

09PH
M1522



*South Australian Aborigines Protection Board (1939-1962)
and governance through 'scientific' expertise:
a genealogy of protection and assimilation*

Margaret Macilwain

*PhD thesis
Politics
Adelaide University*

20 November 2006

Contents

Abstract
Declaration
Acknowledgements
Map of South Australia
Figures
Abbreviations

<i>Introduction</i>	1
Foucault's triangle of sovereignty-discipline-government	
Methodology	
<i>Section One: Preamble</i>	24
1 <i>Institutions and techniques of governance</i>	27
Colonial rationalities and practices	
'Systematic' governance	
A paternal authority	
The advances of science	
Non-liberal rationalities of governance	
Conclusion	
2 <i>The Aborigines Protection Board</i>	78
The importance of expertise	
The question of executive or advisory boards	
The formation of the Aborigines Protection Board	
The purpose of the Board	
Conclusion	
<i>Section Two: Preamble</i>	119
3 <i>The Ministry: the issue of land</i>	124
Problematizations of land	
The Aborigines portfolio—'a sideline of Government activity'	
Land policies of the Board	
Conclusion	
4 <i>Medical profession: surveys and medical attendance</i>	157
Remote surveys	
Local attendance and public health	
Medical policies of the Board	
The Board and medical attendance	
Conclusion	
5 <i>'Sympathetic' expertise: the protection of Aboriginal women</i>	191
Crimes against Aboriginal women	
Women's Non-Party Association and the protection of Aboriginal women	
The Board and the execution of special protection legislation	

	Welfare Officers and domestic roles, government benefits and assimilation	
	Conclusion	
6	<i>Police: alcohol, rations and the law</i>	229
	Police expertise and colonial policing	
	The policing of alcohol	
	Policing the 1911 <i>Act</i> and subsequent legislation	
	Ration distribution	
	Conclusion	
7	<i>Anthropological science: authority of knowledge and exemptions</i>	266
	'Seeding Time' in scientific history	
	The 'anthropological view of this racial problem'	
	'Mental vigour is surely an inherited trait'	
	The 'degree of colour, an idea promulgated by scientists'	
	The two-way street of science and government	
	Conclusion	
8	<i>Mission organisation: voluntarism and 'practical' governance</i>	306
	Mutability versus essentialism	
	Voluntarism and missions to the 'heathen'	
	The 'next step beyond'	
	Rewards for past work	
	Nationalisation and the Board's views on missions	
	Conclusion	
9	<i>Agricultural expertise: regulating Village Settlements</i>	345
	Village Settlements and Aboriginal yeoman farmers	
	Responses to the agrarian ideal	
	Pastoralism: the limits of government, science, religion and civility	
	Depopulating Village Settlements: moral codes and utility	
	Conclusion	
10	<i>Educational expertise: training of children</i>	386
	The status of Aborigines	
	State parenthood or the State as 'over parent'	
	Dormitories: the liberal response to an 'illiberal' State as 'over-parent'	
	Training in State probationary schools	
	Authoritarian liberalism sanctioned through 'the expert knowledge of psychologists, psychiatrists, physicians and social workers'	
	Fostering: 'little opportunity in their present environment'	
	Conclusion	
	<i>Conclusion</i>	442
	Appendix 1 Membership of Government Boards, 1918 to 1970	452
	Appendix 2 Regulations under <i>Aborigines Act</i> , 1911 (re-gazetted 25 July 1940)	454
	Appendix 3 Histories—Aboriginal people of South and Central Australia	457
	Bibliography	458

Abstract

The thesis offers a Foucaultian genealogy of the governmental policies of protection and assimilation as they affected Aborigines in South Australia up to the 1960s. The central actor in the thesis is the Aborigines Protection Board (1939-1962) because the Board signalled a shift in the forms of liberal governance to include 'scientific' experts in the governance of Aboriginal people. Executive 'scientific' experts were appointed from the areas of medicine, protection of women, anthropology, mission organisation, agriculture and education, and in the process a 'two-way street' of expertise was created whereby government drew 'scientific' experts into its structure through networks with University organisations, and bureaucrats infiltrated the University. As a result, the experts' knowledges created a biopolitics, which determined methods of governance of Aboriginal people. Government used the rhetoric of 'scientific expertise' both to problematise Aboriginal affairs in ways to make them amenable to governance, and to condone non-liberal practices in this area. Older disciplinary and pastoral techniques based upon 'hybrid' knowledges—a combination of personal experience of the job and 'scientific' knowledge—persisted.

The genealogy presents an aspect of twentieth-century political thought and contributes to a general history of ideas in Australia. It provides fresh insights into definitions of Aboriginality used for governing purposes and into authoritarian practices like removal of children from their kin. The genealogy identifies that the period studied is more usefully described in terms of Foucault's triangular relations of sovereignty-discipline-government than as linear progressions between disciplinary and pastoral practices and self-government. It illustrates that 'scientific expertise' served both a practical need and, as stated, a rhetorical one. While there was a belief in the claims to objective knowledge offered by scientific experts, the turn to scientific 'experts' was also used by government to facilitate a mode of governance that excluded those with experience, including Aborigines, from executive governing processes. Finally, the thesis shows how a liberal government compromised accountability temporarily so as to change modes of governance significantly. Through the use of an executive Aborigines Protection Board, the South Australian Government gave executive authority to unelected, non-representative experts and incorporated the normalising categories of the medical, anthropological, and social sciences until bureaucrats themselves had acquired the desired expertise. At that point, non-government experts became advisers only.