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In the previous issue of Critical Care Mecott and colleagues report the effects of a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analogue, exenatide, on glycaemia in severely burned paediatric patients [1]. The incretin hormones, GLP-1 and glucose-dependent polypeptide (GIP), mediate ~70% of the insulin response to a meal [2]. While GIP is potently insulinotropic in health, its effect is markedly attenuated in type 2 diabetic patients, such that even pharmacological doses have little effect on glycaemia [3]. In contrast, physiological replacement or pharmacological administration of GLP-1 lowers glycaemia substantially in this group [3]. Accordingly, GLP-1 analogues are appealing therapies for hyperglycaemia in the critically ill patient and warrant further study.

Using a prospective, randomised, open-label, design, Mecott and colleagues compared an intervention (exenatide ± insulin) with a control (intensive insulin therapy) in patients receiving small intestinal nutrient. The authors report that the intervention decreased insulin requirements while comparable glycaemic control was achieved. The therapeutic use of GLP-1 in critically ill patients is inherently attractive as it does not substantially increase the risk of hypoglycaemia [11]. In addition, GLP-1 therapies show reduced glycaemic variability when compared with insulin in ambulant diabetic patients [11].

Strengths of the study are the evaluation of a GLP-1-based therapy in a new population (paediatric) that has suffered a specific insult (burns), and the prolonged duration of evaluation (up to 28 days). Finally, and perhaps most significantly, the investigators have elected to use a GLP-1 analogue (exenatide) rather than the synthetic peptide. The major advantages of exenatide when compared with GLP-1 are that it can be administered intermittently, as well as its cheaper price and ready availability (Table 1).

Limitations of the study, which are largely acknowledged by the authors, include its open-label design and
the relatively small number of subjects studied, with the consequent potential for type 2 errors. The authors reported that the use of the GLP-1 analogue failed to reduce hypoglycaemic episodes and glycaemic variability. However, the lack of effect on variability and hypoglycaemia may reflect administration of exenatide ± insulin, rather than using exenatide as a single agent. Plasma exenatide concentrations were not reported, and it should be recognised that concentrations may not be predictable in these subjects – since, even in healthy subjects, exenatide has a biological half-life of ~3 hours and large fluctuations in plasma levels occur with twice-daily subcutaneous administration. Furthermore, given the limited information on the mechanisms of glucose-lowering in the critically ill patient, measurement of insulin and/or C-peptide, as well as glucagon, would have been valuable.

Exendin-4 was isolated originally from the saliva of the Gila monster (*Heloderma suspectum*), a slow-moving venomous lizard native to the United States and Mexico. The Gila monster eats only 5 to 10 times per year and a meal causes a substantial postprandial increase in plasma exendin-4 concentrations [12]. Exendin-4 shares ~50% amino acid sequence identity with human GLP-1 and binds to the pancreatic GLP-1 receptor *in vitro*. Importantly, exendin-4 is resistant to DPP-4 inactivation, thereby having a prolonged duration of action [12]. Exenatide, a synthetic form of exendin-4, has been shown to reduce fasting and postprandial glucose via glucose-dependent stimulation of insulin and suppression of glucagon secretion, as well as slowing gastric emptying [13]. The latter is probably the dominant mechanism to account for glucose lowering after oral or intragastric meals in healthy subjects, type 2 diabetic patients, and those critically ill patients in whom gastric emptying is normal [10,13]. GLP-1 analogues with half-lives between 12 hours and 3 to 4 days have been recently developed. These analogues have less variation in plasma concentrations after once-daily (for example, liraglutide) or weekly (sustained release exenatide) administration than twice a day dosing (exenatide). Oral drugs that inactivate the DPP-4 enzyme (DPP-4 inhibitors) – thereby attenuating metabolism and increasing the availability of endogenous GLP-1 and GIP – have also entered the clinical domain (Table 1).

In the study of Mecott and colleagues, exenatide was well tolerated; but given the adverse effects associated with its use in ambulant diabetic patients, ongoing vigilance is warranted. These adverse effects include nausea and vomiting (usually transient) [14], as well as modest weight loss that occurs, and is frequently desirable, in ambulant type 2 diabetic patients [14], but may be detrimental in the critically ill patient. The potential association between GLP-1 analogues and pancreatitis remains uncertain [14]. Lastly, the investigators did not measure anti-exenatide antibodies or plasma calcitonin concentrations, but the significance of anti-exenatide antibodies or mild increases in calcitonin concentrations is unclear [14].

Albeit preliminary, the present study represents part of a growing interest in the use of incretins, or incretin mimetics, for the management of hyperglycaemia in hospitalised patients [15]. The desirable blood glucose range in this group remains contentious, but, as with recent studies in ambulant type 2 diabetic patients, there seems to be minimal, or no, advantage in targeting glycaemia at the lower end of the fasting normal range. Rather, the latter may well be deleterious [16]. Because the glucose-lowering effect of GLP-1 is glucose dependent, there is likely to be a threshold – perhaps

### Table 1. Comparison between GLP-1, GLP-1 analogues and DPP-4 inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GLP-1</th>
<th>GLP-1 analogues</th>
<th>DPP-4 inhibitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name(s)</strong></td>
<td>GLP-1-(7-36)NH₂</td>
<td>Exenatide</td>
<td>Sitagliptin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liraglutide</td>
<td>Vildagliptin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saxaglitptin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administration</strong></td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>Subcutaneous</td>
<td>Oral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studied in the critically ill patient</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current cost</strong></td>
<td>Prohibitive</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
<td>Expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Availability</strong></td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Half-life</strong></td>
<td>1 to 2 minutes</td>
<td>2 to 15 hours</td>
<td>2 to 14 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Additional effects mediated via inactive GLP-1</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nausea</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight Loss</strong></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>Weight neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gastric emptying</strong></td>
<td>Slows</td>
<td>Slows</td>
<td>Minimal, if any, effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPP-4, dipeptyl-peptidase-4; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1.
about 7 to 8 mmol/l – beyond which further reductions will only occur if exogenous insulin is co-administered. In addition to identifying the optimal glycaemic range, future studies should focus on the following: which of the incretin agents is most useful in the critically ill patient; whether these agents should be used in combination with insulin, or as single-agent therapy; and identification of the patient group most likely to benefit from administration of incretin mimetics.
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