

Studies on the Evidence Base in Support of Digoxin Therapeutic Drug Monitoring

Sepehr Shakib MBBS (Hons), FRACP

Department of Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology University of Adelaide

Submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctorate of Philosophy in December 2005

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xv
DEDICATION	xvi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview of Therapeutic Drug Monitoring	1
1.1.1 Principles of Appropriateness of TDM	3
1.1.2 History of Development of TDM	5
1.1.3 Impact of TDM on Clinical Practice	7
1.2 Principles of Evidence Based Medicine	8
1.2.1 Levels of Evidence	9
1.3 Application of EBM Principles to TDM	11
1.3.1 Evidence Base for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Different Drug	ıg Classes20
1.4 Therapeutic Drug Monitoring of Digoxin	26
1.4.1 Clinical Pharmacology of Digoxin	26
1.4.2 Development of Digoxin TDM	27
1.4.3 Evaluation of Digoxin TDM	31
1.5 Summary and Aims of Thesis	43
CHAPTER 2.: RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF DIGOXIN THE	ERAPEUTIC
MONITORING - PILOT STUDY	45
2.1 Introduction	45
2.2 Methods	46
2.3 Results	58
2.4 Discussion	61
2.5 Conclusion	65

CHAPTER 3: UTILITY OF DIGOXIN ASSAYS IN THE DIAGNOSIS OF DI	GOXIN
TOXICITY	66
3.1 Introduction	66
3.2 Methods	70
3.2.1 Patient Data Collection	70
3.2.2 Computerised Form	71
3.2.2 Digoxin Concentration Determination	75
3.2.4 Statistical Analysis	75
3.3 Results	76
3.4 Discussion	84
3.5 Conclusion	91
CHAPTER 4: THE USEFULNESS OF ROUTINE DIGOXIN ASSAY	S ON
ADMISSION TO MEDICAL UNITS	92
4.1 Introduction	92
4.2 Methods	93
4.2.1 Data Collection Tool	94
4.2.2 Digoxin Concentration Determination	97
4.2.3 Statistical Analysis	98
4.3 Results	98
4.4 Discussion	112
4.5 Conclusion	127
CHAPTER 5: SYSTEMATIC SURVEY OF SYMPTOMS OF DIGOXIN TOXIC	ITY AND
CORRELATION WITH SERUM DIGOXIN CONCENTRATIONS IN HE	OSPITAL
INPATIENTS	130
5.1 Introduction	130
5.2 Methods	132
5.2.1 Patients	133
5.2.2 Questionnaire	134
5.2.3 Colour Vision Testing	136
5.2.4 Factors Altering Sensitivity to Digoxin	141
5.2.5 Digoxin Concentration Determination	143
5.2.6 Statistical Analysis	143

	5.3 Results	145
	5.3.1 Patient Characteristics	145
	5.3.2 Questionnaire Results	149
	5.3.3 Prevalence of Symptoms at Increasing SDCs	156
	5.3.4 Influence of Factors Which May Alter Sensitivity to Digoxin	
	5.3.4.1 Anorexia	162
	5.3.4.2 Nausea	164
	5.3.4.3 Vomiting	165
	5.3.4.4 Tiredness	166
	5.4 Discussion	166
	5.5 Conclusion	180
СН	HAPTER 6: ELECTROCARDIOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISATION OF DIGOXIN TOXIC	TY.181
	6.1 Introduction	181
	6.2 Methods	184
	6.2.1 Patient Selection and Data Collection	184
	6.2.2 Digoxin Concentration Determination	185
	6.2.3 Electrocardiographic Assessments	185
	6.2.4 Determination of Toxic Rhythms	189
	6.2.5 Statistical Analysis	189
	6.3 Results	190
	6.3.1 Patient Characteristics	190
	6.3.2 Rhythm Analysis	191
	6.3.3 Analysis of Premature Ventricular Complexes	194
	6.3.4 Analysis of ST Segment Sagging	195
	6.3.5 Analysis of Quantitative Data	195
	6.3.6 Factors Altering Sensitivity to Serum Digoxin Concentration	206
	6.4 Discussion	208
	6.4.1 Analysis of Quantitative Variables	211
	6.4.2 Factors Altering Sensitivity to Serum Digoxin Concentration	216
	6.5 Conclusion	218

CHAPTER 7: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS220
7.1 Overview of Thesis
7.2 Conclusions
7.2.1 Randomised Controlled Trial of Digoxin Therapeutic Monitoring - Pilot
Study
7.2.2 Utility of Digoxin Assays In The Diagnosis Of Digoxin Toxicity221
7.2.3 The Usefulness of Routine Digoxin Assays on Admission to Medical
Units
7.2.4 Systematic Survey of Symptoms of Digoxin Toxicity and Correlation with
Serum Digoxin Concentrations in Hospital Inpatients225
7.2.5 Electrocardiographic Characterisation of Digoxin Toxicity226
7.3 Future directions
APPENDIX A: EXAMPLES OF LEVELS OF EVIDENCE HIERARCHY USED BY
DIFFERENT INSTITUTIONS231
APPENDIX P. ETHICO COMMITTEE PROTOCOLO
APPENDIX B: ETHICS COMMITTEE PROTOCOLS234
REFERENCES: 272

Despite being available for over 3 decades, there is a dearth of high level evidence for the benefit of digoxin therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) on clinical endpoints. A pilot randomised controlled trial of digoxin TDM was conducted in hospital inpatients. Clinical endpoints were difficult to collect without considerable additional resources. The main barrier to performing a full-scale randomised trial of digoxin TDM would be the required sample size, which would be over 1,500 patients for a hard endpoint such as incidence of toxicity.

The benefit of the knowledge of the serum digoxin concentration (SDC) in determining the likelihood of digoxin toxicity was assessed by presenting blinded clinicians clinical and SDC data using a computerised interface. The main benefit of the knowledge of the SDC was to reduce the percentage of patients classified as "possibly toxic", and to increase the agreement between clinicians. Those who were assessed as being more likely to be toxic after the knowledge of the SDC were also more likely to have symptoms of digoxin toxicity.

The impact of determining the SDC on all patients prescribed digoxin admitted to medical units of a tertiary referral hospital was assessed. Approximately one fifth of all patients had their management altered as a result of the knowledge of the SDC. In patients, in whom there was no indication for a digoxin assay, and the intended management was to "continue the same", approximately one tenth had a change in their management as a result of the knowledge of the SDC. It is possible to predict, on clinical grounds, patients who are more likely to have such an alteration, and in whom the test has greater clinical utility.

A systematic survey of symptoms and electrocardiographic changes associated with digoxin toxicity was conducted in hospital inpatients. The only symptoms found to be significantly associated with higher SDCs were anorexia, nausea, vomiting, fatigue, and subjective changes in colour vision. Although some colour vision tests can also identify patients with high SDCs, the only symptoms which are clinically useful for the assessment of toxicity, in current clinical practice, are assessment of digoxin's emetic effects. The predominant effect of increasing SDCs on the electrocardiogram was increasing prevalence and severity of bradycardic rhythms, without an increase in automaticity.

An increase in the prevalence of cardiac and non-cardiac manifestations of digoxin toxicity became clear at approximately 1.0 ng/ml which is at the lower end of the currently quoted therapeutic range. In light of these findings the current therapeutic range for digoxin should be revised.