waiting times, comparison of delays for a parking lot, platoon departure size, parallel arrivals and an investigation into the effect a parking attendant might have on the waiting times.

For *The 2d-Gap Problem*, which is a variation of the Hauer-Templeton *Parking Lot Problem* in which there are regular spaces between cars in lanes that allow cars to swap lanes at the gaps, applying the *decomposition formula* is a very complex proposition. In the *zig-zagging* models, the minimal covering theorem is aptly applied, and the notion of an asymptotic result based on increasing the number of completion-sets (or paths in this case) to be included in the calculation is summarily dismissed.

The game *SET* is investigated in the cases of single placement and batch placement of cards, and both waiting for a particular set and any set are investigated. Known results for the means of the number of sets in  $K$  cards and at the  $k$ th card are determined from the more-general formulae. Also, the variances are provided for the first time.

The *Cake Display Problem* is used to illustrate many aspects of the theory. Of particular note is the application of the general theory to apply to the case of allowing more than one physical

---

cake for each type of cake, but with only one of each type of cake appearing on the display counter at a time.

Other aspects of the theory are also applied and discussed within the applications listed above. There are also other applications.

**Chapter 14** applies the theory to various *with-replacement* applications, including *The Bird-Watcher's Problem* and *The Bombing Raid*, the latter of which illustrates the use of the decomposition coefficients and determines blocking probabilities.

**Chapter 15** numerically analyses some formulae that were claimed as computationally superior to other forms, and also investigates the numerical accuracy problems involved in calculating probabilities for *with-replacement* processes.

**Chapter 16** applies some of the distributions to testing the randomness of data. This includes a permutation test and a Chi-Square test based on the basic *without-replacement* process, three tests based on the *Cake Display Problem*, and a test based on the *with-replacement Bird-Watcher's Problem* that is applied to testing the randomness of the decimal digits of  $\pi$ .

**Chapter 17** lists the major accomplishments, suggests some ideas for further research, and provides a final comment.