



Lyapunov-based Control Strategies for the Global Control of Symmetric VTOL UAVs

Rohin Wood

SCHOOL OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
The University of Adelaide
South Australia 5005
Australia

*A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
of Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering on the 28th of June 2007.
Qualified on the 3rd of December 2007.*

LYAPUNOV-BASED CONTROL STRATEGIES FOR THE GLOBAL CONTROL OF SYMMETRIC
VTOL UAVs

June 2007

School of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide
SA 5005 Australia

Typeset by the author with the LyX document preparation system.
Printed in Australia.

Copyright © 2007, The University of Adelaide, South Australia.

All rights reserved. No part of this report may be used or reproduced in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system without prior written permission of the university except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to thank my academic supervisor Associate Professor Benjamin Cazzolato. I thank him for both stimulating my interest in control engineering throughout his undergraduate lectures, and for his support and guidance throughout my time as a postgraduate student.

I could have never made it to this point in my life and education without the love and support of my parents Christine and Garry Wood. I will always be grateful to them for the upbringing they gave me and for sparking my passions in the disciplines of science and mathematics from a young age.

There are three guys that have been largely responsible for making the last few years as a postgraduate student enjoyable ones. I of course refer to my office colleagues and good friends Dick Petersen, Will Robertson and Zebb Prime. In particular, I would like to thank Zebb for continuing to remind me what an engineer is supposed to be able to do with computers, Will for his ability to massage my documents with his typesetting wizardry and Dick for furthering the horizons of my musical tastes.

I am incredibly grateful to BAE Systems Australia for the financial support that they have provided me throughout my research. In particular, I would like to thank Mal Crozier for his insights into the real world of control system engineering, and his warm welcome on all of my visits to his Melbourne office. I would also like to thank Andrew Stonham for orchestrating the sponsorship.

I am somewhat indebted to Associate Professor Robert Mahony for his advice and guidance throughout the last year of my research. In particular, I would like to thank him for the time he spent with me and the discussions we had during my visit to ANU. I am constantly amazed at how someone to whom time is such a valuable commodity can be so generous with it.

Finally, I would like to thank the two most important people in my life; my beautiful partner Adriana who has supported me throughout all of my endeavors, and our young son Tavien who continues to inspire and amaze me every day. This thesis belongs to you both.

Abstract

The last decade has seen significant advances in the development of Vertical take-off and landing (VTOL) unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs). The emergence of enabling technologies, in addition to the practical usefulness of such systems has driven their development to a point where numerous technology demonstrators and commercial products are now in existence. Of particular interest has been the development of small scale, VTOL UAVs commonly referred to as mini and micro-VTOL UAVs. The versatility and agility of such vehicles offers great potential for the use in clustered, urban environments.

Despite recent advancements, the autonomous navigation of VTOL UAVs remains a very challenging research area. The dynamics of VTOL UAVs are heavily nonlinear, underactuated and non-minimum phase. This, coupled with the aggressive maneuvers that such vehicles are expected to execute provides a stimulating problem in dynamic control. This is particularly true in the case of micro-VTOL UAVs. The fast, nonlinear nature of these systems render classical, linear control approaches inadequate.

The past twenty years has seen great interest in the development of nonlinear control strategies. This has led to the emergence of a number of standard design tools, most notably *feedback linearisation* and Lyapunov-based, *backstepping* approaches. Such design techniques offer a framework for the derivation of model based control laws capable of achieving global stabilisation and trajectory tracking control for heavily nonlinear systems. Recently, there has been significant interest in the application of such nonlinear control paradigms for the stabilisation and control of VTOL UAVs.

The aim of this thesis is to further the application and analysis of nonlinear control design techniques for the control of VTOL UAVs. In particular, focus is placed on Lyapunov-based, backstepping-type control approaches. The first half of this thesis investigates Lyapunov-based control strategies that cast the closed-loop VTOL dynamics into a globally stable, cascade structure. This work was directly inspired by, and builds on, a variety of previously published works. Firstly, an alternative design approach to that previously published is presented, resulting in an improved closed-loop dynamic structure. Although inspired by the VTOL system, this idea may be generalised for the control of a broad class of systems, and is presented as such. A singularity issue arising in the cascade control of VTOL vehicles is then investigated, and a novel approach to overcome this issue is formulated. The second half of this thesis is dedicated to the trajectory tracking control of VTOL UAVs at velocities where the influence of aerodynamics is significant. In general, the aerodynamic models of VTOL UAVs are heavily nonlinear and poorly known. The use of such models in a backstepping framework that uses explicit differentiation of these models for

dynamic inversion is questioned, due to the potential sensitivity of such nonlinear models. Consequently, an alternative approach utilising coupled filters to avoid such sensitivity issues is proposed. All control designs formulated in this thesis are accompanied by proofs guaranteeing their global stability, and numerical simulations demonstrating their time domain response characteristics.

Statement of originality

To the best of my knowledge, except where otherwise referenced and cited, everything that is presented in this thesis is my own original work and has not been presented previously for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. If accepted for the award of the degree of Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering, I consent that this thesis be made available for loan and photocopying.

.....
Rohin Wood

Contents

Execuative Summary	i
Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	iii
Statement of originality	v
Nomenclature	xi
Symbols	xi
Abbreviations	xvi
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Thesis overview	3
1.2. Publications arising from this thesis	5
Chapter 2. Background	7
2.1. Introduction	7
2.2. Background control theory	7
2.3. 3DOF VTOL rigid body dynamics: The PVTOL system	14
2.4. 6DOF VTOL rigid body dynamics	16
2.5. Previous works on the control of VTOL vehicles	34
2.6. Previous works on the nonlinear control of the PVTOL vehicle	36
2.7. Previous works on the Lyapunov-based control of the 6DOF VTOL vehicle.	44
2.8. Research Exposition	45
Chapter 3. Nonlinear cascade control design with application to the PVTOL vehicle	47
3.1. Introduction	47
3.2. Cascade control for a class of nonlinear systems	48
3.3. Cascade control for the PVTOL system	52
3.4. Modified cascade control of the PVTOL with varying weights	67
3.5. Conclusion	70
Chapter 4. Singularity issues in the nonlinear cascade control of the PVTOL vehicle	73
4.1. Introduction	73
4.2. Singularity issue in the cascade control of VTOL vehicles	73
4.3. Methods for overcoming singularity in cascade control designs	77

4.4. Conclusion	87
Chapter 5. Approximate backstepping control for symmetric, 6DOF VTOL vehicles	91
5.1. Introduction	91
5.2. System dynamics	91
5.3. Approximate backstepping control design	92
5.4. Closed-loop dynamics	95
5.5. Singularity issues	96
5.6. Modified approximate backstepping control design	97
5.7. Modified approximate backstepping control design with guaranteed non-negative thrust	101
5.8. Simulation Results	101
5.9. Conclusion	103
Chapter 6. Approximate backstepping/ cascade control for symmetric VTOL vehicles: Trajectory tracking with significant aerodynamic effects	107
6.1. Introduction	107
6.2. System dynamics	108
6.3. Control design procedure	108
6.4. Function approximations for controller implementation	115
6.5. Numerical simulations	123
Chapter 7. Backstepping control for symmetric VTOL vehicles: Trajectory tracking with significant aerodynamic effects	137
7.1. Introduction	137
7.2. System dynamics	139
7.3. Conventional backstepping control	139
7.4. Backstepping-type control using coupled filters	149
7.5. Controller comparison	159
7.6. Singularity issues	169
7.7. Conclusion	175
Chapter 8. Conclusions and future work	179
8.1. Conclusions	179
8.2. Future work	181
Appendix A. Explicit control law expressions for Chapter 5	185
Appendix B. Explicit control law expressions for Chapter 6	189
B.1. Derivatives of approximation functions obtained via numerical method	190
B.2. Derivatives of approximation functions obtained via approximate aerodynamic model	194
Appendix C. Explicit control law expressions for Chapter 7	197
Appendix D. Partial derivatives of aerodynamic model	201
D.1. Partial derivatives of general aerodynamic model	201

CONTENTS

ix

D.2. Partial derivatives of RBFN drag coefficient model	207
References	209

Errata

For copyright reasons, the following figures require removal from the thesis *“Lyapunov-Based Control Strategies for the Global Control of Symmetric VTOL UAVs”*:

FIGURE 1.1. Symmetric VTOL UAV examples. Left: Bertin Technology’s LAAS-CNRS hovereye [60]. Right: Georgia Institute of Technology’s GTSpy [33].

FIGURE 1.2. The Nulka active missile decoy system. Left: Mid-flight. Right: Deployed from HMAS Newcastle.

Nomenclature

Symbols

A	Aerodynamic drag
A_{dir}	Direction of aerodynamic drag
\tilde{A}	Approximate aerodynamic model
$a = [a_x, a_y, a_z]^T$	Acceleration
$a_d = [a_{dx}, a_{dy}, a_{dz}]^T$	Acceleration virtual control law (desired acceleration)
$a_d = [a_{dx}, a_{dy}]^T$	PVTOL desired acceleration
$\bar{a}_d = [\bar{a}_{dx}, \bar{a}_{dy}]^T$	PVTOL desired acceleration for controller utilising additional dynamics
$\bar{a}_{dx}, \bar{a}_{dy}, \bar{a}_{dz}$	Maximum input values of a_{dx}, a_{dy} and a_{dz} for polycubic interpolation function
$\hat{a}_d = [\hat{a}_{dx}, \hat{a}_{dy}]^T$	PVTOL desired acceleration for controller utilising saturation functions
$\mathcal{B} = \{e_x, e_y, e_z\}$	Body fixed reference frame
b_0	Saturation function upper limit
C^n	Class of functions with finite n^{th} order derivatives
C_1, C_2	PVTOL translation control matrices
C_N	Normal drag coefficient
\bar{C}_N	First order approximation of normal drag coefficient
\hat{C}_N	RBFN representation of normal drag coefficient
\tilde{C}_N	Approximate normal drag coefficient
c	Distance from CG to CP

c_0	Radius of region surrounding singularity, defined for additional dynamics embedded within PVTOL control law
$c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}, c_{22}$	PVTOL translation control gains
D	Reference length
D_1, D_2	Control gains for additional dynamics embedded within PVTOL control law
d_1, d_2	PVTOL orientation control gains
E	Storage function
$\mathcal{E} = \{E_x, E_y, E_z\}$	Inertial reference frame
F	Nonlinear function defined for additional dynamics embedded within PVTOL control law
F_c	Control force
g	Scalar gravitational acceleration
\tilde{g}	Vector gravitational acceleration
g_i	Radial basis functions
h_1, h_2	Functions comprising F
H	Matrix defined for conventional backstepping control law
\bar{H}	Matrix defined for backstepping-type control law
I_{xx}, I_{yy}, I_{zz}	Principal moments of inertia in X, Y and Z directions
I	Inertia tensor
I_1	Constant matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
I_2	Constant matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$j = [j_x, j_y, j_z]^T$	Jerk
$j_d = [j_{dx}, j_{dy}, j_{dz}]^T$	Jerk virtual control law (desired jerk)
K	Control gain for additional dynamics embedded within PVTOL control law
k	Yaw control loop gain
k_1	PVTOL desired thrust

k_2	PVTOL desired roll angle
\bar{k}_1	PVTOL desired thrust from control law utilising saturation functions
\bar{k}_2	PVTOL desired roll angle from control law utilising saturation functions
\bar{k}_3, \bar{k}_4	Backstepping-type control law gains
\hat{k}_1	PVTOL desired thrust for control law utilising additional dynamics
\hat{k}_2	PVTOL desired roll angle for control law utilising additional dynamics
\hat{k}_3	Control gain
\tilde{k}_3, \tilde{k}_3	Filter gains
$k_i \ i = 1, \dots, 4$	Control gains
L_f	Lie derivative with respect to function f
L_1, L_2	Filter Lyapunov functions
l	Eccentricity of roll control inputs with respect to CG
M	Matrix defined for backstepping-type control law
m	Mass
P_D	Dynamic pressure
q	Modified feedback law for primary thrust
\bar{q}	Weighted, modified feedback law for primary thrust
\tilde{q}	Non negative, modified feedback law for primary thrust
\mathbb{R}^+	Space of all real, non-negative numbers
\mathbb{R}^n	Space of all real, n -dimensional numbers
R	Rotation matrix
Re	Reynolds number
r	Variables defined for ease of cascade control law notation ($r = a_d + gE_z + \frac{1}{m}A_\perp$)
\tilde{r}	PVTOL unit vector defining direction of thrust
S	Reference Area
s	Dummy variable
s_x, s_y, s_z	Elliptical reference trajectory spatial parameters
T	Variable defined to ensure non negative thrust $\bar{T}_{zd} = \ T\ $
T	Velocity Jacobean relating angular velocity to orientation angle derivatives
T_f	Elliptical reference trajectory completion time

T_x, T_y	Roll control thrust inputs
T_z	Primary thrust input
\bar{T}_z	Augmented primary thrust input
$\bar{\bar{T}}_z, \bar{\bar{T}}_z$	Additional state and new input arising from dynamic extension of \bar{T}_z input
\hat{T}_{zd}	Estimate of \bar{T}_{zd}
t	Time
u_1	PVTOL thrust input
\bar{u}_1	PVTOL augmented thrust input
u_2	PVTOL roll control input
$V_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$	Control Lyapunov functions
$v = [v_x, v_y, v_z]^T$	CG velocity
W	Weighting matrix
w_i	RBFN weights
w_{opt}	Optimal RBFN weights
w_x	Component of weighting matrix influencing horizontal dynamics
w_y	Component of weighting matrix influencing vertical dynamics
Y	RBFN measured data
$X = [\lambda^T \quad \sigma^T \quad \eta^T \quad \omega^T]^T$	6DOF VTOL state vector
x	RBFN input parameters
$x = [x_x, x_y, x_z]^T$	CG displacement
x_{Ci}	RBFN center locations
x_i	RBFN input parameters for measured data Y
x_1, y_1	PVTOL horizontal and vertical components of CG displacement
x_2, y_2	PVTOL horizontal and vertical components of CG velocity
\mathbb{Z}	Space of all real integers
α	Vehicle attitude
β	Vehicle heading

$\delta = [\delta_x, \delta_y]^T$	Perturbation to desired PVTOL acceleration
$\delta_1 = [\delta_{1x} \ \delta_{1y} \ \delta_{1z}]^T$	Tracking error variable
$\bar{\delta}_3, \bar{\delta}_4$	Error variables used in backstepping-type control law design
$\hat{\delta}_3$	Orientation angle set error
$\tilde{\delta}_3, \tilde{\delta}_4$	Error variables used in backstepping-type control law filter designs
$\delta_i \ i = 1, \dots, 4$	Error variables used in backstepping-based controller designs
δ_a	Error variable resulting from error in \bar{T}_{zd} and η_d estimates
E	6DOF VTOL input coupling matrix
ϵ	PVTOL input coupling parameter
ζ_1	PVTOL roll error
ζ_2	PVTOL roll error derivative
$\eta = [\phi, \theta, \psi]^T$	Orientation angle set (Pitch, Roll Yaw)
$\eta_d = [\phi_d, \theta_d, \psi_d^*]^T$	Desired value of orientation angle set
$\hat{\eta}_d = [\hat{\phi}_d, \hat{\theta}_d, \psi_d^*]^T$	Estimate of η_d
θ	PVTOL roll angle
\varkappa	Radial basis function width
$\lambda = [\lambda_x, \lambda_y, \lambda_z]^T$	CP displacement
$\lambda_d = [\lambda_{dx}, \lambda_{dy}, \lambda_{dz}]^T$	Demand trajectory (desired displacement)
λ_x, λ_y	PVTOL horizontal and vertical components of CP displacement
μ	Air viscosity
ρ	Air density
$\sigma = [\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z]^T$	CP velocity
$\sigma_d = [\sigma_{dx}, \sigma_{dy}, \sigma_{dz}]^T$	Velocity virtual control law (desired velocity)
σ_x, σ_y	PVTOL horizontal and vertical components of CP velocity

$\bar{\sigma}_x, \bar{\sigma}_y, \bar{\sigma}_z$	Maximum input values of σ_x, σ_y and σ_z for polycubic interpolation function
$\tau = [\tau_x \quad \tau_y \quad \tau_z]^T$	Torque input
φ	Saturation function
$\psi_i, i = 1, \dots, 4$	Interconnection terms between cascaded subsystems
$\Omega = [\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z]^T$	Angular velocity
$\hat{\Omega}_d = [\hat{\omega}_{xd}, \hat{\omega}_{yd}, \hat{\omega}_z^*]^T$	Angular velocity virtual control law (desired angular velocity)
ω	PVTOL angular velocity

Abbreviations

3DOF	Three Degrees Of Freedom
6DOF	Six Degrees Of Freedom
CG	Center of Gravity
CLF	Control Lyapunov Function
CP	Center of Percussion/ Control Point
LHS	Left Hand Side
MAV	Mobile Air Vehicle
PVTOL	Planar Vertical Take-Off and Landing
RBFN	Radial Basis Function Network
UAV	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle
VTOL	Vertical Take-Off and Landing