Rainfall Regime and Optimal Root Distribution in the Australian Perennial Grass, *Austrodanthonia caespitosa* (Gaudich.)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Earth and Environmental Sciences at the University of Adelaide.

Grant James Williamson

B. Env. Sc (Hons) University of Adelaide

2007
1. Table of Contents

1. Table of Contents 1-3

2. Abstract 2-11

3. Statement 3-14

4. Acknowledgements 4-15

5. Table of Figures 5-16

6. Introduction 6-28

6.1. Climate 6-29

6.2. Plant Root Distribution 6-35

6.3. Root distribution, soil water and climate 6-39

6.4. Plasticity 6-48

6.5. Australian Grasses 6-51

6.6. Use of Australian grasses in salinity control 6-54

6.7. Aims 6-57

7. Rainfall Patterns in Australia 7-59
7.1. Introduction ........................................................................................................ 7-59

7.2. Methods ........................................................................................................... 7-63

Data Sources and Maps ........................................................................................... 7-63

Walsh and Lawler seasonality index ....................................................................... 7-65

Vector seasonality .................................................................................................. 7-65

τ (Tau) event-size index ......................................................................................... 7-67

Gap-size index .......................................................................................................... 7-69

Markov probability and event length ...................................................................... 7-69

7.3. Results ............................................................................................................... 7-70

Walsh & Lawler Seasonality Index ........................................................................ 7-70

Vector Seasonality ................................................................................................. 7-71

τ (Tau) event-size index ......................................................................................... 7-73

Gap-size index .......................................................................................................... 7-77

Markov (1,1) probability and event length ............................................................ 7-80

τ event-size index change over time ....................................................................... 7-84

Significant correlations of τ event-size with time ................................................... 7-87
7.4. Discussion .......................................................................................... 7-90

7.5. Conclusion .......................................................................................... 7-96

8. NATURAL RAIN POPULATION COMPARISON 8-98

8.1. Introduction ......................................................................................... 8-98

8.2. Methods .............................................................................................. 8-103

8.3. Results ................................................................................................. 8-106

Phenology ................................................................................................. 8-106

Growth ......................................................................................................... 8-108

8.4. Discussion ........................................................................................... 8-112

Conclusion .................................................................................................. 8-117

9. PULSE-SIZE GLASSHOUSE EXPERIMENT 9-118

9.1. Introduction ........................................................................................ 9-118

9.2. Methods .............................................................................................. 9-123

9.3. Results ................................................................................................. 9-128

9.4. Discussion ........................................................................................... 9-136

9.5. Conclusion .......................................................................................... 9-143
### 10. Seasonal Watering Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.1. Introduction</td>
<td>10-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.2. Methods</td>
<td>10-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3. Results</td>
<td>10-153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.4. Discussion</td>
<td>10-161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.5. Conclusion</td>
<td>10-169</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11. Evolutionary Algorithm Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.1. Introduction</td>
<td>11-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2. Methods</td>
<td>11-179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model structure</td>
<td>11-179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily plant growth loop</td>
<td>11-184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water infiltration</td>
<td>11-185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil water diffusion</td>
<td>11-186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiration</td>
<td>11-186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthesis and assimilation</td>
<td>11-187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water availability and usage</td>
<td>11-189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assimilation and Biomass Allocation ................................................................. 11-191

Evaporation ........................................................................................................ 11-192

Plant reproduction ............................................................................................. 11-193

Soils .................................................................................................................... 11-196

Simulation Experiments ...................................................................................... 11-197

11.3. Results ........................................................................................................ 11-207

Gene shifts and selection ................................................................................... 11-207

Soil moisture regime ......................................................................................... 11-212

Pulse Size and Interval, and Soil Texture ......................................................... 11-217

Seasonal Rainfall Bias and Soil Texture ............................................................ 11-222

Total Weekly Rainfall ........................................................................................ 11-228

Daily Rainfall Record Data ................................................................................ 11-233

Respiration functions ........................................................................................ 11-235

11.4. Discussion .................................................................................................. 11-241

Model Gene Shifts and Soil Moisture .............................................................. 11-241

Pulse size / frequency ...................................................................................... 11-244
Seasonality ........................................................................................................ 11-247
Total Weekly Rainfall ...................................................................................... 11-250
Daily Rainfall Data .......................................................................................... 11-252
Respiration ......................................................................................................... 11-253
Soil Surface Area ............................................................................................. 11-254
Conclusion ........................................................................................................ 11-256

12. Discussion .................................................................................................. 12-258

12.1. Plasticity and Genotypic Differentiation ...................................................... 12-258
12.2. Rainfall Event Size ....................................................................................... 12-263
12.3. Interpulse Length and Event Frequency ....................................................... 12-268
12.4. Seasonality .................................................................................................. 12-269
12.5. Soil Type ...................................................................................................... 12-273
12.6. Deep Drainage Reduction and Utility .......................................................... 12-275
12.7. Conclusion .................................................................................................. 12-277

13. References .................................................................................................. 13-279

14. Appendix A – Seed Collection .................................................................. 14-299
14.1. Austrodanthonia caespitosa Seed Collection........................................ 14-299

14.2. Collection and processing of Austrodanthonia caespitosa seed .......... 14-304

15. Appendix B – Pilot Studies and Minor Experiments 15-310

15.1. Pilot “Colander” study .............................................................................. 15-310

   Introduction........................................................................................................ 15-310

   Methods............................................................................................................. 15-311

   Results.............................................................................................................. 15-313

   Discussion......................................................................................................... 15-319

   Conclusion....................................................................................................... 15-320

15.2. Ecophysiology pilot study ................................................................. 15-320

   Introduction........................................................................................................ 15-320

   Methods............................................................................................................. 15-321

   Results.............................................................................................................. 15-322

   Discussion......................................................................................................... 15-331

   Conclusion....................................................................................................... 15-333

15.3. Water Use Efficiency Determination.................................................. 15-333
Introduction ................................................................. 15-333
Methods ........................................................................ 15-334
Results ......................................................................... 15-335
Discussion ...................................................................... 15-337
Conclusion ...................................................................... 15-337

16. APPENDIX C – INCOMPLETE AND FAILED EXPERIMENTS  16-338

16.1. Pilot seminal root growth angles................................. 16-338

Introduction .................................................................... 16-338
Methods ........................................................................ 16-339
Results ......................................................................... 16-339
Conclusion ...................................................................... 16-340

16.2. Paddock experiment .................................................. 16-340

Introduction .................................................................... 16-340
Methods ........................................................................ 16-341

17. MODEL SOURCE CODE ............................................. 17-343

2.
This study aimed to determine whether rainfall regime has driven differentiation in the Australian perennial grass, *Austrodanthonia caespitosa*, resulting in local ecotypes possessing characters, such as deep rootedness or summer activity, that may be particularly useful in reducing deep drainage for salinity mitigation, or whether the species shows a plastic response in root growth to soil water distribution. Rainfall regime varies within a given annual rainfall because size and distribution of rainfall event vary. This can have an important effect on soil water distribution, both spatially and temporally. This study investigates the relationship between rainfall regime and the structure of root systems in local populations of *Austrodanthonia caespitosa* (Gaudich.). Firstly, it examined a number of indices useful in quantifying variation in small-scale rainfall regime, including seasonal bias, event size, event frequency, and the clustering of events, as well as how rainfall event size may be changing over time across Australia. The variation in soil water distribution that results from different rainfall regimes is expected to interact with root distribution in plants, either acting as a selective force and driving genotypic differentiation in response to soil water availability, or through plasticity in root placement. The relationship between rainfall regime and root depth distribution was examined in *Austrodanthonia caespitosa* (Gaudich.), or white-top wallaby grass, a perennial grass common across southern Australia.

Growth and reproductive traits of plants grown from seeds collected from across the range of this species under a single rainfall regime were compared and correlated with
the rainfall indices and soil type in order to establish possible abiotic explanations for trait variability. Phenological characters were found to be particularly variable between ecotypes, but high local variation between ecotypes suggested factors operating on a spatial scale smaller than the rainfall gradients are responsible for population differentiation.

In order to investigate the interaction between rainfall event size and root depth, an experiment was conducted to investigate plant response to watering pulse size and frequency, with plants grown under a range of controlled watering regimes, and root depth distribution compared. The primary response in root growth was plastic, with shallow roots being developed under small, frequent events, and deep roots developed under large, infrequent waterings. Differences between ecotypes were less important, and there was no interaction between ecotype and watering treatment, indicating the same degree of plasticity in all ecotypes.

Plants from a range of populations were grown under a controlled climate, first under winter conditions, then under summer conditions, with summer water withheld from half the plants, in order to determine the response to summer watering and summer drought. Plants that were watered over summer showed a strong growth response, increasing shoot biomass significantly. This effect was particularly strong in South Australian populations, which was unexpected as they originate from a region with low, unpredictable summer rainfall. Root depth was not strongly influenced by summer watering treatment.

Finally, an evolutionary algorithm model was constructed in order to examine optimal
plant traits under a variety of rainfall regimes. The model highlighted the importance of the interaction between rainfall regime and soil type in determining optimal root placement. Variable root cost with depth was also found to be an important trade-off to be considered, with high root loss in the surface soil layers, due to high temperatures, making a shallow rooted strategy less efficient than if root costs were equal throughout the root system.

Overall, no ecotypes of *A. caespitosa* could be identified that had characters particularly suited to deep drainage reduction, as the drought tolerant nature of the species, and the dormancy during times of drought, may lead to low overall water use. However, it may be a useful native component in pasture systems, due to its strong growth response to summer rainfall, a characteristic found to be particularly strong in a number of South Australian ecotypes.
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