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Figure 7.1: Approximate distribution of surficial lags in the Umbum Creek Catchment in four zones, showing the association with landforms. Zone 1: alluvial fans; Zone 2: silcrete outcrops; Zone 3: fluvial sediments; and, Zone 4: lacustrine influence.

Figure 7.2: General classification of surficial lags in the Umbum Creek Catchment. A) Zone 1: angular to subangular quartzite cobble and pebble lag with minor quartz, silcrete, hematite and lithic fragments (scale=5 cm); B) Zone 2: subangular to rounded silcrete pebble lag with uncommon quartz and hematite (scale=10 cm); C) Zone 3: subangular to subrounded cobble and pebble lag of mixed lithologies including silcrete, quartz, lithic fragments and hematite (notebook for scale); and, D) Zone 4: subangular to rounded pebble lag of mixed lithologies including silcrete, quartz, lithic fragments and hematite (hammer for scale).

Figure 7.3: Location of the silcrete-rich Mirackina Palaeochannel (MPC) showing a trend towards the silcrete outcrops within the study area.

Figure 7.4: The Davenport Ranges displaying the Mt. Margaret Surface (arrow), a planation surface formed during the Jurassic and subsequently uplifted.

Figure 7.5: Topographic section across the base of the Davenport Ranges from Douglas Creek to Lambing Creek looking west, derived from the DEM 9-S, showing the irregular nature of terraces. The section location is shown in the oblique view diagram above.

Figure 7.6: Outcrop of Algebuckina Sandstone showing a coarse conglomeratic layer in the middle of the picture weathering to contribute rounded quartz clasts to the modern system (~0616126E 6830409N).

Figure 7.7: Surficial lag composed of predominantly rounded quartz pebbles sourced from Algebuckina Sandstone and Cadna-Owie Formation, indicating the reworking of ancient fluvial sediments into the modern system (0616440E 6830635N).

Figure 7.8: Tilted silcrete outcrops adjacent to the Davenport Ranges indicating tectonic activity following silcrete formation at a) Davenport Creek (view towards north 0616575E 6831738N) and b) Bulldog Creek (view towards north 0602476E 6861563N).

Figure 7.9: Detail of the Davenport Creek Silcrete showing tabular style at base, reed mould style in the middle and glaebular style at the top (hammer for scale ~0616575E 6891738N).

Figure 7.10: Detail of Davenport Creek Silcrete showing glaebular style silcrete (hammer for scale ~0616575E 6831738N).

Figure 7.11: Detail of Davenport Creek Silcrete showing tilted reed mould style silcrete (hammer for scale ~0616575E 6831738N).
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ABSTRACT
Landscape evolution is important for mineral and petroleum exploration concepts, especially in dryland continental settings. This study seeks to understand the main issues and controls on landscape evolution that have produced the regolith and young sediments around the western side of Lake Eye, in the arid heart of Australia.

Several methods were employed including satellite image analysis, geomorphometry, geological mapping, regolith mapping and surveying.

Outcomes indicate that the underlying structural fabric of the basement has controlled the development of the surface morphology of the Umbum Creek Catchment. The arrangement of basement faults is reflected in the distribution of surface landforms and in the topography of the land surface. Significant deformation of the Etadunna and Eyre formations indicate tectonic activity occurred at the end of the Miocene and was probably related to movement in the Lake Eyre Fault Zone. Pleistocene faulting is expressed as minor blind faulting associated with pre-existing basement faults. These faults remain active and current seismic activity is driven by changes in hydrostatic pressure (hydroseismicity). The scale of Pleistocene faulting and modern seismic activity demonstrates that since the Pliocene tectonic activity has been subdued.

Climate change caused landforms developed under wet conditions during the Palaeogene and Neogene to be preserved by the development of aridity in the Pleistocene. High erosion rates associated with tectonism and the onset of aridity in the Pleistocene led to topographic inversion of many features. Palaeo-Proterozoic inliers formed inselbergs, silcrete outcrops formed capstones, gypsum hardpans protected underlying sediment from erosion creating plateaux of gypsum patterned ground and palaeo-channels on the Neales Fan were eroded to make heavily armoured mounds and associated sand dunes and sand sheets.

The dominant factor influencing the evolution of the landscape in the Umbum Creek Catchment was the deposition of sedimentary sulphides within the Bulldog Shale. The excess sulphur that this sediment supplied to the landscape over time created the necessary conditions for the formation of a range of landscape features that would not otherwise exist. Weathering, oxidation and leaching of the sedimentary sulphides led to the development of silcrete. Subsequent weathering and tectonic activity led to the breakdown of the silcrete and the distribution of silcrete pebbles widely across the landscape forming gibber plains. Sulphur from the Bulldog Shale continued to contribute to the landscape forming intra-formational gypsum and precipitating as gypsum hardpans.

This study has implications for petroleum exploration in dryland continental settings as potential reservoirs may be affected by secondary diagenetic processes, such as the formation of gypsum or silcretes, that could act as baffles or result in reduced porosity within the reservoir.

The broad-scale architecture of fluvial systems, like the Neales Fan, may not conform to traditional fan-shaped models being, instead, comprised of structurally rearranged channels.

In terms of earthquake risk assessment, the identification of hydroseismicity active within the Lake Eye Basin allows for a new level of predictability of earthquake behaviour within Central Australia.
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