

Development and Assessment of Computer-Game-Like Tests of Human Cognitive
Abilities

Jason McPherson, BPsych (Hons), BA

School of Psychology, University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

June, 2008

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	Overview and Literature Review	1
Chapter 2	Exegesis	33
Chapter 3	Published Paper 1: <i>A speeded coding task using a computer-based mouse response</i>	50
Chapter 4	Published Paper 2: <i>Gs Invaders: Assessing a computer-game-like test of Processing Speed</i>	71
Chapter 5	In Press Paper 3: <i>Assessing the validity of computer-game-like tests of processing speed and working memory</i>	99
Chapter 6	General Conclusion	144
	References	159
	Appendix A: Paper 1 Reprint	
	Appendix B: Paper 2 Reprint	

Summary

The present thesis describes the development and assessment of two computer-game-like tests designed to measure two cognitive abilities currently of considerable interest to many researchers: processing speed (Gs) and working memory (WM). It is hoped that such tests could provide a unique and important addition to the range of tests currently employed by researchers interested in these constructs. The results of five separate studies are presented across three published papers.

In Paper 1-Study 1 ($N = 49$) a speeded computerized coding test (Symbol Digit) using the mouse as the response device was assessed. Because speeded tests are thought to be highly sensitive to response methods (Mead & Drasgow, 1994) it was deemed important to first assess how a mouse response method might affect the underlying construct validity of a speeded coding test independently of whether it was game-like. Factor analytic results indicated that the computerized coding test loaded strongly on the same factor as paper-and-pencil measures of Gs.

For Paper 2-Study 1 ($N = 68$) a more computer-game-like version of Symbol Digit was developed, Space Code. Development of Space Code involved the provision of a cover story, the replacing of code symbols with 'spaceship' graphics, the situating of the test within an overall 'spaceship cockpit', and numerous other graphical and aural embellishments to the task. Factor analytic results indicated that Space Code loaded strongly on a Gs factor but also on a factor comprised of visuo-spatial (Gv) ability tests. This finding was further investigated in the subsequent study.

Paper 2-Study 2 ($N = 74$) involved a larger battery of ability marker tests and a range of additional computer-game-like elements were added to Space Code.

Space Code included a scoring system, a timer with additional voice synthesized countdowns, aversive feedback for errors, and background music. Factor analysis indicated that after a general factor was extracted Space Code loaded on the same factor as paper-and-pencil measures of Gs and did not load on a factor comprised of non-speeded Gv tests.

Paper 3-Study 1 ($N = 74$) was aimed at assessing a computer-game-like test of WM (Space Matrix) and further assessing Space Code within a broader network of tests. Space Matrix used a dual task format combining a simple version of Space Code with a visually presented memory task based on the Dot Matrix test (Miyake, Friedman, Rettinger, Shah, & Hegarty, 2001). The cover story and scoring system for Space Code was expanded to incorporate this additional memory element. Factor analysis indicated that Space Matrix was loaded on the same first order factor as standard WM tests and the Raven's Advanced Progressive Matrices (Gf). Space Code was substantially loaded on the second order factor but was weakly loaded on each of two first order factors interpreted as Gs and WM/Gf.

A final study is presented (Paper 3-Study2) in which Space Code and Space Matrix was administered to a school aged sample ($N=94$). Space Matrix exhibited construct validity as well as predictive validity (as a predictor of school grades), while results for Space Code were less encouraging. Space Matrix and Raven's Progressive Matrices showed comparable relationships to school grades for Mathematics, English and Science subjects.

It is concluded that the development of computer-game-like tests represents a promising new format for research and applied assessment of known cognitive abilities.

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this submission is my own work and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of a university or other institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgement is made in the body of the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

McPherson, J., & Burns, N. R. (2005). A speeded coding task using a computer-based mouse response. *Behavior Research Methods*, 37(3), 538-544.

McPherson, J. & Burns, N. R. (2007). Gs Invaders: Assessing a computer-game-like test of Processing Speed. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(4), 876-883.

McPherson, J. & Burns, N. R. (in press). Assessing the validity of computer-game-like tests of processing speed and working memory. *Behavior Research Methods*.

Jason McPherson

Signed: _____

Date: 27th June, 2008

Acknowledgements

I am indebted regarding the broad idea for the thesis which was sparked by a suggestion made by Nick Burns in one of our many rambling but sincere research conversations. Nick, as my principal supervisor, co-author and friend, has supported my thinking, my lack of thinking, and nearly every stage of my academic development over the last eight years. I am indebted to him for supporting and guiding me in so many ways while also allowing and trusting me to develop the research program independently from the very beginning.

I am also thankful for the quality and support of my secondary supervisors. Michael Lee, who contributed to convincing me to do a PhD and who provided and trusted me sufficiently to invest in multimedia software and books that became integral to the technical development of software for the thesis. Ted Nettelbeck, who always had something encouraging, important and helpful to say to me.

I have also been greatly supported, much to their own detriment and frustration, by Mark Brown, Lynda Klopp, Geoff Matthews, Carmen Rayner and other staff members. No matter how many computers I broke, networks destroyed, or pieces of extra equipment I needed, I was always accommodated to get what I needed done with a smile and a mild ribbing.

There are a great number of others who helped and provided comments and feedback on the research program. Thanks to you all too.

Dedications

To my parents and my dearly departed brother.

To my friends in all their shapes and forms.