

Henry VIII, the Reformation did not mean much as a moral force, it meant it much as a moral force in subsequent years. Elizabeth was not in his opinion the Massena of her reign, as she cut down her bonnet as low as possible, but still it was a great age, and she was a great woman. The chief point of the literature of that period was its dramatic form, and that was because the men of those days not only thought and reflected but were men of action. The dreadful stink of the end of the century had fallen on the present age; we did not know in fact where we were going or where we were. Ibsen appeared to him to be utterly failing. If he were a great dramatist Ibsen would give us an Othello or a Lear, but he had not and he did not believe anybody else would either. There was a good deal of misconception about English lyrical poetry. Lyrical poetry sang its music on the chords of the human heart. Lyrical poetry took in more than love and patriotism; it touched science very closely. He urged the importance of encouraging the study of literature in this University. Nothing besides literature except religion would provide consolation in the jarring conflicts of the age. Lyric poetry gave us, after all, a record of high-water mark of what men and women had felt and acted in past age. Literature had been to him a great creed. He urged his hearers not to come to the University merely to read books, but to come and understand them, and to make friends to last through life. And when they left the University these would teach them that the great wisdom of life lay not in knowledge merely, but in the careful distillation of all that they had read and learnt. (Cheers.)

The CHANCELLOR, on behalf of the University and of every person present, thanked Professor Boulger for the very suggestive, stimulating, and persuasive oration to which they had listened spellbound. The Professor had sat before them the treasures of a well-stored mind. The CHANCELLOR then declared the Commemoration closed.

Register 10/1/94.

#### EVENING SCHOLARSHIPS.

TO THE EDITOR.

Sir—Several months ago arrangements were completed between the University and the Education Department for the establishment of six evening scholarships at the University, and since then quite a number of intending candidates for these scholarships, including myself, have been anxiously awaiting the publication of the regulations dealing with the scholarships, and detailing the subjects in which candidates may be examined, and other particulars referring to age, &c. Up to the present, however, we have been quite unable to get any information on these matters. By enquiring at the University we learn that the Education Department are preparing the regulations, and that they will be ready sooner or later. It stands to reason, Sir, that if the scholarship winners are to start work at the University this year, and such is the intention, the competitive exam. must of necessity be held at some time before the lectures begin, so that the successful candidates may enter upon their studies without loss of time. Now, although the first term of 1894 begins on March 15 intending candidates for the scholarship exam. are still in woful ignorance of the requirements of the exam. Some are wondering whether they are too young; others, whether they are too old; and all are trying to guess what the subjects will be and how difficult a standard will be reached, &c. We cannot help feeling that such a state of affairs should not exist, as there is not the least possible excuse for it, all the preliminary arrangements having been made months ago. We believe that you will agree with us in thinking that some one is certainly to blame for the unnecessary delay in publishing the regulations, and we trust you will be kind enough to give us your strong help by reminding the authorities through the medium of your valuable paper that by their procrastination they are (so put it very mildly) treating candidates with very little consideration, and, in fact, unkindly. Such a reminder will no doubt have the desired effect of causing the powers that be to show that their delay was not intentional by at once making the much-wished-for information available. On behalf of several,

I am, Sir, &c.,

WHIPSTER.

January 8.

Register 11/1/94.

EVENING SCHOLARSHIPS.—A letter in Wednesday's Register complained of the delay which had taken place in reference to the starting of evening scholarships at the University, which were promised some time ago. The reason for this is that the Minister has under consideration a few minor alterations in the regulations, but it is believed that these will be published next week.

Advertiser 16/1/94.

On Friday the local manager of the English, Scottish, and Australian Bank (Mr. C. T. Cowle) received a telegram from the general manager in Australia, intimating that the London board of directors had authorised the payment of terminable deposit receipts issued to current account holders in the old bank. Under the scheme of reconstruction power was taken to issue terminable deposit receipts, payable by five equal annual instalments, commencing in the seventh year from the date of the incorporation of the new bank, for a further fourth of the aforesaid liabilities, such terminable deposit receipts to bear interest at the rate of 4 1/2 per cent. per annum. The right was also reserved to the new bank at any time, on six months' notice being given, to pay off these deposits. This right, under the advice of the general manager, is now determined to exercise, with the result that a good deal of the current account money will be set free. Deposit receipts holders have been notified by circular of the bank's intentions, so as to prevent possible loss from their parting with the receipts below par. With this object the entire negotiations have been very properly kept strictly private until they were concluded.

✓ Advertiser 21/12/93.

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE.

##### COMMEMORATION DAY.

Commemoration day in connection with the University of Adelaide was celebrated on Wednesday afternoon, at the University, in the Library, when his Excellency the Lieutenant-Governor (Chancellor of the University) presided over a large attendance. On the platform besides the Chancellor were the Vice-Chancellor (Mr. J. A. Harsley, B.A., B.Sc.), Professor Boulger, the Warden of the Senate (Mr. F. Chapple, B.A., B.Sc.), and the Registrar of the University (Mr. C. R. Hodgk).

Degrees were conferred on the undermentioned candidates:—Frederick Stanley Dyster and Walter Treleven, M.A. degree; Richard Edward Hourigan, LL.B. degree; John Bernard Gussone, Henry Olney Irwin, Edward Ernest Monro, Alfred Edward James Russell, John Ikin Sangster, and Patrick Francis Shanahan, M.B. and Ch. B. degree; Charles Bickerton Blackburn and Leslie Herbert Nicholls, B.A. degree; James Watson Brown, Stella Howebin, Thomas Abram LeMessurier, Violet May Pinauer, Frank Joseph Webb Richardson, and Walter Treleven, B.Sc. degree.

Leslie Durco, M.A. (University of Aberdeen), was admitted in his absence ad eundem gradum.

The deans of the faculty of laws then presented to the Chancellor

The Stow prizemen (law), George Ash and Carl Louis Spehr.

The winner of Sir Thomas Elder's prize for physiology, Allan Elliott Randell (student in medicine of the first year).

Two John Howard Clark scholars, Marian Chapple.

The Everard scholar, John Ikin Sangster.

The CHANCELLOR said he had to congratulate Mr. Ash very sincerely on having for the second time obtained the distinction of Stow prizeman. That distinction was all the more honorable to Mr. Ash because a great deal of his time had been occupied in other places than the halls of justice and academic grades.

In congratulating Miss Chapple the CHANCELLOR said the John Howard Clark scholarship had been awarded twelve times, and for eleven years in succession it had been won by men. Miss Chapple's success was therefore a victory for her sex as well as for herself.

To the winner of Sir Thomas Elder's prize for physiology (Mr. A. E. Randell) the CHANCELLOR said he had to congratulate him, and hoped the success which had attended him in his first year would follow him throughout his course.

The CHANCELLOR also congratulated the Everard scholar (John Ikin Sangster) on having won the scholarship founded in memory of their lamented friend, Mr. Everard.

PROFESSOR BOULGER then delivered the annual address. He said he had to say plainly and honestly what he thought about science and literature as educational elements. Science did not pay for certain this or that was true, but simply pointed out what the evidence on the point was. It was a mistake to suppose that science and literature were antagonistic; they were rather sisters that had met and kissed each other. Therefore he said there was no essential antagonism between science and literature, but rather they were sisters. However, they know that the true scientific view, while the literary man looked on them rarely from the literary view.