

09PH
M 6973



EPID Dosimetry in Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy Applications

Mohammad Mohammadi, M.Sc.



**Thesis submitted for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
In the School of Chemistry and Physics
University of Adelaide**

Supervisors:

**Dr. Eva Bezak
Dr. Lotte Fog**

September 2006

Preface

Contents

PREFACE	I
CONTENTS.....	I
ABSTRACT.....	IV
DECLARATION.....	VI
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	VII
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	IX
<i>Symbols</i>	<i>ix</i>
<i>Abbreviations</i>	<i>ix</i>
LIST OF FIGURES.....	XI
LIST OF TABLES.....	XVI
PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS:.....	XVII
<i>Publications in refereed journals:</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Other Publications:</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Submitted papers in refereed journals:</i>	<i>xvii</i>
<i>Conference presentation:</i>	<i>xviii</i>
<i>Other Presentations:</i>	<i>xx</i>
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY.....	1
1.2. AIMS OF THE CURRENT RESEARCH.....	3
1.3. THESIS OUTLINE AND STRUCTURE.....	4
CHAPTER 2. IMRT DOSE DELIVERY VERIFICATION USING ELECTRONIC PORTAL IMAGING DEVICES	7
2.1. INTRODUCTION.....	7
2.2. NEW TECHNIQUES IN RADIATION THERAPY.....	7
2.2.1. <i>Conformal radiation therapy</i>	7
2.2.2. <i>Intensity modulated radiation therapy</i>	8
2.2.2.1. Segmented IMRT.....	9
2.2.2.2. Dynamic IMRT.....	10
2.2.2.3. Intensity modulated arc therapy.....	10
2.2.3. <i>Summary</i>	11
2.3. DOSIMETRY IN RADIATION THERAPY.....	11
2.3.1. <i>Dosimeters</i>	12
2.3.1.1. Point dosimeters.....	12
2.3.1.2. Two-dimensional dosimeters.....	14
2.3.1.3. Three-dimensional dosimeters.....	15
2.4. ELECTRONIC PORTAL IMAGING DEVICES (EPIDs).....	15
2.4.1. <i>EPID types</i>	16
2.4.1.1. Fluoroscopic EPIDs.....	16
2.4.1.2. Scanning Liquid Ionization Chamber EPIDs.....	18
2.4.1.3. Amorphous Silicon EPIDs.....	20
2.4.2. <i>The application of EPIDs in radiation therapy</i>	21
2.4.2.1. Geometrical verification by EPID.....	21
2.4.2.2. Dosimetric verification by EPID.....	22
2.4.2.2.1. EPID calibration methods for dosimetric purposes.....	23
2.4.2.2.2. Pre-treatment assessments.....	28
2.4.2.2.3. Dose delivery verifications.....	28

2.4.2.3. Application of EPID to quality assurance	30
2.4.2.3.1. Radiation beam geometry assessment	31
2.4.2.3.2. Evaluation of MLC leaf functioning	31
2.5. COMPARISON OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DOSE DISTRIBUTIONS	33
2.6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	36
CHAPTER 3. CHARACTERISTICS OF A SCANNING LIQUID IONIZATION CHAMBER ELECTRONIC PORTAL IMAGING DEVICE	39
3.1. INTRODUCTION	39
3.2. MEASUREMENT OF PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF SLIC-EPIDS	39
3.2.1. <i>Investigation of the extra build-up layer</i>	41
3.2.2. <i>Reproducibility of electronic portal images</i>	43
3.2.3. <i>Noise level measurement</i>	46
3.3. DOSIMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF A SLIC-EPID	47
3.2.1. <i>Dose rate and dose calculation using a SLIC-EPID</i>	47
3.2.2. <i>EPID field size response</i>	52
3.2.3. <i>SLIC-EPID response with gantry rotation</i>	53
3.2.4. <i>Variation of EPID response with the acquisition time lag</i>	56
3.4. AN SLIC-EPID AS A TWO-DIMENSIONAL DOSIMETER	58
3.4.1. <i>Comparison of EPID dose values with other two-dimensional dosimeters</i>	58
3.4.2. <i>Definition and determination of two-dimensional correction factors</i>	62
3.5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	66
CHAPTER 4. VERIFICATION OF THE DOSIMETRIC CALIBRATION FOR SLIC- EPID	69
4.1. INTRODUCTION	69
4.2. VERIFICATION OF THE CALIBRATION METHOD FOR OPEN FIELDS	70
4.3. VERIFICATION OF THE CALIBRATION METHOD FOR WEDGED FIELDS	75
4.4. VERIFICATION OF THE CALIBRATION METHOD IN THE PRESENCE OF HOMOGENEOUS AND INHOMOGENEOUS PHANTOMS	82
4.4.1. <i>Homogenous phantoms</i>	82
4.4.2. <i>Inhomogeneous phantoms</i>	86
4.5. EVALUATION OF MAXIMUM MISALIGNMENT BETWEEN EVALUATED DOSE MAPS	94
4.6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	95
CHAPTER 5. EVALUATING THE SLIC-EPID SENSITIVITY FOR TRANSMITTED DOSIMETRY PURPOSES.....	97
5.1. INTRODUCTION	97
5.2. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRANSMITTED DOSE AND PHANTOM CHARACTERISTICS	97
5.2.1. <i>The variation of transmitted dose values with the change of phantom thickness</i>	99
5.2.2. <i>Dependence of the transmitted dose on the position of an inhomogeneity</i>	104
5.2.3. <i>Summary</i>	107
5.3. <i>The impact of patient/phantom positioning uncertainties on the transmitted dose maps</i>	108
5.3.1. <i>Evaluation of patient positioning uncertainties using relative dose difference map</i>	109
5.3.2. <i>Evaluation of patient positioning uncertainties using gamma function algorithm</i>	111
5.4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	115
CHAPTER 6. EVALUATION OF DOSIMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MULTILEAF COLLIMATOR FIELDS USING A SLIC-EPID.....	117
6.1. INTRODUCTION	117
6.2. DOSIMETRIC CHARACTERISTICS OF MLC AND CONVENTIONAL FIELDS	118
6.2.1. <i>Comparison of radiation field size set up using conventional collimators and MLCs</i>	119
6.2.2. <i>The penumbra width of radiation fields set up using MLCs and conventional jaws</i>	122
6.2.3. <i>The characteristics of the penumbra region for rectangular and rounded fields</i>	126
6.2.4. <i>Conclusion</i>	129

6.3. EVALUATION OF MLC LEAF POSITIONING USING A SLIC-EPID.....	130
6.3.1. <i>The relationship between relative dose difference values and MLC leaf spatial displacement</i>	131
6.3.2. <i>Edge detection algorithms</i>	135
6.3.3. <i>Reproducibility of MLC leaf positioning</i>	138
6.3.4. <i>Summary and Conclusion</i>	140
6.4. SUMMARY	141
CHAPTER 7. VERIFICATION OF DOSE DELIVERY FOR SIMRT TREATMENT CASES USING A SLIC-EPID.....	143
7.1. INTRODUCTION.....	143
7.2. DOSE DELIVERY VERIFICATION FOR A PROSTATE SIMRT TREATMENT	143
7.2.1. <i>Transmitted dose measurement using SLIC-EPID</i>	143
7.2.2. <i>Calculation of predicted portal dose images</i>	144
7.2.2.1. <i>Treatment planning set-up for transmitted dosimetry</i>	144
7.2.2.2. <i>Evaluation of CT image quality during image rotation</i>	145
7.2.2.3. <i>Electronic equilibrium in the modelled EPID layers</i>	147
7.2.2.4. <i>Evaluation of offset values for MLC positioning</i>	148
7.2.3. <i>Comparison of measured and calculated transmitted dose maps</i>	149
7.2.4. <i>Summary</i>	155
7.3. DOSE DELIVERY VERIFICATION FOR A HEAD AND NECK SIMRT TREATMENT	156
7.3.1. <i>Transmitted dose maps measured using SLIC-EPID</i>	157
7.3.2. <i>Transmitted dose maps calculated using Pinnacle³ TPS</i>	157
7.3.3. <i>The comparison of the measured and calculated transmitted dose distributions for head and neck case</i>	160
7.3.3.1. <i>SLIC-EPID response for small radiation fields</i>	166
7.3.3.2. <i>SLIC-EPID response for high dose gradient regions</i>	169
7.3.4. <i>Summary</i>	174
7.4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	175
CHAPTER 8. CONCLUSIONS AND POSSIBLE FUTURE RESEARCHES	177
8.1. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS.....	177
8.2. POSSIBLE FUTURE RESEARCHES	180
APPENDIX A. COMPANION PAPER	181
APPENDIX B	191
B.1. THE REGION OF INTEREST (ROI) FINDER.....	191
B.2. COMPARISON OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL DOSE MAPS	193
B.2.1. <i>Evaluation of two dose maps based on the Composite Algorithm</i>	193
B.2.2. <i>Evaluation of two dose maps based on the gamma function Algorithm</i>	194
B.3. THE ROTATED AND EXTENDED CT IMAGE.....	199
B.4. EVALUATION OF EPIS TO FIND THE MINIMUM MLC DISPLACEMENT.....	200
REFERENCES.....	205

Abstract

Electronic Portal Imaging Devices (EPIDs) can be used for dosimetric purposes, provided that an appropriate dosimetric calibration is used. However, in most studies published so far, transmitted dose maps, measured using EPIDs, are used under limited conditions only and further investigation is required for more complex conditions.

In order to use a Scanning Liquid Ionization Chamber (SLIC)-EPID as a comprehensive two-dimensional dosimeter, a calibration method was developed in the current work. This includes investigation of the following issues: additional build-up layer on the EPID; relationship between Electronic Portal Images (EPIs) pixel values and dose on the beam central axis; variation in the measured dose with the radiation field size; SLIC-EPID response with gantry rotation; variation of EPIs with the acquisition time lag; and the reconstruction of the beam horns in off-axis areas using KODAK Extended Dose Range (EDR2) films.

In order to verify the calibration method, the radiation fluence maps measured using a SLIC-EPID were compared with EDR2 film measurements for open and wedged fields using the gamma function algorithm with Distance To Agreement (DTA) and Dose Difference (ΔD_{max}) of 2.54 mm and 1%, respectively. The SLIC-EPID relative dose measurements for a range of homogeneous and inhomogeneous phantoms were also compared to EDR2 film and *Pinnacle*³ Treatment Planning System (TPS) data sets.

Prior to using SLIC-EPID for complex treatment modalities, an investigation was carried out on the following: evaluating the SLIC-EPID to detect the minimum change in phantom/patient thickness; an evaluation of SLIC-EPID sensitivity to detect the phantom/patient positioning uncertainties and their effect on the transmitted dose maps; dosimetric characteristics of various radiation field set-ups such as the comparison of Multileaf Collimator (MLC) fields with conventional radiation fields; and evaluating MLC leaf positioning using a SLIC-EPID. Finally, SLIC-EPID response was assessed for segmented Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy (sIMRT) applied to prostate, and head and neck cases. The results were compared to those that planned using *Pinnacle*³

TPS calculation using the gamma function algorithm with DTA and ΔD_{max} of 2.54 mm and 3%, respectively.

For open and wedged fields (in air), the gamma scores for SLIC-EPID and EDR2 film measurements were found to be greater than 95% with 1%/2.54 mm (two pixels). For both homogenous and inhomogeneous phantoms, more than 90% agreement was achieved using gamma criteria of 2%/2.54 mm and 3%/2.54 mm, respectively.

The results showed that a 6 mm change in phantom/patient thickness of a homogeneous phantom can be detected using a transmitted dose measured by the SLIC-EPID. It was also found that SLIC-EPID can detect 1-2 mm patient positioning uncertainties. For radiation field set-up using conventional collimators and MLCs, a significant difference in the penumbra width and radiation field size was observed. A linear relationship was observed between relative dose difference and MLC leaf spatial displacement. The minimum detectable MLC leaf displacement was approximately 0.1 mm using standard edge detection algorithms.

For sIMRT prostate and head and neck cases, a good agreement ($\geq 92\%$) was found between measured and calculated transmitted dose maps for each subfield and the total field in the A-P direction. For non-zero gantry positions the discrepancies increase due to radiation beam absorption in the treatment couch. Several inconsistencies were also observed for small radiation fields.

It can be concluded that SLIC-EPID is a sensitive device for dose delivery verification in three-dimensional Conformal Radiation Therapy (CRT) and segmented Intensity Modulated radiation Therapy (sIMRT) as well as for Quality Assurance (QA) of the dosimetric characteristics of MLC fields. The radiation beam attenuation of the treatment couch for oblique beams and SLIC-EPID response for small radiation fields (less than $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$) should be considered as the main limitation in using SLIC-EPID for comprehensive dose delivery verification. In conclusion, SLIC-EPID can be used as a two dimensional dosimeter for complex treatment conditions when an appropriate dosimetric calibration is applied. It can also be used for regular QA of MLC fields.