



THE UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

**Remote sensing to monitor interactions
between aquaculture and the environment
of Spencer Gulf, South Australia**

Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Paul Erich Bierman
B.Sc. (Hons)

The University of Adelaide
School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

May 2010

Abstract

The southern bluefin tuna (SBT) aquaculture industry is based in the coastal waters of southwest Spencer Gulf, east of Port Lincoln, South Australia. It is known that open-intensive aquaculture operations can impact upon the surrounding marine environment through increases in water column nutrients, due to waste released from uneaten food and fish excretions, which under suitable conditions can lead to increased phytoplankton growth. Aquaculture operations are also susceptible to a changing environment and are at risk from the effects of harmful algal blooms and other processes that can potentially be damaging to the industry. As a result, it is necessary that the marine environment surrounding the aquaculture is understood and monitored. In response to concerns expressed by both the aquaculture industry and government regulators to increase the understanding of the marine environment, the Cooperative Research Centre for the Sustainable Aquaculture of Finfish (Aquafin CRC) established a research program titled “Risk and Response – Understanding the Tuna Farming Environment”. This current project forms part of the Aquafin CRC’s Risk and Response project to increase the understanding of the SBT aquaculture environment through application of satellite-based remote sensing imagery.

Satellite-based imagery has the potential to measure concentrations of the photosynthetic pigment chlorophyll-*a*, which is commonly used as a proxy for phytoplankton abundance, and sea surface temperature (SST). The application of satellite imagery in coastal waters, however, is known to be limited by a number of factors that can reduce the accuracy, and thus applicability, of the imagery in such regions. Therefore, outputs from satellite-based remote sensing imagery were firstly compared to field-based measurements to determine whether the imagery is a suitable and reliable data source in South Australian coastal waters. Methods for examining spatial and temporal variability in SST and chlorophyll-*a* were reviewed, in order to identify useful techniques for analysing remote sensing imagery. The review recognised several techniques, including unsupervised classification and principal components analysis, which have the potential to investigate patterns in the imagery. Satellite imagery was then applied to observe the seasonal changes in chlorophyll-*a* and SST over the large area of Spencer Gulf, and then to investigate more detailed spatiotemporal variability over the SBT aquaculture region of southwest Spencer Gulf.

MODIS satellite-based imagery was selected over other forms of remote sensing imagery, based upon broad spatial coverage, high temporal frequency, good spectral and radiometric resolution, low cost, and ease of data access. It was found that MODIS chlorophyll-*a* imagery performed well against field-based data in the coastal waters of South Australia, although reduced accuracy was detected in very shallow waters where seafloor reflection contributed to increased chlorophyll-*a* estimations. Four different chlorophyll-*a* algorithms were examined and it was found that the standard OC3M empirical algorithm distributed by the NASA Goddard Space Flight Centre was most consistent in the study area. MODIS SST imagery showed very good agreement with SST from CTD measurements in the region. The night-time 4 μm SST method showed the smallest errors, but the day-time and night-time 11 μm SST methods also performed well.

MODIS monthly composite imagery was applied to study seasonal variability in chlorophyll-*a* and SST in and around Spencer Gulf over a 5-year period. It was shown that the region of the SBT aquaculture zone undergoes a seasonal chlorophyll-*a* cycle with a peak in chlorophyll-*a* between March and July each year consistent with other recent studies. The seasonal chlorophyll-*a* cycle in the region was seen to vary from other regions within Spencer Gulf and South Australia. Analysis of MODIS imagery showed apparent differences in seasonal chlorophyll-*a* characteristics between coastal and offshore regions. Sea surface temperature imagery showed a contrast between the waters of Spencer Gulf and adjacent waters of South Australia based on a greater annual range in SST within the gulf compared to outside.

Daily MODIS imagery over a 6-year period was then used to study the chlorophyll-*a* and SST of the tuna farming zone (TFZ) in more detail. The TFZ had greater variability in chlorophyll-*a* concentrations than previously believed. Both the day-to-day temporal variability, and the fine-scale spatial variability across the TFZ, followed seasonal trends, with greatest variability during periods of the year with highest average chlorophyll-*a* concentrations. Long-term mean chlorophyll-*a* averaged over the 6-year period showed a contrast between higher chlorophyll-*a* waters towards the coast and lower chlorophyll-*a* in the more exposed offshore waters, most likely related to the large-scale gulf-wide circulation. SST was much more uniform across the TFZ compared to chlorophyll-*a*, and daily deviations from the seasonal patterns were small.

Acknowledgements

I would like to start by acknowledging the support of the University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, and the Cooperative Research Centre for the Sustainable Aquaculture of Finfish (Aquafin CRC). I would also like to thank my supervisors: Associate Professor Megan Lewis and Dr Bertram Ostendorf, of the University of Adelaide, and Dr Jason Tanner, South Australian Research and Development Institute (SARDI) Aquatic Sciences. Your support during this project is very much appreciated.

During this project I was supported by a University of Adelaide, Faculty of Sciences Divisional Scholarship, with supplementary scholarship provided by the Aquafin CRC. I am grateful to have received this scholarship from which I have benefited greatly. I am also thankful for the operating funds provided by the University of Adelaide, SARDI and the Aquafin CRC, which provided me with resources to conduct the research, enabled me to carry out fieldwork, and allowed me to take part in national and international conferences and training opportunities. I am also thankful to have received extra financial support, including a training support grant from the Aquafin CRC and a research abroad scholarship from the University of Adelaide.

I would like to thank everyone at SARDI Aquatic Sciences and the Aquafin CRC who has assisted me during this project. In particular I would like to express thanks to Sonja Hoare for help with fieldwork and laboratory analysis. The crews of the SARDI vessels Ngerin and Breakwater Bay also deserve acknowledgement for their assistance in the collection of field data, as do staff at SARDI Aquatic Sciences, West Beach, and the Lincoln Marine Science Centre, for providing laboratory space to work.

Thank you to everyone at the University of Adelaide who has assisted me throughout this study. In particular Marie Norris and Debra Miller deserve acknowledgement for their assistance. Finally, thanks to all my fellow postgraduate students at the University of Adelaide who have helped me by providing support, advice, and encouragement. Special thanks to Ken Clarke, Ramesh Raja Segaran, Greg Lyle, David Summers, Sjaan Davey, Adam Kilpatrick, and Erika Lawley.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australian Digital Thesis Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed: _____

Paul Erich Bierman

Date: _____

Publications arising from thesis

Refereed Publications

Bierman, P. E., M. M. Lewis, J. E. Tanner and B. Ostendorf (In Press). „A review of methods for analysing spatial and temporal patterns in coastal water quality“. *Ecological Indicators*

Conference Proceedings

Bierman, P. E., M. M. Lewis, J. E. Tanner and B. Ostendorf (2008). „Understanding Phytoplankton Variability Throughout Spencer Gulf, South Australia, via Satellite Derived Chlorophyll-*a*“. *IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS)*, Boston USA, July 2008.

Bierman, P. E., M. M. Lewis, J. E. Tanner and B. Ostendorf (2008). „Satellite derived phytoplankton variability in Spencer Gulf, South Australia“. *14th Australasian Remote Sensing and Photogrammetry Conference*, Darwin, October 2008.

Reports

Bierman, P. E., M. M. Lewis, J. E. Tanner and B. Ostendorf (2009). „Chapter 6: Remote Sensing - Validation, spatial and temporal patterns in sea surface temperature and chlorophyll-*a*“. In: J. E. Tanner and J. Volkman (Ed.) *Aquafin CRC - Southern Bluefin Tuna Aquaculture Subprogram: Risk and Response - Understanding the Tuna Farming Environment*. Technical Report, Aquafin CRC Project 4.6, FRDC Project 2005/059, Aquafin CRC, Fisheries Research and Development Corporation and South Australian Research and Development Institute (Aquatic Science), Adelaide. SARDI Publication No F2008/000646-1, SARDI Research Report Series No 344, 287 pp.

Proportion of contribution by author

This section is a declaration of the extent of each author's contribution to the refereed paper arising from this thesis. The extent of each author's contribution is quantified for each of three categories: conceptualisation, realisation and documentation. Finally, each author gives permission for the paper containing their contribution to be included in this thesis.

Percent contribution and permission to include paper in thesis:

Bierman, P. E., M. M. Lewis, J. E. Tanner and B. Ostendorf (In Press). „A review of methods for analysing spatial and temporal patterns in coastal water quality“.

Ecological Indicators

	Conceptualisation	Realisation	Documentation	Signature
Bierman, P. E.	70 %	70 %	70 %	_____
Lewis, M. M.	10 %	10 %	10 %	_____
Tanner, J. E.	10 %	10 %	10 %	_____
Ostendorf, B.	10 %	10 %	10 %	_____

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements	iii
Declaration	iv
Publications arising from thesis.....	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables	xv
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Aims.....	2
1.3 Scope	3
1.4 Thesis Structure	4
Chapter 2: Background.....	5
2.1 Aquaculture and the environment	5
2.1.1 Aquaculture.....	5
2.1.2 Effects of Aquaculture on the Environment	8
2.1.3 Environmental Affects on Aquaculture	9
2.2 Spencer Gulf.....	11
2.2.1 Spencer Gulf	11
2.2.2 Oceanography	12
2.2.3 Nutrients and Phytoplankton	15
2.3 Remote Sensing of Marine Environments	19
2.3.1 Ocean Colour	19
Chapter 3: A review of methods for analysing spatial and temporal patterns in coastal water quality.....	35
3.1 Abstract.....	35

3.2 Introduction	36
3.3 Multivariate Analytical Techniques	39
3.3.1 Cluster Analysis	41
3.3.2 Discriminant Analysis.....	44
3.3.3 Factor Analysis and Principal Components Analysis	46
3.3.4 Self-organising Maps	50
3.3.5 Semivariogram Analysis	52
3.3.6 Geographically Weighted Regression.....	56
3.4 Overview	57
Chapter 4: Validation of MODIS Chlorophyll-<i>a</i> and Sea Surface Temperature imagery in South Australian Coastal Waters	61
4.1 Introduction	61
4.2 Methods	62
4.2.1 Field Data.....	62
4.2.2 MODIS Imagery	66
4.2.3 Comparison between field-based and MODIS data.....	67
4.3 Results	69
4.3.1 MODIS v Field-based Chlorophyll- <i>a</i> Concentrations	69
4.3.2 MODIS v Field-based Sea Surface Temperature.....	78
4.3.3 Spatial and Temporal Variability in Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	81
4.4 Discussion	83
4.4.1 Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	83
4.4.2 Sea Surface Temperature	90
4.5 Conclusion	93
Chapter 5: Seasonal Variability of Chlorophyll-<i>a</i> and Sea Surface Temperature in and around Spencer Gulf	96
5.1 Introduction	96
5.2 Methods	97
5.2.1 MODIS Imagery	97
5.2.2 Analysis.....	98
5.3 Results	100
5.3.1 Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	100
5.3.2 Sea Surface Temperature	111

5.4 Discussion	118
5.5 Conclusion	123
Chapter 6: Variability of Chlorophyll-<i>a</i> and Sea Surface Temperature in the Tuna Farming Zone	125
6.1 Introduction.....	125
6.2 Methods.....	126
6.2.1 Study Area	126
6.2.2 MODIS Imagery	127
6.2.3 Analysis	128
6.3 Results	129
6.3.1 Chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	129
6.3.2 Sea Surface Temperature	134
6.4 Discussion	135
6.5 Conclusion	139
Chapter 7: Discussion and Conclusions.....	140
7.1 Review of Research Findings	140
7.2 Limitations.....	145
7.3 Future Research	148
References.....	149

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: The Boston Island East and the Lincoln Offshore sectors of the Boston Bay and Lincoln Offshore aquaculture zone, where the southern bluefin tuna aquaculture activities occur; coordinates from PIRSA (2007).	7
Figure 2.2: South Australia and Spencer Gulf.	11
Figure 3.1: South Australia.	38
Figure 3.2: (a) The location of the 10 stations at which chlorophyll- <i>a</i> temporal profiles were extracted from MODIS monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> images for 2006, and (b) an example dendrogram produced from a hierarchical cluster analysis of chlorophyll- <i>a</i> temporal profiles at these locations.....	43
Figure 3.3: Results of an unsupervised classification on 1 year of monthly MODIS chlorophyll- <i>a</i> imagery for 2006, the colours show the grouping of regions with similar chlorophyll- <i>a</i> characteristics.....	44
Figure 3.4: Principal components 1 (a), 2 (b) and 3 (c) from PCA on monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> images of South Australia for 2006. White areas indicate a positive PC value, black areas negative.	50
Figure 3.5: Band loadings for principal components 1, 2 and 3.	50
Figure 3.6: The three output images of SOM analysis on the 2006 monthly MODIS chlorophyll- <i>a</i> images. The three images represent common patterns in the chlorophyll- <i>a</i> imagery, while the shades of grey in each image show the grouping of similar regions.	52
Figure 3.7: An idealised example of a semivariogram adapted from Eleveld and van der Woerd (2006).	53
Figure 3.8: Semivariogram for field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements collected 50 m apart along a transect in coastal waters of South Australia in May 2007.	55
Figure 3.9: Semivariogram from MODIS chlorophyll- <i>a</i> satellite imagery in South Australian coastal waters in May 2007.	56
Figure 4.1: (a) The location of field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements from the Aquafin CRC Risk & Response project and (b) the location of field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements in May 2008.....	62
Figure 4.2: The location of field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements from the IMOS cruises in October 2008 and January 2009. Only locations with a valid MODIS match up are shown.....	64
Figure 4.3: The location of stations visited during the cruises to the eastern GAB in 2004, 2005 and 2006 (van Ruth 2009), solid circles show the samples with a valid MODIS match up for validation.	65

Figure 4.4: The location of CTD profiles conducted on the IMOS cruises of February, March, August and October 2008 and January and February 2009 with valid temperature measurements for MODIS SST validation.	66
Figure 4.5: Relationship between MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and the Risk & Response field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data.	70
Figure 4.6: Relationship between MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and the Risk & Response field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data, after excluding data from where the water depth was 20m or less.	71
Figure 4.7: Relationship between MODIS OC3M and Clark chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data from May 2008 near Port Lincoln.	72
Figure 4.8: Relationship between MODIS OC3M and Clark chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the October 2008 IMOS cruise data, before removing data from the shallowest locations.	73
Figure 4.9: Relationship between MODIS OC3M and Clark chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the October 2008 IMOS cruise, after removing data from the shallowest locations.	73
Figure 4.10: Relationship between MODIS OC3M, Clark and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the January 2009 IMOS cruise.	74
Figure 4.11: Relationship between MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the GAB dataset. The apparent quantisation of field-based values is due to limited precision in the field-based method.	75
Figure 4.12: The locations of stations used in the GAB dataset, coloured stations show where the errors between the MODIS and field-based datasets are greater than 50%. Yellow stations are where MODIS underestimated, and red stations are where MODIS overestimated.	77
Figure 4.13: Relationship between MODIS OC3M chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the GAB data separated by year.	78
Figure 4.14: Relationship between MODIS Aqua standard day-time SST estimations and SST measurements obtained in the field at a depth of ~1 metre.	79
Figure 4.15: Relationship between MODIS day-time SST at 11 μm , night-time SST at 4 μm and field-based CTD temperature measurements.	80
Figure 4.16: Fine-scale surface field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> transect on 8/5/07 nearby to Port Lincoln in Spencer Gulf showing the high spatial variability in surface chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	81
Figure 4.17: Fine-scale surface field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> transect on 13/5/08 nearby to Port Lincoln in Spencer Gulf showing the high spatial variability in surface chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	81

Figure 4.18: A portion of the fine-scale surface field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> transect on 8/5/07 showing the high spatial variability in surface chlorophyll- <i>a</i> over a small distance of just 500m.....	82
Figure 4.19: Surface field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements at the same location in northern Spencer Gulf on 17/10/08 showing the variability in chlorophyll- <i>a</i> within a day.....	82
Figure 5.1: The location of stations A to N used to investigate the seasonal chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and SST variability within and around Spencer Gulf, South Australia (co-ordinates are given in Table 5.1).....	99
Figure 5.2: Monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> climatology (mg m^{-3}) from MODIS/Aqua between 2002 and 2007.....	101
Figure 5.3: The monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations at stations A, D and G from July 2002 to June 2007 and the monthly climatology averaged over the 5-year period.	102
Figure 5.4: The monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations at stations H, D and I from July 2002 to June 2007 and the monthly climatology averaged over the 5-year period.	104
Figure 5.5: The monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> concentrations at stations J, K, M and N from July 2002 to June 2007 and the monthly climatology averaged over the 5-year period.	106
Figure 5.6: The chlorophyll- <i>a</i> climatology in each month of the year at stations along the transect from A in the northern Spencer Gulf to G outside the mouth of the gulf.	107
Figure 5.7: Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward's method showing the similarities between stations based on chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	108
Figure 5.8: Components 1 - 4 from PCA upon the monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> imagery. PC1 represents 82.7% of variability and shows the overall high and low chlorophyll- <i>a</i> areas, while PCs 2 (3.96%), 3 (2.48%) and 4 (1.63%) represent different features of the seasonal chlorophyll- <i>a</i> variability. White areas indicate positive PC values, while black areas are negative.	109
Figure 5.9: Principal component band loading for PCs 1 – 4, identifying which monthly input images most influence the resulting principal components.....	109
Figure 5.10: Results of an unsupervised classification upon 60 MODIS monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> images between July 2002 and June 2007.	110
Figure 5.11: Monthly SST climatology ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) from MODIS/Aqua between 2002 and 2007.....	112
Figure 5.12: Monthly average SST and monthly SST climatology at stations A, C, E and G highlighting the decrease in range of temperatures experienced with distance from northern Spencer Gulf.	113

Figure 5.13: Monthly average SST and monthly SST climatology at stations D, H and K showing the slight difference in SST between H and D, and the larger difference in SST between K and D and H.....	114
Figure 5.14: The SST climatology at stations along the transect from station A in northern Spencer Gulf and G outside the mouth of the gulf.....	115
Figure 5.15: Dendrogram from hierarchical cluster analysis using Ward’s method showing the similarities between stations based on SST.....	116
Figure 5.16: Components 1, 2 and 3 from PCA upon monthly SST imagery, white areas indicate positive PC values and dark areas indicate negative.....	117
Figure 5.17: Principal component band loading for PCs 1 – 3, identifying which monthly input SST images most influence the resulting principal components....	117
Figure 5.18: Results of an unsupervised classification upon 60 MODIS monthly SST images between July 2002 and June 2007.	118
Figure 5.19: Monthly SST climatology during February, showing the warm gulf waters isolated from the adjacent shelf waters by the SST front during summer and the monthly SST climatology during July, showing the tongue of warm GAB water extending past the mouth of Spencer Gulf during winter. Note the different scales on the colour bars.....	121
Figure 5.20: Monthly SST and monthly chlorophyll- <i>a</i> of March 2003 showing upwelling in the southeast of SA, on southwestern Kangaroo Island and on western Eyre Peninsula as indicated by cooler surface temperatures and increased chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	122
Figure 6.1: The tuna farming zone subset, the aquaculture zones, and the bathymetry of the region.	127
Figure 6.2: The number of cloud-free MODIS Aqua images over the tuna farming zone per month.....	128
Figure 6.3: The daily spatial mean chlorophyll- <i>a</i> for the tuna farming zone (diamonds), with the monthly average curve from averaging the daily images in each month.....	130
Figure 6.4: Standard deviation of the daily spatial mean chlorophyll- <i>a</i> for the tuna farming zone within each month (circles), and the curve of the monthly average chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	130
Figure 6.5: The spatial standard deviation of chlorophyll- <i>a</i> across the TFZ for each daily MODIS image (triangles), along with the curve of the monthly average chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	131
Figure 6.6: Scatter plot of the daily spatial mean chlorophyll- <i>a</i> across the TFZ against the standard deviation of chlorophyll- <i>a</i>	131
Figure 6.7: The minimum, maximum and mean chlorophyll- <i>a</i> within the TFZ subset for each daily MODIS image.....	132

Figure 6.8: The long-term average chlorophyll- <i>a</i> image of the TFZ subset, showing the contrast between the northwest and the southeast.....	133
Figure 6.9: The daily chlorophyll- <i>a</i> in the northwest and southeast of the TFZ.	133
Figure 6.10: The daily spatial mean SST for the TFZ (diamonds), with the monthly average curve from averaging the daily images in each month.	134
Figure 6.11: The spatial standard deviation of SST across the TFZ for each daily MODIS SST image (diamonds), along with the curve of the monthly average SST.....	135
Figure 6.12: Field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> measurements over the tuna farming zone on the 7 th – 10 th March 2005 (Bierman 2005).....	138
Figure 6.13: MODIS chlorophyll- <i>a</i> of the TFZ on the 7 th , 8 th , 9 th and 10 th March 2005 showing changing spatial patterns.	138

List of Tables

Table 2.1: The major aquaculture species in South Australia and their production in 2006-07 from ABARE (2008).	6
Table 2.2: The satellite sensors capable of ocean colour measurements.....	22
Table 2.3: The bands of the MODIS instrument and their primary application.....	22
Table 3.1: Summary of the reviewed techniques and their application.....	40
Table 4.1: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and the Risk & Response field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data.....	70
Table 4.2: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and the Risk & Response field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data, after excluding data from where the water depth was 20m or less.....	71
Table 4.3: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M and Clark chlorophyll- <i>a</i> algorithms and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data from May 2008 near Port Lincoln.....	72
Table 4.4: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M and Clark chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the October 2008 IMOS cruise, before and after removing data from the shallowest locations.....	73
Table 4.5: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M, Clark and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the January 2009 IMOS cruise.....	74
Table 4.6: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M, Clark, GSM01 and Carder chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the GAB dataset.....	76
Table 4.7: Regression statistics for MODIS OC3M chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and field-based chlorophyll- <i>a</i> data for the GAB dataset separated by year.....	78
Table 4.8: Regression statistics for MODIS Aqua standard day-time SST estimations and SST measurements obtained in the field at a depth of ~1 metre.....	79
Table 4.9: Regression statistics for MODIS day-time SST at 11 μm , night-time SST at 11 μm , night-time SST at 4 μm and field-based CTD temperature measurements.....	80
Table 5.1: The co-ordinates of the stations used to assess spatial variability in chlorophyll- <i>a</i> and SST.....	99
Table 5.2: Correlation matrix for the temporal chlorophyll- <i>a</i> profiles at each station from 5 years of monthly MODIS imagery.....	102
Table 5.3: Correlation matrix for the temporal SST profiles at each station from 5 years of monthly MODIS imagery.....	113