Predicting Support Needs for People with Psychiatric and Intellectual Disabilities

Stacey T. Thomson

School of Psychology
The University of Adelaide
February, 2008
Table of Contents

Abstract ........................................................................................................................................... vi
Declaration ...................................................................................................................................... viii
Acknowledgements ....................................................................................................................... ix
List of Tables .................................................................................................................................... x
List of Figures .................................................................................................................................. xv
Chapter 1: Assessment of support needs in people with disabilities ........................................... 1
  Disabilities .................................................................................................................................... 1
  Service Provision ......................................................................................................................... 2
  Support Need .............................................................................................................................. 3
  Met and Unmet Need .................................................................................................................. 4
  Assessing support needs ............................................................................................................ 5
  The present study ....................................................................................................................... 9
  Project Overview ....................................................................................................................... 11
Chapter 2: Possible predictors of support need ............................................................................. 13
  The Andersen Model of Service Use ......................................................................................... 14
  Demographics, disability characteristics and personal characteristics as predictors of service use/costs ..................................................................................................................... 16
  Predictors of service use/costs among people with psychiatric disabilities ........................................ 17
  Predicting support need among people with psychiatric disabilities ........................................... 28
  Predictors of service use/cost and support needs among people with intellectual disabilities .......... 30
  Summary of the predictors of service use, costs and support needs among people with psychiatric and intellectual disabilities ................................................................. 36
  Research Aim: Study 1 ............................................................................................................. 43
  Hypotheses ............................................................................................................................... 43
Chapter 3: Study 1 – The Prediction of Overall Support Needs .................................................... 44
  Background information ............................................................................................................ 44
  Supported Residential Facilities ............................................................................................... 44
  The development of the Supported Residential Facility Assessment Team ................................ 46
  The Supported Residential Facility Assessment ........................................................................ 47
  Study 1: Method ......................................................................................................................... 49
    Participants ............................................................................................................................... 49
    Participants with psychiatric disabilities ................................................................................. 49
    Participants with intellectual disabilities ................................................................................. 50
    Procedure ............................................................................................................................... 51
    Analysis .................................................................................................................................... 52
  Predicting Overall Support Needs ............................................................................................. 56
    Participants with psychiatric disabilities ................................................................................. 56
    Participants with intellectual disabilities ................................................................................. 66
    Comparison of predictors of overall support needs between disability types ....................... 71
    Conclusions ............................................................................................................................ 76
Chapter 4: Predictors of different domains of support need .......................................................... 79
  Participants with psychiatric disabilities ................................................................................. 79
  Extensive Medical Needs ......................................................................................................... 80
Factors that influence informant reports........................................................................ 187

Summary ......................................................................................................................... 190

Research Aim ................................................................................................................... 190

Hypotheses ....................................................................................................................... 191

Study Design .................................................................................................................... 191

Chapter 7: Caregivers’ Predictions of Change in Support Needs........................................... 194

Methodology ..................................................................................................................... 194

Assessment Instruments .................................................................................................. 194

The Inventory of Client and Agency Planning (ICAP) ....................................................... 195

The Health of the Nation Outcome Scales (HoNOS) ............................................................ 197

The Broad Screen Checklist of Observed Changes (BSCOC) ............................................. 198

Comparison of HoNOS-LD and BSCOC ............................................................................ 200

Questionnaire Design ....................................................................................................... 204

Pilot Study ........................................................................................................................ 206

Reliability Studies ............................................................................................................. 208

Test-retest reliability for residents with psychiatric disabilities .......................................... 208

Test-retest Reliability for residents with intellectual disabilities ......................................... 209

Comparison of test-retest reliability for residents with psychiatric and intellectual disabilities ........................................................................................................... 210

Inter-rater Reliability for residents with psychiatric disabilities ......................................... 212

Inter-rater Reliability for residents with intellectual disabilities ........................................ 213

Comparison of inter-rater reliability for residents with psychiatric and intellectual disabilities ........................................................................................................... 214

Summary of reliability ...................................................................................................... 216

Study 2 - Procedure ......................................................................................................... 218

Recruitment of Participants .............................................................................................. 218

Procedure ........................................................................................................................... 219

Analysis ............................................................................................................................. 220

Residents with psychiatric disabilities ............................................................................... 220

Residents with intellectual disabilities .............................................................................. 221

Determining change on the functional assessment instruments ........................................ 223

Accuracy of Caregivers’ Estimates of Change in Overall Support Needs ............................ 224

Participants ........................................................................................................................ 225

Residents with psychiatric disabilities ............................................................................... 225

Caregivers of residents with psychiatric disabilities .......................................................... 225

Residents with intellectual disabilities .............................................................................. 226

Caregivers of residents with intellectual disabilities .......................................................... 226

Support needs .................................................................................................................... 226

Residents with psychiatric disabilities ............................................................................... 226

Residents with intellectual disabilities .............................................................................. 226

Comparison of residents with psychiatric and intellectual disabilities .............................. 228

Accuracy of caregivers’ predictions of change in overall support needs ............................. 229

Residents with psychiatric disabilities ............................................................................... 230

Residents with intellectual disabilities .............................................................................. 232

Summary of caregivers’ ability to predict changes in overall support needs ...................... 234

Accuracy of caregivers’ retrospective reports of change .................................................... 237

Residents with psychiatric disabilities ............................................................................... 237
Abstract

Over the last 30 years there has been a paradigmatic shift in the field of disabilities towards assessing individual support needs in relation to social and environmental context rather than individual deficits. The capacity of existing assessment instruments to assess support needs is limited because most were designed to assess individual deficits and thereby determine eligibility for funding and/or services. Some instruments have been designed to assess support needs but there are several problems associated with these instruments including, suitability for use in only one disability type, susceptibility to rater bias, and failure to account for variations in support needs over time. This thesis attempted to contribute to addressing these deficiencies by examining the characteristics that are most predictive of support needs for people with psychiatric and intellectual disabilities and the methods by which changes in such support needs could be predicted.

Two studies were used to address these aims. The first considered samples of people with primary psychiatric disabilities (N= 561) and intellectual disabilities (N =168), who lived in Supported Residential Facilities. These participants were assessed in regards not only to standard demographic and disability characteristics, and also personal characteristics, including functional abilities, and support needs. The data were analysed using ordinal logistic regression to determine which better predicted support needs and, accordingly, which characteristics were most important to include in the assessment of support needs. Analysis showed that personal characteristics, such as functional abilities such as showering/bathing and budgeting explained much more variance in support needs than either demographics or disability characteristics. This suggests that, for support needs assessments to be accurately assessed, they should include evaluations of these personal characteristics even though they may require more time and resources to obtain than demographic and disability data.

The second study in this thesis aimed to investigate whether caregivers could predict changes in support needs over six-month periods accurately. Residents in supported accommodation with a primary psychiatric disability (N = 60; although some attrition among those with psychiatric disabilities), or primary intellectual disability (N = 57) and their caregivers were involved in this study. Caregivers were interviewed on three occasions at six-monthly intervals and asked to estimate any changes that they expected to occur in the next six months or
that they believed had occurred in the preceding six months. Caregivers were also asked to complete two functional assessments for each resident at the three points in time. The functional assessments were used as the benchmark against which the accuracy of caregivers’ estimates was examined. Analysis found that caregivers were unable to predict accurately prospective changes in overall or specific support needs, nor were they able to report accurately such changes retrospectively.

The results of this thesis contribute to the body of knowledge in the relatively new area of support needs assessment in terms of possible predictors of support needs and the assessment of changes in support needs. Recommendations for further research include; investigating some of the personal characteristics found to be predictors of support needs in greater detail and among other disability types, replication of these findings in other samples, and investigating ways to improve caregivers’ capacity to predict changes in support needs accurately.
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