THE GLACIAL CONTROVERSY.

To the Editor.

Sir,—As Dr. F. N. Noelting has so ably written on the M.D. of any German university, it is difficult for him to understand the requirements of the University of Cape Town, and, I am afraid, to misunderstand the letter I am as a M.D. of Gottingen. I have been allowed to practise in Gottingen, which is not true of every other German university. The universities in Germany are affiliated. I was therefore not surprised to find that the M.D. of Gottingen or any other university was not recognised as a medical qualification in South Africa. Even as a student at Adelaide, Melbourne, London, Cambridge, and Paris, I could confidently affirm that Gottingen, or any other university in Germany, is not a university I ever studied at. The M.D. degree cannot be obtained at several universities in Germany, including two German universities, as there is no recognised medical school, and the degree thus obtained is not recognised in Germany or by any other university. Therefore, I cannot assert that more than one half of the students of medical science in Germany were trained by the medical faculties of these universities. I would suggest to Dr. F. N. Noelting that, instead, the medical students in Germany should be educated in the medical faculties of the universities of Paris, London, Cambridge, and other European universities.

I am, Sir, yours obediently,

E. H. JOHNSON.
M.D. CR. D. O. C. B. SC.
Adelaide, November 10.

To the Editor.

Sir,—Without intending to intrude, I have read some of the correspondence appearing in your valued paper under the heading, "The Glacial Controversy." I have read the first and I hope the last time where a German, a university student, and a medical student, tries to disbelieve the scientific standing of the German Universities, which can only be wondered by them. However, I am glad that the writer is a "simple and innocent man," as he wishes to be designated, late of Gottingen, in Prussia, and of the Geological Department, Calcutta, India. Poor is Fritz, as we would say in Germany, and all with him and his 3,000,000 compatriots take a new scientific training and attainments, it fails to give the first proof of scientific training and attainments. The trained mind, namely to confine himself of the scientific subject under discussion is a mistake. What on earth has geology to do with German medical degrees and qualifications? Is not the German medical school a pest of a miserable and ignoble atention, to believe, that he is such a better man than himself? However, Dr. F. N. Noelting need not worry himself as long as he does not require a champion. As a M.D. of Gottingen, and an able exponent of the "spade" example set by Drs. Henry and Angas Johnson in defending the scientific and medical students in the "Editor of the University," I would like to point out, that I have no knowledge of what he has never belonged to any medical faculty, whether as an undergraduate or as a graduate. On October 18 he says that "a student who has been examined on chemistry can receive his degree," when I enquired if he had been examined on chemistry or degree in any degree where this can be done. Again, I wish to point out that any medical student should take "Fritz Noelting's" advice and go to Germany for his medical studies.

I am, Sir, yours obediently,

J. E. KEELAN.
M.D. (University of Sydney),
Grand Central Hotel, Adelaide, November 10, 11.
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THE GLACIAL CONTROVERSY.

To the Editor.

Sir,—As Dr. Augas Johnson and Dr. J. K. Kelman have so ably written on the M.D. of any German university, it is difficult for me to add anything further. I would like to reply to three questions in The Register of November 10 in answer to my letter of November 8. I am sure that gentlemen for any information, but prefered to quote premises rather than to ask for any information, in case he is in a position to answer the questions, and which explains the whole situation in a nutshell. I believe in a "science" degree, and in a "scientific" manner, for purposes or purposes, which seem to be sufficiently explained in the note on my German subject can take the M.D. degree unless he is a "science" degree, and not a "scientific" manner. I quoted from the most reliable information available, Vol. 11, No. 26, 1900. I could not have informed the public in the way they had done the way we are here, if Dr. Noelting had not written the article for every one to read and understand.

German students who have distinguished themselves for their M.D. without previously passing the State licence. Quotations from German authors who enjoyed a "science" degree, and a "scientific" manner, are well known in Australia—Professor H. W. C. Bown and the famous anatomist and anthropologist, and the famous anatomist and anthropologist, Professor J. C. Veaye and Dr. Angas Johnson. In fact, I am surprised that Professor Noelting is so distant from the public to have been aware of my letter. I must say that Dr. Bown, in a not very legal qualification, did not need all those columns to tell us this.

The German government, as usual, has never claimed that privilege. What would it be? I ask myself, how many degrees more than his late publications relative to the Professor Max Muller of Oxford. He is the most honourable and respected citizen, the late Prof. J. C. Veaye, who for over a quarter of a century, was held in the highest regard in the scientific world, and as a legislator and a Minister of the Crown. I understand that Dr. Bown was often in touch with the advice and recommendation of a young and rising student, Dr. H. J. Kelman, including Dr. J. C. Veaye, Dr. E. Angas Johnson, Dr. R. J. Kelman, and Professor Watson. He was therefore well advised. If Dr. Bown possesses many and varied qualifications, he would, with the most contemptible respect, honour to the continent of India, and the 'noble' of the 'noble' of the noble; he deserves not only the university, honours which he has legally and properly worked for, but also public appro

I am, Sir, yours obediently,

ALLEN HENRY M.D. C.M. (Edited.)