



# An Investigation Into Insect Chemical Plume Tracking Using a Mobile Robot

David J. Harvey

School of Mechanical Engineering  
The University of Adelaide  
South Australia 5005  
Australia

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
Mechanical Engineering  
on the 13th April 2007*

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>Statement of Originality</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>Publications</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Chapter 1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2. The Problem of Locating Sources of Airborne Chemicals</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Chemical Plume Structure . . . . .	3
2.2 Insect Plume-Tracking Hypotheses . . . . .	6
2.3 How to Further Investigate Insect Plume-Tracking . . . . .	9
2.3.1 Types of Biological Models . . . . .	10
2.3.1.1 Numerical Models . . . . .	10

2.3.1.2	Physical Models . . . . .	11
2.4	Summary . . . . .	13
<b>Chapter 3. Mobile Robot Plume-Tracking Algorithms</b>		<b>14</b>
3.1	Biologically Inspired Plume-Tracking Algorithms . . . . .	14
3.1.1	Insect Inspired . . . . .	15
3.1.2	Inspired by Other Organisms . . . . .	21
3.1.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	22
3.2	Other Plume-Tracking Algorithms . . . . .	23
3.2.1	Chemical Sensing Only . . . . .	23
3.2.1.1	Gradient Based . . . . .	23
3.2.1.2	Infotaxis . . . . .	25
3.2.2	Wind and Chemical Sensing Combined . . . . .	26
3.2.2.1	Fluxotaxis . . . . .	26
3.2.2.2	Step-by-Step . . . . .	26
3.2.2.3	Plume-Centre Algorithm . . . . .	27
3.2.2.4	Combination Transient Response Algorithm . . . . .	27
3.2.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	28
3.3	Gap Statement and Project Aims . . . . .	28
<b>Chapter 4. Model System and Experimental Conditions</b>		<b>30</b>
4.1	Model System . . . . .	30
4.1.1	Purpose . . . . .	31
4.1.2	Criteria . . . . .	31
4.1.3	Context . . . . .	31
4.1.4	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	33

4.2	Experimental Conditions . . . . .	34
4.2.1	Fixed Wind . . . . .	34
4.2.2	Single Wind Shift . . . . .	34
4.2.3	Natural Outdoor Wind Conditions . . . . .	35
4.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	35
 <b>Chapter 5. Robot Model</b>		<b>36</b>
5.1	Mobile Robot Base . . . . .	36
5.2	Wind Sensor . . . . .	37
5.2.1	Thermal Anemometers . . . . .	38
5.2.1.1	Hot-Wire Anemometer . . . . .	38
5.2.1.2	Pulsed Wire Anemometer . . . . .	38
5.2.1.3	Thermistor Based Anemometer . . . . .	38
5.2.2	Wind Vanes . . . . .	39
5.2.3	Ultrasonic . . . . .	40
5.2.4	DC Motor Rotating Anemometer . . . . .	40
5.2.5	Insect Inspired . . . . .	40
5.2.6	Chosen Sensor . . . . .	41
5.3	Chemical Sensor . . . . .	44
5.3.1	Semiconductor Gas Sensors . . . . .	44
5.3.2	Conductive Polymer Sensors . . . . .	45
5.3.3	Acoustic Wave Sensors . . . . .	46
5.3.4	Biological Sensors . . . . .	46
5.3.5	Infrared Sensors . . . . .	47
5.3.6	Photo-Ionisation Detectors . . . . .	47

5.3.7	Ion Sensors . . . . .	48
5.3.8	Chosen Sensor . . . . .	49
5.4	Control . . . . .	51
5.5	Communication . . . . .	52
5.6	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	52
<b>Chapter 6. Searching in a Fixed Wind</b>		<b>53</b>
6.1	Algorithms Tested . . . . .	53
6.1.1	Surge Anemotaxis . . . . .	54
6.1.2	Bounded Search . . . . .	55
6.1.3	Counterturner . . . . .	57
6.2	Experimental Equipment and Methods . . . . .	58
6.2.1	Wind Tunnel . . . . .	58
6.2.2	Ion Plume . . . . .	60
6.2.3	Testing Methods . . . . .	61
6.3	Results and Discussion . . . . .	64
6.3.1	Plume-Tracking Paths . . . . .	64
6.3.2	Success Rates . . . . .	66
6.3.3	Mean Time to Source . . . . .	68
6.3.3.1	Effect of Algorithm and Release Position . . . . .	69
6.3.3.2	Effect of Algorithm and Wind Speed . . . . .	71
6.4	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	72
<b>Chapter 7. Response to a Single Wind Shift</b>		<b>74</b>
7.1	Algorithms Tested . . . . .	74
7.1.1	Surge Anemotaxis . . . . .	75

7.1.1.1	Simple Surge Anemotaxis . . . . .	75
7.1.1.2	Surge Anemotaxis With Wind Shift Adjustment . . . . .	75
7.1.2	Bounded Search . . . . .	76
7.1.2.1	Simple Bounded Search . . . . .	77
7.1.2.2	Bounded Search With Wind Shift Adjustment . . . . .	77
7.1.2.3	Improved Bounded Search . . . . .	77
7.1.2.4	Longer Surge Bounded Search . . . . .	77
7.1.2.5	Variable Threshold Bounded Search . . . . .	78
7.2	Experimental Equipment and Methods . . . . .	78
7.3	Results and Discussion . . . . .	80
7.3.1	Plume-Tracking Paths . . . . .	80
7.3.2	Success Rates . . . . .	80
7.3.3	Mean Time to Source . . . . .	82
7.4	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	86
<b>Chapter 8. Searching in Outdoor Wind Conditions</b>		<b>88</b>
8.1	Algorithms Tested . . . . .	88
8.1.1	Surge Anemotaxis . . . . .	89
8.1.1.1	Simple Surge Anemotaxis . . . . .	89
8.1.1.2	Surge Anemotaxis With Wind Shift Adjustment . . . . .	89
8.1.2	Bounded Search . . . . .	89
8.1.2.1	Simple Bounded Search . . . . .	89
8.1.2.2	Longer Surge Bounded Search . . . . .	90
8.1.2.3	Variable Threshold Bounded Search . . . . .	90
8.2	Experimental Equipment and Methods . . . . .	90

- 8.3 Results and Discussion . . . . . 92
  - 8.3.1 Plume-Tracking Paths . . . . . 92
  - 8.3.2 Success Rates . . . . . 93
  - 8.3.3 Mean Path Length and Time to Source . . . . . 95
- 8.4 Concluding Remarks . . . . . 97
  
- Chapter 9. Conclusions and Future Work 99**
  - 9.1 Conclusions . . . . . 99
  - 9.2 Future Work . . . . . 103
    - 9.2.1 Mobile Robot System Improvements . . . . . 104
    - 9.2.2 Plume Source Location Strategy . . . . . 104
    - 9.2.3 Framework for Future Research . . . . . 106
  
- Appendix 1 109**
  
- Bibliography 142**

# List of Figures

2.1	Top view of a chemical plume formed by a point source of chemical . . .	4
2.2	“Real world” chemical plume in a near laminar flow wind tunnel at a wind velocity of 1.4m/s . . . . .	6
2.3	Simulation (or modelling) in the process of explanation and prediction (Webb, 2001) . . . . .	10
3.1	Overview of the spiral surge algorithm . . . . .	17
3.2	Zigzag plume-tracking method . . . . .	21
4.1	<i>Cotesia rubecula</i> . The entire insect is approximately 4mm long . . . . .	31
5.1	Micromouse robot. (a) Top View, (b) Side View. The scale is in centimetres with millimetre divisions and shows that the robot is approximately 280mm wide and approximately 100mm high . . . . .	37
5.2	Shibaura F6201-1 thermistor based anemometer. The sensor is on the right, with the associated conditioning circuitry on the left. The scale is in centimetres with millimetre divisions . . . . .	39
5.3	Multiple thermal anemometer obstacle layout for comparison method . .	42
5.4	Flow at approximately 68mm/s through an enclosed obstacle in a water tunnel bubble test at 20° (left photograph) and 30° (right photograph). The sensor in the top channel will measure a higher velocity than that in the lower channel . . . . .	43



5.5	Comparison between the enclosed and simple plate obstacles when presented with the wind coming from the left. In the enclosed obstacle (on the left) the right sensor measures higher velocity than the left. Conversely in the “T” obstacle the left sensor measures higher velocity than the right	43
5.6	Wind Sensor on top of the micromouse mobile robot (the third sensor is indicated by the lower arrow) . . . . .	44
5.7	Differential output from the two sensors contained in an enclosed obstacle for a wind velocity of 0.52m/s, 0.84m/s and 1.32m/s . . . . .	45
5.8	Ion sensor output downwind from an ion source in a wind tunnel. Signal was measured 2m downwind from the ion source at a velocity of 1.4m/s	48
5.9	Ion generator and robot model . . . . .	50
5.10	An ion plume downwind from an ion source in a flow produced by a 220mm diameter fan in a room with no other airflow sources. Measurements were obtained by taking a 25 second average of the ion signal measured by the robot when it was placed at each of a series of grid points downwind from the ion source . . . . .	50
5.11	Control system diagram . . . . .	51
6.1	Flow chart describing surge anemotaxis . . . . .	55
6.2	Search areas for the triangular and parabolic bounded search algorithms (adapted from Balkovsky and Shraiman, 2002) . . . . .	56
6.3	Flow chart describing triangular and parabolic bounded search . . . . .	58
6.4	Flow chart describing the counterturner algorithm . . . . .	59
6.5	Wind tunnel design . . . . .	60
6.6	Wind tunnel with ion generator and robot . . . . .	60
6.7	Ion plumes formed in a wind tunnel at (a) Wind speed=0.55m/s and (b) Wind speed=1.4m/s. Measurements were obtained by taking a 5 second average of the ion signal measured by the robot when it was placed at each of a series of points downwind from the ion source . . . . .	62

6.8	Robot release points . . . . .	63
6.9	Selected robot paths for the four algorithms. (a) Pure Anemotaxis, (b) Bounded Triangular, (c) Counterturner, (d) Bounded Parabolic . . .	65
6.10	Paths made by an insect tracking a point source of airborne chemical (redrawn from Baker <i>et al.</i> , 1985) . . . . .	66
6.11	Mean time to source for all release positions at wind velocity=1.4m/s. (a) Surge Anemotaxis, (b) Bounded Triangular, (c) Counterturner, (d) Bounded Parabolic . . . . .	70
6.12	Mean time to source for mid left and mid right release positions across all wind speeds. (a) Surge Anemotaxis, (b) Bounded Triangular, (c) Counterturner, (d) Bounded Parabolic . . . . .	71
7.1	Reaction to a clockwise wind shift, demonstrating the geometry of the problem. If $x \tan(\beta) < y$ , then the robot will reacquire the plume downwind from the source after moving directly across the wind in the direction of the shift. The robot will end up in the plume closer to the source than it was before the wind shift . . . . .	76
7.2	Initial ion source position and robot release position for each type of test (dimensions in mm) . . . . .	79
7.3	Sample plume-tracking path for the robot programmed with the Variable Threshold Bounded Search algorithm experiencing a clockwise wind shift. Once the wind shift is detected the robot moves at $90^\circ$ to the new wind direction, towards the shifted plume, before surging upwind when the plume is detected again . . . . .	81
7.4	Average time to reach target (seconds). (a) Surge Anemotaxis, (b) Bounded Search . . . . .	83
7.5	Effect of typical wind shifts on robot position in relation to the plume centreline . . . . .	84
8.1	Experimental setup for outdoor wind conditions tests . . . . .	91

8.2	Wind direction measurement over a one minute period taken outdoors using a wind vane. Average wind speed was approximately 1.5m/s . . .	92
8.3	Selected robot path for the Simple Surge Anemotaxis algorithm . . . . .	94
8.4	Mean path lengths for all algorithms . . . . .	96

# List of Tables

4.1	Model parameters . . . . .	34
5.1	A comparison of different sensing options . . . . .	49
6.1	Replication for each algorithm . . . . .	63
6.2	Success rates for tests undertaken at 1.4m/s . . . . .	66
6.3	Success rates for tests starting from mid left and mid right positions . . . . .	67
6.4	Mean time to source and 95% confidence intervals for tests undertaken at 1.4m/s . . . . .	69
6.5	Mean time to source and 95% confidence intervals for tests starting from mid left and mid right positions . . . . .	69
7.1	Success rates for the first attempt at each wind speed, where n represents the number of repetitions for each test condition and algorithm combination . . . . .	82
7.2	Least Significant Difference (LSD) test limits (in seconds) . . . . .	85
8.1	Success rate for the first attempt of each test . . . . .	95
8.2	Mean normalised time to find the source and 95% confidence interval for each algorithm tested . . . . .	97

# Abstract

Insects are confronted with the problem of locating food, mates, prey and hosts for their young over long distances, which they often overcome using chemical plume tracking. Tracking a plume of chemical back to its source is made difficult due to the complexity of plume structure. Turbulence and shifts in the wind direction prevail over diffusion in the spreading of an airborne chemical from a point in most cases, producing intricate plumes consisting of filaments of high chemical concentration interspersed with regions of clean air. It has been proposed that insects achieve plume tracking in this environment through variations of anemotaxis, which involves travelling upwind when an attractive chemical is perceived. This study aimed to investigate anemotaxis through the use of a mobile robot to test the efficacy of algorithms which mimic the way insects achieve plume tracking and also to determine whether these algorithms are an effective means of plume tracking for a mobile robot under a range of conditions.

To achieve the aims of this study, various plume-tracking algorithms were implemented on a mobile robot built to model a plume-tracking insect and their performance was compared under a range of wind conditions. The algorithms tested were based upon a range of plume-tracking hypotheses. The simplest algorithm was surge anemotaxis, where the robot surged upwind in the presence of an attractive chemical and performed crosswind casting (back and forth motion) in the absence of chemical. The other algorithms tested were the counterturner, where the robot zigzagged upwind, and two bounded search methods. To allow these algorithms to be appropriately implemented, a robot model was constructed that could move in two dimensions and sense the wind velocity and ion level at a point in space. An ion plume was used instead of a chemical plume in each test as it behaves in a similar manner to a chemical plume, but ion sensors have response and recovery times far more rapid than conventional chemical sensors, similar to insects.

The plume-tracking robot was tested in three series of tests. Initially, the entire range of plume-tracking algorithms was tested in a wind tunnel with fixed wind direction for a range of wind speeds and release positions. The second series of tests compared the performance of the surge anemotaxis and bounded search algorithms, again in a wind tunnel, but with a wind shift of 20° during some of the tests. The algorithms were tested with and without a direct crosswind surge response to detected wind shifts. The third set of tests examined the performance of the simple and wind shift response algorithms outdoors using natural wind to produce the plume.

All algorithms tested achieved successful plume tracking in some conditions. The surge anemotaxis and triangular bounded search algorithms were particularly successful. The tests also showed that the paths obtained from tests undertaken in natural outdoor wind conditions varied greatly from those undertaken in a wind tunnel. This indicates the need to test plume-tracking algorithms in natural environments. This is vital both in the investigation of insect plume-tracking behaviour, as insects navigate in these environments, and in the process of producing plume-tracking robots that are capable of operating effectively in these conditions.

# Statement of Originality

To the best of my knowledge, except where otherwise referenced and cited, everything that is presented in this thesis is my own original work and has not been presented previously for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. If accepted for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Mechanical Engineering, I consent that this thesis be made available for loan and photocopying.

---

David John Harvey

---

Date

# Publications

**Harvey, D. J., Keller, M. A. and Lu, T., 2007** “Insect-inspired mobile robot chemical plume tracking in outdoor wind conditions,” in Preparation for *Artificial Life*

**Harvey, D. J., Lu, T. and Keller, M. A., 2007** “Effectiveness of insect-inspired chemical plume tracking algorithms in a shifting wind field,” Submitted for publication January 2007, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*

**Harvey, D., Lu, T. and Keller, M., 2006** “Odor sensor requirements for an insect inspired plume tracking mobile robot,” In *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics, December 17-20, Kunming, China*, pp. 130-135

**Harvey, D., Lu, T. and Keller, M., 2007** “Comparing insect-inspired chemical plume tracking algorithms using a mobile robot,” Submitted for publication October 2006, conditionally accepted April 2007, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*

**Harvey, D., Lu, T. F. and Keller, M., 2004** “A robotic model of a parasitoid wasp to investigate chemical plume tracking,” Poster presented at *Insect Sensors and Robotics, Brisbane, Australia, August 23-26*

**Harvey, D. J., Lu, T. and Keller, M., 2003** “Wind sensor and robotic model wasp development,” In *Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation, December 1-3, Brisbane, Australia*



# Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without the assistance of a number of people. I would initially like to thank my parents, Paul and Robin, who have provided support and encouragement throughout my schooling and in particular through the course of this research. I would also like to thank my brother Robert for his assistance and excellent ideas during this period.

A number of people within the University of Adelaide have aided in the completion of this research. I would initially like to thank my supervisor Dr Tien-Fu Lu for his advice and support. Special thanks also goes to Dr Michael Keller from the School of Agriculture and Wine who provided much vital input, ideas and guidance in his role as project co-supervisor. I would like to thank Dr Richard Kelso and Dr Maxwell Bull for their willingness to discuss areas of this work. I would also like to acknowledge the assistance of Dr John Field in analysing the experimental results.

The help, support and encouragement of other postgraduate students within the school, and in particular in the postgrad office Room S311a, have also been invaluable. The practical work in this project would not have been possible without the assistance of the electronics department, Joel Walker, Silvio De Ieso, Derek Franklin, Philip Schmidt and the craftsmanship and innovative ideas of George Osborne. I would not have completed this work without the support of my friends. I would particularly like to thank Claire Barber for her support at the beginning of the project. Finally I would like to thank Tommie Liddy for his ideas, assistance in construction and experimentation, advice and for generally keeping me relatively sane throughout the project.